Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Mohammed Rohaim, Lancaster University, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY Mohamed H. Maarouf, Suez Canal University, Egypt Ehab M. El-Nahas, Benha University, Egypt Muhammad Abid, The Pirbright Institute, United Kingdom Sabahat Abdullah, Shantou University Medical College, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Shaoying Chen ⊠ chensy58@163.com Shilong Chen ⊠ csl6116@163.com

<sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 05 July 2024 ACCEPTED 14 October 2024 PUBLISHED 24 October 2024

#### CITATION

Xu Z, Liu H, Zheng X, Cheng X, Wang S, You G, Zhu X, Zheng M, Dong H, Xiao S, Zeng L, Zeng X, Chen S and Chen S (2024) Simultaneous detection and differentiation of classical Muscovy duck reovirus and goose-origin Muscovy duck reovirus by RT-qPCR assay with high-resolution melting analysis.

Front. Vet. Sci. 11:1459898. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2024.1459898

### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Xu, Liu, Zheng, Cheng, Wang, You, Zhu, Zheng, Dong, Xiao, Zeng, Zeng, Chen and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Simultaneous detection and differentiation of classical Muscovy duck reovirus and goose-origin Muscovy duck reovirus by RT-qPCR assay with high-resolution melting analysis

Zhuoran Xu<sup>1,2†</sup>, Hongwei Liu<sup>1,2†</sup>, Xin Zheng<sup>2</sup>, Xiaoxia Cheng<sup>1,3</sup>, Shao Wang<sup>1,3</sup>, Guangju You<sup>1,3</sup>, Xiaoli Zhu<sup>1,3</sup>, Min Zheng<sup>1,3</sup>, Hui Dong<sup>1,3</sup>, Shifeng Xiao<sup>1,3</sup>, Li Zeng<sup>1,3</sup>, Xiancheng Zeng<sup>2</sup>, Shaoying Chen<sup>1,3\*</sup> and Shilong Chen<sup>1,3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine, Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Fuzhou, China, <sup>2</sup>College of Animal Sciences, Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, China, <sup>3</sup>Fujian Animal Diseases Control Technology Development Center, Fuzhou, China

**Introduction:** Classical Muscovy duck reovirus (C-MDRV) and goose-origin Muscovy duck reovirus (Go-MDRV) infections cause "Liver white-spots disease" in Muscovy duckling and gosling. It is difficult to differentiate the infections caused by C-MDRV and Go-MDRV using conventional serological methods.

**Methods:** Specific primers were designed and synthesized according to  $\sigma$ NS and  $\lambda$ A nucleotide sequences of C-MDRV and Go-MDRV, respectively. The PCR amplified products were cloned into the pMD-18-T vector. The recombinant plasmid DNA was used to establish an SYBR Green I based duplex real-time PCR assay for the simultaneous detection and differentiation of C-MDRV and Go-MDRV using high-resolution melting (HRM) analysis. The specificity, sensitivity, and repeatability of the methodology were examined based on the optimization of the reaction system and amplification conditions.

**Results:** C-MDRV and Go-MDRV were identified by their distinctive melting temperatures with  $84.50 \pm 0.25^{\circ}$ C for C-MDRV and  $87.50 \pm 0.20^{\circ}$ C for Go-MDRV, respectively. The amplifications were specific, and other non-targeted waterfowl viruses employed in this study did not show normalized melting peaks. The intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variations were between 0.05 and 1.83%, demonstrating good repeatability. The detection limits of this assay were 51.4 copies·µl<sup>-1</sup> for C-MDRV and 61.8 copies·µl<sup>-1</sup> for Go-MDRV, respectively. A total of 45 clinical samples were tested by RT-qPCR, with positive rates of 15.56% for C-MDRV and 22.22% for Go-MDRV, without co-infections.

**Discussion:** These results suggest that this duplex RT-qPCR method is highly sensitive, specific, and reproducible. The HRM assay established in this study provides a powerful tool for the differential detection and epidemiological investigation of C-MDRV and Go-MDRV.

#### KEYWORDS

classical Muscovy duck reovirus, goose-origin Muscovy duck reovirus, duplex realtime RT-PCR, high-resolution melting, differential diagnosis

# **1** Introduction

Reovirus infection in waterfowl has caused substantial economic losses in global waterfowl production, particularly affecting Muscovy ducks. Classical Muscovy duck reovirus (C-MDRV) infection was first reported in Muscovy ducklings in South Africa in 1950 (1), and the C-MDRV strain 89026 was initially isolated in 1972 (2). The disease emerged in Chinese Muscovy duck flocks in the early 1990s (3). C-MDRV infection can result in a range of clinical symptoms, including weakness, arthritis (lameness), watery diarrhea, and stunted growth. The typical pathological manifestation is numerous white pin-head necrotic foci distributed in the liver and spleen (4). Thus, the disease is commonly known as "Liver white spots disease" in Muscovy duck (5).

