Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Thaís Cristine Marques Sincero, Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil

REVIEWED BY

Lei Deng, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, United States Yonghong Liu, Inner Mongolia Agricultural University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Wei Zhao hayidazhaowei@163.com Baolong Yan 1982ybllog@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 12 April 2024 ACCEPTED 25 October 2024 PUBLISHED 19 November 2024

CITATION

Xin X, Sun L, Liu W, Zhang J, Ma S, Fu X, Zhao W and Yan B (2024) Molecular prevalence and genotype identification of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in cattle and goats from Zhejiang Province, China. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 11:1415813. doi: [10.3389/fvets.2024.1415813](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2024.1415813)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Xin, Sun, Liu, Zhang, Ma, Fu, Zhao and Yan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Attribution License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Molecular prevalence and [genotype identification of](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fvets.2024.1415813/full) *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in cattle and goats from Zhejiang Province, China

Xianming Xin^{1†}, Lijie Sun^{2†}, Wei Liu^{2†}, Jiayin Zhang³, Shiyang Ma³, Xinyi Fu³, Wei Zhao¹* and Baolong Yan¹*

¹School of Basic Medical Sciences, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China, ²Department of Clinical Laboratory, The Fifth Affiliated Hospital, Sun Yat-sen University, Zhuhai, China, ³School of Public Health and Management, Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou, China

Introduction: *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* (*E. bieneusi*) is a widespread intracellular fungi that poses a significant zoonotic threat due to its infectivity toward both humans and animals.

Methods: To evaluate the zoonotic transmission potential of this fungi, a molecular investigation was undertaken on *E. bieneusi* in cattle and goats reared across multiple cities in Zhejiang Province, China. A total of 651 fresh samples were collected, consisting of 265 cattle and 386 goats. The presence of *E. bieneusi* was determined by PCR amplification and sequencing analysis of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of the small subunit ribosomal RNA (*SSU rRNA*) gene in all collected samples.

Results: The results revealed that 17.1% (111/859) of the animals were afflicted with *E. bieneusi*, cattle having a prevalence of 14.0% (37/265) and goats displaying a higher rate of 19.2% (74/386). Seventeen *E. bieneusi* genotypes were identified, including 10 known, CHG5 (*n* = 30), CHG3 (*n* = 21), I (*n* = 14), J (*n* = 12), CHG2 (*n* = 11), COS-II (*n* = 8), D (*n* = 4), CHG19 (*n* = 2), ETMK5 (*n* = 1), and Henan III (*n* = 1), and seven novel, ZJG-I to ZJG-VI and ZJN-I (one each) genotypes.

Discussion: These findings indicate widespread infection of *E. bieneusi* among the surveyed animals, thereby raising concerns about zoonotic genotypes that could pose potential threats to public health. Furthermore, the identification of novel genotypes of *E. bieneusi* offers valuable insights into the genetic diversity of this pathogen.

KEYWORDS

E. bieneusi, cattle, goat, genotype, zoonotic, China

Introduction

Microsporidia are eukaryotic fungi residing within the cells of their hosts. They encompass a diverse range of over 1,500 species, with at least 17 species confirmed to infect humans [\(1,](#page-8-0) [2\)](#page-8-1). Enterocytozoon bieneusi is the leading cause of microsporidiosis among humans, constituting over 90% of all microsporidiosis cases globally [\(3\)](#page-8-2). In immunocompetent individuals, infections typically resolve within weeks or months, but asymptomatic infections can persist. However, individuals with compromised immune systems are at risk of developing fatal microsporidiosis caused by E. bieneusi [\(4\)](#page-8-3). Additionally, E. bieneusi has also been found in diverse animals, including domestic and wild species, with evidence of cross-transmission between humans and animals [\(5\)](#page-8-4). The reported outbreaks of food-borne and waterborne diseases have underscored the gravity of the threat posed by E. bieneusi [\(6,](#page-8-5) [7\)](#page-8-6). Therefore, the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) has designated it as a Category B priority pathogen, while the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) of the United States has identified it as a potential waterborne contaminant [\(8\)](#page-8-7).

Enterocytozoon bieneusi's genotyping tools and phylogenetic analysis are vital for gaining insights into its host specificity and transmission routes [\(9\)](#page-8-8). The internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region of the small subunit ribosomal RNA (SSU rRNA) gene in E. bieneusi exhibits sequence variations, rendering it a dependable marker for molecular epidemiological research and a vital instrument for genetic analysis [\(10\)](#page-8-9). Over 860 unique genotypes of E. bieneusi have been documented across 210 species of animals in 50 countries. Of these, 126 genotypes are exclusively human-associated, 614 are exclusively animal-associated, and a remarkable 58 genotypes are shared between humans and animals, indicating potential zoonotic transmission [\(8\)](#page-8-7). All the genotypes were organized into 13 distinct groups, with the majority of humaninfecting or zoonotic genotypes belonging to the first and second groups, thus highlighting their significance as potential zoonotic clusters [\(11–](#page-8-10)[13\)](#page-8-11). The remaining 11 clusters primarily reside in specific hosts and wastewater [\(11\)](#page-8-10).

The genotypes within Group 1, such as D, EbpC, Type IV, and EbpA, as well as those within Group 2, specifically BEB6, I, and J, emerge as the most common zoonotic genotypes [\(8\)](#page-8-7). The presence of these genotypes among pigs, cattle, and goats is frequently observed [\(8\)](#page-8-7). For instance, 120 E. bieneusi genotypes have been identified in pigs, with EbpC and EbpA being the most common [\(14\)](#page-8-12). Similarly, 41 genotypes have been documented in goats, primarily BEB6, while 97 genotypes have been reported in cattle, with genotypes I and J being the most frequent [\(15,](#page-8-13) [16\)](#page-8-14). Therefore, pigs, cattle, and goats are crucial reservoirs of E. bieneusi, and infection with this microorganism is not just a veterinary concern; it also poses a significant public health risk. Regular monitoring of E. bieneusi in these animals is imperative to mitigate this risk.

