Check for updates

**OPEN ACCESS** 

EDITED BY Damiano Cavallini, University of Bologna, Italy

### REVIEWED BY

Giovanni Buonaiuto, University of Bologna, Italy Ilias Giannenas, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

\*CORRESPONDENCE Gabriela Maria Cornescu ⊠ gabriela\_cornescu@yahoo.com

RECEIVED 07 April 2023 ACCEPTED 23 May 2023 PUBLISHED 15 June 2023

#### CITATION

Cornescu GM, Panaite TD, Untea AE, Varzaru I, Saracila M, Dumitru M, Vlaicu PA and Gavris T (2023) Mitigation of heat stress effects on laying hens' performances, egg quality, and some blood parameters by adding dietary zinc-enriched yeasts, parsley, and their combination. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 10:1202058. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2023.1202058

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Cornescu, Panaite, Untea, Varzaru, Saracila, Dumitru, Vlaicu and Gavris. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Mitigation of heat stress effects on laying hens' performances, egg quality, and some blood parameters by adding dietary zinc-enriched yeasts, parsley, and their combination

Gabriela Maria Cornescu<sup>1\*</sup>, Tatiana Dumitra Panaite<sup>1</sup>, Arabela Elena Untea<sup>1</sup>, Iulia Varzaru<sup>1</sup>, Mihaela Saracila<sup>1</sup>, Mihaela Dumitru<sup>1</sup>, Petru Alexandru Vlaicu<sup>2</sup> and Teodor Gavris<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Animal Nutrition Physiology Department, National Research and Development Institute for Biology and Animal Nutrition, Balotesti, Romania, <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Animal Production Engineering and Management, University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania

**Objective:** Finding natural, handy and efficient nutritional solutions to prevent and mitigate negative effects caused by environmental heat stress and to be applied to large-scale laying hen industry.

**Research design:** A 3-weeks trial was conducted on 128 laying hens TETRA-SL LL (50 weeks of age) housed in 8 cages/group, 4 laying hens/cage, 32 laying hens/group, under heat stress conditions ( $34\pm1^{\circ}$ C). The basal diet on corn and soybean meal was formulated to be isocaloric and isonitrogenic. Compared to Control group diet (C), experimental groups E1 included 1% zinc-enriched yeast; E2 included 2% parsley and E3 included 1% zinc-enriched yeast combined with 2% parsley to minimize the heat stress effects.

**Methods:** The parsley and the zinc-enriched yeast were analysed for their chemical composition, total polyphenols, antioxidant capacity, minerals, vitamin E and incorporated into the ration structure. Production parameters, egg quality, biochemical and haematological profiles of blood samples were analysed during the trial.

**Results:** A statistically significant (p < 0.05) average egg weight was noticed on E2 and E3 compared to Control group, and also during the 1st week compared to the 2nd and the 3rd experimental weeks. Average daily feed intake values were highly significant (p < 0.001) on E3 group compared to C, E1, E2, and on the 2nd week compared to the 3rd experimental week (p < 0.021). Feed conversion rate was highly significant (p < 0.001) during the 2nd and the 3rd experimental weeks compared to the 1st week. The average daily egg production was highly significant (p < 0.001) within 1st week compared to the 2nd and 3rd weeks. A highly significant (p < 0.001) within 1st week compared to the 2and E3 groups. The malondialdehyde (MDA) concentration decreased significantly (p < 0.05) to all experimental groups compared to Control group during the 14th and 28th days of storage.

**Conclusion:** These findings suggest that the two ingredients minimized the heat stress effects on production performance parameters with a demonstrated antioxidant capacity role by delaying the lipid peroxidation during different storage time periods.

KEYWORDS

poultry, animal products, heat, parsley, yeast, minerals, eggs

### 1. Introduction

High temperature is one of the major environmental stressors in poultry production causing an increased vulnerability according to their breed, age, and genetic potential or nutritional status (1, 2). Heat stress decreases feed intake, egg production, and quality and increases the mortality rate of laying hens (3–6). Heat stress affects negatively intestinal barrier integrity and causes reduced nutrient absorption in laying hens which may be related with the imbalance of the gut microbiome (7).

The thermoneutral zone which allows optimal performances of laying hens is considered to be between  $19^{\circ}$ C and  $22^{\circ}$ C (8). At cellular level, heat stress increases reactive oxygen species which determines lipid peroxidation processes (9). Therefore, there is evidence that using plants with highly antioxidant potential and inhibitory activity of free radicals plays a crucial role in mitigating heat stress effects (10). Other several flock management strategies to overcome the deleterious effects of heat stress can be evaluated as tailored structural modifications–ramps and/or removing vertical barriers to increase freedom of movement (11), nutrient supplementation with different phytoadditives, vitamins and minerals (12), or breeding selection to promote heat tolerant poultry (13).

As some authors (14) stated is crucial to mitigate heat stress impact on poultry production and welfare by examining careful and controlling environmental conditions, taking into consideration that public poultry welfare concern and awareness increased.

Poultry, particularly during the final stages of their life cycle, exhibit high susceptibility to heat stress and pathogenic agents, leading to reduced feed intake and substantial impact on both their welfare and productivity. The perception of thermal discomfort may be noticed through the examination of animal behavioral disturbances, such as pecking or aggressiveness, search for cooler environments, restricted mobility, and wing spreading (15).

Parsley (Petroselinum crispum) contains essential mineral salts, iron, calcium, phosphorus, and vitamins A and C (16). In addition, some authors (17) confirmed that parsley is of great importance in preventing cell oxidation and developing the immune system, due to a high content of vitamin C. Parsley leaves contain a very important oil called myristicin with anti-inflammatory, analgesic, antiproliferative, and highly effective as antibiotics for negative bacteria and some fungi (18). Additionally, others authors (19, 20) considered parsley as an important source of redoxactive compounds (ascorbic acid and carotenoids) and phenolic compounds with an antioxidant potential as flavones apigenin, luteolin, and gallic acid. Yeast, mostly brewer's yeast, has been appreciated as a high content of vitamin B complex; therefore, it was fed to the animals for many years now (21). Animals have been fed various forms of yeast and yeast derivatives for more than 100 years (22).

When the EU banned the use of antibiotics as growth promoters in animal feed on 1 January 2006, it was viewed as a difficulty at first and later as an opportunity to seek out novel feeding strategies and alternative products (23). Nowadays, beginning on 28 January 2022, a new European Law declared to be illegal using antibiotics to compensate for poor farm animal welfare standards.<sup>1</sup> According to Azad et al., (24) Saccharomyces strains have the potential to accumulate high concentrations of copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), and manganese (Mn) salts to obtain ions enriched. Zn is often associated with oxidant defense system, part of Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase (SOD), a very important cellular defense against oxidative stress (25). Zn is regarded as a key component of redox metabolism in animal nutrition (26), ensuring the activation of over 200 distinct enzymes involved in protein metabolism and immunological function (27). Although it is believed that all Zn oxide sources were banned in EU since 2022 to reduce environmental impact, European Commission limits at 150 ppm total Zn utilization in complete feed; therefore, zinc oxide diet supplementation remains authorized (28). Rhodotorula glutinis biomass represents a good source of protein, lipids, and vitamins with positive effects on animal growth performance, their intestinal integrity, and immune system (29, 30). Production of yeast biomass rich in organically bound Zn is important to the animal industry because such forms of Zn are readily absorbed by the animal.

The current study aimed to evaluate the mitigating effects of dietary parsley and Zn-enriched yeast, individually and also combined on laying hens' productive performances, egg quality, and blood parameters when exposed to heat stress conditions.

### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Parsley and zinc-enriched yeasts

The parsley (Petroselinum crispum) was purchased from a local Romanian phytopharmacy, already dried and over ground. To obtain zinc-enriched biomass, Rhodotorula glutinis CCY 020-002-033, yeast biomass has to be grown in culture medium supplemented with optimal concentration of zinc. This yeast strain was isolated from willow leaves, grown in synthetic medium at batch scale level, at optimal growth conditions, to assess its application as poultry feed additive. Rhodotorula glutinis CCY 020-002-033 yeast (RG) was provided by ICCF (Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research Institute of Bucharest). The strain was enriched by different percentages of zinc oxide (ZnO) (from 1 to 10%, w/v) on to Yeast extract Peptone Dextrose (YPD, g/L: 10 yeast extract, 20 peptone, 20 dextrose, 15-20 agar). RG was incubated at 28°C for 24-72 h to assess its application in poultry feed. The optimum ZnO selected inclusion rate was 10%, and our product registered a concentration of 3.25 x 107 col/g product with a level of 16.9 g Zn/100 g yeast.

### 2.2. Animals and experimental design

The experiment was conducted according with Directive 2010/63/EU, Executive Order no. 28/31.08.2011, Romanian law no. 43/11.04.2014. The experimental protocol no.118/02.12.2019

<sup>1</sup> https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX: 32019R0006

10.3389/fvets.2023.1202058

was approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Experiments from the National Institute for Research and Development of Animal Biology and Nutrition, Balotesti, Romania. A total of 128 laying hens TETRA-SL LL (50 weeks of age) were weighed individually at the beginning of the experiment  $(1,660 \pm 83.46 \text{ g})$ and assigned in a completely randomized design composed of four treatments, 8 cages/group, 4 laying hens/cage, and 32 laying hens/group for a 4-week trial (1-week accommodation period and 3 experimental weeks). The laying hens were previously raised as pullet in a local farm in Zucami improved cages. The cages are designed to provide poultry-friendly egg production, taking into consideration the health, comfort, and sustenance of the laying flocks, as well as the maintenance of high egg production rate. At 18 weeks age old, the poultry were purchased by Laboratory of Animal Physiology and Nutrition, INCDBNA-Balotesti, and transferred in adapted Zucami cages (provided with green plastic partitions which calm and reduce birds stress, with holes for optimum ventilation model F60 610, cage dimensions: front 610 mm; back 745 mm, height (mm: front 560/back 450, between levels 688, inclinations 8°/14%), suitable for digestibility trials, in order to experiment different phytoadditives in thermoneutral conditions or in extreme temperature conditions. To be ensured that the hens consumed only from designated feeder, a plastic divider was placed between the feeders. Water and feed access were provided ad libitum. The nipple drinkers were located so that each cage of birds had access on two drinkers. Twice per day water tank containers were checked to avoid water overheating and provide a water temperature of 18  $\pm$  3°C. A week of adaptation period was assured prior to the beginning of the experiment (experimental diets were fed, and the hall temperature was risen gradually by 2°C every 2 days, 26°C, 28°C, 30°C, and 32°C, respectively to avoid heat-shock). When the experimental trial began, for heat stress evaluation, the hall temperature was set at  $34 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C during the entire experimental period (24/24 h) by using a Big Dutchmann, ViperTouch computer system. The relative humidity of the experimental hall was registered between 40 and 45%. The lighting schedule used was the continuous lighting program of 16-h light and 8h darkness per day. No antibiotics were provided during the entire trial experiment. All birds remained healthy during the whole trial.