Reovirus infection in goslings (GRV infection) was first reported in Hungary in the 1990s and was characterized by splenitis and hepatitis with miliary white necrotic foci during the acute phase (6, 7). GRV infection has also been reported in China since 2002, with clinical symptoms similar to GRV infection in Hungary and C-MDRV infection, including weakness, locomotor disorders, arthritis, and diarrhea (8, 9). Based on similar genomic characteristics, GRV and C-MDRV are recommended to be classified as specified genogroup of avian reoviruses (8). Since 2020, GRV infection has occurred frequently in Chinese goose breeding areas, presenting typical characteristics such as numerous white necrotic foci in the liver and spleen (10, 11). The pathogenic agent was identified as a novel GRV (N-GRV) strain with natural recombination from different waterfowl reoviruses (10, 11). It has been proposed to name these GRV strains goose-origin Muscovy duck reovirus (Go-MDRV) (12).

Waterfowl reoviruses are nonenveloped, icosahedral viruses. Their genomes consist of 10 segments of double-stranded RNA (dsRNA), which can be divided into small genome fragments (S1, S2, S3, S4), medium genome fragments (M1, M2, M3), and large genome fragments (L1, L2, L3) based on their electrophoretic mobility (6, 13). C-MDRV and Go-MDRV belong to the genus Orthoreovirus of the reovirus family, causing similar clinical symptoms (14-16) and exhibiting a high genomic homology of 89.5-98.5% (10). Differentiating between them using conventional serological methods is challenging (17). The present methods for detecting C-MDRV or Go-MDRV include enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (18), immunofluorescence assay (IFA) (19), reverse transcriptionpolymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) assay (12, 20, 21), semi-nested RT-PCR (22), TaqMan-based real-time RT-PCR assay (23, 24), and SYBR Green I based real-time RT-PCR assay (25). However, these methods could not be used for simultaneous detection and differentiation of C-MDRV and Go-MDRV. C-MDRV and Go-MDRV are pathogenic to Muscovy ducklings and goslings, causing similar symptoms, which makes it difficult to determine whether the "Liver white spots disease" is caused by C-MDRV or Go-MDRV infection. It is urgent to establish a method for rapid detection and differentiation of C-MDRV or Go-MDRV.

Compared with the traditional RT-PCR and serological detection methods, real-time PCR assay has the advantages of quantitative, rapid, accurate, and high sensitivity. Compared with *Taq*Man-based real-time PCR, SYBR green I based real-time PCR has benefits including low cost, simplicity, and exclusion of non-specificity by melting curve analysis (26). In this study, an SYBR Green I based duplex RT-qPCR assay was developed to detect and distinguish C-MDRV and Go-MDRV based on their different melting temperatures.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Viruses and clinical samples

The Go-MDRV strain JS2022, C-MDRV strain MW9710, and control viral strains were provided by the Laboratory of Animal Virology of the Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine, Fujian Academy of Agriculture Sciences, China. The control strains included goose parvovirus (GPV), Muscovy duck parvovirus (MDPV), duck aviadenovirus serotype B2 (DAdV-B2), duck Tembusu virus (DTMUV), duck enteritis virus (DEV), novel duck reovirus (NDRV), duck hepatitis A virus type 1 (DHAV-1), and duck paramyxovirus (DPMV).

A total of 45 clinical "Liver white spots disease" samples of dead Muscovy ducklings and goslings were collected from different waterfowl farms in the south of China between 2020 and 2023. The samples were stored at  $-20^{\circ}$ C and examined simultaneously. Mixed homogenates of heart, liver and spleen (30% w/v) were prepared for viral DNA and RNA extraction according to the method described previously (27). Viral DNA/RNA was extracted with the FastPure Viral DNA/RNA Mini Kit (Vazyme Biotech, Nanjing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Viral RNA was reverse-transcribed to obtain complementary DNA (cDNA) using HiScript®II first strand cDNA synthesis kit (Vazyme Biotech, Nanjing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The cDNA and viral DNA were used immediately for qPCR or stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C for future use.

TABLE 1 Primers designed and used in the duplex RT-qPCR assay for the simultaneous detection of C-MDRV and Go-MDRV.