China has firmly established its position as a leading authority in molecular epidemiological data related to E. bieneusi in cattle and goats [\(14–](#page-8-12)[16\)](#page-8-14). These studies, conducted across at least 21 provinces, have provided valuable insights into the transmission routes and infection sources of E. bieneusi [\(Tables 1,](#page-2-0) [2\)](#page-3-0). However, significant data gaps persist in certain regions of China. For instance, in Zhejiang Province, no data are available regarding the infection of some commonly farmed animals, such as cattle and goats, with E. bieneusi. Therefore, the present study aimed to conduct a molecular investigation of E. bieneusi in goats and cattle in Zhejiang Province, China. The objectives of this study were to determine the infection rates and genotype composition and, ultimately, to assess the risk of zoonotic transmission of E. bieneusi carried by these animals at the genotype level.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

The present study protocol involved rigorous scrutiny and secured approval from the Research Ethics Committee at Wenzhou Medical University, with reference number SCILLSC-2021-01.

Additionally, the collection of all fecal samples was carried out with explicit consent from the owners or managers of the animals, and no harm was caused to the animals during the sample collection process.

Collection of fecal specimens

Between September 2021 and May 2023, an extensive collection of 651 fresh fecal samples was conducted, encompassing 265 cattle and 386 goats residing on farms situated across Zhejiang Province, China. These farms were distributed across four and five cities for cattle and goats, respectively [\(Figure 1;](#page-4-0) [Table 3\)](#page-5-0). The farms were selected solely based on the owners' consent to participate and the accessibility of the animals for sampling purposes. The number of collected specimens accounted for 20–30% of total animals in each farm. Each fecal sample was promptly collected from the ground following defecation using sterile disposable latex gloves and placed into individually labeled sterile tubes. These tubes were promptly transported to our laboratory and kept in a cooler packed with ice for no more than 48 h, ensuring their freshness. The samples were subsequently stored at 4◦C until they were ready for processing. All animals were in excellent health throughout the sampling period.

DNA extraction

All fecal specimens underwent sieving through an 8.0 centimeter diameter sieve, featuring a pore size of 45 micrometers. Following this, the filtrates were concentrated via centrifugation at $1,500 \times g$ for 10 min. Genomic DNA was extracted directly from 200 milligrams of each processed fecal specimen, utilizing the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). This extraction adhered strictly to the manufacturer's recommended procedures, incorporating an elevated lysis temperature of 95◦C to ensure optimal DNA yield. The extracted DNA was then stored at −20◦C in a refrigerator, awaiting its subsequent utilization for PCR analysis.

PCR amplification

To determine the prevalence and genotypes of E. bieneusi in animals, nested PCR was performed to amplify a 389 bp fragment encompassing the ITS region using previously established primers and PCR amplification procedures reported by Buckholt et al. [\(17\)](#page-8-15). TaKaRa Ex Taq ^R Polymerase was utilized in this experiment (TaKaRa Bio Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Each PCR round incorporated a positive control, which consisted of DNA from the Peru11 genotype isolated from rodents, and a negative control, which was reagentgrade water. This approach ensured the reliability of the results. The secondary PCR products were visualized through electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose gel stained with GelRed from Biotium Inc. (Hayward, CA).

TABLE 1 Infection rate and genotype divisions of *E. bieneusi* in cattle from China.

Nucleotide sequencing and analysis

The PCR products positive for E. bieneusi were subjected to bidirectional sequencing (Sanger sequencing) by Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd., which is located in Shanghai, China. After sequencing, the sequences were meticulously edited and aligned using DNASTAR Lasergene v7.1.0 and Clustal X v2.1 [\(http://](http://www.clustal.org/) [www.clustal.org/\)](http://www.clustal.org/). Subsequently, the genotypes of E. bieneusi were determined by searching and aligning the sequences with reference sequences retrieved from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) [\(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) [gov/\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) using the BLAST algorithm. According to the established nomenclature system for E. bieneusi, only a 243 bp segment of the ITS sequence was retained for the identification of novel genotypes.

Phylogenetic analysis

To establish the genogroup designation and explore the genetic linkage among the ITS genotypes of E. bieneusi, the Neighbor-joining (NJ) approach was employed, utilizing the Kimura-2-parameter model within MEGA 7 software. To validate the reliability of these constructed trees, bootstrap analysis was conducted with 1,000 replicates.

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted utilizing SPSS version 22.0, developed by SPSS Inc. in Chicago, Illinois, USA. The chi-square

TABLE 2 Infection rate and genotype divisions of *E. bieneusi* in goats from China.

^aThe data from Ningxia encompasses both sheep (41.1%, 148/360) and goats (29.7%, 89/300). However, the precise genotype details of goats and sheep remain indistinguishable

test was employed to assess the prevalence of E. bieneusi among cattle and goats, as well as among various farm groups within each host species. Statistical significance was determined when the P -values were <0.05.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers

The nucleotide sequences that were obtained in this study have been submitted to the GenBank database, and they are accessible under the accession numbers ranging from PP623828 to PP623847.

Results

Infection rates of *E. bieneusi*

Among the 651 fecal samples analyzed, 111 specimens (17.1%) were positive for E. bieneusi according to PCR amplification targeting the ITS region of the SSU rRNA gene. The prevalence of E. bieneusi infection was higher among goats (19.2%, 74/386) compared to cattle (14.0%, 37/265), although the difference was not statistically significant (χ^2 = 3.01, P = 0.08).

Among the cattle, the highest infection rates were found in Ruian (21.1%, 15/71), followed by Yongjia (16.9%, 12/71), Yueqing

(9.0%, 6/67), and Lishui (7.1%, 4/56). Significant differences in infection rates were not observed among the four cattle farms studied ($\chi^2 = 7.1, P = 0.07$).

For goats, the highest infection rates were observed in Ruian (23.2%, 16/69) and Hangzhou (19.6%, 18/92), followed by Yongjia (19.0%, 12/63), Yueqing (18.9%, 14/74), and Lishui (15.9%, 14/88). Significant differences in infection rates were also not detected among the five goat farms examined ($\chi^2 = 1.3$, $P = 0.86$).