### 2.3. Diet formulation

The basal diets were formulated according to the commercial requirements for TETRA-SL hybrid with a structured diet based on corn and soybean meal, and all diets formulated were isocaloric and isonitrogenic (Table 1). Compared to C diet, the other three experimental diets included different levels of Zn-enriched yeast and parsley, individually and combined, as follows: E1 included 1% Zn-enriched yeast; E2 included 2% parsley, and E3 group included 1% Zn-enriched yeast combined with 2% parsley. The diets were optimized using the nutritional feeding dedicated software Futter 2008, Hybrimin (GmbH & Co., Hessisch Oldendorf, Germany).

### 2.4. Productive parameter evaluation

The birds were weighted at the beginning and at the end of the trial. During the experimental period, the productive parameters were evaluated, as follows: Laying rate (eggs number/hen/day) and average egg weight (AEW, g) were recorded daily, and average daily feed intake (ADFI, g/hen/d), feed conversion rate (FCR, g feed/g eggs), average daily egg production (ADEP, %), average egg weight (AEW, g), and average egg mass (g) were calculated daily. Feed conversion ratio (FCR) was calculated as the amount of feed consumed (g) required to produce a unit (g) of egg mass (g feed/g egg). Feed intake (g feed/bird/day) was reported by determining the difference between the total daily feed given to each cage with the feed refusals collected and weighted. Egg production (%) was collected daily at the same time 11.30 a.m., calculated on per cage basis over the entire experimental period and weighed every day. The egg classification was done according to Council Directive (2006) into four categories of eggs: extra-large (>73 g), large (73–63 g), medium (63–53 g), and small (<53 g).

### 2.5. Blood sample collection

At the end of the trial (53 weeks of age), blood samples were collected from axillary vein (6 randomly chosen hens/group) into 6 ml lithium heparin coated vacutainer tubes to determine hematological and biochemical parameters analysis. The blood samples were centrifuged at 2,700 RPM for 20 min. After blood centrifugation, the serum was transferred in sterile tubs (Eppendorf, 2 ml) and kept  $at-20^{\circ}C$  until the analysis. Plasma concentrations of glucose (GLU), cholesterol (CHOL), triglycerides (TG), total protein (TP), albumin (ALB), total bilirubin (TB), urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine (CR), uric acid (UA), calcium (Ca), phosphorus (P), iron (Fe), and concentrations of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), and gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT) were analyzed using a spectrophotometer ABX PETRA 400 (HORIBA Medical, France). Hematological profile: hematocrit (HCT), leukocyte (LEUK), heterophyle (HET), lymphocyte (LYM), monocyte (MON), and eosinophil (EOS), was determined using ADVIA 2120i - Siemens (flow cytometry with peroxidase reaction and laser detection). Optical microscopy was used for the examination of blood smear.

# 2.6. Egg sampling and measurement procedures

A total number of 288 egg samples for the entire experimental period were collected randomly and weighed individually for determination of the external and internal egg quality. Eggs from each treatment were analyzed on first experimental week in stress conditions and on the final week of the experiment. Half of the collected eggs samples were analyzed for quality traits (whole egg, yolk, albumen and eggshell weight, pH albumen and yolk, albumen and yolk temperature, Haugh Unit, and yolk color) and antioxidant profile (minerals, vitamins, lutein, and zeaxanthin), while the other

#### TABLE 1 Proximal chemical composition of the diets.

Ingredients (%)	С	E1	E2	E3
Yellow corn ground, %	44.68	43.51	43.09	41.93
Wheat, %	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00
Soybean meal, 48 %	25.74	25.70	24.96	24.91
Vegetable oil, %	1.55	1.76	1.89	2.10
Methionine, %	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.17
Limestone, %	10.13	10.14	10.14	10.14
Phosphate, %	1.33	1.32	1.34	1.33
NaCl, %	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.37
Choline, %	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Premix*, %	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Yeast, %	-	1.00	-	1.00
Parsley, %	-	-	2.00	2.00
Total, %	100	100	100	100
Calculated nutrient composition				
Dry matter, %	88.78	88.79	89.06	89.07
Metabolizable energy, kcal/kg	2,750	2,750	2,750	2,750
Crude protein, %	17.10	17.10	17.10	17.10
Ether extract, %	2.24	2.20	2.20	2.16
Ash, %	2.52	2.52	2.45	2.45
Crude fiber, %	2.96	2.96	3.06	3.05
Digestible protein, %	15.14	15.14	14.73	14.72
Calcium, %	4.19	4.19	4.19	4.19
Available phosphorus %	0.38	0.38	0.38	0.38
Ca/P ratio	11.03	11.03	11.03	11.03
Sodium, %	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16
Chloride, %	0.27	0.27	0.27	0.27
Lysine, %	0.90	0.90	0.87	0.87
Methionine, %	0.43	0.43	0.44	0.44
Methionine and cysteine, %	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.73
Threonine, %	0.66	0.66	0.64	0.64
Tryptophan, %	0.20	0.20	0.19	0.19
Arginine, %	1.06	1.06	1.03	1.03
Analyzed nutrient composition				
Zinc, mg/kg	128.89	390.17	106.53	379.29
Iron, mg/kg	426.57	372.29	405.40	384.60
Copper, mg/kg	19.82	12.71	14.12	14.21
Manganese, mg/kg	105.51	122.38	111.70	108.05
Lutein and zeaxanthin, ppm	7.14	9.18	11.02	8.40
Vitamin E, ppm	67.94	87.43	65.38	48.54
Total polyphenols, mg GAE/g	1.60	1.44	1.95	1.73
DPPH, mM equiv Trolox	8.02	7.82	8.46	8.97

 $C-conventional \ diet, E_1-C+1\% \ Zn-enriched \ yeast, E_2-C+2\% \ parsley, E_3-C+1\% \ Zn-enriched \ yeast \ and \ 2\% \ parsley.$ 

\*Premix content per kg diet: vitamin A: 13.500 IU, vitamin D<sub>3</sub>: 3000 IU, vitamin E: 27 mg, vitamin K<sub>3</sub>: 2 mg, vitamin B<sub>1</sub>: 2 mg, vitamin B<sub>2</sub>: 4.8 mg, pantothenic acid: 14.85 mg, nicotinic acid: 27 mg, vitamin B<sub>6</sub>: 3 mg, vitamin B<sub>7</sub>: 0.04 mg, vitamin B<sub>9</sub>: 1 mg, vitamin B<sub>12</sub>: 0.018 mg, vitamin C: 25 mg, manganese: 71.9 mg, iron: 60 mg, copper: 6 mg, zinc: 60 mg, cobalt: 0.5 mg, iodine: 1.14 mg, selenium 0.18 mg; GAE, gallic acid equivalents; DPPH, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl; mM equiv Trolox, trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity.

half of samples were collected and kept at room temperature for storage period analyses to assess the oxidative stability of yolk. For internal and external physical parameter measurements, each egg was individually weighed using the high-quality precision balance Kern EW6000-1M with a weighing capacity of max. 6,000 g/min. 5 g, readability 0.1 g (Kern & Sohn GmbH, D-72336 Balingen, Germany). After weighing, the eggs were broken and the egg components (albumen, yolk, and eggshell) were manually separated and weighed using the same balance as for the whole egg.

The assessment of pH albumen and yolk was measured using a portable pH meter (Five Go F2-Food kit with LE 427IP67, Sensor Mettler Toledo, Greifensee, Switzerland). We first proceed to standardize pH meter using buffer solution of 4.01 and 9.20. After being rinsed with deionized water, the electrode was dipped into the homogenate, sufficient time to stabilize before the reading the values. Haugh unit score was determined using) formula: HU= 100 x log (h-1.7w<sup>0.37</sup>+7.6), where HU—Haugh Unit, h—albumen height (mm), and w—egg weight (g) (31). Albumen height measurement was established using a digital caliper. To assess the storage period (0, 14, and 28 days), the egg weight loss (EWL) was calculated by multiplying average egg weight by egg week production and divided by 100 according to the Feddern's formula (32): Weight loss percentage (%) = [(initial egg weight)] × 100.

#### 2.7. Color parameter assessment

The yolk color was measured by a portable color spectrophotometer 3nh YS3020 (Shenzhen Threenh Technology Co., Ltd, Beijing, China) with customized aperture (8 mm/4 mm/1  $\times$  3 mm), 2.6s measuring time, high accuracy of 0.04, with an observer angle of 2°/10° using the CIE-Lab system (Commission Internationale de l'Eclaraige). All measurements were performed in triplicate. The lightness L\* (with 0 as perfect black; 50 middle gray; 100 perfect white), the saturation index in green/red intensity a\* (negative values are green; positive values are red; 0 is neutral), and the saturation index in blue/yellow intensity b\* (negative values are blue; positive values are yellow; 0 is neutral) were determined by reflectance CIE-L\* a\* b\* color coordinates following the methods published by Panaite (33). In addition, the yolk color was measured by the Roche yolk color fan (Hoffman-La Roche Ltd., Basel, Switzerland; color scale from 15, dark orange, to 1, light pale).

### 2.8. Zinc and other mineral determination

Flame atomic absorption spectrometry [Thermo Electron—SOLAAR M6 Dual Zeeman Comfort (Cambridge, UK)] was used for mineral determination in raw materials, feeds, and eggs (3 eggs/samples, 6 samples/group) (34). The results were expressed as  $\mu g/g$  (ppm) of dried sample. The phosphorus (P) was determined spectrophotometrically according to Regulation (EC) no. 152/2009, using a molecular absorption spectrophotometer, Able Jasco V-530, Romania.

### 2.9. Content of total polyphenols

A modified Folin–Ciocalteu method (35) was used for spectrometrically determination of total polyphenols in vegetal materials. The reading of absorbance was performed at 732 nm, and the gallic acid was used for calibration curve, the results being expressed as mg gallic acid equivalents per gram sample.

### 2.10. Assay of 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl for measuring antioxidant capacity

The antioxidant activity of vegetal materials was measured by 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical-scavenging activity according to the method described by Untea (36). The absorbance was read at 517 nm using a spectrophotometer (Jasco V-530, Japan Servo Co. Ltd., Japan). The results were expressed as mM eq trolox after a standard calibration curve was constructed by plotting percentage inhibition against trolox different concentrations.

# 2.11. Yolk oxidative stability by thiobarbituric acid reactive substances

The oxidative stability of yolk was indicated by the levels of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARSs), according to the methods described by Untea (35). The TBARS values were calculated from a standard curve of malondialdehyde and expressed as milligrams of malondialdehyde (MDA) per kg of sample (mg MDA/kg). The absorbance of the prepared sample was read at 532 nm.