Primes	Sequence (5' $\rightarrow$ 3')	Target gene	Primer location (nt)	Product size (bp)	
C-MDRV-F	ACATCCTGACTCGCGATTTA	->10	250-269ª	126	
C-MDRV-R	CACCATAAACTTGAGCCACA	6INS	356-375ª		
Go-MDRV-F	TGAAGTCCGACAACCCTACC	2.4	125-144 <sup>b</sup>	224	
Go-MDRV-R	CGTCATTGTCCACGGATCCA	ΛA	429-448 <sup>b</sup>	524	

<sup>a</sup>Oligonucleotide position about C-MDRV strain ZJ2000M segment  $\sigma$ NS sequence (GenBank accession no. KF306090). <sup>b</sup>Oligonucleotide position about Go-MDRV isolate JS2022 segment  $\lambda$ A sequence (GenBank accession no. OP598202).



Nucleotide alignment of primer sequences from different members of avian orthoreoviruses. GenBank accession numbers are indicated for each strain used in creating the alignment. The bases that differ from primer sequences are highlighted by background color.

## 2.2 Primer design

Among the various strains of the avian orthoreoviruses, the genes with great differences in nucleotide sequence homology are the target genes for primer designing. The nucleotide sequences of the C-MDRV  $\sigma$ NS gene (Accession no: KC508655, KF306090, KJ569582, DQ066923, DQ325536) and the nucleotide sequences of Go-MDRV  $\lambda$ A gene (Accession no: MZ546418, OP598202, OK626883, OR890071) were aligned by Clustal W using the MegAlign program (DNASTAR Inc., Madison, WI, USA). qPCR primers targeting the C-MDRV  $\sigma$ NS gene and another pair of primers targeting the Go-MDRV  $\lambda$ A gene were designed using Oligo 6 software (Med. Probe, Oslo, Norway; Table 1). The primer sequences were aligned to confirm the possibility of cross-reactivity with other members of the avian orthoreoviruses. The primers were synthesized by Tsingke Biotechnology Beijing Co., Ltd., Beijing, China.

# 2.3 Preparation of standard plasmids

The PCR was performed using the primers described in Table 1 to amplify the  $\sigma$ NS and  $\lambda$ A DNA fragments. The reaction volume was 20 µl, containing 10 µl of 2×*Taq* Master Mix (Dye Plus; Vazyme Biotech, Nanjing, China), 2µl of cDNA template, 1µl of each primer (10µM), and 6µl of ddH<sub>2</sub>O. The cycling protocol was as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min; 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 15 s, annealing at 58°C for 15 s, and extension at 72°C for 30 s, and final extension at 72°C for 5 min. The PCR products were purified and cloned into the pMD18-T Vector to obtain the recombinant plasmids pMD18-C-MDRV and pMD18-Go-MDRV. The DNA of recombinant plasmids was verified by sequencing. The concentration and purity of the two standard plasmids were quantified using a DS-11 Spectrophotometer (DeNovix, Wilmington, DE, USA). The copy numbers of each cloned gene were calculated according to the method



showing  $T_m$  values of 84.50 and 87.50°C, respectively, consistent with the results of the singleplex melting curve analyses.

described previously (28). Each recombinant standard plasmid was diluted 10-fold in TE buffer and used to construct the standard curves.

### 2.4 PCR-HRM assay

C-MDRV and Go-MDRV were detected by single RT-qPCR in a 20  $\mu$ l reaction mixtures containing 10  $\mu$ l of PerfectStart<sup>®</sup> Green qPCR SuperMix (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China), 0.5  $\mu$ l each of 10  $\mu$ M forward and reverse primers of C-MDRV or Go-MDRV, and 1  $\mu$ l of the plasmid DNA template. In the duplex qPCR, 25  $\mu$ l reaction mixture comprised 12.5  $\mu$ l PerfectStart<sup>®</sup> Green qPCR SuperMix, 0.4  $\mu$ l each of the C-MDRV primers mix, 0.4  $\mu$ l each of the Go-MDRV and pMD18-Go-MDRV, and RNase-free H<sub>2</sub>O was added to a total volume of 25  $\mu$ l. Amplification was performed on a LightCycler<sup>®</sup> 96 Instrument (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) using the following cycling program: initial denaturation at 94°C for 60 s, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 5 s, annealing at 60°C for 15 s, and extension at 72°C for 6 s. Fluorescence signals were collected at the end of each cycle. HRM software (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) was used to analyze the data.

### 2.5 Establishment of standard curves

The 10-fold serial dilutions of the standard plasmid DNA for the C-MDRV  $\sigma$ NS gene or Go-MDRV  $\lambda$ A gene were used in the qPCR amplification. The standard curves were constructed by plotting the Cycle Threshold (Ct) values on the y-axis and logarithmic starting concentrations along the x-axis.