Genotypes of *E. bieneusi*

The E. bieneusi-positive samples were categorized into 17 genotypes, encompassing 10 known and seven novel genotypes. The cattle harbored seven genotypes: I, J, CHG2, CHG5, CHG3, CHG19, and ZJC-I. The goats harbored 13 genotypes: CHG5, CHG3, CHG2, COS-II, D, Henan III, ETMK5, and ZJG-I to ZJG-VI [\(Table 3\)](#page-5-0).

Among the cattle, 70.1% (26/37) of the positive samples were genotypes I and J. CHG2 and CHG3 had the next highest frequency, accounting for 8.1% (3/37) of the positive samples. The remaining genotypes exhibited low frequencies, with a mere handful of occurrences, including 5.4% (2/37) of CHG3 and CHG19 and 2.7% (1/37) of ZJC-I. Notably,

the prevalence of genotypes varied among different cattle farms. For instance, Yongjia Farm harbored five genotypes (I, J, CHG2, CHG3, CHG5, CHG19, and ZJC-I), while Rui'an, Yueqing, and Lishui Farms harbored only one subtype each [\(Table 3\)](#page-5-0).

In goats, the most prevalent genotype was CHG5, accounting for 36.5% (27/74) of the positive samples. This was followed by CHG3 (25.7%, 19/74), CHG2 (10.8%, 8/74) and COS-II (10.8%, 8/74), and D (5.4%, 4/74) in terms of frequency. The remaining genotypes, Henan III, ETMK5, ZJG-I, and ZJG-VI, were observed in a single sample (1.4%, 1/74), respectively. Variations in genotype distributions were observed among the five goat farms. Specifically, CHG5 was detected on four farms excluding Yueqing, CHG3 was identified on three farms (Hangzhou, Rui'an, and Yongjia), CHG2 and COS-II were present in Hangzhou and Yueqing, and D was found only in Hangzhou. Henan III and ETMK5 were found only in Ruian, ZJG-I, and ZJG-II were found only in Hangzhou, ZJG-III, and ZJG-IV were found only in Yongjia, and ZJG-V and ZJG-VI were found only in Yueqing [\(Table 3\)](#page-5-0).

Genetic diversity of *E. bieneusi*

Of the 111 identified sequences, seven were novel representatives. Among them, a cattle-derived sequence designated ZJC-I differed by only one base from genotype CHG5. Six goatderived sequences, designated ZJG-I to ZJG-VI, showed one-base differences from genotypes CM21 (ZJG-I), PigEbITS7 (ZJG-II), and D (ZJG-III) and had 98.8%, 98.8%, and 94.3% similarity with ETMK5 (ZJG-IV), HNM-V (ZJG-V), and LND-I (ZJG-VI), respectively. As shown in [Figure 2,](#page-6-0) the phylogenetic tree analysis categorized all the genotypes into either Group 1 or Group 2 [\(Figure 2\)](#page-6-0).

TABLE 3 The infection rate and genotypes distribution of *E. bieneusi* in cattle and goats at different locations in Zheijang Province, China.

Discussion

Our current study revealed that the overall prevalence of E. bieneusi among surveyed animals in Zhejiang Province, China, was 17.1%. Specifically, the prevalence of E. bieneusi in cattle was 14.0%, which is considered moderate in comparison to other studies conducted in China, being higher than that in eight provinces, including Anhui (4.2%), Guangdong (12.1%), Hainan (9.9%), Heilongjiang (2.2%), Jiangxi (5.4%), Jiangsu (13.0%), Shandong (2.9%) and Tibet (2.5%), and lower than that in another 12 provinces, including Gansu (22.6%), Hebei and Tianjin (19.4%), Henan and Ningxia (15.1%), Inner Mongolia (25.9%), Jilin (37.6%), Qinghai and Yunan (17.5%), Shanxi (22.4%), Shannxi (19.7%), Shanghai (26.5%), and Xinjiang (28.1%) [\(Table 1\)](#page-2-0). Combined with previous research findings, the difference in E. bieneusi prevalence in cattle among different provinces may result from differences in the grazing conditions. This study focused on the intensive feeding mode, which significantly reduced the direct contact with the external environment and reduced the risk of invasion of external pathogens. On the other hand, the highdensity feeding environment may also aggravate the cross-infection among individuals in the farm, thus becoming a potential factor for the difference in infection rate. To deeply explore the true root causes of the differences in infection rates in different regions, more survey data still needs to be extensively collected and thoroughly analyzed. When compared to other countries, the prevalence of E. bieneusi in cattle here was higher than most such as South Africa (7.4%), Egypt (6.1%), Thailand (5.0%), America (12.9%), Australia (10.4%), and Turkey (10.3%), but still lower than that in Korea (15.6%), Iran (18.7%) and Brazil (17.4%) [\(16\)](#page-8-14). Certainly, the variation in infection rates is significant, even within the confines of a single province. For instance, in Heilongjiang Province, the average infection rate was 2.2%, yet the highest recorded rate within the province was 29.0% [\(18\)](#page-8-17). Additionally, the majority of countries, excluding the United States, have only one or two studies available [\(16\)](#page-8-14). Therefore, when comparing infection rates across various provinces and countries, exercise caution is crucial.

In our study, the prevalence of E. bieneusi among goats was 19.2%, which was comparable to the reported prevalence in Jiangsu (20.0%; 25/125) but lower than those reported in Guizhou (26.7%), Hainan (25.7%), Henan (45.1%), Heilongjiang (21.8%), Ningxia (29.7%), and Shaanxi (32.7%). However, it was higher than the prevalence observed in Anhui (4.6%), Guangdong (17.7%), Inner Mongolia (8.2%), Yunnan (11.8%), and Tibet (9.6%) [\(Table 2\)](#page-3-0). Only Egypt (13.3%), Thailand (19.2%), Portugal (12.7%), Spain (14.3%), and Peru (2.0%), apart from China, have reported E. bieneusi infection in goats [\(15,](#page-8-13) [19\)](#page-8-18). However, there is still limited knowledge about E. bieneusi infections in goats in numerous countries worldwide. We recommend conducting further studies to elucidate the global prevalence of E. bieneusi in goats, as this would serve as a road map for implementing effective public health interventions.