### 2.12. Lutein and zeaxanthin determination

For lutein (LUT) and zeaxanthin (ZX) determination, an RP HPLC analytical method was used as described by Vărzaru (37). A high-performance liquid chromatograph (Perkin Elmer 200 series, Shelton, CT, USA) with a UV detector (445 nm) and a stationary phase of 5  $\mu$ m C18 reversed-phase column of 250  $\times$  4.60 mm (Nucleodur, Macherey-Nagel, Germany) was used. Chromatographic parameters were as follows: flow rate of 1.0 mL/min and a mobile phase of 13% water and 87% acetone.

### 2.13. Vitamin E determination

For vitamin E, determination was used an RP HPLC analytical method described by Vărzaru (37). A high-performance liquid chromatograph (HPLC Finnigan Surveyor Plus, Thermo-Electron Corporation, Waltham, MA), a PDA-UV (292 nm) with a Hypersil BDS C18 column, with silica gel, dimensions of  $250 \times 4.6$  mm, and a particle size of  $5 \,\mu$ m (Thermo-Electron Corporation, Waltham, MA), was used. Chromatographic parameters were as follows: flow rate of 1.5 mL/min and a mobile phase of 4% water, using 96% methanol.

TABLE 2	Proximal chemical composition and antioxidant profile of
parsley a	nd zinc-enriched yeasts.

Specification	Parsley	Zn-enriched yeast									
Proximal chemical comp	Proximal chemical composition										
Dry matter, %	90.06	91.63									
Organic matter, %	69.89	74.84									
Crude protein, %	23.49	10.82									
Ether extract, %	1.40	0.54									
Crude fiber, %	8.73	2.21									
Ash, %	20.17	16.79									
Total polyphenols, antiox	idant capacity,	minerals, and vitamin E									
Total polyphenols, mg GAE/g	7.71	0.40									
DPPH, mM equiv. Trolox	13.05	1.25									
Zinc, mg/kg	56.16	97,105.59									
Iron, mg/kg	3,817.70	1,619.25									
Copper, mg/kg	12.64	302.84									
Manganese, mg/kg	121.59	454.53									
Vitamin E, mg/kg	25.33	3.61									

GAE, gallic acid equivalents; DPPH, 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl; mM equiv. Trolox, trolox equivalent.

### 2.14. Statistical analyses

Each cage of five birds was considered as an experimental unit. The normal distribution of data was checked by Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Shapiro–Wilk tests. Differences between groups were analyzed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) by using the statistical program IBM SPSS 20.0. Tukey's comparison test was used to evaluate statistical significance of differences between dietary treatments. The significant differences among treatments were determined at probability of p < 0.05.

### 3. Results

# 3.1. Zinc-enriched yeast and parsley chemical characterization

The parsley and zinc-enriched yeast samples were analyzed to determine their proximal chemical composition, total polyphenols content, and their antioxidant capacity as experimental dietary ingredients, and the results are presented in Table 2.

The parsley's chemical characterization presented a higher content of crude protein, crude fiber, total polyphenols, antioxidant capacity, and iron concentration compared to Zn-enriched yeast composition. On the contrary, the Zn-enriched yeast composition registered a higher content of trace minerals (zinc, copper, and manganese) compared to parsley. Both ingredients present antioxidant potential due to their content in minerals, polyphenols, and vitamin E which protects against oxidative damage.

### 3.2. Productive performances

The effects of dietary parsley, Zn-enriched yeast, and the two mixed plant ingredients on laying hens performances are presented in Table 3.

The ADFI values were statistically highly significant (p < 0.001) on group  $E_3$  compared to C,  $E_1, \mbox{ and } E_2$  groups. In addition, a statistically significant value (p = 0.021) of this parameter could be noticed within the 3rd experimental week compared to the 2nd experimental week. There were no statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) observed on FCR between groups, but statistically highly significant value (p < 0.001) was registered on the 3rd and the 2nd experimental weeks compared to 1st week. The ADEP was statistically highly significant (p < 0.005) within 1st week compared to the 2nd and 3rd weeks, but no statistically significant differences (p > 0.05) were observed between groups. As expected, the heat stress affected negatively the egg weight on C control group. Under heat stress conditions, the average egg weight was statistically significant (p < 0.05) for E<sub>2</sub> and E<sub>3</sub> groups compared to C, and also a statistically significant weight (p < 0.05) could be noticed on the 1st week compared with the 2nd and the 3rd week. The commercial size egg classification registered statistically significant values (p < 0.05) for L size eggs on E<sub>2</sub> and E<sub>3</sub> groups compared to C group. The percentage of L size eggs was statistically significant (p < 0.05) during the 1st experimental week whereas for S size egg classification registered statistically significant (p < 0.05) on the 2nd and the 3rd weeks.

# 3.3. Egg quality parameters during experimental period

As presented in Table 4 there were no statistically differences (p > 0.05) concerning the whole egg weight at the beginning of the experiment, although during the end of the experiment, the E<sub>1</sub> and E<sub>2</sub> eggs' weight was significant different (p < 0.05) compared to C and E<sub>3</sub> groups. In addition, E<sub>1</sub> and E<sub>2</sub> registered significant differences (p < 0.05) on albumen weight and yolk weight compared to the other two groups. The pH albumen values registered significant differences (p < 0.05) at the beginning of the experiment on E<sub>2</sub> and E<sub>3</sub> groups compared to C and E<sub>1</sub>. The yolk color intensity values were statistically highly significant (p < 0.0001) both at the beginning and at the end of the experiment on E<sub>2</sub> and E<sub>3</sub> groups compared to C and E<sub>1</sub>. The results obtained analyzing trace mineral content data and antioxidant profile of egg yolk samples are presented in Table 5.

The yolk sample analysis for vitamin E and LUT and ZX concentration confirmed statistically highly significant differences (p < 0.001) on E<sub>2</sub> and E<sub>3</sub> groups compared to E1 and C. Moreover, for DPPH values, statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) were noticed on E<sub>3</sub> group compared to E<sub>2</sub>, E<sub>3</sub>, and C groups. Concerning iron concentration, statistically significant differences (p < 0.05) were registered on E<sub>1</sub> and E<sub>3</sub> groups compared to C and E<sub>2</sub>. Vitamin A concentration registered statistically highly significant

TABLE 3 Effect of diet supplementation by Zn-enriched yeast (1%), parsley (2%), and mixed plant additive ingredients on productive performances under heat stress conditions (weeks 50–53 of age).

Period trial/ <u>group</u>	ADFI (g/da <u>y/hen)</u>	FCR (g feed/g eggs)	ADEP (%)	AEM (g)	AEW (g)	Egg size classification*					
						S %	M %	L %			
Week 1 (50–51 wks)											
С	63.43 <sup>b</sup>	1.57	76.43	44.00	57.54 <sup>b</sup>	17.42 <sup>a</sup>	69.43	13.15			
E1	65.46 <sup>ab</sup>	1.62	72.14	42.10	58.39 <sup>ab</sup>	8.33 <sup>b</sup>	72.24	19.43			
E2	68.95 <sup>ab</sup>	1.80	72.93	42.67	58.52 <sup>ab</sup>	19.79 <sup>a</sup>	60.45	19.56			
E3	71.28 <sup>a</sup>	1.65	77.44	45.71	58.90 <sup>a</sup>	13.27 <sup>ab</sup>	68.93	17.80			
SEM	1.27	0.04	1.79	1.60	0.22	1.31	2.10	1.44			
Week 2 (51-5	2 wks)										
С	61.93 <sup>b</sup>	1.96	62.14	34.76	55.76	25.17	62.57	12.26			
E1	62.07 <sup>b</sup>	1.76	67.14	38.04	56.61	21.26	65.42	13.32			
E2	66.28 <sup>b</sup>	2.06	66.92	38.18	57.04	18.27	68.05	13.68			
E3	73.53 <sup>a</sup>	1.97	69.92	39.82	56.83	22.28	62.98	14.74			
SEM	1.17	0.08	2.00	1.21	0.27	2.20	2.41	1.31			
Week 3 (52–5	3 wks)										
С	66.86 <sup>ab</sup>	2.26	60.71	33.80	55.60 <sup>b</sup>	25.12	67.06	7.82 <sup>b</sup>			
E1	67.43 <sup>b</sup>	1.95	70.00	38.91	55.64 <sup>b</sup>	27.83	62.30	9.87 <sup>ab</sup>			
E2	69.14 <sup>ab</sup>	2.21	62.41	35.22	56.63 <sup>ab</sup>	23.89	58.60	17.51 <sup>a</sup>			
E3	74.40 <sup>a</sup>	2.04	66.92	38.29	57.26 <sup>a</sup>	23.27	59.59	17.14 <sup>a</sup>			
SEM	1.08	0.11	2.59	1.43	0.28	2.13	2.65	1.69			
Overall (50–5	3 weeks)										
С	64.74	1.93 <sup>ab</sup>	66.43	37.52	55.60	25.12	67.06	7.82			
E1	64.99	1.78 <sup>b</sup>	69.76	39.68	55.64	27.83	62.30	9.87			
E2	68.12	2.03 <sup>a</sup>	67.42	38.69	56.63	23.89	58.60	17.51			
E3	73.07	1.89 <sup>ab</sup>	71.43	41.27	57.26	23.27	59.59	17.14			
SEM	6.40	0.05	12.05	7.26	0.14	1.01	1.89	2.49			
Main effects											
Group											
С	64.74 <sup>C</sup>	1.93	66.43	37.52	56.30 <sup>b</sup>	22.57	68.31	11.08 <sup>b</sup>			
E1	64.99 <sup>CB</sup>	1.78	69.76	39.68	56.88 <sup>ab</sup>	19.14	70.49	14.21 <sup>ab</sup>			
E2	68.12 <sup>B</sup>	2.02	67.42	38.69	57.40 <sup>a</sup>	20.65	68.45	16.92 <sup>a</sup>			
E3	73.07 <sup>A</sup>	1.89	71.43	41.27	57.66 <sup>a</sup>	19.61	64.94	16.56 <sup>a</sup>			
Period											
Week 1	67.28 <sup>ab</sup>	1.66 <sup>B</sup>	74.74 <sup>a</sup>	43.62 <sup>A</sup>	58.34 <sup>A</sup>	14.71 <sup>b</sup>	71.17	17.49 <sup>a</sup>			
Week 2	65.95 <sup>b</sup>	1.94 <sup>A</sup>	66.53 <sup>b</sup>	37.70 <sup>B</sup>	56.56 <sup>B</sup>	21.75 <sup>a</sup>	67.86	13.50 <sup>ab</sup>			
Week 3	69.96 <sup>a</sup>	2.12 <sup>A</sup>	65.01 <sup>b</sup>	36.56 <sup>B</sup>	56.28 <sup>B</sup>	25.03ª	65.10	13.09 <sup>b</sup>			
<i>p</i> -Value											
Group	< 0.001	0.340	0.502	0.333	0.007	0.717	0.579	0.061			
Period	0.021	0.001	0.005	0.000	< 0.001	0.003	0.222	0.064			
Group*Period	0.613	0.948	0.800	0.865	0.857	0.564	0.732	0.653			

C—conventional diet;  $E_1-C+1\%$  yeast;  $E_2-C+2\%$  parsley;  $E_3-C+1\%$  yeast and 2% parsley; data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM; means within a column sharing different lower superscripts are significantly different at p < 0.05 or upper superscripts for statistically highly significant at p < 0.001; minimum mass per egg in European Union, small size (S)<53g, medium size (M), 53–63g, large size (L) 63–73g, extra-large (XL)>73 g.