# 2.6 Sensitivity, specificity, and reproducibility evaluations

The recombinant plasmid DNA of the C-MDRV  $\sigma$ NS gene or Go-MDRV  $\lambda$ A gene was serially diluted 10-fold from 10<sup>8</sup> copies· $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup> to 10<sup>0</sup> copies· $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup>. Seven concentrations (10<sup>8</sup> to 10<sup>2</sup> copies· $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup>) of the standard C-MDRV and Go-MDRV plasmids were detected by this duplex qPCR assay to assess the minimum detection limits. The intraand inter-group repeatability of seven concentrations of standard C-MDRV and Go-MDRV plasmids was determined using this duplex qPCR assay detection by calculating the coefficients of variation (CVs). The DNA or cDNA of seven control virus strains (GPV, MDPV, DAdV-B2, DTMUV, DEV, NDRV, DHAV-1, and DPMV) and RNase-free H<sub>2</sub>O were used as the negative control to evaluate the specificity of this assay.

### 2.7 Evaluation of clinical samples by real-time PCR and conventional PCR

A total of 45 clinical samples from diseased Muscovy ducklings and goslings from different waterfowl farms were detected by the established duplex RT-qPCR, single RT-qPCR, and the conventional RT-PCR assay (12). The positive samples were selected for sequencing and the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) analysis to confirm the reliability of the assay. The positive detection rates of the three assays were compared.

# **3** Results

### 3.1 Validation of the RT-qPCR-HRM assay

The result of primer sequence alignment was shown in Figure 1. The primer sequences designed in this study only matched the C-MDRV  $\sigma$ NS gene or Go-MDRV  $\lambda$ A gene sequences, respectively, suggesting the amplification had a good specificity. As shown in Figures 2A,B, positive fluorescent signals for C-MDRV and Go-MDRV were obtained with the respective single RT-qPCR assays with the melting temperature ( $T_m$ ) of 84.50°C and 87.50°C, respectively. It was sufficient to differentiate C-MDRV and Go-MDRV by the normalized melting curves with double peaks (Figure 2C). The mean and standard deviation of Tm of C-MDRV and Go-MDRV were 84.50 ± 0.25°C and 87.50± 0.20°C, respectively. Only double-specific peaks indicated no primer dimers and cross-reactivity between primer sets.

# 3.2 Standard curves of C-MDRV and Go-MDRV

The standard plasmids ranging from  $5.14 \times 10^2$  to  $5.14 \times 10^8$  copies· $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup> for C-MDRV and  $6.18 \times 10^2$  to  $6.18 \times 10^8$  copies· $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup> for Go-MDRV were used to construct the standard curves. The standard curve equations for C-MDRV and Go-MDRV were y = -3.3111x + 37.762 and y = -3.5143x + 37.694, respectively (Figure 3). The amplification efficiencies were 100.45 and 92.55% for C-MDRV and Go-MDRV, respectively. Additionally, the R<sup>2</sup> values of C-MDRV and Go-MDRV were 0.9992 and 0.9987, respectively.

# 3.3 Sensitivity, specificity, and reproducibility analysis

The minimum detection limits were 51.4 copies· $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup> for C-MDRV and 61.8 copies· $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup> for Go-MDRV (Figure 4). The RT-qPCR-HRM assay demonstrated highly specific. There were no specific normalized melting peaks for GPV, MDPV, DAdV-B2, DTMUV, DEV, NDRV, DHAV, or DPMV (Figure 5). The intraand inter-assay coefficients of variation for C-MDRV, determined from three parallel tests, ranged from 0.05 to 0.57% and 0.23 to 0.97%, respectively. For Go-MDRV, the intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation were 0.07 to 0.37% and 0.40 to 1.83%, respectively (Table 2). The data from the intra- and inter-assay reproducibility tests indicated that this RT-qPCR-HRM assay was reproducible.

### 3.4 Detection of clinical samples

To compare and evaluate the developed RT-qPCR and conventional RT-PCR, a total of 45 clinical samples were tested. The positive rates of C-MDRV and Go-MDRV were 15.56% (7/45) and 22.22% (10/45), respectively, with no cases of co-infection (0/45).



TABLE 2 Intra- and inter-assay coefficients of variation for the duplex SYBR Green I based real-time RT-PCR assay of C-MDRV and Go-MDRV.