The present study identified 13 genotypes in goats, including seven known genotypes and six novel genotypes. Among the known genotypes, D, Henan III, CHG 5, CHG 3, and CHG 2 have a potential zoonotic transmission capacity. Notably, genotype D, which has garnered significant attention in numerous reports, is present not merely among humans from 40 distinct nations, but also extends to 63 animal hosts across 25 countries [\(8\)](#page-8-7). In China, this genotype has been found in various animals across multiple regions, including captive red pandas, captive Eurasian wild boars, captive black bears, pet chipmunks, pet red-bellied squirrels, pet birds, sheltered dogs and cats from Sichuan Province [\(20](#page-8-0)[–24,](#page-8-19) [67\)](#page-9-29); brown rats, pigs, cats and dogs from Heilongjiang Province [\(25–](#page-8-20) [27\)](#page-8-21); Asiatic brush-tailed porcupines, bamboo rats, masked palm civets, rodents, cattle and pigs from Hainan Province [\(12,](#page-8-22) [28–](#page-8-23)[31\)](#page-8-16); as well as pigs in the study area [\(32\)](#page-8-24). Therefore, this genotype has significant potential for zoonotic transmission and is capable of cross-species transmission among multiple hosts. Additionally, it has also been detected in some water samples, indicating a broader ecological footprint [\(5,](#page-8-4) [8\)](#page-8-7). Meanwhile, there is compelling evidence

suggesting the possibility of zoonotic transmission of the genotype Henan-III, which has been detected in humans originating from China and has also been identified in a diverse array of animals, encompassing pigs, whooper swans, bamboo rats, masked palm civets, non-human primates, as well as snakes [\(29,](#page-8-25) [33\)](#page-8-26). The present study identified genotype D in four goats and Henan-III in one goat, indicating a need for vigilance regarding these genotypes' potential to infect multiple animal hosts and humans, potentially leading to cross-species transmission.

The CHG2, CHG3, and CHG5 genotypes are the most common genotypes in goats and have been found in almost all goat studies in China [\(Table 2\)](#page-3-0). More importantly, these genotypes were found in humans, goats and geese in Hainan, China, especially genotype CHG5, which was also detected in cattle and rodents in Hainan, China [\(12,](#page-8-22) [13,](#page-8-11) [31,](#page-8-16) [34,](#page-8-27) [35\)](#page-8-28). These findings suggest that the three genotypes have the ability to

cause regional zoonotic transmission. Moreover, in addition to goats, these three genotypes were identified in cattle in the present study, which further supports the conclusion that they can cause regional zoonotic transmission. Although humans were not investigated here, these findings could indicate that the three genotypes can spread to each other between cattle and goats in this survey area.

The COS-II genotype was originally identified in sheep from Heilongjiang, China [\(59\)](#page-9-22). Currently, this genotype is widely reported in sheep and goats from China, but no human or other animal infections have been reported. Therefore, this genotype may be adapted to the specific genotype of sheep and goats. In contrast, the ETMK5 genotype was originally found in Macaca mulatta in Vietnam (KJ000018), and also been found in Asiatic brushtailed porcupine in China [\(29\)](#page-8-25), while we identified this genotype for the first time in goats here. This suggests that it may have a

broad host range. However, due to the lack of human case reports, further studies are needed to explore whether this fungus has zoonotic potential.

In addition to the above mentioned human-animal shared genotypes CHG2, CHG3, and CHG5 in cattle, two other genotypes, I and J, have also been identified and exhibit a dominant presence. These genotypes I and J, which were previously thought to be exclusive to cattle, have now been documented in a diverse range of species including bats, birds, canids, felids, primates, pangolins, pigs, rabbits, ursids, rodents, and even humans [\(8,](#page-8-7) [16\)](#page-8-14). However, the fact that these two genotypes were identified in only a limited number of humans in a single Chinese study underscores the need for further study to definitively establish their infectivity potential in humans [\(36\)](#page-9-10).

In the present study, the CHG19 genotype was identified in cattle. This genotype was initially discovered in Chinese goats and has subsequently been identified in goats from multiple regions [\(Table 2\)](#page-3-0). The presence of CHG19 genotype has also been extensively reported in pigs in China, and it has been identified in masked palm civets from Hainan, grazing horses from Xinjiang, as well as on the surfaces of vegetables and fruits from Henan [\(28,](#page-8-23) [30,](#page-8-29) [37,](#page-9-30) [38\)](#page-9-31). The identification of the CHG19 genotype in cattle for the first time in this study suggested that it has the potential to infect a wide range of animals. Although CHG19 genotype has not been detected in humans, it should continue to be monitored.

In the present study, seven novel genotypes were discovered. The genotypes ZJG-II to ZJG-V and ZJC-I were grouped under Group 1, whereas the genotypes ZJG-I and ZJG-VI were classified under Group 2. Thus, those genotypes possess the potential for zoonotic transmission, though further epidemiological surveys are required to establish potential animal reservoirs and seek conclusive evidence of zoonotic transmission for these genotypes. The identification of these seven novel genotypes underscores the need for ongoing surveillance and research to gain a deeper understanding of their characteristics and potential risks. This knowledge will play a pivotal role in guiding public health responses and mitigating any potential zoonotic transmission linked to these genotypes.

Conclusions

This study provides initial evidence of E. bieneusi infection in cattle and goats in Zhejiang Province, China. The survey revealed a significant infection rate of 17.1% among the animals, with cattle infected at 14.0% and goats at 19.2%. Furthermore, the study identified a total of 17 genotypes, including 10 known and seven novel genotypes. These findings raise concerns about the potential for zoonotic transmission of these genotypes, which could pose a risk to public health. The discovery of novel genotypes adds valuable genetic diversity information to the existing knowledge base.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found in the article/supplementary material.

