Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Bruno Solis-Cruz, Universidad Nacional Autonóma de México, Mexico

#### REVIEWED BY

Autchara Kayan, Kasetsart University, Thailand Ruben Merino-Guzman, National Autonomous University of Mexico, Mexico

\*CORRESPONDENCE Wittawat Molee wittawat@sut.ac.th

#### SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Animal Nutrition and Metabolism, a section of the journal Frontiers in Veterinary Science

RECEIVED 29 August 2022 ACCEPTED 22 November 2022 PUBLISHED 14 December 2022

#### CITATION

Lu P, Morawong T, Molee A and Molee W (2022) L-arginine alters myogenic genes expression but does not affect breast muscle characteristics by in ovo feeding technique in slow-growing chickens. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 9:1030873. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.1030873

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Lu, Morawong, Molee and Molee. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# L-arginine alters myogenic genes expression but does not affect breast muscle characteristics by in ovo feeding technique in slow-growing chickens

# Panpan Lu, Thanidtha Morawong, Amonrat Molee and Wittawat Molee\*

School of Animal Technology and Innovation, Institute of Agricultural Technology, Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand

In ovo feeding (IOF) of nutrients is a viable method for increasing muscle mass through hyperplasia and hypertrophy. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of IOF of L-arginine (Arg) on breast muscle weight, muscle morphology, amino acid profile, and gene expression of muscle development in slow-growing chickens. Four hundred eighty fertilized eggs were randomly divided into two groups: the first group was the non-injected control group, and the second group was the Arg group, injected with 1% Arg (0.5 mL) into the amnion on day 18 of incubation. After hatching, 160 birds from each group were randomly divided into four replicates of 40 birds each. This experiment lasted for 63 days. The results showed that IOF of Arg did not affect (P > 0.05) breast muscle weight, muscle morphology, and mRNA expression of mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathway in slow-growing chickens. However, the amino acid profile of breast muscle was altered (P < 0.05) on the day of hatching (DOH), day 21 (D21), and day 42 (D42) post-hatch, respectively. Myogenic factor 5 (Myf5) mRNA expression was upregulated (P < 0.05) on D21 post-hatch. Myogenic regulator 4 (MRF4) mRNA expression was increased (P < 0.05) on DOH. And myogenin (*MyoG*) was increased (P < 0.05) on DOH and D21 post-hatch, in the Arg group compared to the control group. Overall, IOF of 1% Arg improved the expression of myogenic genes but did not influence muscle morphology and BMW. These results indicate that in ovo Arg dosage (0.5 mL/egg) has no adverse effect on breast muscle development of slow-growing chickens.

#### KEYWORDS

L-arginine, in ovo feeding, muscle development, gene expression, slow-growing chicken

## Introduction

In recent years, the meat of slow-growing chickens has gained popularity and is recognized globally for its excellent meat quality (1, 2). However, they produce less meat (especially breast meat) compared to fast-growing chickens (3). This disadvantage limits their development in the future. To promote poultry productivity and improve market competitiveness, this study needs to develop a strategy to increase the meat yield of slow-growing chickens.

Meat yield is an important economic trait that attributes to the increase of skeletal muscle mass, and which depends on the increase of the number (hyperplasia) and size (hypertrophy) of muscle fibers. In birds, the number of muscle fibers is fixed at hatching. After hatching, muscle development occurs by increasing of muscle fiber size. The skeletal muscle fibers are derived from satellite cells (also called myoblasts) and their proliferative progeny can be marked by paired box 7 (Pax7) (4). Then, these satellite cells proliferate, differentiate, and fuse into myotubes and then incorporate into existing adjacent fibers, resulting in muscle fiber hypertrophy (5). This process is regulated by myogenic regulatory factors (MRFs) that specify muscle fiber development, including myogenic differentiation factor 1 (MyoD), myogenic factor 5 (Myf5), myogenic regulator 4 (MRF4), and myogenin (MyoG) (6). Mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) is a vital signaling pathway to mediate protein synthesis for cell proliferation and muscle hypertrophy by activating its downstream targets of ribosomal protein S6 kinase 1 (S6K1) and eIF4E-binding protein 1 (4EBP1) (7). Obviously, there is a close relationship among these genes for muscle fiber hypertrophy and muscle mass (8, 9). In consideration of the above characters of muscle development. It is suggested that the addition of exogenous nutrients to the embryo may be a novel way to increase muscle mass through hyperplasia and hypertrophy of muscle fibers.

In ovo feeding (IOF) is a viable method that delivers appropriate nutrients to the amnion at the later stages of the embryo (10, 11). Numerous studies have demonstrated that IOF of exogenous nutrients plays a positive role in embryonic development and post-hatching performance in poultry (12– 15). L-arginine (Arg) is a nutritionally essential amino acid for poultry and has many biological and physiological functions (16), one of the primary functions is to synthesize protein for supporting cell growth. Previous studies have shown that IOF of Arg can activate the mTOR signaling pathway and protein accumulation in broilers in the starter period (17–19). *In vitro* experiments indicated that Arg stimulates myogenesis in broiler muscle (20). Furthermore, Arg has a positive effect on muscle growth in poultry (21–23). However, studies on IOF of nutrients in slow-growing chickens are rare.

The Korat chicken (KRC) is one of the slow-growing chicken breeds. It was crossbred from the indigenous line (Leung Hang Khao) and the Suranaree University of Technology (SUT) line. The meat of KRC has high collagen and good texture (24), and the body weight can get to around 1.2 kg at 9 weeks of age. KRC is a representative of the slow-growing chickens in Thailand and is supported by a national policy that encourages farmers to increase their incomes. To our knowledge, we hypothesize that IOF of Arg can improve breast muscle weight (BMW) by hyperplasia and hypertrophy of muscle fiber at the market age. Moreover, it is unclear how IOF of Arg regulates the gene expression patterns of muscle development for muscle fiber hypertrophy post-hatch in slow-growing chickens.

