



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Ali Mobasheri,
University of Oulu, Finland

*CORRESPONDENCE
Kathrine Højte Dahl
✉ kathrinehd@sund.ku.dk

RECEIVED 04 January 2024
ACCEPTED 17 January 2024
PUBLISHED 06 February 2024

CITATION
Dahl KH, Zebis MK, Vitger AD, Miles JE and Alkjær T (2024) Corrigendum: Non-invasive methods to assess muscle function in dogs: a scoping review. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 11:1365518. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2024.1365518

COPYRIGHT
© 2024 Dahl, Zebis, Vitger, Miles and Alkjær. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Non-invasive methods to assess muscle function in dogs: a scoping review

Kathrine Højte Dahl^{1*}, Mette Kreutzfeldt Zebis^{2,3},
Anne Désiré Vitger¹, James Edward Miles¹ and Tine Alkjær^{4,5}

¹Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, ²Department of Midwifery, Physiotherapy, Occupational Therapy and Psychomotor Therapy, University College Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, ³Institute of Sports Medicine Copenhagen, Copenhagen University Hospital–Bispebjerg and Frederiksberg, Copenhagen, Denmark, ⁴Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, ⁵The Parker Institute, Bispebjerg–Frederiksberg Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

KEYWORDS

dogs, muscle function, methods, non-invasive, cranial cruciate ligament rupture

A corrigendum on

Non-invasive methods to assess muscle function in dogs: a scoping review

by Dahl, K. H., Zebis, M. K., Vitger, A. D., Miles, J. E., and Alkjær, T. (2023). *Front. Vet. Sci.* 10:1116854. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2023.1116854

In the published article, there was an error in [Figure 4](#) as published. “Electrical impedance myography” was repeated by mistake the square furthest to the right in the second row from the top. This box should have instead read “Subjective evaluation”. The corrected [Figure 4](#) and its caption appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.




FIGURE 4

The grading of the identified muscle function assessment methods made by experts in biomechanics (x-axis) and clinical experts (y-axis) in relation to dogs with cranial cruciate ligament disease. The most optimal techniques are placed closer to the upper right corner, and the least optimal closest to the lower left corner.