Group	Initial (50 weeks)									
	Whole egg weight (g)	Albumen weight (g)	Yolk weight (g)	Eggshell weight (g)	pH albumen	pH yolk	Yolk color	HU		
С	60.60	37.40	15.15 <sup>a</sup>	7.65	9.00 <sup>b</sup>	6.58	4.50 <sup>C</sup>	97.52 <sup>a</sup>		
E1	60.91	38.10	15.35 <sup>a</sup>	7.47	9.16 <sup>b</sup>	6.58	4.28 <sup>C</sup>	97.96 <sup>a</sup>		
E2	60.14	38.25	14.56 <sup>b</sup>	7.33 <sup>a</sup>	9.25 <sup>a</sup>	6.59	6.06 <sup>B</sup>	97.63 <sup>a</sup>		
E3	59.83	37.03	15.29ª	7.50 <sup>b</sup>	9.29 <sup>a</sup>	6.50	6.67 <sup>A</sup>	93.04 <sup>b</sup>		
SEM	0.252	0.221	0.106	0.055	0.025	0.013	0.104	0.599		
p-value	0.749	0.534	0.121	0.220	0.004	0.311	< 0.0001	0.009		
			Final (53 w	veeks)						
С	57.17 <sup>b</sup>	36.41	13.92	6.85	8.91	6.60	4.28 <sup>C</sup>	95.02		
E1	59.09 <sup>a</sup>	37.61	14.12	7.36	9.01	6.58	4.29 <sup>C</sup>	98.00		
E2	59.62 <sup>a</sup>	37.91	14.53 <sup>a</sup>	7.18	9.03	6.63	6.44 <sup>B</sup>	97.91		
E3	55.83 <sup>c</sup>	35.58	13.33 <sup>b</sup>	6.91	9.00	6.59	7.11 <sup>A</sup>	94.94		
SEM	0.379	0.314	0.114	0.064	0.024	0.013	0.120	0.582		
<i>p</i> -value	0.043	0.251	0.077	0.207	0.410	0.789	< 0.0001	0.120		

#### TABLE 4 Internal and external egg quality parameters at the beginning and at the final of the experiment.

C—conventional diet;  $E_1-C+1\%$  yeast;  $E_2-C+2\%$  parsley;  $E_3-C+1\%$  yeast and 2% parsley; data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM; means were calculated using six replicates per treatment (three eggs per replicate); means within a column sharing different lower superscripts are significantly different at p < 0.05 or upper superscripts for statistically highly significant at p < 0.001.

TABLE 5 Antioxidant profile and trace minerals analysis of egg yolk samples at the final of the experiment.

Specification	Vitamin E (mg/kg)	Vitamin A (mg/kg)	LUT&ZX (mg/kg)	TP, mgGAE/g	DPPH, mM Trolox	Fe (mg/kg)	Zn (mg/kg)		
С	46.70	5.913	4.313	0.706	1.917	171.3	75.35		
E1	43.79	7.643	4.689	0.743	1.878	171.2	77.18		
E2	55.59	7.013	8.336	0.765	2.553	163.9	70.44		
E3	52.66	6.270	6.690	0.860	1.801	170.8	77.91		
SEM	0.79	0.091	0.134	0.029	0.063	0.436	0.266		
Main effect									
Zn-enriched yeast									
Without Zn-enriched yeast	51.15	6.46 <sup>b</sup>	6.325 <sup>a</sup>	0.736	2.255 <sup>a</sup>	167.6 <sup>B</sup>	72.89 <sup>B</sup>		
With Zn-enriched yeast	48.22	6.96 <sup>a</sup>	5.690 <sup>b</sup>	0.812	1.878 <sup>b</sup>	171.0 <sup>A</sup>	77.55 <sup>A</sup>		
Parsley									
Without parsley	45.24 <sup>B</sup>	6.78	4.50 <sup>B</sup>	0.735	1.930	171.3 <sup>A</sup>	76.27 <sup>A</sup>		
With parsley	54.13 <sup>A</sup>	6.64	7.5 <sup>A</sup>	0.813	2.180	167.3 <sup>B</sup>	74.18 <sup>B</sup>		
<i>p</i> -value									
Zn-enriched yeast	0.079	0.014	0.028	0.205	0.009	0.001	0.000		
Parsley	0.000	0.463	0.000	0.200	0.061	0.000	0.001		
Zn-enriched yeast*parsley	0.993	0.000	0.001	0.751	0.006	0.001	0.000		

C—conventional diet,  $E_1$ –C+1% yeast,  $E_2$ –C+2% parsley,  $E_3$ –C+1% yeast and 2% parsley; data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM; means within a column sharing different lower superscripts are significantly different at p < 0.05 or upper superscripts for statistically highly significant at p < 0.001; means were calculated using six replicates per treatment (three eggs per replicate); TP, total polyphenols; GAE, gallic acid equivalents; DPPH, 2,2-Diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl, trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity; Fe (iron); Zn (zinc).

Specification		Eg	g weight and	its compone	nts	Internal egg parameters			
		Whole egg (g)	Albumen (g)	Yolk (g)	Eggshell (g)	pH-yolk	pH- albumen	Haugh unit	
0 days									
С		57.17 <sup>ab</sup>	36.41	13.92 <sup>ab</sup>	6.85 <sup>b</sup>	6.60	8.91	95.02	
E1		59.18 <sup>a</sup>	37.70	14.11 <sup>ab</sup>	7.37 <sup>a</sup>	6.58	9.01	98.11	
E2		59.62 <sup>a</sup>	37.91	14.53 <sup>a</sup>	7.18 <sup>ab</sup>	6.63	9.02	97.91	
E3		55.82 <sup>b</sup>	35.58	13.33 <sup>b</sup>	6.91 <sup>b</sup>	6.59	9.00	94.94	
SEM		0.51	0.43	0.16	0.06	0.02	0.03	0.68	
14 days									
С		56.92	34.83	14.86	7.23	6.71	9.66	83.06 <sup>b</sup>	
E1		56.75	34.68	14.81	7.26	6.57	9.54	82.41 <sup>b</sup>	
E2		56.04	34.24	14.95	6.84	6.64	9.57	89.64 <sup>a</sup>	
E3		56.58	33.65	15.56	7.37	6.75	9.34	85.58 <sup>ab</sup>	
SEM		0.59	0.50	0.21	0.10	0.05	0.06	0.83	
28 days									
С		56.64 <sup>ab</sup>	34.97 <sup>a</sup>	14.42	7.25	6.59	9.52	73.61 <sup>b</sup>	
E1		55.21 <sup>ab</sup>	33.48 <sup>ab</sup>	14.76	6.97	7.06	9.37	76.05 <sup>ab</sup>	
E2		57.15 <sup>a</sup>	34.27 <sup>ab</sup>	16.03	6.85	6.94	9.58	78.42 <sup>ab</sup>	
E3		53.62 <sup>b</sup>	31.93 <sup>b</sup>	14.51	7.08	6.70	9.50	80.11 <sup>a</sup>	
SEM		0.58	0.46	0.42	0.12	0.08	0.05	0.90	
Main effect									
Group	С	56.91 <sup>ab</sup>	35.40 <sup>a</sup>	14.40	7.11	6.63	9.36	83.90 <sup>c</sup>	
	E1	57.05 <sup>ab</sup>	35.29 <sup>a</sup>	14.56	7.20	6.74	9.31	85.53 <sup>b,c</sup>	
	E2	57.61 <sup>a</sup>	35.47 <sup>a</sup>	15.17	6.96	6.74	9.39	88.66 <sup>a</sup>	
	E3	55.31 <sup>b</sup>	33.72 <sup>b</sup>	14.47	7.12	6.68	9.28	86.88 <sup>a,b</sup>	
Period	0 day	57.95 <sup>a</sup>	36.91 <sup>A</sup>	13.97 <sup>b</sup>	7.08	6.60 <sup>b</sup>	8.98 <sup>B</sup>	96.50 <sup>A</sup>	
	14 days	56.57 <sup>ab</sup>	34.35 <sup>B</sup>	15.05 <sup>a</sup>	7.18	6.67 <sup>b</sup>	9.53 <sup>B</sup>	85.17 <sup>B</sup>	
	28 days	55.63 <sup>b</sup>	33.66 <sup>B</sup>	14.93 <sup>a</sup>	7.04	6.82 <sup>a</sup>	9.49 <sup>A</sup>	77.05 <sup>C</sup>	
SEM		0.32	0.27	0.16	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.47	
<i>p</i> -value									
Group		0.077	0.068	0.285	0.475	0.587	0.434	0.004	
Period		0.019	< 0.001	0.012	0.579	0.018	< 0.001	< 0.001	
Group*period		0.309	0.698	0.466	0.172	0.112	0.336	0.095	

#### TABLE 6 Internal and external egg parameters during different storage time periods (0, 14, and 28 days) at room temperature.

C-conventional diet;  $E_1$ -C+1% yeast;  $E_2$ -C+2% parsley;  $E_3$ -C+1% yeast and 2% parsley; data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM; means within a column sharing different lower superscripts are significantly different at p < 0.05 or upper superscripts for statistically highly significant at p < 0.001; means were calculated using six replicates per treatment (three eggs per replicate).

differences (p < 0.001) within E1 and E3 groups compared to C and E<sub>2</sub>.

Table 6 presents internal and external egg quality data parameters during storage period (0, 14, and 28 days) at room temperature ( $21^{\circ}$ C).

