Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Dasiel Obregon, University of Guelph, Canada

REVIEWED BY

Mourad Ben Said, University of Manouba, Tunisia Huarrisson Santos, Universidade Federal Rural do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil Alexandra Corduneanu, University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine of Cluj-Napoca, Romania

*CORRESPONDENCE Huan Zhang likezhanghuan@aliyun.com Xiaohu Han hxh8849@syau.edu.cn Zeliang Chen zeliangchen@yahoo.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Veterinary Infectious Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Veterinary Science

RECEIVED 23 August 2022 ACCEPTED 26 October 2022 PUBLISHED 07 November 2022

CITATION

Zhang X, Chen J, Jiang P, Xu H, Zhang Q, Zhang H, Han X and Chen Z (2022) A Phi29-based unbiased exponential amplification and genotyping approach improves pathogen detection in tick samples. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 9:1025911. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2022.1025911

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Zhang, Chen, Jiang, Xu, Zhang, Zhang, Han and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY).

The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

A Phi29-based unbiased exponential amplification and genotyping approach improves pathogen detection in tick samples

Xi Zhang^{1†}, Jingjing Chen^{1†}, Pengfei Jiang^{1†}, Heling Xu¹, Qi Zhang¹, Huan Zhang^{1*}, Xiaohu Han^{1*} and Zeliang Chen^{1,2*}

¹Key Laboratory of Livestock Infectious Diseases, Ministry of Education, Shenyang Agricultural University, Shenyang, China, ²Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China

Ticks are vectors for many infectious diseases, such as spotted fever group (SFG) rickettsioses and borreliosis, and are valuable in the study of pathogen ecology. Ticks have several growth stages that vary considerably in size; therefore, in most cases, DNA extracted from ticks is insufficient for subsequent studies, particularly for multiple pathogen screening and genotyping. Unbiased amplification of DNA from tick samples before analysis is a major requirement for subsequent ecological surveys and other studies. Phi29 DNA polymerase, an enzyme that exhibits strand displacement activity, can exponentially amplify DNA randomly, generating large quantities of DNA. In the present study, we developed a Phi29-based unbiased exponential amplification (PEA) assay to obtain sufficient tick DNA for genetic analysis. By using tick-borne pathogen detection and genotyping as a model, we tested and evaluated the feasibility of the assay. DNA was extracted from single ticks and subjected to PEA. The results showed that tick DNA could be amplified up to 10⁵ fold. The amplified products were successfully used for pathogen screening and genotyping. Rickettsia was successfully detected and genotyped in samples with amplified DNA from single ticks. Furthermore, we identified a new genotype of Rickettsia from ticks collected from Dandong city, Liaoning province, Northeast China. This PEA assay is universal and can be extended to other applications where the quantity of DNA is greatly limited.

KEYWORDS

ticks, Phi29, Rickettsia, genotyping, pathogen detection and genotyping

Introduction

The recent worldwide outbreaks of Q fever, Lyme disease, and Tick-borne spotted fever serve as a reminder of the global challenge presented by infectious diseases. Vector-borne diseases represent a significant proportion of emerging infectious diseases. Ticks, one of the most important vectors, are hosts for numerous pathogens causing zoonotic diseases (1–3). After mosquitoes, ticks are the most important vectors of

human infectious diseases worldwide; compared with insects, ticks are more efficient in infecting, transmitting, and storing pathogens. Consequently, they pose a major threat to the health of humans, livestock, and poultry, as well as to property (4). Therefore, many investigations on pathogen ecology focus on tick surveillance to evaluate the transmission risk of infectious diseases and emerging infectious diseases in particular.