Ethics statement

The present study protocol involved rigorous scrutiny and secured approval from the Research Ethics Committee at Wenzhou Medical University, with reference number SCILLSC-2021-01. Additionally, the collection of all fecal samples was carried out with explicit consent from the owners or managers of the animals, and no harm was caused to the animals during the sample collection process. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

XX: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Formal analysis, Investigation. LS: Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Software. WL: Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. JZ: Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. SM: Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. XF: Investigation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. WZ: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation. BY: Conceptualization, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Department of Education Scientific Research Project of Zhejiang (Y202249687), the Basic Scientific Research Project of Wenzhou (Y2023070), and Wenzhou Medical University Undergraduate Student Research Project Funding Project (yzy2023101154 and 202310343053X). The funding sponsors had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Heartfelt thanks to all the farm owners, administrators, and essential personnel who have generously contributed to the vital task of sample collection.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Han B, Weiss LM. Microsporidia: obligate intracellular
pathogens within the fungal kingdom. Microbiol Spectr. (2017) pathogens within the fungal kingdom. Microbiol Spectr. (2017) 5:10. doi: [10.1128/microbiolspec.FUNK-0018-2016](https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.FUNK-0018-2016)

2. Bojko J, Reinke AW, Stentiford GD, Williams B, Rogers MSJ, Bass D, et al. Microsporidia: a new taxonomic, evolutionary, and ecological synthesis. Trends Parasitol. (2022) 38:642–59. doi: [10.1016/j.pt.2022.05.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2022.05.007)

3. Zhang Y, Koehler AV, Wang T, Gasser RB. Enterocytozoon bieneusi of animals-with an 'Australian twist'. Adv Parasitol. (2021) 111:1–73. doi: [10.1016/bs.apar.2020.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apar.2020.10.001)

4. Anane S, Attouchi H. Microsporidiosis: epidemiology, clinical data and therapy. Gastroenterol Clin Biol. (2010) 34:450–64. doi: [10.1016/j.gcb.2010.07.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gcb.2010.07.003)

5. Ruan Y, Xu X, He Q, Li L, Guo J, Bao J, et al. The largest meta-analysis on the global prevalence of microsporidia in mammals, avian and water provides insights into the epidemic features of these ubiquitous pathogens. Parasit Vect. (2021) 14:186. doi: [10.1186/s13071-021-04700-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-021-04700-x)

6. Bourli P, Eslahi AV, Tzoraki O, Karanis P. Waterborne transmission of protozoan parasites: a review of worldwide outbreaks - an update 2017-2022. J Water Health. (2023) 21:1421–47. doi: [10.2166/wh.2023.094](https://doi.org/10.2166/wh.2023.094)

7. Michlmayr D, de Alves Sousa L, Müller L, Jokelainen P, Ethelberg S, Vestergaard LS, et al. Incubation period, spore shedding duration, and symptoms of Enterocytozoon bieneusi genotype C infection in a foodborne outbreak in Denmark, 2020. Clin Infect Dis. (2022) 75:468–75. doi: [10.1093/cid/ciab949](https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab949)

8. Koehler AV, Zhang Y, Gasser RBA. Perspective on the molecular identification, classification, and epidemiology of Enterocytozoon bieneusi of animals. Exp Suppl. (2022) 114:389–415. doi: [10.1007/978-3-030-93306-7_14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-93306-7_14)

9. Li W, Feng Y, Xiao L. Diagnosis and molecular typing of Enterocytozoon bieneusi: the significant role of domestic animals in transmission of human microsporidiosis. Res Vet Sci. (2020) 133:251–61. doi: [10.1016/j.rvsc.2020.09.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rvsc.2020.09.030)

10. Santín M, Fayer R. Enterocytozoon bieneusi genotype nomenclature based on the internal transcribed spacer sequence: a consensus. J Eukaryot Microbiol. (2009) 56:34–8. doi: [10.1111/j.1550-7408.2008.00380.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1550-7408.2008.00380.x)

11. Li W, Feng Y, Santin M. Host specificity of Enterocytozoon bieneusi and public health implications. Trends Parasitol. (2019) 35:436–51. doi: [10.1016/j.pt.2019.04.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2019.04.004)

12. Zhao W, Zhou H, Yang L, Ma T, Zhou J, Liu H, et al. Prevalence, genetic diversity and implications for public health of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in various rodents from Hainan Province, China. Parasit Vect. (2020) 13:438. doi: [10.1186/s13071-020-04314-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-020-04314-9)

13. Zhang T, Ren G, Zhou H, Qiang Y, Li J, Zhang Y, et al. Molecular prevalence and genetic diversity analysis of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in humans in Hainan Province, China: high diversity and unique endemic genetic characteristics. Front Public Health. (2022) 10:1007130. doi: [10.3389/fpubh.2022.1007130](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1007130)

14. Li XM, Wang XY, Wei YJ, Jiang J, Cai Y, Zhang XX, et al. Meta-analysis of the global prevalence and risk factors of Enterocytozoon bieneusi infection in pigs from 1999 to 2021. Prev Vet Med. (2024) 225:106159. doi: [10.1016/j.prevetmed.2024.106159](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2024.106159)

15. Taghipour A, Bahadory S, Javanmard E. The global molecular epidemiology of microsporidia infection in sheep and goats with focus on Enterocytozoon bieneusi: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Trop Med Health. (2021) 49:66. doi: [10.1186/s41182-021-00355-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s41182-021-00355-7)

16. Taghipour A, Bahadory S, Abdoli A. A systematic review and metaanalysis on the global prevalence of cattle microsporidiosis with focus on Enterocytozoon bieneusi: an emerging zoonotic pathogen. Prev Vet Med. (2022) 200:105581. doi: [10.1016/j.prevetmed.2022.105581](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prevetmed.2022.105581)

17. Buckholt MA, Lee JH, Tzipori S. Prevalence of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in swine: an 18-month survey at a slaughterhouse in Massachusetts. Appl Environ Microbiol. (2002) 68:2595–9. doi: [10.1128/AEM.68.5.2595-2599.2002](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.5.2595-2599.2002)