	DNA standard (copies∙µl <sup>-1</sup> )	The Ct values of intra-assay		The Ct values of inter-assay	
		Means <u>+</u> SD	CV%	Means $\pm$ SD	CV%
C-MDRV	$5.14 \times 10^{8}$	$11.19 \pm 0.03$	0.27	$11.25 \pm 0.07$	0.64
	5.14×10 <sup>7</sup>	$14.40\pm0.03$	0.20	$14.51\pm0.10$	0.68
	$5.14 \times 10^{6}$	$18.03\pm0.01$	0.05	$17.96 \pm 0.13$	0.74
	5.14×10 <sup>5</sup>	$21.54 \pm 0.04$	0.19	$21.55 \pm 0.05$	0.23
	$5.14 \times 10^{4}$	$24.46 \pm 0.06$	0.26	$24.62 \pm 0.24$	0.97
	5.14×10 <sup>3</sup>	$27.96 \pm 0.06$	0.22	$27.96 \pm 0.10$	0.34
	5.14×10 <sup>2</sup>	30.90±0.17	0.57	$30.90 \pm 0.14$	0.44
Go-MDRV	6.18×10 <sup>8</sup>	$9.93 \pm 0.03$	0.30	$9.86 \pm 0.07$	0.71
	6.18×10 <sup>7</sup>	$12.73 \pm 0.017$	0.14	$12.94 \pm 0.22$	1.70
	6.18×10 <sup>6</sup>	$16.31 \pm 0.02$	0.13	$16.59 \pm 0.30$	1.83
	6.18×10 <sup>5</sup>	$20.16 \pm 0.07$	0.37	$20.13\pm0.08$	0.40
	$6.18 \times 10^4$	$23.78\pm0.09$	0.37	$23.97 \pm 0.22$	0.92
	6.18×10 <sup>3</sup>	$27.37 \pm 0.05$	0.18	$27.27 \pm 0.13$	0.49
	6.18×10 <sup>2</sup>	$30.58 \pm 0.02$	0.07	$30.40 \pm 0.12$	0.40

These results were consistent with those of single RT-qPCR. The positive rates of C-MDRV and Go-MDRV detected by conventional RT-PCR were 13.33% (6/45) and 20.00% (9/45), respectively, which were lower than those obtained using the method established in this study. In addition, universal primers were used in the conventional RT-PCR assay to detect C-MDRV and Go-MDRV and were not suitable for distinguishing.

# 4 Discussion

C-MDRV and Go-MDRV infections can cause hepatic and splenic necrosis with metabolism disorders and immunosuppression. Damage to the intestinal mucosa results in enteric dysbacteriosis and the proliferation of opportunistic pathogens (29, 30). These infections are associated with an increased probability of co-infection, with fibrinous



limit of 51.4 copies  $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup>; NO. 1–9 represent 5.14 × 10<sup>8</sup> ~ 5.14 × 10<sup>0</sup> copies  $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup>; respectively; NO. 10 represents negative control. (B) The amplification curve of Go-MDRV, with the lowest detection limit of 61.8 copies  $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup>; NO. 1–9 represent 6.18 × 10<sup>8</sup> ~ 6.18 × 10<sup>0</sup> copies  $\mu$ l<sup>-1</sup>, respectively; NO. 10 represents negative control.

pericarditis and perihepatitis observed in the later stages of the disease (31). Some recovered birds are stunted growth, leading to substantial economic losses in the waterfowl breeding industries (32). The C-MDRV and Go-MDRV viruses share high homology in their nucleotide sequences, virus particles structural and diameter, physicochemical, biological characteristics, and molecular properties, making them difficult to distinguish using conventional serological methods (10, 33). Waterfowl reoviruses exhibit frequent natural recombination, enabling them to spread and adapt to new hosts, which results in complex phylogenetic relationships between waterfowl reoviruses (11, 34, 35). Thus, it is important to provide an accurate, rapid, and cost-effective diagnostic method for C-MDRV and Go-MDRV.

Some methods have been developed to detect C-MDRV or Go-MDRV infection, including ELISA (18), IFA (19), RT-PCR (12, 20, 21), semi-nested RT-PCR (22), and *Taq*Man-based real-time RT-PCR (23, 24). Compared with conventional RT-PCR, RT-qPCR calculates the copy numbers of viral cDNA and is simpler, faster, and more

sensitive. Compared with the *Taq*Man-based real-time RT-PCR, SYBR Green I based real-time RT-PCR is cheaper and simpler. In this study, a duplex SYBR Green I based real-time RT-PCR assay was developed to amplify the  $\sigma$ NS gene of C-MDRV and the  $\lambda$ A gene of Go-MDRV. Compared to individual RT-qPCR assays for these viruses, the duplex assay offers the same sensitivity but with a shorter test time and broader applicability. Due to interference and competition between primer pairs, an appropriate ratio of primer concentrations was vital for developing the duplex SYBR Green I real-time RT-PCR assay.