The whole egg weight values were statistically significant (p < 0.05) for E<sub>2</sub> group compared to E<sub>3</sub> group. As expected, the egg weight values were statistically significant (p < 0.05) on 0 days of storage period compared to the whole egg weight registered at

28th days of storage. The egg albumen weight registered statistically significant values (p < 0.05) at C, E<sub>1</sub>, and E<sub>2</sub> groups compared to E<sub>3</sub> group. The same parameter registered the statistically highly significant value (p < 0.001) on 0 days of storage. The yolk weight values were statistically highly significant (p < 0.012) during the 28th days of storage compared to other 2 periods. There were no differences noticed between groups. The yolk pH values registered statistically highly significant value (p < 0.018) on the 28th storage period compared with the other two storage periods. The albumen



pH values were statistically highly significant (p < 0.001) on the 14th and 28th storage period, without any statistical differences observed between groups. The HU score ranged between 83 and 88 between groups and 77 and 96 HU between periods. The Haugh unit was statistically highly significant (p < 0.004) at E<sub>2</sub> compared to E<sub>1</sub> and C groups. In addition, as expected, the Haugh unit exhibited a gradually decreasing curve consecutively with the increasing storage period. A statistically highly significant (p < 0.001) difference could be noticed during the 0 days of storage compared to the other two periods. The effects of different storage period of time on egg loss parameters values at room temperature ( $21\pm1^{\circ}C$ ) are shown in Figure 1.

There were no significant differences (p > 0.05) between groups at 14-day storage period, where an average of 2.77 % of weight loss was registered. Same situation was noticed on the 28-day storage period, where no statistical differences (p > 0.05) between groups were noticed. As expected, along with the length of storage also egg weight losses increase at 4.45 % at C and E<sub>3</sub> groups. Within Table 7 are presented the color parameter values indicating yolk pigmentation evolution at room temperature during 14- and 28-day storage period.

An intense yolk coloration, statistically highly significant (p < 0.001) measured by Fan color, was noticed on groups within parsley inclusion  $E_2$  and  $E_3$ , and statistically highly significant (p = 0.002) coloration intensity was registered within the 28th storage period. The yolk color saturation expressed by lightness (L\*) parameter registered statistically highly significant (p = 0.008) at C group compared to  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  groups. As storage time concerns, statistically highly significant (p < 0.001) for the same parameter was registered on the 28th storage period compared to the other 2 periods. Concerning a\*(redness intensity parameter), E<sub>2</sub> group registered statistically highly significant (p < 0.001) compared to all other experimental groups, and as the storage time increased, the highest statistical value (p = 0.002) was noticed on 28th and 14th storage period. Values of b\* parameter (yellowness intensity) were statistically highly significant (p < 0.001) at E<sub>3</sub> group compared to C, E<sub>1</sub>, and E<sub>2</sub> while statistically highly significant differences (p < p0.001) were recorded on the 28-day storage period.

According to Figure 2, the parley and yeast inclusion resulted in a statistically significant decrease (p < 0.05) in the MDA concentration to all experimental groups compared to C group, during both the 12th and 28th days of storage. An increasing of 53% of TBARS values was noticed at C group between 0 days of storage period and 28th days of storage, compared to 19% increasing of TBARS values at E1 group, 37% increasing of TBARS values at E2 group, and 28% increasing of TBARS values at E3 group. On the 14th day of storage, statistically significant difference (p < 0.04) was noticed between C and E1 groups. Same statistically significant difference (p < 0.04) between the same two groups was maintained during the 28th storage period.

### 3.4. Biochemical and hematological profile

Data on the serum biochemical indices are presented in Table 8. Dietary supplementation with Zn-enriched yeast, parsley, and their combination had no effect (p > 0.05) on serum concentration levels of GLU, CHOL, TG, ALB, CR, UA, BUN, TP, TB, ALAT, ALP, and ASAT during the trial period within our study, although a statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) was noticed on concentration level of minerals as phosphorus, on  $E_2$  group compared to C,  $E_1$ , and  $E_3$  groups. Moreover, a statistically significant difference (p < 0.05) of Ca and Fe concentration levels was registered at  $E_2$  and C groups compared to  $E_1$  and  $E_3$  groups compared to  $E_2$  and  $E_3$  groups.

As shown in Table 9 hematological analysis of blood samples had no apparent influence on selected hematologic values: LEU, LYM, MON, and EOS. Our results showed a statistically significant higher values (p < 0.05) of HCT for E<sub>1</sub> and E<sub>3</sub> groups compared to C and E<sub>2</sub>. In addition, a statistically significant higher values (p < 0.05) of HET were noticed on C and E<sub>1</sub> groups compared to E<sub>2</sub> and E<sub>3</sub>. Blood urea parameter significant increased (p < 0.05) on E1 group compared to C and E<sub>2</sub> groups.

### 4. Discussion

# 4.1. Total polyphenol content and antioxidant capacity of experimented ingredients

As expected, higher values of total polyphenol content and antioxidant capacity were noticed on parsley compared to zincenriched yeast. Some authors (38) in a study on parsley juice

#### TABLE 7 Yolk color evolution during different storage time periods at room temperature (21±1°C).

Parameter		Fan color	L*	a*	b*	
0 days						
С		4.28 <sup>d</sup>	42.34	0.26 <sup>b</sup>	14.84 <sup>b</sup>	
E1		4.31 <sup>cd</sup>	42.15	0.26 <sup>b</sup>	13.98 <sup>b</sup>	
E2		6.44 <sup>b</sup>	41.04	0.96 <sup>a</sup>	16.23 <sup>a</sup>	
E3		7.11 <sup>a</sup>	41.12	0.71 <sup>a</sup>	16.00 <sup>a</sup>	
SEM		0.07	0.36	0.06	0.22	
14 days						
С		4.83 <sup>b</sup>	45.62 <sup>a</sup>	0.47 <sup>b</sup>	17.39 <sup>b</sup>	
E1		5.83 <sup>a</sup>	43.78 <sup>b</sup>	0.38 <sup>b</sup>	15.82 <sup>c</sup>	
E2		5.17 <sup>b</sup>	43.30 <sup>b</sup>	1.23 <sup>a</sup>	18.81 <sup>a</sup>	
E3		5.33 <sup>ab</sup>	43.27 <sup>b</sup>	0.90 <sup>a</sup>	18.08 <sup>ab</sup>	
SEM		0.11	0.30	0.07	0.24	
28 days						
С	4.33 <sup>b</sup>	50.24 <sup>b</sup>	$-0.01^{b}$	16.32 <sup>c</sup>		
E1		6.25 <sup>ab</sup>	54.54 <sup>a</sup>	1.24 <sup>a</sup>	24.67 <sup>a</sup>	
E2		6.50 <sup>ab</sup>	56.36 <sup>b</sup>	1.30 <sup>a</sup>	22.01 <sup>b</sup>	
E3		6.67 <sup>a</sup>	54.60 <sup>b</sup>	1.11 <sup>a</sup>	24.86 <sup>a</sup>	
SEM		0.17	0.53	0.08	0.36	
Main Effect						
Group	С	4.48 <sup>B</sup>	47.62 <sup>a,c</sup>	$0.24^{\mathrm{D}}$	16.18 <sup>D</sup>	
	E1	5.46 <sup>B</sup>	45.39 <sup>b</sup>	0.63 <sup>C</sup>	18.16 <sup>C,B</sup>	
	E2	6.04 <sup>A</sup>	46.29 <sup>b,c</sup>	1.16 <sup>A</sup>	19.01 <sup>B</sup>	
	E3	6.37 <sup>A</sup>	46.92 <sup>c</sup>	0.91 <sup>B</sup>	19.65 <sup>A,B</sup>	
Period	0 days	5.54 <sup>b</sup>	41.66 <sup>C</sup>	0.55 <sup>B</sup>	15.26 <sup>C</sup>	
	14 days	5.29 <sup>b</sup>	43.99 <sup>B</sup>	0.74 <sup>A,B</sup>	17.53 <sup>B</sup>	
	28 days	5.94 <sup>a</sup>	54.01 <sup>A</sup>	0.91 <sup>A</sup>	21.96 <sup>B</sup>	
SEM	0.07	0.24	0.04	0.16		
<i>p</i> -value						
Group		<0.001	0.008	< 0.001	<0.001	
Period		0.002	< 0.001	0.002	<0.001	
Group*period		<0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001	<0.001	

C—conventional diet (C); E1—C+1% yeast; E2—C+2% parsley; E3—C+1% yeast and 2% parsley; data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM; means within a column sharing different lower superscripts are significantly different at p < 0.05 or upper superscripts for statistically highly significant at p < 0.001; means were calculated using six replicates per treatment (three eggs per replicate); L\* lightness, a\* redness intensity, b\* yellowness intensity.

obtained value of  $14.87\pm1.03$  (mg GAE/100 mL). As Lipiński et al. (39) stated polyphenols have antioxidant, antimicrobial, immunomodulatory, and anti-inflammatory functions, however, they present a low/poor bioavailability and absorption in poultry gut. Similar to our results, other authors (40) reported a parsley leaves total polyphenol concentration of 11.90 mg GAE/g for raw parsley leaves and 7.66 mg GAE/g, respectively. Different concentrations of lutein, zeaxanthin, and beta-carotene were obtained for parsley leaves containing 31.28 mg/100 g (41), 11.1 mg/100 g (42), and 32.83 mg/100 g (43), respectively. In a clinical study, it was stated that among the 50 foods with the highest

antioxidant content, dried parsley (7.430 mmol/100 g) ranks the 14th (44).

# 4.2. Effects of heat stress on productive performances

Our study's results showed that dietary parsley and Zn-enriched yeast on E<sub>3</sub> group registered a significant improvement of ADFI, ADEP, and ADW which conducted to a favorable FCR. Interesting results showed that after an initial decreasing of ADFI during the



2nd experimental week, the production parameter increased during the 3rd week by 5.7%, this indicating probably that hens started to accommodate with high temperatures. Other authors (45, 46) obtained similar results on poultry weight, feed intake, and egg production when adding dietary zinc in heat stress conditions. An enhanced egg yield and hatchability in quail when adding parsley leaf in poultry diets and a synergetic effect on feed consumption were observed by Tahan and Bayram, (47). Laying hens exposed to high, severe heat stress (32°C) for 42 days registered a significantly decreased ADFI by 18-22% compared to normal temperature group (22°C) or moderate temperature group (27°C) (48). Lara and Rostagno (14) stated that there is a large variability of heat stress effects on poultry experiments due to many factors involved (heat stress variation, temperature intensity, experimental length, humidity, poultry age, and genetics). Other authors (49) noticed that the decreasing percentage of laying hens' egg production (13.2, 26.4, and 57%, respectively) depends on the exposure time to heat stress (8-14 days, 30-42 days, and 43-56 days, respectively). Similar results (50) were obtained in a stress heat experiment on 24 weeks of age Hy-Line Brown hens where ADFI was 58.12 g/hen/day, average egg mass was 50 g, and FCR rate was recorded 1.29. Mashaly et al. (51) observed a 52% decreasing of ADFI when a constant heat stress was applied for 5 experimental weeks.