Therefore, the present study aimed to evaluate the effects of IOF of Arg on BMW, muscle morphology, amino acid profile, and gene expression of muscle development in slowgrowing chickens.

### Materials and methods

All experimental protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Use of the SUT, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand (user application ID: U1-02633-2559).

#### Eggs and incubation

Four hundred eighty fertilized KRC eggs (Leung Hang Khao males and SUT females) were obtained from the SUT farm (Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand). All eggs (range = 54-60 g) were randomly distributed in an incubator (Model Pet. 192-IV; Petersime Incubation Equipment Co., Ltd., Zulte, Belgium). The optimal incubation condition was maintained at  $37.8^{\circ}$ C with 60% relative humidity, and eggs were turned automatically per hour.

# Preparation of the solution and IOF procedure

One percent Arg solution was freshly prepared according to a previous study (25) with modification; that is, 1.5 g Arg (Sigma-Aldrich Inc., St. Louis, MO, USA) was dissolved in 150 mL of 0.9% saline, it equivalent to 5 mg of Arg per egg. The Arg solution was sterilized by autoclaving at 120°C for 15 min and then nullified through a 0.45  $\mu$ m membrane filter.

On day 18 of incubation, all eggs were candled by an electric torch, and unfertilized eggs were removed and discarded. Meanwhile, 480 embryonated eggs ( $59.0 \pm 1.0$  g) was randomly divided into two groups. The first group was the non-injected group (control group), and the second group was injected with 1% Arg (Arg group). The large end surface of eggs in the Arg group were disinfected with 75% alcohol. The pinhole was punched and 0.5 mL of Arg solution (5 mg/egg) was injected into the amnion using a 21-gauge needle (26). The eggs of

the control group were kept outside the incubator with the same environmental conditions as those of the Arg group. After injection, the holes were sealed with paraffin wax. The eggs of each treatment were randomly divided into four replicates with 60 eggs each, and each basket was a replicate. The eggs were placed in the hatching baskets and transferred to the hatcher to perform the hatchery program.

#### Bird rearing

After hatching, a total of 160 mixed-sex and healthy birds from each group with similar body weight (BW) close to the mean BW of the pooled group were randomly divided into four replicates of 40 birds each. Each treatment group was replicated in 4 pens, total eight litter floor pens were provided in this experiment, and each pen was regarded as a replicate. Each replicate was reared separately in an open-sided house with natural ventilation. The experiment lasted for 63 days. All birds were provided ad libitum the commercial corn-soybean meal feed (Charoen Pokphand Co., Ltd., Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand) and fresh water. The nutrient contents of feed for the three feeding periods were determined by the AOAC methods (27) and are shown in Table 1. The vaccination program for the birds was carried out according to SUT farm guidelines.

#### **Tissue collection**

On the day of hatching (DOH), day (D21), day (D42), and day (D63) post-hatch, eight birds per group (two male birds per replicate) with similar mean BW of their pen were selected, weighed, and killed after using chloroform. The entire breast muscle was weighed and small parts of the breast muscle were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for morphological analysis. The pectoralis major of the breast muscle was collected, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C for amino acid and mRNA expression analyses.

#### Morphological observation

The breast muscle samples were fixed in 10% buffered formalin at room temperature for 24 h, and were dehydrated by different concentration of ethanol, and cleared in xylene, then a small cube was embedded in paraffin, the sections of  $5\,\mu$ m thickness were cut by a cryostat and mounted on glass slides, the sections were dewaxed with xylene, and hydrated by ethanol. Then the samples were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. All sections of the breast muscle were observed and five representative sections per sample were monitored under a light microscope (Olympus CX21, United States). The muscle fiber number (MFN) and muscle fiber diameter (MFD) were measured in surface of 1 mm<sup>2</sup> cross-section of the sample using an image analyzer (Image-Pro Plus 5.0 software, Media Cybernetics Inc., Bethesda, MD, USA).

#### Amino acid analysis

Amino acid content was measured as previously described (28) with modifications. Fresh breast muscles (80 mg) were placed in 10 mL hydrolysis tubes with 4 mL of 6 M hydrochloric acid solution, filled with nitrogen gas for 5 min, and then transferred to the heating incubator at 110°C for 24 h. The supernatant was transferred to centrifuge tubes through 0.45  $\mu$ m membrane filters for ready use. Then 1  $\mu$ L of the solution was prepared for injection, including 40  $\mu$ L internal standard (norleucine), 250  $\mu$ L sample supernatant, and 710  $\mu$ L buffer, and measured using an ultra-ninhydrin solution at detection wavelength of 570 nm by an amino acid analyzer (Biochrom 30+, Cambridge, UK). The amino acid content was expressed as milligrams per gram of breast muscle.

# Total RNA isolation and reverse transcription

Total RNA was isolated from breast muscle using TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The purity and concentration of RNA were measured using a NanoDrop 2,000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The ratio of OD 260/280 was between 1.8 and 2.0, which was considered qualified. The RNA integrity was verified using polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. DNase I was used to eliminate DNA contamination. Complementary DNA was synthesized in 20  $\mu$ L volume with 1  $\mu$ g RNA using a reverse transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

#### **Real-time PCR**

The primers for the target genes were designed using Primer Premier 5 software according to the mRNA sequences of *Gallus gallus* in the NCBI database and synthesized by Gibthai (Bangkok, Thailand). The endogenous reference gene was glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) based on the recommendation of a previous study (29). The sequences are presented in Table 2. The mRNA expression of these genes was quantified by real-time PCR using a Light Cycler 480 System (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). This reaction system was performed in a 20  $\mu$ L volume, containing 10  $\mu$ L of SYBR Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Thermo Fisher Scientific), 2  $\mu$ L of cDNA (diluted 1:10), 1  $\mu$ L of forwarding primer (10  $\mu$ M), 1  $\mu$ L of reverse primer

Items	Starter diet (DOH-D21)	Grower diet (D22–D42)	Finisher diet (D43-D63) 94.21	
Dry matter	93.83	93.51		
Gross energy, (MJ/Kg)	12.54	12.96	13.38	
Crude protein	22.72	20.46	18.65	
Crude fat	5.20	6.74	6.66	
Crude fiber	3.44	3.45	3.55	
Ash	4.70	4.58	4.19	
Lysine	1.78	1.43	0.92	
Methionine	0.34	0.25	0.28	
Threonine	1.01	0.85	0.73	
Arginine	1.58	1.13	0.55	

TABLE 1 Analyzed nutrient composition of the basal diets (as-fed basis, % unless stated otherwise).