18. Tao WF, Ni HB, Du HF, Jiang J, Li J, Qiu HY, et al. Molecular detection of Cryptosporidium and Enterocytozoon bieneusi in dairy calves and sika deer in four provinces in Northern China. Parasitol Res. (2020) 119:105-14. doi: [10.1007/s00436-019-06498-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-019-06498-1)

19. Gomes-Gonçalves S, Palmeira JD, Ferreira H, Santos-Silva S, Mesquita JR. Occurrence and Phylogenetic Analysis of zoonotic enteropathogenic protist arasites in asymptomatic domestic ruminants from Portugal. Pathogens. (2023) parasites in asymptomatic accuracy
12:1341. doi: [10.3390/pathogens12111341](https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens12111341)

20. Zhong Y, Zhou Z, Deng L, Liu H, Zhong Z, Ma X, et al. Prevalence and new genotypes of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in sheltered dogs and cats in Sichuan province, southwestern China. Parasite. (2021) 28:31. doi: [10.1051/parasite/2021029](https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2021029)

21. Deng L, Li W, Zhong Z, Chai Y, Yang L, Zheng H, et al. Molecular characterization and new genotypes of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in pet chipmunks (Eutamias asiaticus) in Sichuan province, China. BMC Microbiol. (2018) 18:37. doi: [10.1186/s12866-018-1175-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-018-1175-y)

22. Deng L, Yue CJ, Chai YJ, Wang WY, Su XY, Zhou ZY, et al. New genotypes and molecular characterization of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in pet birds in Southwestern China. Int J Parasitol Parasites Wildl. (2019) 10:164– 9. doi: [10.1016/j.ijppaw.2019.08.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2019.08.001)

23. Deng L, Chai Y, Luo R, Yang L, Yao J, Zhong Z, et al. Occurrence and genetic characteristics of Cryptosporidium spp. and Enterocytozoon bieneusi in pet red squirrels (Sciurus vulgaris) in China. Sci Rep. (2020) 10:1026. doi: [10.1038/s41598-020-57896-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-57896-w)

24. Li W, Deng L, Wu K, Huang X, Song Y, Su H, et al. Presence of zoonotic Cryptosporidium scrofarum, Giardia duodenalis assemblage A and Enterocytozoon bieneusi genotypes in captive Eurasian wild boars (Sus scrofa) in China: potential for zoonotic transmission. Parasit Vect. (2017) 10:10. doi: [10.1186/s13071-016-1942-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1942-2)

25. Zhao W, Zhang W, Yang F, Cao J, Liu H, Yang D, et al. High prevalence of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in asymptomatic pigs and assessment of zoonotic risk at the genotype level. Appl Environ Microbiol. (2014) 80:3699– 707. doi: [10.1128/AEM.00807-14](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00807-14)

26. Jiang Y, Zhou S, Yuan Z, Hu X, Li Z, Wang Y, et al. Brown rats (Rattus norvegicus) as potential reservoirs of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in Heilongjiang Province, China: high prevalence, genetic heterogeneity, and potential risk for zoonotic transmission. Front Vet Sci. (2024) 11:1426384. doi: [10.3389/fvets.2024.1426384](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2024.1426384)

27. Li W, Li Y, Song M, Lu Y, Yang J, Tao W, et al. Prevalence and genetic characteristics of Cryptosporidium, Enterocytozoon bieneusi and Giardia duodenalis in cats and dogs in Heilongjiang province, China. Vet Parasitol. (2015) 208:125– 34. doi: [10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.01.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.01.014)

28. Zhao W, Ren GX, Qiang Y, Li J, Pu J, Zhang Y, et al. Molecular-based detection of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in farmed masked palm civets (Paguma larvata) in Hainan, China: a high-prevalence, specificity, and zoonotic potential of ITS genotypes. Front Vet Sci. (2021) 8:714249. doi: [10.3389/fvets.2021.714249](https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.714249)

29. Zhao W, Wang T, Ren G, Li J, Tan F, Li W, et al. Molecular detection of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in farmed Asiatic brush-tailed porcupines (Atherurus macrourus) and bamboo rats (Rhizomys pruinosus) from Hainan Province, China: common occurrence, wide genetic variation and high zoonotic potential. Acta Trop. (2023) 242:106915. doi: [10.1016/j.actatropica.2023.106915](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2023.106915)

30. Zhou HH, Zheng XL, Ma TM, Qi M, Zhou JG, Liu HJ, et al. Molecular detection of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in farm-raised pigs in Hainan Province, China: infection rates, genotype distributions, and zoonotic potential. Parasite. (2020) 27:12. doi: [10.1051/parasite/2020009](https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2020009)

31. Zheng XL, Zhou HH, Ren G, Ma TM, Cao ZX, Wei LM, et al. Genotyping and zoonotic potential of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in cattle farmed in Hainan Province, the southernmost region of China. Parasite. (2020) 27:65. doi: [10.1051/parasite/2020065](https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2020065)

32. Zou Y, Hou JL, Li FC, Zou FC, Lin RQ, Ma JG, et al. Prevalence and genotypes of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in pigs in southern China. Infect Genet Evol. (2018) 66:52– 6. doi: [10.1016/j.meegid.2018.09.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2018.09.006)

33. Wang L, Zhang H, Zhao X, Zhang L, Zhang G, Guo M, et al. Zoonotic Cryptosporidium species and Enterocytozoon bieneusi genotypes in HIV-positive patients on antiretroviral therapy. J Clin Microbiol. (2013) 51:557–63. doi: [10.1128/JCM.02758-12](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02758-12)

34. Zhao W, Zhou HH, Ma TM, Cao J, Lu G, Shen YJ, et al. PCR-based detection of Cryptosporidium spp. and Enterocytozoon bieneusi in farm-raised and free-ranging geese (Anser anser f domestica) from Hainan Province of China: natural infection rate and the species or genotype distribution. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. (2019) 9:416. doi: [10.3389/fcimb.2019.00416](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2019.00416)

35. Zhou HH, Zheng XL, Ma TM, Qi M, Cao ZX, Chao Z, et al. Genotype identification and phylogenetic analysis of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in farmed
black goats (Capra hircus) from China's Hainan Province. Parasite. (2019) 26:62. doi: [10.1051/parasite/2019064](https://doi.org/10.1051/parasite/2019064)