Ibtisham et al. (6) introduced a Chinese herbal medicine mixt (3.32 g/kg diet) and ginger powder (10 g/kg diet) and their combination in an experimental hall at 32–38°C in 3-tier cages layer houses (2 hens per cage) and noticed that production parameters as feed intake and egg production significantly improved compared to control group raised in heat stress conditions as well. Manaig et al. (52) state that plant extract utilization can provide a safe, accessible, and low-cost nutritional solution to heat stress problem by combatting the deleterious effects of heat stress on production performance. Büyükkiliç et al. (53) evaluated the effects of thyme essential oil (300 mg/kg) and vitamins A (15,000 IU/kg diet), C (250 mg/kg diet), and E (250 mg/kg diet) on laying hens' performance, egg quality, and biochemical parameters under 34°C heat stress (HS) for 8 h daily and noticed that the treatment had no effects on body weight, feed intake, egg production, feed conversion rate, egg weight, egg yield, and egg weight.

# 4.4. Internal and external egg parameter assessments during storage period

Within this study, we noticed that the whole egg weight was statistically significant higher (p < 0.05) on 0 day of storage period. Gradually, with the prolonged exposure on temperature ambience, the egg weight decreased due to the storage period losses. Same situation encountered for albumen, which had a significant higher (p < 0.05) weight for C, E<sub>1</sub>, E<sub>2</sub> compared to E<sub>3</sub> and for the first period of storage. Usually, an egg weight and egg shell thickness reduction in laying hens subjected to heat stress it can be noticed (3). Other studies (54) agree that egg weight decreased significantly with storage time and temperature. A significant loss of weight of eggs during storage may be a result of the increase of shells? spores while the egg aged which facilitate the moisture and gases to escape. The carbon dioxide escapes through the eggshells? pores which causes a white that becomes watery. In our study, egg yolk weight was statistically significant higher (p < 0.05) on the 14th and 28th period of storage, similar with the results obtained by other authors (55) who noticed that the yolks were heavier through 2 weeks of heat stress but becoming lighter analyzed at 4-week storage period. Fennel dietary inclusion (0, 10, or and 20 g/kg of diet) was tested under 34°C, and a significant decreasing egg quality, egg weight, eggshell thickness, eggshell strength, Haugh units, albumen height, and albumen weight were noticed by Gharaghani et al. (56). In addition, other authors (57) reported significant decreasing values of pH under heat stress conditions. The HU parameter decreased gradually with the lowest value on the 28th day. In another heat stress study (34°C for 20 days), a HU score reduction was reported by -5.6% compared to control group (58). Egg loss parameter is

Specifica-	Energy-	related me	etabolites		Prot	ein-relate	ed metabo	lites		Mineral-	related m	etabolites	Enzyr	ne-relate	ed metab	olites
	GLU (mg/dL)	CHOL (mg/dL)	TG (mg/dL)	TP (g/dL)	ALB (g/L)	TB (mg/dL)	BUN (mg/dL)	CR (mg/dL)	UA (mg/dL)	P (mg/dL)	Ca (mg/dL)	Fe (ug/dL)	ALT (U/L)	ALP (U/L)	GGT (U/L)	AST (U/L
С	178.24	86.02	701.13	1.89	1.40	0.13	0.67	0.32	3.38	4.14	23.85	209.38	9.91	719.60	82.24	87.20
E1	186.54	102.02	979.46	1.94	1.20	0.14	0.41	0.12	4.33	4.07	24.77	212.77	10.32	685.03	74.72	97.24
E2	177.45	87.86	712.52	2.00	1.25	0.13	0.47	0.12	3.17	5.39	21.47	200.56	10.32	674.17	99.90	91.00
E3	172.62	84.66	572.35	1.96	1.50	0.12	0.46	0.12	3.75	3.13	20.78	171.49	8.87	879.29	77.15	84.52
SEM	2.65	4.95	75.56	0.04	0.11	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.33	0.27	0.69	3.81	0.26	35.11	2.89	1.86
Main effect																
Zn-enriched	d yeast															
Without Zn-enriched yeast	177.84	86.94	706.82	1.94	1.33	0.13	0.57	1.94	3.28	3.60 <sup>a</sup>	22.66	204.97	10.12	696.89	91.07 <sup>a</sup>	89.10
With Zn-enriched yeast	179.58	93.34	775.91	1.95	1.35	0.13	0.44	1.95	4.04	4.76 <sup>b</sup>	22.78	192.13	9.60	782.16	75.94 <sup>b</sup>	90.88
Parsley																
Without Parsley	182.39	94.02	840.29	1.91	1.30	0.13	0.54	1.91	3.86	4.10	24.31 <sup>a</sup>	211.08 <sup>a</sup>	10.12	702.32	78.48	92.22
With Parsley	175.03	86.26	642.44	1.98	1.38	0.12	0.47	1.98	3.46	4.26	21.13 <sup>b</sup>	186.02 <sup>b</sup>	9.60	776.73	88.52	87.76
<i>p</i> -value																
Zn-enriched yeast	0.75	0.53	0.65	0.96	0.91	0.66	0.17	0.96	0.26	0.04	0.94	0.11	0.33	0.24	0.02	0.64
Parsley	0.18	0.44	0.21	0.41	0.73	0.19	0.43	0.41	0.56	0.78	0.04	0.01	0.33	0.31	0.10	0.25
Zn-enriched yeast*parsley	0.23	0.35	0.19	0.58	0.31	0.40	0.20	0.58	0.79	0.06	0.57	0.05	0.09	0.11	0.21	0.04

TABLE 8 Diet supplementation effects with Zn-enriched yeast (1%), parsley (2%), and their combination on serum biochemical profiles under heat stress conditions (weeks 50–53 of age).

C--conventional diet; E1--C+1% yeast; E2--C+2% parsley; E3--C+1% yeast and 2% parsley; data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM; a-b means with different superscripts differ significantly (p < 0.05); glucose (GLU), cholesterol (CHOL), triglyceride (TG), total protein (TP), albumin (ALB), total bilirubin (TB), urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine (CR), uric acid (UA), phosphorus (P), calcium (Ca), iron (Fe), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), gamma glutamyl transferase (GGT), and aspartate aminotransferase (AST).

Specification	HCT (%)	LEUK (%)	HET (K/μL)	LYM (K/µL)	MON (K/μL)	EOS (K/μL)
С	21.17	12.47	5.80	6.37	0.36	0.15
E1	24.67	12.67	5.71	6.58	0.24	0.15
E2	23.33	12.33	5.35	6.87	0.22	0.12
E3	25.40	15.12	6.60	7.77	0.34	0.53
SEM	0.501	0.388	0.145	0.217	0.051	0.017
Main effect						
Zn-enriched yeast						
Without Zn-enriched yeast	22.25 <sup>b</sup>	12.40	5.57	6.62	0.290	0.137
With Zn-enriched yeast	25.03 <sup>a</sup>	13.89	6.12	7.17	0.290	0.336
Parsley						
Without parsley	22.92	12.57	5.75	6.47	0.300	0.239
With parsley	24.37	13.73	5.92	7.32	0.280	0.137
<i>p</i> -Value						
Zn-enriched yeast	0.012	0.069	0.387	0.215	1.000	0.000
Parsley	0.164	0.151	0.409	0.064	0.846	0.000
Zn-enriched yeast* parsley	0.483	0.111	0.638	0.431	0.252	0.000

TABLE 9 Effect of diet supplementation by Zn-enriched yeast (1%), parsley (2%) and their combination on hematological values under heat stress conditions (weeks 50–53 of age).

C-conventional diet (C);  $E_1$ -C+1% yeast;  $E_2$ -C+2% parsley;  $E_3$ -C+1% yeast and 2% parsley; data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM; means within a column sharing different superscript are significantly different at: p < 0.05 or p < 0.001; hematocrit (HCT), leukocyte (LEUK), heterophyle (HET), lymphocyte (LYM), monocyte (MON), and eosinophil (EOS).

regarded as an important index of egg quality. Most studies carried out on egg storage conditions considered that egg weight loss gradually increased during storage and laying hens' age; therefore, egg quality deterioration is caused by  $CO_2$  and water losses in albumen through eggshells' pores (59). Concerning egg mass loss, similar results were obtained by other authors (60), who found that after 16 days of storage period a 2.65 % egg weight loss was registered, after 26-day storage period a 3.93 % egg weight loss was registered, and again after 31-day storage period a 4.72 % egg weight loss was noticed. According to the previous results, Jones et al. (61) stored unwashed eggs at room temperature and experienced 4.5 to 5.5% weight loss each month.

# 4.5. Effects of heat stress exposure on yolk color evolution

The intention of parsley dietary inclusion was mainly to mitigate heat stress negative effects on laying hens but using this ingredient came in conjunction with a more intense yolk coloring on E2 and E3 groups. It is well known that egg yolk is an important consumer criteria and using coloring natural sources, inexpensive and easy available with high antioxidants properties could be the optimum solution for obtaining a more intense color (59). Therefore, in our study, egg yolk color intensification was achieved by dietary inclusion of parsley. The main egg yolk color parameters evaluated were lightness (L\*), redness (a\*), and yellowness (b\*). It was difficult to find similar studies on parsley to compare the results

of our study with previous studies. However, there are authors (60) that found that a 1.5% parsley supplementation into laying quail diets resulted on a high coloration score of 11.3 on Hoffman La Roche scale.

# 4.6. Effects of dietary ingredients on TBARS values from egg yolk

Our results demonstrated that egg yolk TBARS values were statistically significant reduced (p < 0.05) during the 2nd and the 3rd experimental week by the addition of Zn-enriched yeast, parsley, and the mixture of the two ingredients. The antioxidant effects of parsley and Zn-enriched yeast influenced significant (p < 0.05) the TBARS values compared to C group, reducing the lipid degradation process of eggs stored at room temperature after being initially exposed to heat stress. Several studies conducted on laying hens and their response to different levels of heat stress by adding dietary ingredients to alleviate it (50, 61) demonstrated that high levels of oxidative products inside the birds' body will increase simultaneously in eggs composition. Thring et al. (62) suggested that phytogenic plant mode of action is capable of directly scavenging the stress-related ROS production, the pro-oxidant enzymes including lipoxygenase and NADPH oxidase.

