



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Boris M. Van Breukelen,
Delft University of Technology, Netherlands

*CORRESPONDENCE
Sedat Gündoğdu
✉ sgundogdu@cu.edu.tr

RECEIVED 30 May 2024
ACCEPTED 17 June 2024
PUBLISHED 26 June 2024

CITATION
Picos-Corrales LA and Gündoğdu S (2024)
Editorial: Pollution and environmental water
quality of river and streams.
Front. Water 6:1441071.
doi: 10.3389/frwa.2024.1441071

COPYRIGHT
© 2024 Picos-Corrales and Gündoğdu. This is
an open-access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic practice.
No use, distribution or reproduction is
permitted which does not comply with these
terms.

Editorial: Pollution and environmental water quality of river and streams

Lorenzo A. Picos-Corrales¹ and Sedat Gündoğdu^{2*}

¹Facultad de Ingeniería Culiacán, Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Culiacán, Sinaloa, Mexico,
²Department of Basic Science, Faculty of Fisheries, Çukurova University, Adana, Türkiye

KEYWORDS

river, pollution, environmental management, aquatic environment, water management

Editorial on the Research Topic

Pollution and environmental water quality of river and streams

Pollution of rivers and streams is primarily the result of human activities, leading to significant environmental damage and health risks. Despite industrial-scale activities and inadequate wastewater treatment, many regions still maintain lenient policies regarding the permissible limits of contaminants in water bodies. This pollution causes chemical and biological changes in freshwater ecosystems, underscoring the need for regular monitoring in accordance with government regulations, particularly when rivers are sources of drinking water. This Research Topic, “*Pollution and environmental water quality of river and streams*,” features contemporary research on the assessment of water quality in rivers and streams. The contributions include studies on multi-year assessments of phytoplankton fluorescence, impacts of mountain top removal coal mining on streams, monitoring of water quality disturbances, plastic monitoring and remobilization, modeling frameworks for water environment simulation, and machine learning estimations of nutrient concentrations (Figure 1).

In the article “*A multi-year assessment of phytoplankton fluorescence in a large temperate river reveals the importance of scale-dependent temporal patterns associated with temperature and other physicochemical variables*” by Mimouni et al., a long-term ecological study was conducted on the St. Lawrence River utilizing high temporal resolution data collected hourly, daily, and weekly from 2014 to 2018. This research utilized uninterrupted high temporal resolution data to examine phytoplankton fluorescence variables and their environmental drivers. The study identified large, yearly-scale patterns driven by seasonal changes in temperature and water discharge, with finer-scale patterns related to dissolved organic matter and weather variables. Overall, this study outlines a method to relate changes in phytoplankton abundance in a large river system, as indicated by pigment fluorescence (phycocyanin, chlorophyll a), to environmental variables across multiple observational scales, ranging from daily to annual. The applied variation partitioning approach can address sociologically relevant issues such as identifying conditions that closely relate to the onset of harmful Cyanobacteria blooms, which negatively impact water quality for human consumption, and understanding large-scale changes in water quality due to land use practices and climate change.

Burke et al. focused on understanding the impacts of mountaintop removal (MTR) coal mining on stream ecosystems in the Central Appalachians, USA. MTR coal mining significantly alters the landscape, affecting stream hydrology, channel geomorphology, and water quality, which can severely impair stream ecological integrity.

water quality (WQ) parameters studied included temperature, pH, turbidity, and concentrations of dissolved oxygen, nitrates, and heavy metals. High-resolution water quality data were collected using semi-continuous sensors and synoptic grab sampling, covering a propagation distance of approximately 190 km. This protocol can be adapted to monitor other types of environmental disasters, providing valuable data for managing water quality.

Vriend et al. introduced a framework designed to improve and standardize riverbank macroplastic monitoring strategies. The study highlights the importance of monitoring river plastic pollution to develop effective mitigation and removal strategies. This framework is the first systematic effort to compare and harmonize current monitoring protocols. Their framework identifies four key elements (space, time, observers, plastic categorization) essential to riverine monitoring protocols. They also identify trade-offs made in current monitoring protocols during their design processes. Regular and long-term monitoring using such a protocol would yield scientifically sound and objective data on global plastic pollution. This data is crucial for understanding how plastic is transported within river systems, where it accumulates, and how to remove it efficiently. Ultimately, these insights can inform the development of targeted and effective policies to reduce plastic pollution and mitigate its adverse effects on the environment.

Climo et al. examined the impact of plastic pollution on riverine systems, focusing on how rivers act as pathways for plastic transport to the sea. The study specifically investigated the process of plastic remobilization from riverbanks, which is poorly understood. The researchers focused on the wave action caused by inland navigation, a significant factor in anthropogenic rivers, to assess its effect on the remobilization of land-based plastics. They conducted their study along the riverbank of the River Waal in the Netherlands, a heavily navigated river, providing a real-world context for assessing remobilization. In their experiment, plastic pieces were placed at standardized distances from the average waterline at various locations along the riverbank. The study found that wave action from inland navigation significantly contributed to the remobilization of plastics on the riverbank. Several factors were identified as significantly affecting the probability of remobilization, including the distance of the plastic object from the waterline, wave height, riverbank slope, and plastic size. The strongest remobilization effect was observed for plastics located within 1.0 meter from the waterline. With a wave height of 0.5 meters or more, all plastics within 3.0 meters from the waterline were remobilized, potentially leading to downstream transport through the river. This research enhances the fundamental understanding of how inland navigation influences plastic pathways and highlights the importance of addressing these drivers to tackle plastic pollution effectively.

Shen et al. introduced a dynamically coupled modeling framework (E-DBCM) that integrates an upland watershed model (UWSM) with a two-dimensional downstream waterbody model (DWBM). The specific water quality parameters measured included nutrient concentrations (nitrates, phosphates), dissolved oxygen, and various pollutants (e.g., heavy metals and organic contaminants). This framework was applied to the Yanqi River Basin, demonstrating its effectiveness in simulating pollutant transport processes. The study found that water pollution in the

basin was particularly severe during peak tourist seasons due to direct wastewater discharge. This model is particularly suitable for small and medium watersheds, providing a reliable tool for water quality assessment.

Tso et al. utilized machine-learning techniques to predict nutrient concentrations in the river network of Great Britain. By combining a high-resolution reach-scale river network with random forest models, the study provided detailed predictions of nitrate and orthophosphate concentrations. The results indicated that land use was a strong predictor for nitrate, while floodplain extents and runoff were key predictors for orthophosphate. This approach enhances the ability to monitor nutrient pollution and develop targeted strategies for improving water quality. Their model showed slightly better performance for higher Strahler stream orders, highlighting the challenges of making predictions in small streams. The results revealed that arable and horticultural land use is the strongest and most reliable predictor for nitrate, while floodplain extents and standard percentage runoff are stronger predictors for orthophosphate. Nationally, higher orthophosphate concentrations were observed in urbanized areas. This study shows how combining a river network model with machine learning can easily provide a river network understanding of the spatial distribution of water quality levels.

The contributions to this Research Topic collectively advance our understanding of pollution dynamics and water quality in rivers and streams. They highlight the importance of innovative monitoring techniques, comprehensive modeling approaches, and interdisciplinary collaboration in addressing the complex challenges associated with water pollution. The insights gained from these studies are invaluable for developing effective policies and strategies to safeguard our freshwater resources for future generations.

Author contributions

LP-C: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SG: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all the authors who published papers in this Research Topic.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.