frontiers | Frontiers in Veterinary Science

TYPE Correction
PUBLISHED 13 May 2024
DOI 10.3389/fvets.2024.1423681

OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE
Guadalupe Miró
✉ gmiro@ucm.es

RECEIVED 26 April 2024
ACCEPTED 03 May 2024
PUBLISHED 13 May 2024

CITATION
Sarquis J, Parody N, Montoya A, Cacheiro-Llaguno C, Barrera JP, Checa R, Daza MA, Carnés J and Miró G (2024) Corrigendum: Clinical validation of circulating immune complexes for use as a diagnostic marker of canine leishmaniosis.
Front. Vet. Sci. 11:1423681.
doi: 10.3389/fvets.2024.1423681

Corrigendum: Clinical validation of circulating immune complexes for use as a diagnostic marker of canine leishmaniosis

Juliana Sarquis[1], Nuria Parody[2], Ana Montoya[1], Cristina Cacheiro-Llaguno[2], Juan Pedro Barrera[1], Rocío Checa[1], María Angeles Daza[3], Jerónimo Carnés[2] and Guadalupe Miró[1]*

[1]Department of Animal Health, Faculty of Veterinary, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain, [2]R&D Unit Allergy and Immunology, LETI Pharma S.L.U., Madrid, Spain, [3]Faculty of Veterinary, Small Animal Emergency and ICU Service, Veterinary Teaching Hospital, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Madrid, Spain

KEYWORDS

***Leishmania infantum*, immune complexes deposition, canine leishmaniosis, biomarker, PEG-ELISA**

A corrigendum on
Clinical validation of circulating immune complexes for use as a diagnostic marker of canine leishmaniosis

by Sarquis, J., Parody, N., Montoya, A., Cacheiro-Llaguno, C., Barrera, J. P., Checa, R., Daza, M. A., Carnés, J., and Miró, G. (2024). *Front. Vet. Sci.* 11:1368929. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2024.1368929

In the published article, there was an error. The symbol > is inverted.

A correction has been made to 3.3 CIC and laboratory findings, paragraph 7. This sentence previously stated:

"Dogs with borderline proteinuria (UPC = 0.2–0.5) had higher CIC levels than dogs without proteinuria (UPC > 0.5) ($p = 0.035$, r = 0.172)."

The corrected sentence appears below:

"Dogs with borderline proteinuria (UPC = 0.2–0.5) had higher CIC levels than dogs without proteinuria (UPC < 0.5) ($p = 0.035$, r = 0.172)"

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note