Incorrect primer pairs can produce primer dimers, which in turn cause non-specific normalized melting peaks and sub-optimal amplification of targets. The *Tm* values of PCR products are influenced by the guanine-cytosine (GC) content and product length (36). In this study, two different gene fragments were selected for primer design, and a SYBR Green I based duplex real-time RT-PCR assay was developed for the detection and differentiation of C-MDRV and Go-MDRV by HRM analysis. The main reason for this choice is the high homology between C-MDRV and Go-MDRV, as well as the



similar GC content of the two genomic fragments. Only increasing the length of PCR products could not change the *Tm* value. Choosing the same gene to design primers could lead to mutual interference, cross-reaction, and non-specific melting peaks. We used one pair of primers targeting the  $\sigma$ NS gene or  $\lambda$ A gene for the possibility of differentiation of C-MDRV and Go-MDRV by HRM analysis. As shown in Supplementary data 1, the *Tm* values of these two amplicons were identical, making it difficult to differentiate C-MDRV and Go-MDRV by HRM analysis. After a series of primer pair screenings and optimizations, the highly conserved regions between the  $\sigma$ NS gene of C-MDRV and the  $\lambda$ A gene of Go-MDRV were chosen as the sites for our primer design.

The duplex RT-qPCR assay developed here can distinguish between C-MDRV and Go-MDRV based on their different *Tm* values, which were  $84.50 \pm 0.25^{\circ}$ C for C-MDRV and  $87.50 \pm 0.20^{\circ}$ C for Go-MDRV. The minimum detected concentrations of C-MDRV and

Go-MDRV plasmids were 51.4 and 61.8 copies·µl<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, which showed higher sensitivity than conventional RT-PCR (21). The results of intra- and inter-assay indicated that this method has good repeatability. This assay has no cross-reaction with other waterfowl viruses, such as GPV, MDPV, DAdV-B2, and DTMUV. A total of 45 clinical samples were identified by this duplex assay, of which 7 samples were positive for C-MDRV and 10 samples were positive for Go-MDRV, with no co-infection samples. To make clinical detection more convenient and faster, we selected 5 positive and 5 negative samples and utilized a one-step RT-qPCR assay to determine the concordance rate. As shown in Supplementary data 2, the coincidence rate between one-step RT-qPCR and duplex RT-qPCR assay was 100%. The Tm values of one-step RT-qPCR assay were 82.50±0.20°C for C-MDRV and  $85.00 \pm 0.10^{\circ}$ C for Go-MDRV. These results indicate that our designed primers can be used in a one-step RT-qPCR assay for HRM differential detection. The differences in Tm value between one-step and two-step RT-qPCR assays are closely related to the reagent composition (37). The duplex RT-qPCR shows a higher positivity rate than conventional RT-PCR methods. All the positive results were confirmed by sequencing, validating the stability and reliability of the duplex real-time SYBR Green I based RT-PCR method. The duplex RT-qPCR assay developed in this study facilitates the early diagnosis and surveillance of C-MDRV and Go-MDRV infections in waterfowl.

# **5** Conclusion

A duplex SYBR Green I based real-time RT-PCR assay was successfully developed in this study to distinguish C-MDRV and Go-MDRV infections. This assay is rapid, sensitive, specific, and inexpensive, making it suitable for the differential diagnosis of C-MDRV and Go-MDRV infections in clinical cases. This assay will also aid in epidemiological investigations to control the spread of C-MDRV and Go-MDRV.

# Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/Supplementary material.

### Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institute of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Medicine, Fujian Academy of Agriculture Sciences. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

# Author contributions

ZX: Data curation, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft. HL: Investigation, Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Formal analysis. XZhe: Writing – review & editing, Data curation, Formal analysis. XC: Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. SW: Conceptualization, Resources, Writing – review & editing. GY: Investigation, Software, Writing – review & editing. XZhu: Methodology, Resources, Writing – review & editing. MZ:

# References

1. Kaschula VR. A new virus disease of the muscovy-duck [*Cairina moschata* (linn)] present in Natal. J S Afr Vet Assoc. (1950) 21:18–26.

2. Gaudry DJ, Charles M, Tektoff J. A new disease expressing itself by a viral pericarditis in Barbary ducks. C R Acad Hebd Seances Acad Sci D. (1972) 274:2916–9.

3. Hu Q, Chen S, Jiang B, Chen X, Lin T, Li Y, et al. Discovery of the pathogen of muscovy duck liver white spots disease. *Fujian J Anim Husb Vet Med.* (2000) 6:1–3.

4. Wang D, Shi J, Yuan Y, Zheng L, Zhang D. Complete sequence of a reovirus associated with necrotic focus formation in the liver and spleen of Muscovy ducklings. *Vet Microbiol.* (2013) 166:109–22. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2013.05.022

5. Hu Q, Chen S, Lin F, Cheng X, Lin T, Jiang B, et al. The identification of Muscovy duck reovirus. *Chin J Virol.* (2004) 20:242–8.