36. Zhang X, Wang Z, Su Y, Liang X, Sun X, Peng S, et al. Identification and genotyping of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in China. J Clin Microbiol. (2011) 49:2006– 8. doi: [10.1128/JCM.00372-11](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00372-11)

37. Li J, Shi K, Sun F, Li T, Wang R, Zhang S, et al. Identification of human pathogenic Enterocytozoon bieneusi, Cyclospora cayetanensis, and Cryptosporidium parvum on the surfaces of vegetables and fruits in Henan, China. Int J Food Microbiol. (2019) 307:108292. doi: [10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.108292](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2019.108292)

38. Qi M, Wang R, Wang H, Jian F, Li J, Zhao J, et al. Enterocytozoon bieneusi genotypes in grazing horses in China and their zoonotic transmission potential. J Eukaryot Microbiol. (2016) 63:591–7. doi: [10.1111/jeu.12308](https://doi.org/10.1111/jeu.12308)

39. Feng Y, Gong X, Zhu K, Li N, Yu Z, Guo Y, et al. Prevalence and genotypic
identification of *Cryptosporidium* spp. *Giardia duodenalis* and *Enterocytozoon*
bieneusi in pre-weaned dairy calves in Guangdong, China. *P* 12:41. doi: [10.1186/s13071-019-3310-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-019-3310-5)

40. Zhao W, Zhang W, Yang F, Zhang L, Wang R, Cao J, et al. Enterocytozoon bieneusi in dairy cattle in the Northeast of China: genetic diversity of ITS gene and evaluation of zoonotic transmission potential. J Eukaryot Microbiol. (2015) 62:553-60. doi: [10.1111/jeu.12210](https://doi.org/10.1111/jeu.12210)

41. Jiang Y, Tao W, Wan Q, Li Q, Yang Y, Lin Y, et al. Zoonotic and potentially host-adapted Enterocytozoon bieneusi genotypes in sheep and cattle in Northeast China and an increasing concern about the zoonotic importance of previously considered ruminant-adapted genotypes. Appl Environ Microbiol. (2015) 81:3326– 35. doi: [10.1128/AEM.00328-15](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00328-15)

42. Xue NY, Liu F, Tao WF, Zhao Q, Qiu HY, Hu Y, et al. Molecular detection of Cryptosporidium spp. and Enterocytozoon bieneusi in Longjiang Wagyu cattle in Northeastern China. Microb Pathog. (2020) 149:104526. doi: [10.1016/j.micpath.2020.104526](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2020.104526)

43. Duan J, Qin H, Sun M, Fu Y, Lang J, Zhang A, et al. Occurrence and genotypic identification of Blastocystis sp. Enterocytozoon bieneusi, and Giardia duodenalis in dairy cattle in Heilongjiang Province, China. Parasitol Int. (2024) 100:102871. doi: [10.1016/j.parint.2024.102871](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2024.102871)

44. Hu S, Liu Z, Yan F, Zhang Z, Zhang G, Zhang L, et al. Zoonotic and hostadapted genotypes of Cryptosporidium spp. Giardia duodenalis and Enterocytozoon bieneusi in dairy cattle in Hebei and Tianjin, China .Vet Parasitol. (2017) 248:68-73. doi: [10.1016/j.vetpar.2017.10.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2017.10.024)

45. Ma J, Li P, Zhao X, Xu H, Wu W, Wang Y, et al. Occurrence and molecular characterization of Cryptosporidium spp. and Enterocytozoon bieneusi in dairy cattle, beef cattle and water buffaloes in China. Vet Parasitol. (2015) 207:220– 7. doi: [10.1016/j.vetpar.2014.10.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetpar.2014.10.011)

46. Li J, Luo N, Wang C, Qi M, Cao J, Cui Z, et al. Occurrence, molecular characterization and predominant genotypes of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in dairy cattle in Henan and Ningxia, China. Parasit Vect. (2016) 9:142. doi: [10.1186/s13071-016-1425-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1425-5)

47. Wang MY, Zhang S, Zhang ZS, Qian XY, Chai HL, Wang Y, et al. Prevalence and molecular characterization of Cryptosporidium spp., Enterocytozoon bieneusi, and Giardia duodenalis in dairy cattle in Ningxia, northwestern China. Vet Res Commun. (2024) 48:2629–43. doi: [10.1007/s11259-024-10364-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11259-024-10364-6)

48. Dong H, Zhao Z, Zhao J, Fu Y, Lang J, Zhang J, et al. Molecular characterization and zoonotic potential of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in ruminants in northwest China. Acta Trop. (2022) 234:106622. doi: [10.1016/j.actatropica.2022.106622](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2022.106622)

49. Li S, Wang P, Zhu XQ, Zou Y, Chen XQ. Prevalence and genotypes/subtypes of Enterocytozoon bieneusi and Blastocystis sp. in different breeds of cattle in Jiangxi Province, southeastern China. Infect Genet Evol. (2022) 98:105216. doi: [10.1016/j.meegid.2022.105216](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2022.105216)

50. Zhang Q, Zhang Z, Ai S, Wang X, Zhang R, Duan Z, et al. Cryptosporidium spp. Enterocytozoon bieneusi, and Giardia duodenalis from animal sources in the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau Area (QTPA) in China. Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis. (2019) 67:101346. doi: [10.1016/j.cimid.2019.101346](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cimid.2019.101346)

51. Song HY, Wang KS, Yang JF, Mao HM, Pu LH, Zou Y, et al. Prevalence and novel genotypes identification of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in dairy cattle in Yunnan Province, China. Animals. (2021) 11:3014. doi: [10.3390/ani11113014](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11113014)

52. Wang XT, Wang RJ, Ren GJ, Yu ZQ, Zhang LX, Zhang SY, et al. Multilocus genotyping of Giardia duodenalis and Enterocytozoon bieneusi in dairy and native beef (Qinchuan) calves in Shaanxi province, northwestern China. Parasitol Res. (2016) 115:1355–61. doi: [10.1007/s00436-016-4908-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-016-4908-6)