(10  $\mu$ M) and 6  $\mu$ L of diethylpyrocarbonate-treated water. The cycling conditions for real-time PCR were as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min, 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 s, the annealing temperature of specific primers for 35 s, and final dissociation stages at 95°C for 5 s and 72°C for 5 min, and the melting curves were analyzed to determine the specificity of all target genes. The experiment for each sample of target genes was carried out in triplicate. The difference in mRNA expression of each target gene was calculated using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta ct}$  method (30), and the expression of the *GAPDH* gene was used as an internal control.

#### Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed by independent *t*-tests using SPSS software (version 22.0, IBM Corp. 1989, 2013, New York, USA), and the statistical significances between the two groups were denoted as P < 0.05. Additionally, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed to classify the samples from the two groups for visualizing the underlying data structure by using the Unscrambler X 10.5 software (CAMO Software, Oslo, Norway). Heatmaps of Pearson correlation were conducted to assess the relationships between the breast muscle characteristics (BMW and MFD) and gene expression of muscle development using Graph-Pad Prism version 8.0 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA).

## Results

# Breast muscle weight and muscle morphology

In Table 3, the IOF of Arg did not affect (P > 0.05) BMW and muscle morphology (MFN and MFD) from DOH to D63 post-hatch, compared to the control group.

### Amino acid profile

As shown in Figure 1A, the amino acid contents (serine, glutamic acid, proline, glycine, valine, isoleucine, phenylalanine, histidine, and arginine) in the breast muscle on DOH were significantly higher (P < 0.05) owing to the IOF of Arg. The contents of proline, phenylalanine, and arginine were significantly higher (P < 0.05) in the breast muscle on D21 post-hatch owing to IOF of Arg (Figure 1B). Moreover, the IOF of Arg significantly increased (P < 0.05) the contents of valine, isoleucine, histidine, and arginine in the breast muscle on D42 (Figure 1C) post-hatch and had no effect (P > 0.05) on D63 post-hatch (Figure 1D), compared to the control group.

# Gene MRNA expression related to the muscle development

In Figure 2, the mRNA expression of *mTOR*, *4EBP1*, and *S6K1* of the breast muscle did not differ (P > 0.05) between the Arg and control groups from DOH to D63 post-hatch.

Gene mRNA expression related to MRFs of the breast muscle is shown in Figure 3. There were no significant differences (P > 0.05) in the mRNA expression of *Pax7* and *MyoD* in the breast muscle between the two groups from DOH to D63 post-hatch. The mRNA expression of *Myf5* was significantly upregulated (P < 0.05) in the Arg group on D21 post-hatch. The mRNA expression of *MyoG* was higher (P < 0.05) in the Arg group on DOH and D21, respectively. The mRNA expression of *MRF4* was higher (P < 0.05) in the Arg group on DOH compared to the control group.

#### Principal component analysis

PCA score of breast muscle characteristics (BMW and MFD) and gene expression of muscle development (*Pax7, MyoD*,

Gene	Gene bank	Primers sequence $(5'-3')$	Product size (bp)
	accession no.		
mTOR	XM_417614.6	F:CTCAGCGGGAACCAAAAGA	125
		R:ATGGATTCGGTCATCACGG	
S6K1	NM_001030721.1	F:GAGGAGTGGGCATAATCGTG	154
		R:TGTGAGGTAGGGAGGCAAAT	
4EBP1	XM_424384.6	F:CCTGATGGAGTGCCGTAAT	77
		R:GGCTGGTAACACCTGGAAT	
Pax7	NM_205065.1	F:CAAAGGGAACAGGCTGGATG	102
		R:TGCTCGGCAGTGAAAGTGGT	
МуоД	L34006.1	F:CACAGTCACCGTTTTCCCA	102
		R:GCCTCACAGCACAAGCATC'	
Myf5	NM_001030363.1	F:GAGGAGGAGGCTGAAGAAAG	119
		R:CGATGTACCTGATGGCGTT	
MyoG	NM_204184.1	F:GGATGGTGATGCTGGAAGGA	93
		R:GGAAAGGATTTGGGCGGTT	
MRF4	D10599.1	F:AGGCTCTGAAAAGGCGGACT	169
		<b>R:TTGGGGCTGAAGCTGAAGG</b>	
GAPDH	NM 204305.1	F:GAGGGTAGTGAAGGCTGCTG	113
		R:CATCAAAGGTGGAGGAATGG	

TABLE 2 Primer sequences used for real-time PCR.

mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; S6K1, ribosomal protein S6 kinase 1; 4EBP1, eIF4E-binding protein 1; Pax7, paired box 7; MyoD, myogenic differentiation 1; Myf5, myogenic factor 5; MyoG, myogenin; MRF4, myogenic regulator 4; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase.

TABLE 3 In ovo feeding of L-arginine on breast muscle weight and muscle morphology in slow-growing chickens.