Ticks belong to the superfamily Ixodoidea within the phylum Arthropoda. The superfamily of ticks is further divided into the families Ixodidae, Argasidae, and Nuttalliellidae. Ticks are widespread, and mostly found in forests, grasslands, and bushes. The most medically relevant ticks belong to the Ixodidae family (5). The Asian longhorned tick (Haemaphysalis longicornis) is an ectoparasite that specializes in parasitizing and feeding on animal blood. These ticks have a high fecundity and can rapidly adapt to the environment. Their bites can damage an animal's epidermis, cause infection and death, and reduce the economics of livestock husbandry (6). Because ticks have a high frequency of host replacement and spawning, cross infections, which also affect humans, can occur infection of several zoonoses (7). Ticks have four life stages, namely eggs, larvae, nymphs, and adults (8). Free, unfed ticks are usually rather small however, the weight of adult female ticks can increase up to 300 times after feeding. Owing to their small size (9), only limited amounts of DNA can be extracted from unfed ticks, which makes it difficult to use them for subsequent analysis. Large amounts of DNA are frequently required for multiple pathogen screening and genotyping (10). The limited amount of DNA extracted from a single tick is always a critical obstacle to meeting the requirements of subsequent applications. To obtain sufficient DNA for subsequent use is essential for tick-borne disease surveillance.

Nucleic acids can be amplified with many polymerases; however, most of these show biased amplification, and result in short sequences. The Phi29 DNA polymerase, an enzyme that shows strand displacement activity, can exponentially amplify DNA randomly and unbiasedly, thereby generating large quantities of DNA (11). In particular, long DNA fragments and high DNA yields can be generated from very low amounts of starting material (12, 13). This makes the Phi29 polymerase an ideal tool to amplify DNA that is only available in trace amounts.

In the present study, we first tested the amplification efficacy of Phi29 on tick DNA. We then developed a new Phi29based unbiased exponential amplification (PEA) assay and evaluated its application in pathogen detection and genotyping. PEA amplification reactions can be performed at a constant temperature of 30° C, providing more practical conditions for DNA amplification compared to traditional methods (14). DNA amplification can be performed on site to meet the needs of subsequent pathogen detection tests for veterinary clinical diagnosis. The Phi29 DNA polymerase is a mesophilic DNA polymerase cloned from the Bacillus subtilis phage phi29 (10). One characteristic of this enzyme is that the newly generated forward strand debranches from the original template. Owing to this feature, multiple copies can be generated from each location on the template in the first round of the reaction (15). This enables 1,000-fold amplification of trace amounts of DNA, which increases the detection rate without influencing the genotyping.

Materials and methods

Tick collection and morphological identification

Ticks were collected from goats and cattle raised in the village of Dandong city, Liaoning province, northeast China. They were manually removed from animal skin without damaging the ticks and then carefully placed into 75% ethanol (16). Tick samples are named after locations and serial number. All samples were sent to the laboratory of Shenyang Agricultural University and stored for further examination. All of these ticks were morphologically identified as Haemaphysalis longicornis, the representative, marked features of which were as follows. The body was broadly oval or subcircular and yellowish-brown color, no eyes. The capitulum was short, blunt wedge-shaped. The basis capituli was rectangular with a distinct triangular strong posterior. Outer margin of whisker limb moderately convex, with a coniform present. The dentition of hypostome was 5/5. The female scutum was subcircular, the middle of scutum is broad, the male cornua was strong, the end is pointed. Punctuations on scutum were medium large, uniform distribution and dense. The cervical sulcus was short and curved, but the lateral sulcus was narrow and distinct. The spiracular plate was broadly oval. Feet of medium thickness with numerous setae (17). Ticks' growth cycle was divided into four stages, eggs, larva, nymph, and adults, larva with six legs, and nymph have no gonopore.