53. Tang C, Cai M, Wang L, Guo Y, Li N, Feng Y, et al. Genetic diversity within dominant Enterocytozoon bieneusi genotypes in pre-weaned calves. Parasit Vect. (2018) 11:170. doi: [10.1186/s13071-018-2768-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-2768-x)

54. Wu Y, Chen Y, Chang Y, Zhang X, Li D, Wang L, et al. Genotyping and identification of Cryptosporidium spp. Giardia duodenalis and Enterocytozoon bieneusi from free-range Tibetan yellow cattle and cattle-yak in Tibet, China. Acta Trop. (2020) 212:105671. doi: [10.1016/j.actatropica.2020.105671](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2020.105671)

55. Shi K, Li M, Wang X, Li J, Karim MR, Wang R, et al. Molecular survey of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in sheep and goats in China. Parasit Vect. (2016) 9:23. doi: [10.1186/s13071-016-1304-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1304-0)

56. Wang P, Zheng L, Liu L, Yu F, Jian Y, Wang R, et al. Genotyping of Cryptosporidium spp. Giardia duodenalis and Enterocytozoon bieneusi from sheep and goats in China. BMC Vet Res. (2022) 18:361. doi: [10.1186/s12917-022-03447-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-022-03447-6)

57. Yu X, Wang H, Li Y, Mu X, Yuan K, Wu A, et al. Occurrence and genotypic identification of Blastocystis spp, Enterocytozoon bieneusi, and Giardia duodenalis in Leizhou Black Goats in Zhanjiang City, Guangdong Province, China. Animals. (2023) 13:2777. doi: [10.3390/ani13172777](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13172777)

58. Peng XQ, Tian GR, Ren GJ, Yu ZQ, Lok JB, Zhang LX, et al. Infection rate of Giardia duodenalis, Cryptosporidium spp. and Enterocytozoon bieneusi in cashmere, dairy and meat goats in China. Infect Genet Evol. (2016) 41:26– 31. doi: [10.1016/j.meegid.2016.03.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2016.03.021)

59. Zhao W, Zhang W, Yang D, Zhang L, Wang R, Liu A, et al. Prevalence of Enterocytozoon bieneusi and genetic diversity of ITS genotypes in sheep and goats in China. Infect Genet Evol. (2015) 32:265–70. doi: [10.1016/j.meegid.2015.03.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2015.03.026)

60. Zhang Y, Mi R, Yang J, Wang J, Gong H, Huang Y, et al. Enterocytozoon bieneusi genotypes in farmed goats and sheep in Ningxia, China. Infect Genet Evol. (2020) 85:104559. doi: [10.1016/j.meegid.2020.104559](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meegid.2020.104559)

61. Chang Y, Wang Y, Wu Y, Niu Z, Li J, Zhang S, et al. Molecular characterization of Giardia duodenalis and Enterocytozoon bieneusi isolated from Tibetan Sheep and Tibetan Goats under natural grazing conditions in Tibet. J Eukaryot Microbiol. (2020) 67:100–6. doi: [10.1111/jeu.12758](https://doi.org/10.1111/jeu.12758)

62. Xie SC, Zou Y, Li Z, Yang JF, Zhu XQ, Zou FC, et al. molecular detection and genotyping of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in Black Goats (Capra hircus) in Yunnan Province, Southwestern China. Animals. (2021) 11:3387. doi: [10.3390/ani11123387](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11123387)

63. Liu X, Tang L, Li W, Li C, Gu Y. Prevalence and molecular characterization of Cryptosporidium spp. and Enterocytozoon bieneusi from large-scale cattle farms in Anhui Province, China. J Vet Med Sci. (2022) 84:40–7. doi: [10.1292/jvms.21-0425](https://doi.org/10.1292/jvms.21-0425)

64. Liu YY, Qin RL, Mei JJ, Zou Y, Zhang ZH, Zheng WB, et al. Molecular detection and genotyping of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in beef cattle in Shanxi Province, North China. Animals. (2022) 12:2961. doi: [10.3390/ani12212961](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani12212961)

65. Wang HY, Qi M, Sun MF, Li DF, Wang RJ, Zhang SM, et al. Prevalence and population genetics analysis of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in dairy cattle in China. Front Microbiol. (2019) 10:1399. doi: [10.3389/fmicb.2019.01399](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01399)

66. Wang R, Li N, Jiang W, Guo Y, Wang X, Jin Y, et al. Infection patterns, clinical significance, and genetic characteristics of Enterocytozoon bieneusi and Giardia duodenalis in dairy cattle in Jiangsu, China. Parasitol Res. (2019) 118:3053– 60. doi: [10.1007/s00436-019-06426-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00436-019-06426-3)

67. Yang J, Zeng Y, Li C, Liu S, Meng W, Zhang W, et al. Occurrence and molecular characteristics of microsporidia in captive red pandas (Ailurus fulgens) in China. Animals. (2023) 13:1864. doi: [10.3390/ani13111864](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13111864)

68. Yang X, Wang J, Huang S, Song J, Fan Y, Zhao G, et al. Molecular characterization of Cryptosporidium spp, Giardia duodenalis, Enterocytozoon bieneusi and Escherichia coli in Dairy Goat Kids with Diarrhea in Partial Regions of Shaanxi Province, China Animals. (2023) 13:2922. doi: [10.3390/ani13182922](https://doi.org/10.3390/ani13182922)

69. Li WC, Wang K, Gu YF. Detection and genotyping study of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in sheep and goats in East-central China. Acta Parasitol. (2019) 64:44– 50. doi: [10.2478/s11686-018-00006-8](https://doi.org/10.2478/s11686-018-00006-8)

70. Qi M, Jing B, Jian F, Wang R, Zhang S, Wang H, et al. Dominance of Enterocytozoon bieneusi genotype J in dairy calves in Xinjiang, Northwest China. Parasitol Int. (2017) 66:960–3. doi: [10.1016/j.parint.2016.10.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parint.2016.10.019)