Items	Treatments		SEM	P-value
	Control	Arg		
Breast muscle weight, (%)				
DOH	1.20	1.21	0.016	0.788
D21	7.05	7.31	0.222	0.427
D42	8.59	8.69	0.180	0.694
D63	10.90	11.74	0.281	0.148
Muscle fiber diameter, $(\mu m)$				
DOH	5.69	5.95	0.138	0.272
D21	18.03	20.11	0.832	0.199
D42	22.78	21.56	0.847	0.429
D63	34.10	34.43	0.355	0.599
Muscle fiber number, D63, (per mm <sup>2</sup> )	465.02	438.26	0.134	0.253

Breast muscle weight (%) = the ratio of the breast muscle weight to BW.

DOH, day of hatching; D21, day 21; D42, day 42; D63, day 63.

Control, non-injected group; Arg, 1% L-arginine-injected group.

SEM, standard error of the mean. Values are means with n = 8 per treatment.

*Myf5*, *MyoG*, *MRF4*, *mTOR*, *4EBP1*, and *S6k1*) from the two groups in slow-growing chickens are presented in Figure 4. As shown in Figures 4A,B, PC1 explained 41 and 40% of variances, respectively. It separated the clustering of samples from the control and Arg groups on DOH and D21, respectively. As shown in Figures 4C,D, there was no clear separation between the control and Arg groups on D42 and D63, respectively.

#### Correlation analysis

The results of the correlation analysis between breast muscle characteristics (BMW and MFD) and gene expression of muscle development (*Pax7*, *MyoD*, *Myf5*, *MyoG*, *MRF4*, *mTOR*, *4EBP1*, and *S6k1*) are presented in Figure 5.

On DOH, the expression of *MyoG* showed a positive correlation with *MyoD* gene (r = 0.909, P = 0.032). The expression of *MRF4* gene was positively correlated with *Pax7* (r = 0.657, P = 0.020) and *Myf5* (r = 0.758, P = 0.011) genes, respectively. The expression of *mTOR* gene also had positive correlation with *Pax7* (r = 0.834, P = 0.010) and *MyoG* (r = 0.984, P = 0.016) genes, respectively. Whereas *S6K1* gene expression was positively correlated with *MyoD* (r = 0.758, P = 0.018) and *MRF4* (r = 0.758, P = 0.028) genes, respectively.

On D21, the *Myf5* gene expression was positively correlated with *MyoG* (r = 0.690, P = 0.027), *MRF4* (r = 0.773, P = 0.003), and *4EBP1* (r = 0.597, P = 0.040) genes, respectively. The expression of *MyoG* gene had positive correlation with *mTOR* gene (r = 0.605, P = 0.037). And the expression of *mTOR* gene was positively correlated with *4EBP1* (r = 0.697, P = 0.012) and *S6K1* (r = 0.583, P = 0.047) genes, respectively. A positive correlation was obtained between *4EBP1* and *S6K1* genes expression (r = 0.674, P = 0.027).

On D42, the expression of *Pax7* gene was positively correlated with *MyoG* gene (r = 0.851, P = 0.032) and negatively correlated with *4EBP1* gene (r = -0.813, P = 0.049),



Effects of in ovo feeding of L-arginine on the changes of amino acid profile in the breast muscle of slow-growing chickens. (A) Day of hatching; (B) day 21; (C) day 42; (D) day 63. Control, non-injected group; Arg, 1% L-arginine-injected group. Asp, aspartic acid; Thr, threonine; Ser, serine; Glu, glutamic acid; Pro, proline; Gly, glycine; Ala, alanine; Val, valine; Ile, isoleucine; Leu, leucine; Tyr, tyrosine; Phe, phenylalanine; His, histidine; Lys, lysine; Arg, arginine. SEM, standard error of the mean. Values are means with n = 8 per treatment. Different superscripts with the same time point indicate significant differences between the two groups (P < 0.05).

respectively. The *MyoD* gene expression had a positive correlation with *mTOR* gene (r = 0.915, P = 0.029). Whereas *Myf5* gene expression showed a positive correlation with *MRF4* gene (r = 0.776, P = 0.040).

On D63, the gene expression of *Pax7* was positively correlated with *MyoD* gene (r = 0.718, P = 0.045) and BMW (r = 0.755, P = 0.030), respectively. The *MyoG* gene expression also had a positive correlation with *mTOR* gene (r = 0.865, P = 0.026). A negative correlation was found between *MRF4* and *S6K1* genes (r = -0.835, P = 0.010). In addition, the *4EBP1* gene expression was positively correlated with BMW (r = 0.754, P = 0.031).

### Discussion

In the present study, the IOF of Arg had no effect on BMW and muscle morphology (MFN and MFD). These results are in agreement with those of Li et al. (20), who demonstrated that IOF of different levels of Arg had no positive influence on the breast muscle of broiler chickens in the starter period. In contrast, some studies reported that IOF of 1% Arg increased BMW in poultry during D21 post-hatch (18, 22, 31). The differences between these studies could be due to the different genetic backgrounds, Arg concentrations, volumes, and injection times. The increase in MFD is an indicator of the increase in muscle mass by the accumulation



of more satellite cells. Castro et al. (23) reported that Arg supplementation in broiler chickens did not improve MFD on D42. Fernandes et al. (21) also indicated that dietary supplementation with Arg responded positively to MFD of broiler chickens in the starter period. Arg is not only involved in protein synthesis, but also regulates energy metabolism by being converted to glucose *via* gluconeogenesis (25, 32). However, further studies are needed to determine whether Arg plays a role in energy metabolism for the energy supply of slow-growing chickens, which could explain the results of the present study.

Changes in amino acid profile respond to variations in muscle mass. Previous reports have shown that Arg can be absorbed and entered the blood and muscles to alter their amino acid profile, then increased BMW and body growth during the starter period post-hatch in broiler chickens by IOF administration (18, 33). The results of the present study are consistent with previous studies and showed that Arg administration to the embryo modulated the amino acid profile in muscle until the grower period post-hatch in slow-growing chickens. Ohta et al. (34) also reported that IOF of the amino acids in the egg increased amino acid accumulation and stimulated amino acid utilization by increasing amino acid synthesis and decreasing amino acid degradation for maximum growth. In addition, Al-Murrani (35) found that supplementing the embryo with amino acids of the same profile as in the egg resulted in increased chick weight until D56 post-hatch. Combined with the results of BMW and muscle morphology, our results imply that changes in amino acid profiles may not improve amino acid utilization by IOF of Arg. This could be due to the amino acid profiles of breast muscle being different from those in the embryo and cannot respond to muscle growth from DOH to D42 post-hatch in slow-growing chickens.