Software, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. HD: Software, Resources, Writing – review & editing. SX: Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing. LZ: Validation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. XZe: Conceptualization, Investigation, Software, Writing – review & editing. ShaC: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Writing – review & editing. ShiC: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Investigation, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was supported by "5511" Collaborative Innovation Project of Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China (Grant No. XTCXGC2021018 and XTCXGC2021012), the Science and Technology Innovation Team project of Animal Emerging Viral Diseases Prevention and Control, Fujian Academy of Agricultural Sciences, China (Grant No. CXTD2021019-2), and Fujian Public Welfare Project (Grant No. 2021R1026009).

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fvets.2024.1459898/ full#supplementary-material

6. Bányai K, Palya V, Benko M, Bene J, Havasi V, Melegh B, et al. The goose reovirus genome segment encoding the minor outer capsid protein, sigma1/sigmaC, is bicistronic and shares structural similarities with its counterpart in Muscovy duck reovirus. *Virus Genes.* (2005) 31:285–91. doi: 10.1007/s11262-005-3243-2

7. Palya V, Glávits R, Dobos M, Ivanics E, Nagy E, Bányai K, et al. Reovirus identified as cause of disease in young geese. *Avian Pathol.* (2003) 32:129–38. doi: 10.1080/030794502100007187

8. Dong G, Yun Z, Ming L, Ouyang S, Hu Q, Xu Z, et al. Isolation and characterization of minor core protein  $\sigma$ C from goose reovirus GRV1. *Chin J Preven Vet Med.* (2006) 3:257–60.

9. Wang Y, Zhou J, Yan W, Qian Z, Zhuan G, Qin S, et al. Primary studies on the goosling hemorrhagic necrotizing hepatitis. *China Poultry*. (2002) 18:12–4.

10. Huang Y, Zhang J, Dong J, Li L, Kuang R, Sun M, et al. Isolation and characterization of a new goose orthoreovirus causing liver and spleen focal necrosis in geese, China. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* (2022) 69:3028–34. doi: 10.1111/tbed.14236

11. Zhang S, Wang X, Diao Y, Tang Y. Recombinant characteristics, pathogenicity, and transmissibility of a variant goose orthoreovirus derived from inter-lineage recombination. *Vet Microbiol.* (2023) 277:109620. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2022.109620

12. Lu X, Yang J, Cong Y, Wang L, Liu L, Gao Y, et al. Isolation and characterization of a Muscovy duck Reovirus isolate from a diseased goose. *Chin J Anim Infect Dis.* (2023) 31:134–8.

13. Benavente J, Martínez-Costas J. Avian reovirus: structure and biology. Virus Res. (2007) 123:105–19. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2006.09.005

14. Dandár E, Farkas S, Marton S, Oldal M, Jakab F, Mató T, et al. The complete genome sequence of a European goose reovirus strain. *Arch Virol.* (2014) 159:2165–9. doi: 10.1007/s00705-014-2003-9

15. Farkas S, Dandár E, Marton S, Fehér E, Oldal M, Jakab F, et al. Detection of shared genes among Asian and European waterfowl reoviruses in the whole genome constellations. *Infect Genet Evol.* (2014) 28:55–7. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2014.08.029

16. Yun T, Yu B, Ni Z, Ye W, Chen L, Hua J, et al. Isolation and genomic characterization of a classical Muscovy duck reovirus isolated in Zhejiang, China. *Infect Genet Evol.* (2013) 20:444–53. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2013.10.004

17. Nowak T, Kwiecinski A, Kwiecinski P, Tomczyk G, Wodz K. *Anser anser* domestica detection and identification of avian Reovirus in young geese (*Anser anser domestica*) in Poland. *Animals* (*Basel*). (2022) 12:3346. doi: 10.3390/ani12233346

18. Zhang Y, Guo D, Liu M, Geng H, Hu Q, Liu Y, et al. Characterization of the sigmaBencoding genes of muscovy duck reovirus: sigmaC-sigmaB-ELISA for antibodies against duck reovirus in ducks. *Vet Microbiol.* (2007) 121:231–41. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2006.12.008

19. Li Y, Yin X, Chen X, Li X, Li J, Liu C, et al. Antigenic analysis monoclonal antibodies against different epitopes of σB protein of Muscovy duck reovirus. *Virus Res.* (2012) 163:546–51. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2011.12.006

20. Hu Q, Lin F, Chen S, Zhu X, Cheng X, Chen S, et al. Detection of Muscovy duck reovirus by RT-PCR. *Chin J Vet Sci.* (2004) 3:231–2.