# 4.7. Effects of heat stress on serum biochemical and hematological profiles

In our study, heat stress exposure had little effect on serum biochemical and hematological parameters except for Ca, Fe, GGT, HCT, and HET parameters. These results could be a physiologic evidence that biochemical and hematological parameters could normalize under prolonged heat exposure as animal thermoregulatory response (63). Other authors (64) observed an improvement on serum biochemical traits in broiler chicken when added parsley leafs. Usually, the increased number of white blood cells is seen as a response to bacterial infection. The increased EOS concentration registered on E3 group and also, HET increased concentration on C and E1 groups could be caused by the handling excitement. Thrall et al. (65) stated that blood collection process usually results in a physiologic leukocytosis which increases the concentration of HET and LYM in the peripheral blood, so not necessarily an inflammation process. Studies (66) found that exposure to a continuous heat stress (34.5 for 14 days of 22-dayold broiler) determined significant damage to LYM proliferation ion and differentiation which can cause immune abnormalities. In our experimental results, the UA registered a higher value on E1 group but without statistical significance (p > 0.05). Some authors (67) found that an increasing UA level can ameliorate free radicals and suppress lipid peroxidation. Contrary to our results, Omran (68) observed that HCT values decreased in heat stressed animals most probably due to erythrocytes destruction or hemodilution. Some authors (69, 70) noticed on 6-week-old male broilers that acute heat stress increases the Ca<sup>2+</sup> in lymphocytes, knowing that calcium ions play an essential function in lymphocytes' activation and maturation. In general, the concentration of serum minerals (Mg, Ca, and P) is low, during heat stress, especially when the diets also are poor in these minerals, impairing the absorption and digestion of nutrients (48). Richmond and Mackley (71) stated that parsley has the ability to improve the cell immunity; therefore, the health status is enhanced.

# 5. Conclusion

Study limitation was represented by the experimental time period (4 weeks) in which the poultry had to resist at a permanent hall temperature settled at  $34\pm1^{\circ}$ C, during the entire experimental trial (24/24 h). We used 32 laying hens/group taking into consideration to avoid using a larger number of poultry respecting the 3Rs the main rule (replacement, reduction, and refinement), as stated in the EU Directive 2010/63/UE, which allows us to minimize animal distress and maintain animal welfare but being aware that the temperature is an essential/vital factor poultry welfare.

The results showed that the positive effects of Zn-enriched yeast and parsley, as single dietary inclusion or their combination, sustained egg production, egg mass and egg weight parameter performances, and egg quality during the heat stress study. The two additives demonstrated their antioxidant capacity by delaying the lipid peroxidation during different storage time periods. Taking into consideration the findings obtained from this heat stress study, we can design a nutritional strategy based on inclusion levels experimented within this study, in heat stress conditions, using affordable and efficient solutions to alleviate heat stress in laying hens. Further studies on laying hens are requested to experiment same ingredients, higher inclusion levels during heat stress conditions.

### Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

### **Ethics statement**

The experiment was conducted according to Directive 2010/63/EU, Executive Order No. 28/31.08.2011, Romanian Law No. 43/11.04.2014. The Experimental Protocol No. 118/02.12.2019 was approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Experiments from the National Institute for Research and Development of Animal Biology and Nutrition, Balotesti, Romania.

### Author contributions

GC, TP, and AU contributed to the conceptualization. Methodology was assured by AU, IV, MD, MS, and PV. Software and data validation were realized by GC, TP, and AU. MD, MS, AU, and IV were involved in formal analysis. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This research was funded by the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitalization, Project PN 19 09 0102 and PN 23-20.01.01, and the National Research Development Project Projects to Finance Excellence (PFE)-8/2021.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

### References

1. Godde C, Mason-D'Croz D, Mayberry D, Thornton PK, Herrero M. Risk of climate-related impacts on the livestock sector: a review of the evidence. *Glob Food Sec.* (2021) 28:100488. doi: 10.1016/j.gfs.2020.100488

2. Nawab A, Ibtisham F, Li G, Kieser B, Wu J, Liu W, et al. Heat stress in poultry production: Mitigation strategies to overcome the future challenges facing the global poultry industry. *J Therm Biol.* (2018) 78:131–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2018.08.010

3. Mack LA, Felver-Gant JN, Dennis RL, Cheng HW. Genetic variations alter production and behavioral responses following heat stress in 2 strains of laying hens. *Poult Sci.* (2013) 92:85–94. doi: 10.3382/ps.2012-02589

4. Mignon-Grasteau S, Moreri U, Narcy A, Rousseau X, Rodenburg TB, Tixier-Boichard M, et al. Robustness to chronic heat stress in laying hens: a meta-analysis. *Poult Sci.* (2015) 94:586–600. doi: 10.3382/ps/pev028

5. Xing L, Zhang H, Qi R, Tsao R, Mine Y. Recent advances in the understanding of the health benefits and molecular mechanisms associated with green tea polyphenols. *J Agric Food Chem.* (2019) 67:1029–43. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.8b06146

6. Ibtish F, Nawab A, Niu Y, Wang Z, Wu J, Xiao M, An L. The effect of ginger powder and Chinese herbal medicine on production performance, serum metabolites and antioxidant status of laying hens under heat-stress condition. *J Therm Biol.* (2019) 81:20–4. doi: 10.1016/j.jtherbio.2019.02.002

7. Xing S, Wang X, Diao H, Zhang M, Zhou Y, Feng J. Changes in the cecal microbiota of laying hens during heat stress is mainly associated with reduced feed intake. *Poult Sci.* (2019) 98:5257–64. doi: 10.3382/ps/pez440

8. Pawar S, Sajjanar B, Lonkar V, Kurade N, Kadam A, Nirmal A, et al. Assessing and mitigating the impact of heat stress on poultry. *Adv Anim Vet Sci.* (2016) 4:332–41. doi: 10.14737/journal.aavs/2016/4.6.332.341

 Chand N, Muhammad S, Khan RU, Alhidary IA, Rehman Z. Ameliorative effect of synthetic γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) on performance traits, antioxidant status and immune response in broiler exposed to cyclic heat stress. *Environ Sci Pollut Res.* (2016) 23:23930–5. doi: 10.1007/s11356-016-7604-2

10. Kasote DM, Katyare SS, Hegde MV, Bae H. Significance of antioxidant potential of plants and its relevance to therapeutic applications. *Int J Biol Sci.* (2015) 11:982–91. doi: 10.7150/ijbs.12096

11. Nannoni E, Buonaiuto G, Martelli G, Lizzi G, Trevisani G, Garavini G, et al. Influence of increased freedom of movement on welfare and egg laying pattern of hens kept in aviaries. *Animals.* (2022) 12:2307. doi: 10.3390/ani12182307

12. Tang S, Yin B, Xu J, Bao E. Rosemary reduces heat stress by inducing CRYAB and HSP70 expression in broiler chickens. *Oxid Med Cell Longev.* (2018) 2018:7014126. doi: 10.1155/2018/7014126

13. Gowe RS, Fairfull RW. Breeding for Resistance to Heat Stress. In Poultry Production in Hot Climates. Wallingford: CABI (2008). p. 13–29.

14. Lara LJ, Rostagno MH. Impact of heat stress on poultry production. *Animals.* (2013) 3:356-69. doi: 10.3390/ani3020356

15. Wasti S, Sah N, Mishra B. Impact of heat stress on poultry health and performances, and potential mitigation strategies. *Animals.* (2020) 10:1266. doi: 10.3390/ani10081266

16. Ali NAL, Gaakd M, AL-Nasrawi AM. Effect of addition different levels of parsley leaves powder (petroselinum sativum) to the ration on some blood serum biochemical traits of broiler ross 308. *J Nat Sci.* (2016) 6:18–21.

17. Nielsen BL, Thomsen MG, Sorensen P, Young JF. Feed and strain effects on the use of outdoor areas by broilers. *Br Poult Sci.* (2003) 161–9. doi: 10.1080/0007166031000088389

18. Ojala T, Kuparinen V, Koskinen JP, Alatalo E, Holm L, Auvinen P, et al. Genome sequence of Lactobacillus crispatus ST1. *J Bacteriol.* (2010) 192:3547–8. doi: 10.1128/JB.00399-10

19. Hossain MB, Patras A, Barry-Ryan C, Martin-Diana AB, Brunton NP. Application of principal component and hierarchical cluster analysis to classify different spices based on in vitro antioxidant activity and individual polyphenolic antioxidant compounds. *J Funct Foods.* (2011) 3:179–89. doi: 10.1016/j.jff.2011.03.010

20. Luthri DL. Influence of experimental conditions on the extraction of phenolic compounds from parsley (Petroselinum crispum) flakes using a pressurized liquid extractor. *Food Chem.* (2008) 107:745–52. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.08.074

21. Najib H. The effect of incorporating yeast culture Saccharonzyces cerevisiae in to the Saudi Baladi and White Leghorn layer's diet. *J Appl Anim Res10.* (1996) 181–6. doi: 10.1080/09712119.1996.9706147

22. Stone CW. Yeast Products in the Feed Industry: A Practical Guide for Feed Professionals. Argentina: Engormix, the largest online agricultural community in the world. (2006).

23. Millet S, Maertens L. The European ban on antibiotic growth promoters in animal feed: from challenges to opportunities. *Veterinary Journal.* (2011) 187:143–4. doi: 10.1016/j.tvjl.2010.05.001

24. Azad SK, Farid S, Mohammad A, Karimi T. Production of zinc-enriched biomass of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Elem. (2014) 313–26. doi: 10.5601/jelem.2014.19.2.655

25. Bogani D, Morgan MA, Nelson AC, Costello I, McGouran JF, Kessler BM, et al. The PR/SET domain zinc finger protein Prdm4 regulates gene expression in embryonic stem cells but plays a nonessential role in the developing mouse embryo. *Mol Cell Boil.* (2013) 33:3936–50. doi: 10.1128/MCB.00498-13

26. Prasad AS. Zinc: role in immunity, oxidative stress and chronic inflammation. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care.* (2009) 12:646–52. doi: 10.1097/MCO.0b013e3283312956

27. Hübner C, Haase H. Interactions of zinc- and redox-signaling pathways. *Redox Biol.* (2021) 41:101916. doi: 10.1016/j.redox.2021.101916

28. Bonetti A, Tugnoli B, Piva A, Grilli E. Towards zero zinc oxide: feeding strategies to manage post-weaning diarrhea in piglets. *Animals.* (2021) 11:642. doi: 10.3390/ani11030642

29. Sun J, Li M, Tang Z, Zhang X, Chen J, Sun Z. Effects of Rhodotorula mucilaginosa fermentation product on the laying performance, egg quality, jejunal mucosal morphology and intestinal microbiota of hens. *J Appl Microbiol.* (2020) 128:54–64. doi: 10.1111/jam.14467

30. Hu P, Mao J, Zeng Y, Sun Z, Deng H, Chen C, et al. Isolation, identification, and function of Rhodotorula mucilaginosa TZR2014 and its effects on the growth and health of weaned piglets. *Front Microbiol.* (2022) 13:13. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.922136

31. Haugh R. R. (1937). The Haugh unit for measuring egg quality. US Egg Poult Mag. 43:552–5; 572–3.

32. Feddern V, De Pra MC, Mores R, da Silveira Nicoloso R, Coldebella A, de Abreu PG. Egg quality assessment at different storage conditions, seasons and laying hen strains. Cienc Agrotech. (2017) 41:322–33. doi: 10.1590/1413-70542017413002317

33. Panaite TD, Nour V, Vlaicu PA, Ropota M, Corbu AR, Saracila M. Flaxseed and dried tomato waste used together in laying hens diet. *Arch Anim Nutr.* (2019) 73:222–38. doi: 10.1080/1745039X.2019.1586500

34. Untea A, Criste RC, Vladescu L. Development and validation of a microwave digestion–FAAS procedure for Cu, Mn and Zn determination in liver. *Rev Chim.* (2012) 63:341–6.