Arg is able to stimulate protein synthesis by the mTOR signaling pathway. However, the results of the present study did not differ by IOF of Arg. This result is not consistent with the previous pieces of literature; some studies have shown



that Arg activated the mTOR signaling pathway by in ovo administration in broiler chickens or *in vitro* experiments (17, 18, 36). Earlier studies have also shown that the Arg diet activated the mTOR signaling pathway and inhibited the gene expression of protein degradation in layers (37, 38), due to protein deposition depends on the positive balance between protein synthesis and degradation (39). In addition, Arg can secrete growth hormone (GH), insulin-like growth factor-1



(IGF-1), and insulin (40), which are involved in the mTOR signaling pathway and stimulate protein synthesis (41, 42). Xu et al. (43) revealed that dietary Arg increased the secretion of GH, IGF-1 and insulin and enhanced growth performance in broiler chickens. Arg and GH/IGF-1/insulin might have a joint effect on protein synthesis. We, therefore, speculate that the mTOR signaling pathway in slow-growing chickens is controlled by Arg and GH/IGF-1/insulin. Further studies should be conducted to explore the effects of IOF of Arg on GH, IGF-1, and insulin. Moreover, IOF of Arg inhibits protein degradation in slow-growing chickens.

*Pax7* controls the survival of satellite cells and is the myogenic precursors that express the basic helix-loop-helic transcription factors MyoD and Myf5 (44). MyoD is a master transcription factor for myogenic determination. The co-expression of *Pax7* and *MyoD* is correlated to the activation of satellite cells during myogenesis (45). In our study, *Pax7* and *MyoD* genes did not differ in the two groups, which is consistent with the results of Li et al. (20), who demonstrated that IOF of Arg had no effect on *Pax7* and *MyoD* activity may influence the muscle development (46). *Myf5* is also a member of MyoD-family in transcription factors for regulating the muscle development (47). The present study showed that *Myf5* mRNA expression was upregulated on D21 posthatch by IOF of Arg. And, *MyoD* and *Myf5* are known

to be early or committed MRFs (48). These two genes are required for the regulation of myoblast proliferation from myogenic precursor cells and for the acquisition of the myoblast phenotype (49). The loss of MyoD or Myf5 functions in satellite cells led to the regeneration process failing after muscle injury (50). Kablar et al. (51) also indicated that Myf5expression in the limb is insufficient for myogenic development. Collectively, our results imply that satellite cells may not be activated and only Myf5 may not be sufficient to increase the proliferation of satellite cells by IOF of Arg in slowgrowing chickens.

The other two MRFs are *MyoG* and *MRF4*. *MyoG* is expressed in the first step of terminal differentiation to promote the myocyte fusion (52). Our data showed that *MyoG* mRNA expression was upregulated on DOH and D21 post-hatch, respectively. This result is consistent with previous studies, Li et al. (20) revealed that IOF of Arg increased *MyoG* gene expression in broiler chickens. Subramaniyan et al. (53) also demonstrated that *MyoG* protein was upregulated during embryogenesis by IOF of Arg. *MRF4* contributes to the later steps of myotube maturation after fusion (54). Our data showed that *MRF4* mRNA expression was increased on DOH by IOF of Arg. These results imply that both *MyoG* and *MRF4* genes may promote the terminal differentiation of satellite cells. However, it is speculated that these two genes are not mainly responsible for the enlargement of MFD because satellite cell numbers



are not increased and may not form more myotubes in slowgrowing chickens.

Changes in muscle mass induced by external Arg stimulation resulted from the growth of the individual muscle fibers. The *mTOR* is a major player in mediating muscle mass, which can sense intracellular changes *via* nutrient availability, and coordinate the cell growth, proliferation, differentiation, and survival (55). *Pax7* are indicator of satellite cells survival and is the myogenic precursors (4). *MyoD*, *Myf5*, *MRF4*, and *MyoG* are MRFs and play key role in muscle fiber growth and hypertrophy (56). Therefore, BMW and MFD is associated with gene expression of muscle development. The PCA results indicate that the PCA model is a good tool that clearly distinguishes the differences between the breast muscle characteristics (BMW and MFD) and gene expression of muscle development from the Arg and control group on DOH and D21 compared to D42 and D63. It is well known that Arg can improve protein synthesis and cell growth for muscle growth *via* the mTOR signaling pathway. This conclusion has been proved in poultry (18, 22). Taken together, these results suggest that IOF of Arg can play its role until starter period, and adding Arg into embryo is beneficial for the muscle development. Because of the body weight of chicken grow rapidly in the starter period, external Arg supply is necessary to meet the optimal growth of chickens. Which may be an explanation that why the previous studies focused

on the effects of IOF of Arg on chickens in the starter period (18, 20, 25).