Single tick DNA extraction and molecular identification

DNA was extracted using a DNA extraction kit (TransGen Biotech, ER201-01, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's protocol and stored at -20° C. The DNAsamples were named as Table 1. The tick DNA was subjected to PCR amplification. Twenty of the 186 positive samples (10.8%, selected according to the gender, host, and stage of the tick life cycle) were sent for sequencing. We designed a Phi29-based strategy to detect and genotype pathogens in individual ticks (Figure 1).

| Sample ID | DNA concentration (ng/ul) | | Amplification index | | Rickettsia detection | |
|-----------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| | Tick DNA | PEA products | Tick DNA | Starting DNA | Tick DNA | PEA products |
| EDG011 | 54.6 | 727.5 | 13.3 | 72.8 | + | + |
| EDG015 | 43.7 | 1,006.9 | 23.0 | 100.7 | + | + |
| EDG039 | 27.9 | 962.8 | 34.5 | 96.3 | + | + |
| EDG044 | 31.2 | 890.2 | 28.5 | 89.0 | + | + |
| EDG047 | 46.7 | 885.4 | 19.0 | 88.5 | + | + |
| EDG149 | 19.9 | 785 | 39.4 | 78.5 | + | + |
| EDG124 | 461.5 | 887.5 | 1.9 | 88.8 | + | + |
| EDG135 | 382.8 | 825.6 | 2.2 | 82.6 | + | + |
| SD002 | 31.3 | 692.3 | 22.1 | 69.2 | + | + |
| PH008 | 438.7 | 875.5 | 2.0 | 87.6 | + | + |
| LZG109 | 87.7 | 885.5 | 10.1 | 88.6 | + | + |
| PH014 | 278.2 | 994.8 | 3.6 | 99.5 | - | + |
| PH172 | 552.2 | 1,079.4 | 2.0 | 107.9 | - | + |
| LZG102 | 148.3 | 941.2 | 6.3 | 94.1 | - | + |
| EDG071 | 6.2 | 945.9 | 152.6 | 94.6 | - | + |
| EDG103 | 6 | 889.8 | 148.3 | 89.0 | - | + |
| SD010 | 50.3 | 1,021.9 | 20.3 | 102.2 | - | - |
| KD219 | 121.7 | 410 | 3.4 | 41.0 | - | - |
| KD213 | 23.3 | 1,351.5 | 58.0 | 135.2 | - | - |
| EDG241 | 272.5 | 619 | 2.3 | 61.9 | + | + |

TABLE 1 Concentrations of PEA amplification of tick DNA and Rickettsia detecting proportion.



Amplification of single tick DNA

To determine the optimal amplification of single tick DNA, we compared and optimized the amplification. The reaction TABLE 2 Primers used in the study.

| Gene | Primer/probe | Sequences (5 ['] -3 [']) |
|------|--------------|--|
| gltA | Cs-239 | GCTCTTCTCATCCTATGGCTATTAT |
| | Cs-1069 | CAGGGTCTTCGTGCATTTCTT |
| ompA | RcromA190-70 | ATGGCGAATATTTCTCCAAAA |
| | RcromA190-71 | GTTCCGTTAATGGCAGCATCT |
| ompB | ompB-1 | TACTTCCGGTTACAGCAAAGT |
| | ompB-2 | AAACAATAATCAAGGTACTGT |

time was set for 8 h, 16 h, and 24 h. The amplification was performed using the phi29 DNA Polymerase (#M0269L, NEB, USA)according to the manufacturer's instructions. A master mix was prepared by adding 2.5 μ M random primer (NNNNNN), 1 μ l DNA sample and 1 μ l 10 \times Phi29 DNA into a microcentrifuge tube, which was then vortexed and briefly centrifuged. The sample was placed in a metal bath at 95 °C for 3 min, and put on ice for 15 min. The final reaction mixture was set up by adding 0.5 μ M Deoxynucleotide (dNTP) Solution Mix, 0.4 μ g/ μ l BSA and 0.05 μ g/ μ l phi29 DNA Polymerase to 10 μ l. The reaction was performed at 30 °C for 16 h, followed by 10 min at 65 °C to stop the reaction. The concentration of the amplified DNA was measured, (By Thermo, Nanodrop



2000, USA) and the sample was diluted to the required final concentration to be used for subsequent analysis.