35. Untea AE, Varzaru I, Panaite TD, Gavris T, Lupu A, Ropotă M. The effects of dietary inclusion of bilberry and walnut leaves in laying hens' diets on the antioxidant properties of eggs. *Animals.* (2020) 10:191. doi: 10.3390/ani10020191

36. Untea A, Lupu A, Saracila M, Panaite T. Comparison of ABTS, DPPH, phosphomolybdenum assays for estimating antioxidant activity and phenolic compounds in five different plant extracts. *Bull UASVM Anim Sci Biotechnol.* (2018) 75:111–4. doi: 10.15835/buasvmcn-asb:2018.0009

37. Varzaru I, Untea AE, Saracila M. In vitro antioxidant properties of berry leaves and their inhibitory effect on lipid peroxidation of thigh meat from broiler chickens. *Eur J Lipid Sci Technol.* (2020) 122:1900384. doi: 10.1002/ejlt.201900384

38. Papuc C, Goran GV, Predescu CN, Nicorescu V, Stefan G. Plant polyphenols as antioxidant and antibacterial agents for shelf-life extension of meat and meat products: Classification, structures, sources, and action mechanisms. *Compr Rev Food Sci Food Saf.* (2017) 16:1243–68. doi: 10.1111/1541-4337.12298

39. Lipiński K, Mazur M, Antoszkiewicz Z, Purwin C. Polyphenols in monogastric nutrition-a review. Ann Anim Sci. (2017) 17:41–58. doi: 10.1515/aoas-2016-0042

40. Al-Mamary M. Antioxidant activity of commonly consumed vegetables in Yemen. *Malays J Nutr.* (2002) 8:179–189.

41. Kouimska L, Chrpová D, Novy P, Pánek J. The effect of concentration on the antioxidant activity of selected culinary herbs. *J Med Plants Res.* (2013) 7:766–71. doi: 10.5897/JMPR12.638

42. Kuzma P, Druzyńska B, Obiedziński M. Optimization of extraction conditions of some polyphenolic compounds from parsley leaves (Petroselinum crispum). Acta Sci Pol Technol Aliment. (2014) 13:145–54. doi: 10.17306/J.AFS.2014.2.4

43. Daly T, Jiwan MA, O'Brien NM, Aherne SA. Carotenoid content of commonly consumed herbs and assessment of their bioaccessibility using an in vitro digestion model. *Plant Foods Hum Nutr.* (2010) 65:164–169. doi: 10.1007/s11130-010-0167-3

44. Arnold C, Schwarzenbolz U, Böhma V. Carotenoids and chlorophylls in processed xanthophyll-rich food. *LWT - Food Sci Technol.* (2013) 57:442– 5. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2014.01.004

45. Halvorsen BL, Carlsen MH, Phillips KM, Bøhn SK, Holte K, Jacobs DR, et al. Content of redox-active compounds (ie, antioxidants) in foods consumed in the United States. *Am J Clin Nutr.* (2006) n84:95–135. doi: 10.1093/ajcn/84.1.95

46. Kucuk O, Kahraman A, Kurt I, Yildiz N, Onmaz AC. A combination of zinc and pyridoxine supplementation to the diet of laying hens improves performance and egg quality. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* (2008) 126:165–75. doi: 10.1007/s12011-008-8190-z

47. Tahan M, Bayram I. Effect of using black cumin (Nigella sativa) and parsley (Petroselinum crispum) in laying quail diets on egg yield, egg quality and hatchability. *Arch zootech.* (2011) 14:39.

48. Kim DH, Lee YK, Lee SD, Kim SH, Lee SR, Lee HG, et al. Changes in production parameters, egg qualities, fecal volatile fatty acids, nutrient digestibility, and plasma parameters in laying hens exposed to ambient temperature. *Front Vet Sci.* (2020) 7:412. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.00412

49. Farnell MB, Moore RW, McElroy AP, Hargis BM, Caldwell DJ. Effect of prolonged heat stress in single-comb white leghorn hens on progeny resistance to Salmonella enteritidis organ invasion. *Avian Dis.* (2001) 45:479-85. doi: 10.2307/1592992

50. Song Z, Liu L, Sheikhahmadi A, Jiao H, Lin H. Effect of heat exposure on gene expression of feed intake regulatory peptides in laying hens. *J Biotechnol Biomed.* (2012) 2012:484869. doi: 10.1155/2012/484869

51. Mashaly MM, Hendricks GL, Kalama MA, Gehad AE, Abbas AO, Patterson PH. Effect of heat stress on production parameters and immune responses of commercial laying hens. *Poult Sci.* (2004) 83:889–94. doi: 10.1093/ps/83.6.889

52. Manaig MM, Cruz JFD, Khasanah H, Widianingrum DC, Purnamasari L. Heat stress management strategies using plant extracts in poultry. *Intl J Agric Biol.* (2022) 28:235–45. doi: 10.17957/IJAB/15.1975

53. Büyükkiliç Beyzi SELMA, Konca Y, Kaliber M, Sariözkan S, Kocaoglu Güçlü B, Aktug E, et al. Effects of thyme essential oil and A, C, and E vitamin combinations to diets on performance, egg quality, MDA, and 8-OHdG of laying hens under heat stress. *J Appl Anim Res.* (2020) 48:126–32. doi: 10.1080/09712119.2020.1746662

54. Poletti B, de Moraes Vieira M. Shelf life of brown eggs from laying hens of different ages in organic production system. *BJAER*. (2021) 4:2-15. doi: 10.34188/bjaerv4n1-001

55. Samli HE, Agma A, Senkoylu N. Effects of storage time and temperature on egg quality in old laying hens. J Appl Poult Res. (2005) 14:548–53. doi: 10.1093/japr/14.3.548

56. Gharaghani H, Shariatmadari F, Torshizi MA. Effect of fennel (Foeniculum Vulgare Mill.) used as a feed additive on the egg quality of laying hens under heat stress. *Rev Bras Cienc.* (2015) 17:199–207. doi: 10.1590/1516-635x1702199-208

57. Barrett NW, Rowland K, Schmidt CJ, Lamont SJ, Rothschild MF, Ashwell CM, et al. Effects of acute and chronic heat stress on the performance, egg quality, body temperature, and blood gas parameters of laying hens. *Poult Sci.* (2019) 98:6684–92. doi: 10.3382/ps/pez541

58. Aswathi PB, Bhanja SK, Kumar P, Shyamkumar TS, Mehra M, Bhaisare DB, et al. Effect of acute heat stress on the physiological and reproductive parameters of broiler breeder hens-A study under controlled thermal stress. *Indian J of Anim Res.* (2019) 53:1150–5. doi: 10.18805/ijar.B-3641

59. Da Silva Pires PG, Bavaresco C, Wirth ML, Moraes PO. Egg coatings: trends and future opportunities for new coatings development. *World's Poult Sci J.* (2022) 1–13. doi: 10.1080/00439339.2022.2075298 60. Pujols KD, Osorio L, Carrillo EP, Wardy W, Torrico DD, No HK, et al. Comparing effects of  $\alpha$ - vs.  $\beta$ -chitosan coating and emulsion coatings on egg quality during room temperature storage. Int J Food Sci Tech. (2014) 49:1383–90. doi: 10.1111/ijfs.12468

61. Jones DR, Ward GE, Regmi P, Karcher DM. Impact of egg handling and conditions during extended storage on egg quality. *Poult Sci.* (2018) 97:716–23. doi: 10.3382/ps/pex351

62. Thring TS, Hili P, Naughton DP. Antioxidant and potential anti-inflammatory activity of extracts and formulations of white tea, rose, and witch hazel on primary human dermal fibroblast cells. *J Inflamm.* (2011) 8:27. doi: 10.1186/1476-9255-8-27

63. Kirunda DF, Scheideler SE, McKee SR. The efficacy of vitamin E (DL- $\alpha$ -tocopheryl acetate) supplementation in hen diets to alleviate egg quality deterioration associated with high temperature exposure. *Poult Sci.* (2001) 80:1378–83. doi: 10.1093/ps/80.9.1378

64. Zhang ZY, Jia GQ, Zuo JJ, Zhang Y, Lei J, Ren L, Feng DY. Effects of constant and cyclic heat stress on muscle metabolism and meat quality of broiler breast fillet and thigh meat. *Poult Sci.* (2012) 91:2931–7. doi: 10.3382/ps.2012-02255

65. Thrall MA, Weiser G, Allison RW, Campbell TW. Veterinary Hematology and Clinical Chemistry. 2nd ed. Ames, IO: Wiley-Blackwell (2012). p. 776.

66. Hirakawa R, Nurjanah S, Furukawa K, Murai A, Kikusato M, Nochi T, et al. Heat stress causes immune abnormalities via massive damage to effect proliferation and differentiation of lymphocytes in broiler chickens. *Front Vet Sci.* (2020) 7:7–46. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.00046

67. Sun MS, Jin H, Sun X, Huang S, Zhang FL, Guo ZN, Yang Y. Free radical damage in ischemia-reperfusion injury: an obstacle in acute ischemic stroke after revascularization therapy. *Oxidative Med Cell Longev.* (2018) 2018:3804979. doi: 10.1155/2018/3804979

68. Omran FI. Impact of Thermophysiological Reaction on Growth Performance of Buffalo Calves. PhD Thesis. Cairo: Fac. Agric., Cairo Univ., Giza, Egypt. (2018).

69. Satoh E, Edamatsu H, Omata Y. Acute restraint stress enhances calcium mobilization and proliferative response in splenic lymphocytes from mice. *Stress.* (2006) 9:223–30. doi: 10.1080/10253890601095794

70. Han AY, Zhang MH, Zuo XL, Zheng SS, Zhao CF, Feng JH, et al. Effect of acute heat stress on calcium concentration, proliferation, cell cycle, and interleukin-2 production in splenic lymphocytes from broiler chickens. *Poult Sci.* (2010) 89:2063–70. doi: 10.3382/ps.2010-00715

71. Richmond ACK, Mackley SML. *Herbs and Spices*. 2nd ed. London: Loren Books Annes Publishing Inc. (2000).