21. Zhang Y, Liu M, Ouyang S, Hu Q, Guo D, Chen H, et al. Detection and identification of avian, duck, and goose reoviruses by RT-PCR: goose and duck reoviruses are part of the same genogroup in the genus *Orthoreovirus*. *Arch Virol*. (2006) 151:1525–38. doi: 10.1007/s00705-006-0731-1

22. Lin F, Hu Q, Chen S, Ouyang S, Chen S, Zhu X, et al. Diagnosis of Muscovy duck Reovirus disease by semi-nested RT-PCR. J South China Agric Univ. (2007) 2:107–9.

23. Dong J, Huang Y, Li L, Zhang J, Chen D, Zhang H, et al. Detection of novel goose Reovirus by Taqman real-time RT-PCR assay. *Chin J Anim Infect Dis.* (2022):1–9.

24. Zheng M, Chen X, Wang S, Wang J, Huang M, Xiao S, et al. A TaqMan-MGB realtime RT-PCR assay with an internal amplification control for rapid detection of Muscovy duck reovirus. *Mol Cell Probes*. (2020) 52:101575. doi: 10.1016/j.mcp.2020.101575 25. Wu Y, Cui L, Zhu E, Zhou W, Wang Q, Wu X, et al. Muscovy duck reovirus  $\sigma$ NS protein triggers autophagy enhancing virus replication. *Virol J.* (2017) 14:53. doi: 10.1186/s12985-017-0722-8

26. Fellahi S, Harrak ME, Kuhn J, Sebbar G, Bouaiti AE, Khataby K, et al. Comparison of SYBR green I real-time RT-PCR with conventional agarose gel-based RT-PCR for the diagnosis of infectious bronchitis virus infection in chickens in Morocco. *BMC Res Notes.* (2016) 9:231. doi: 10.1186/s13104-016-2037-z

27. Chen S, Wang S, Cheng X, Xiao S, Zhu X, Lin F, et al. Isolation and characterization of a distinct duck-origin goose parvovirus causing an outbreak of duckling short beak and dwarfism syndrome in China. *Arch Virol.* (2016) 161:2407–16. doi: 10.1007/s00705-016-2926-4

28. Li Y, Cui Y, Liu H, Wang J, Li Y, Wang Y. Establishment of duplex SYBR green I-based real-time PCR assay for simultaneous detection of duck hepatitis a Virus-1 and duck Astrovirus-3. *Avian Dis.* (2021) 65:281–6. doi: 10.1637/ aviandiseases-D-20-00115

29. Chen X, Zheng M, Huang M, Xiao S, Lin F, Chen S, et al. Muscovy duck reovirus infection disrupts the composition of intestinal microbiota in Muscovy ducklings. *Curr Microbiol.* (2020) 77:769–78. doi: 10.1007/s00284-019-01865-8

30. Chen X, Zheng M, Lin F, Cheng X, Chen S. Impacts of novel duck reovirus infection on the composition of intestinal microbiota of Muscovy ducklings. *Microb Pathog.* (2019) 137:103764. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2019.103764

31. Wang S, Chen S, Cheng X, Chen S, Lin F, Jiang B, et al. L2 segment-based phylogenetic relationships among duck reoviruses from China. *Acta Virol.* (2014) 58:296–8. doi: 10.4149/av\_2014\_03\_296

32. Wang Q, Liu M, Xu L, Wu Y, Huang Y. Transcriptome analysis reveals the molecular mechanism of hepatic fat metabolism disorder caused by Muscovy duck reovirus infection. *Avian Pathol.* (2018) 47:127–39. doi: 10.1080/03079457.2017.1380294

33. Niu X, Zhang B, Yu X, Zhang X, Dou Y, Tang Y, et al. Preparation and evaluation of goose reovirus inactivated vaccine. *BMC Vet Res.* (2017) 13:214. doi: 10.1186/s12917-017-1134-0

34. He D, Wang F, Zhao L, Jiang X, Zhang S, Wei F, et al. Epidemiological investigation of infectious diseases in geese on mainland China during 2018-2021. *Transbound Emerg Dis.* (2022) 69:3419–32. doi: 10.1111/tbed.14699

35. Varga-Kugler R, Marton S, Thuma Á, Szentpáli-Gavallér K, Bálint Á, Bányai K. Candidate 'Avian orthoreovirus B': an emerging waterfowl pathogen in Europe and Asia? *Transbound Emerg Dis.* (2022) 69:e3386–92. doi: 10.1111/tbed.14654

36. Kurkela S, Brown D. Molecular diagnostic techniques. *Medicine*. (2009) 37:535–40. doi: 10.1016/j.mpmed.2009.07.012

37. Erali M, Wittwer C. High resolution melting analysis for gene scanning. *Methods*. (2010) 50:250–61. doi: 10.1016/j.ymeth.2010.01.013