Furtherly, the positive correlations between the breast muscle characteristics (BMW and MFD) and gene expression of muscle development can be found from DOH to D63. The correlation results vary with age in these four periods, due to these genes have different expression patterns in different periods for regulating the MFD and BMW. Previous study reported that the mTOR/S6K1 pathway promoted differentiation of myogenic C2C12 cell via regulating MyoD gene, it implied that S6K1 had ability to facilitate maturity and hypertrophy of muscle fibers (57). The deficiency of MyoG resulted in the decrease of body size in mice (58). Our results showed that the genes of muscle development (mTOR signaling pathway) had correlation each other in four periods, indicating that the genes of myogenesis and mTOR signaling pathway are interactive and work together for muscle development. As described in previous studies; Zhang et al. (59) found that MRFs genes were positively related to some growth traits in Tibetan chickens. Zhang et al. (9) found that the mTOR pathway was responsible for controlling the myogenic process. Rion et al. (60) also revealed that the knockdown of mTOR reduced the myogenic gene expression. In addition, a negative correlation was found on D42 and D63, respectively, which may be related to the temporal specificity of gene expression.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, IOF of 1% Arg to amnion changed the amino acid profile and improved myogenic genes (Myf5, MyoG and MRF4) expression, but did not influence muscle morphology and BMW. Thus, these findings suggest that in ovo Arg dosage (0.5 mL/egg) has no adverse effect on breast muscle development of slow-growing chickens. Further study is needed to confirm the optimal dosage of IOF of Arg for significantly improving breast muscle growth post-hatch in slow-growing chickens.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

### Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Use of the Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand.

### Author contributions

PL: investigation, formal analysis, writing—original draft, and writing—review and editing. TM: investigation. AM: conceptualization, methodology, supervision, and funding acquisition. WM: conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, writing—review editing, supervision, project administration, and funding acquisition. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## Funding

This study was supported by the Center of Excellence on Technology and Innovation of Korat Chicken Business Development, which was granted by the Suranaree University of Technology (Grant Number CoE3-303-62-60-02).

## Acknowledgments

PL would like to thank the Potential Graduate Students Scholarship from the Suranaree University of Technology to support the current work.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

1. Sarsenbek A, Wang T, Zhao JK, Jiang W. Comparison of carcass yields and meat quality between Baicheng-You chickens and Arbor Acres broilers. *Poult Sci.* (2013) 92:2776–82. doi: 10.3382/ps.2012-02841

2. Devatkal SK, Naveena BM, Kotaiah T. Quality, composition, and consumer evaluation of meat from slow-growing broilers relative to commercial broilers. *Poult Sci.* (2019) 98:6177–86. doi: 10.3382/ps/pez344

3. Berri C, Le Bihan-Duval E, Baéza E, Chartrin P, Picgirard L, Jehl N et al. Further processing characteristics of breast and leg meat from fast-, medium-and slow-growing commercial chickens. *Anim Res.* (2005) 54:123–34. doi: 10.1051/animres:2005008

4. Halevy O, Piestun Y, Allouh MZ, Rosser BW, Rinkevich Y, Reshef R et al. Pattern of Pax7 expression during myogenesis in the posthatch chicken establishes a model for satellite cell differentiation and renewal. *Dev Dyn.* (2004) 231:489–502. doi: 10.1002/dvdy.20151

5. Velleman SG. Muscle development in the embryo and hatchling. *Poult Sci.* (2007) 86:1050–4. doi: 10.1093/ps/86.5.1050

 Asfour HA, Allouh MZ, Said RS. Myogenic regulatory factors: the orchestrators of myogenesis after 30 years of discovery. *Exp Biol Med.* (2018) 243:118–28. doi: 10.1177/1535370217749494

7. Hay N, Sonenberg N. Upstream and downstream of mTOR. *Genes Dev.* (2004) 18:1926-45. doi: 10.1101/gad.1212704

8. Aguiar AF, Vechetti-Junior IJ, De Souza RA, Castan EP, Milanezi-Aguiar RC, Padovani C et al. Myogenin, MyoD and IGF-I regulate muscle mass but not fiber-type conversion during resistance training in rats. *Int J Sports Med.* (2013) 34:293–301. doi: 10.1055/s-0032-1321895

9. Zhang P, Liang X, Shan T, Jiang Q, Deng C, Zheng R et al. mTOR is necessary for proper satellite cell activity and skeletal muscle regeneration. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* (2015) 463:102–8. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2015.05.032

10. Kadam MM, Barekatain MR, Bhanja KS, Iji PA. Prospects of in ovo feeding and nutrient supplementation for poultry: the science and commercial applications—a review. J Sci Food Agric. (2013) 93:3654–61. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.6301

11. Das R, Mishra P, Jha R. In ovo feeding as a tool for improving performance and gut health of poultry: a review. *Front Vet Sci.* (2021) 8:754246. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2021.754246

12. Zhang XY Li LL, Miao LP, Zhang NN, Zou XT. Effects of in ovo feeding of cationic amino acids on hatchability, hatch weights, and organ developments in domestic pigeon squabs (Columba livia). *Poult Sci.* (2018) 97:110–7. doi: 10.3382/ps/pex260

13. Zhu Y, Zhao J, Wang C, Zhang F, Huang X, Ren Z et al. Exploring the effectiveness of in ovo feeding of vitamin C based on the embryonic vitamin C synthesis and absorption in broiler chickens. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol.* (2021) 12:86. doi: 10.1186/s40104-021-00607-w

14. Gamboa Gonzales NF, Alves Leão AP, Ribeiro Alvarenga R, Zangeronimo MG. The effects of in ovo injection with sulfur amino acids and folic acid on the gene expression, relative organ weights, hematologic parameters, performance, and carcass characteristics of broiler chickens. *Anim Biotechnol.* (2022) 9:1–12. doi: 10.1080/10495398.2022.2081578

15. Han G, Cui Y, Shen D, Li M, Ren Y, Bungo T et al. In ovo feeding of Lleucine improves antioxidative capacity and spleen weight and changes amino acid concentrations in broilers after chronic thermal stress. *Front Vet Sci.* (2022) 9:862572. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.862572

16. Khajali F, Wideman R. Dietary arginine: metabolic, environmental, immunological and physiological interrelationships. *World Poult Sci J.* (2010) 66:751–66. doi: 10.1017/S0043933910000711

17. Gao T, Zhao M, Zhang L, Li J, Yu L, Gao F et al. In ovo feeding of l-arginine regulates intestinal barrier functions of posthatch broilers by activating the mTOR signaling pathway. *J Sci Food Agric.* (2018) 98:1416–25. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.8609

18. Yu LL, Gao T, Zhao MM, Lv PA, Zhang L, Li JL et al. Effects of in ovo feeding of L-arginine on breast muscle growth and protein deposition in post-hatch broilers. *Animal.* (2018) 12:2256–63. doi: 10.1017/S1751731118000241

19. Wang R, Li K, Sun L, Jiao H, Zhou Y, Li H et al. L-Arginine/nitric oxide regulates skeletal muscle development *via* muscle fibre-specific nitric oxide/mTOR pathway in chickens. *Anim Nutr.* (2022) 10:68–85. doi: 10.1016/j.aninu.2022.04.010

20. Li Y, Wang Y, Willems E, Willemsen H, Franssens L, Buyse J et al. In ovo Larginine supplementation stimulates myoblast differentiation but negatively affects muscle development of broiler chicken after hatching. *J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr.* (2016) 100:167-77. doi: 10.1111/jpn.12299 21. Fernandes JIM, Murakami AE, Martins EN, Sakamoto MI, Garcia ERM. Effect of arginine on the development of the pectoralis muscle and the diameter and the protein: deoxyribonucleic acid rate of its skeletal myofibers in broilers. *Poult Sci.* (2009) 88:1399–406. doi: 10.3382/ps.2008-00214

22. Zhang XY, Wan XP, Miao LP, Zou XT, Dong XY. Effects of in ovo feeding of L-arginine on hatchability, hatching time, early posthatch development, and carcass traits in domestic pigeons (Columba livia). *J Anim Sci.* (2017) 95:4462–71. doi: 10.2527/jas2017.1776

23. Castro F, Su S, Choi H, Koo E, Kim W. L-Arginine supplementation enhances growth performance, lean muscle, and bone density but not fat in broiler chickens. *Poult Sci.* (2019) 98:1716–22. doi: 10.3382/ps/pey504

24. Sangsawad P, Kiatsongchai R, Chitsomboon B, Yongsawatdigul J. Chemical and cellular antioxidant activities of chicken breast muscle subjected to various thermal treatments followed by simulated gastrointestinal digestion. *J Food Sci.* (2016) 81:C2431-C8. doi: 10.1111/1750-3841.13418

25. Yu LL, Gao T, Zhao MM, Lv PA, Zhang L, Li JL et al. In ovo feeding of L-arginine alters energy metabolism in post-hatch broilers. *Poult Sci.* (2018) 97:140–8. doi: 10.3382/ps/pex272

26. Uni Z, Ferket PR, Tako E, Kedar O. In ovo feeding improves energy status of late-term chicken embryos. *Poult Sci.* (2005) 84:764–70. doi: 10.1093/ps/84.5.764

27. AOAC. Official Methods of Analysis. 17th ed. Gaithersburg, MD: AOAC International (2000).

28. Liu Y, Li F, Kong X, Tan B, Li Y, Duan Y et al. Signaling pathways related to protein synthesis and amino acid concentration in pig skeletal muscles depend on the dietary protein level, genotype and developmental stages. *PLoS ONE.* (2015) 10:e0138277. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0138277

29. Zhao MM, Gao T, Zhang L, Li JL, Lv PA, Yu LL et al. In ovo feeding of creatine pyruvate alters energy reserves, satellite cell mitotic activity and myogenic gene expression of breast muscle in embryos and neonatal broilers. *Poult Sci.* (2017) 96:3314–23. doi: 10.3382/ps/pex150

30. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the  $2-\Delta\Delta CT$  method. *Methods*. (2001) 25:402–8. doi: 10.1006/meth.2001.1262

31. Al-Daraji HJ, Al-Mashadani AA, Al-Mashadani WK, Al-Hassani AS, Mirza HA. Effect of in ovo injection with L-arginine on productive and physiological traits of Japanese quail. *S Afr J Anim Sci.* (2012) 42:139–45. doi: 10.4314/sajas.v42i2.6

32. Foye OT, Uni Z, McMurtry JP, Ferket PR. The effects of amniotic nutrient administration, "in-ovo feeding" of arginine and/or ß-hydroxy-ß-methyl butyrate (HMB) on insulin-like growth factors, energy metabolism and growth in turkey poults. *Int J Poult Sci.* (2006) 5:309–17. doi: 10.3923/ijps.2006.309.317

33. Gao T, Zhao M, Zhang L, Li J, Yu L, Lv P et al. Effect of in ovo feeding of Larginine on the hatchability, growth performance, gastrointestinal hormones, and jejunal digestive and absorptive capacity of posthatch broilers. *J Anim Sci.* (2017) 95:3079–92. doi: 10.2527/jas.2016.0465

34. Ohta Y, Kidd M, Ishibashi T. Embryo growth and amino acid concentration profiles of broiler breeder eggs, embryos, and chicks after in ovo administration of amino acids. *Poult Sci.* (2001) 80:1430–36. doi: 10.1093/ps/80.10.1430

35. Al-Murrani WK. Effect of injecting amino acids into the egg on embryonic and subsequent growth in the domestic fowl. *Br Poult Sci.* (1982) 23:171-4. doi: 10.1080/00071688208447943

36. Yuan C, Ding Y, He Q, Azzam M, Lu J, Zou X. L-arginine upregulates the gene expression of target of rapamycin signaling pathway and stimulates protein synthesis in chicken intestinal epithelial cells. *Poult Sci.* (2015) 94:1043–51. doi: 10.3382/ps/pev051

37. Yuan C, Bu X, Yan H, Lu J, Zou X. Dietary L-arginine levels affect the liver protein turnover and alter the expression of genes related to protein synthesis and proteolysis of laying hens. *Poult Sci.* (2016) 95:261–67. doi: 10.3382/ps/pev314

38. Miao L, Yuan C, Dong X, Zhang X, Zhou M, Zou X. Effects of dietary Larginine levels on small intestine protein turnover and the expression of genes related to protein synthesis and proteolysis of layers. *Poult Sci.* (2017) 96:1800– 8. doi: 10.3382/ps/pew471

39. Suryawan A, Davis TA. Regulation of protein degradation pathways by amino acids and insulin in skeletal muscle of neonatal pigs. *J Anim Sci Biotechnol.* (2014) 5:5–8. doi: 10.1186/2049-1891-5-8

40. Stechmiller JK, Childress B, Cowan L. Arginine supplementation and wound healing. *Nutr Clin Pract.* (2005) 20:52–61. doi: 10.1177/011542650502000152

41. Bolster DR, Jefferson LS, Kimball SR. Regulation of protein synthesis associated with skeletal muscle hypertrophy by insulin-, amino acid-and exercise-induced signalling. *Proc Nutr Soc.* (2004) 63:351–56. doi: 10.1079/PNS2004355

42. Tu Y, Xie S, Han D, Yang Y, Jin J, Zhu X. Dietary arginine requirement for gibel carp (Carassis auratus gibelio var. CAS III) reduces with fish size from 50 to 150 g associated with modulation of genes involved in TOR signaling pathway. *Aquaculture*. (2015) 449:37–47. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2015.02.031

43. Xu Y, Guo Y, Shi B, Yan S, Guo X. Dietary arginine supplementation enhances the growth performance and immune status of broiler chickens. *Livest Sci.* (2018) 209:8–13. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2018.01.001

44. Rudnicki M, Le Grand F, Mckinnell I, Kuang S. The molecular regulation of muscle stem cell function. *Cold Spring Harbor Symp Quant Bio.* (2008) 73:323–31. doi: 10.1101/sqb.2008.73.064

45. Kim K, Kim D, Min Y, Jeong D, Son YO, Do K. Myogenic regulatory factors are key players in determining muscle mass and meat quality in Jeju native and Berkshire pigs. *Vet Med Sci.* (2021) 7:735–45. doi: 10.1002/vms3.418

46. Olguín HC, Pisconti A. Marking the tempo for myogenesis: Pax7 and the regulation of muscle stem cell fate decisions. *J Cell Mol Med.* (2012) 16:1013-25. doi: 10.1111/j.1582-4934.2011.01348.x

47. Bryson-Richardson RJ, Currie PD. The genetics of vertebrate myogenesis. *Nat Rev Genet.* (2008) 9:632–46. doi: 10.1038/nrg2369

48. Francetic T, Li Q. Skeletal myogenesis and Myf5 activation. *Transcription*. (2011) 2:109–14. doi: 10.4161/trns.2.3.15829

49. Cossu G, Kelly R, Tajbakhsh S, Di Donna S, Vivarelli E, Buckingham M. Activation of different myogenic pathways: myf-5 is induced by the neural tube and MyoD by the dorsal ectoderm in mouse paraxial mesoderm. *Development.* (1996) 122:429–37. doi: 10.1242/dev.122.2.429

50. Yamamoto M, Legendre NP, Biswas AA, Lawton A, Yamamoto S, Tajbakhsh S et al. Loss of MyoD and Myf5 in skeletal muscle stem cells results in altered myogenic programming and failed regeneration. *Stem cell rep.* (2018) 10:956–69. doi: 10.1016/j.stemcr.2018.01.027

51. Kablar B, Krastel K, Ying C, Asakura A, Tapscott SJ, Rudnicki MA. MyoD and Myf-5 differentially regulate the development of limb vs. trunk skeletal muscle. *Development*. (1997) 124:4729–38. doi: 10.1242/dev.124.23.4729

52. Ganassi M, Badodi S, Quiroga HPO, Zammit PS, Hinits Y, Hughes SM. Myogenin promotes myocyte fusion to balance fibre number and size. *Nat Commun.* (2018) 9:4232. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-06583-6

53. Subramaniyan SA, Kang DR, Park JR, Siddiqui SH, Ravichandiran P, Yoo DJ et al. Effect of in ovo injection of L-arginine in different chicken embryonic development stages on post-hatchability, immune response, and Myo-D and Myogenin Proteins. *Animals.* (2019) 9:357. doi: 10.3390/ani9060357

54. Zammit PS. Function of the myogenic regulatory factors Myf5, MyoD, Myogenin and MRF4 in skeletal muscle, satellite cells and regenerative myogenesis. *Semin Cell Dev Biol.* (2017) 72:19–32. doi: 10.1016/j.semcdb.2017.11.011

55. Yoon M-S. mTOR as a key regulator in maintaining skeletal muscle mass. Front Physiol. (2017) 8:788. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2017.007

56. Yin H, Li D, Wang Y, Zhao X, Liu Y, Yang Z et al. Myogenic regulatory factor (MRF) expression is affected by exercise in postnatal chicken skeletal muscles. *Gene.* (2015) 561:292–9. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2015.02.044

57. Deldicque L, Theisen D, Bertrand L, Hespel P, Hue L, Francaux M. Creatine enhances differentiation of myogenic C2C12 cells by activating both p38 and Akt/PKB pathways. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol.* (2007) 293:C1263–C71. doi: 10.1152/ajpcell.00162.2007

58. Knapp JR, Davie JK, Myer A, Meadows E, Olson EN, Klein WH. Loss of myogenin in postnatal life leads to normal skeletal muscle but reduced body size. *Development.* (2006) 133:601–10. doi: 10.1242/dev.02249

59. Zhang R, Li R, Zhi L, Xu Y, Lin Y, Chen L. Expression profiles and associations of muscle regulatory factor (MRF) genes with growth traits in Tibetan chickens. *Br Poult Sci.* (2018) 59:63–7. doi: 10.1080/00071668.2017.1390212

60. Rion N, Castets P, Lin S, Enderle L, Reinhard JR, Eickhorst C et al. mTOR controls embryonic and adult myogenesis *via* mTORC1. *Development*. (2019) 146: dev172460. doi: 10.1242/dev.172460