Rickettsia detection and genotyping from single ticks

The gltA, ompA and ompB genes were PCR amplified from the diluted amplification product for *Rickettsia* detection using 2 × TaqMaster Mix polymerase (P112-01, Vazyme Biotech Co., Ltd, China) (18). The primers shown in Table 2. The PCR reactions were done as follows: 94 °C for 5 min denaturxation, followed by 37 cycles of 94°C for 30 s, 58°C for 40 s, and 72°C for 40 s (19). PCR products were sequenced by Sanger sequencing (Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China).and the generated sequences were compared with those from the database for phylogenetic analysis in GenBank. The phylogenetic tree was constructed based on the sequence distance method using the neighbor-joining (NJ) algorithms implemented in the Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) 7 software.

Results

Morphological characteristics and molecular identification of parasitic ticks

All ticks were morphologically and molecularly identified as H. longicornis, more data in our previous study. Among these ticks, 75% were adults, 20% were nymph and larva were 5% approximately, female were 60% and meal were 40%.

Optimized Phi29 amplification efficacy of tick DNA

Twenty ticks were used for testing the feasibility of the PEA assay for amplifying DNA. Using PCR with three pairs of primers, we detected *Rickettsia* in 12 out of 20 samples (Table 1). First, 10 ng/ μ l of the positive sample EDG241 was used for PEA. After amplification, the concentrations of the amplification products after incubation for 8, 16, and 24 h were 220, 680, and 700 ng/ μ l, respectively (Figure 2A). Thus, we selected the incubation time of 16 h for subsequent experiments. Second,

original tick DNA (EDG241) was diluted to concentrations of 100, 10, 1, and 0.1 ng/ μ l before amplification by PEA, and the concentrations of the final products were 579.1, 550.5, 576.8 and 544.4 ng/ μ l, respectively. This indicates that the final concentrations did not differ significantly, even though the input DNA concentrations varied 1,000 times. The fold increases after amplification were calculated for these four starting concentrations by dividing the final concentration by the original concentration. The four tick DNA products were amplified 5,444, 576, 55, and 5.79-fold, respectively.

Detection of *Rickettsia* DNA from the amplified products

We then tested whether PEA also improved pathogen DNA quantity with the same sample, EDG241. The original DNA and PEA-amplified DNA were diluted with ddH₂O to 100, 10, and 1 ng/ μ l, and subsequently amplified with PCR using primers for the *gltA*, *ompA* and *ompB* gene. As shown in Figure 2, *Rickettsia* DNA could only be amplified from original tick DNA with concentrations of 100 and 10 ng/ μ l. In contrast, amplification from the PEA-amplified DNA was successful for samples with concentrations of 100 and 10, and 1 ng/ μ l. This result indicates that pathogen DNA as well as tick DNA were significantly amplified by PEA simultaneously. This high amplification efficacy of *Rickettsia* DNA indicates that total DNA insigle tick are amplified.

Improved pathogen detection rate by PEA

After demonstrating that pathogen DNA was amplified unbiasedly, we tested whether the PEA could improve the positive rate of pathogen detection. Genomic DNA from 20 ticks selected according to the gender, host, and stage of the tick life cycle were extracted using a EasyPure Viral DNA/RNA Kit (TransGen Biotech, ER201-01, Beijing, China). The standard deviation of concentration of all 20 ticks was 176 ng/µl, but the DNA concentration varied significantly between the ticks, with final concentrations ranging from 6 to $552 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{l}$ (Figure 3). We then subjected 10 ng of original tick DNA to PEA. The DNA was amplified to concentrations of $> 700 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{l}$, with an average 200-fold increase. Amplified DNA was diluted and used for further amplification of the three genes of Rickettsia. As shown in Table 1, 12 of the 20 samples were positive for Rickettsia before amplification. After PEA amplification, 17 out of the 20 samples were positive. Our PEA assay could identify additional five samples, increasing the positivity rate by 25% (P = 0.02 < 0.05), that probably because pathogen DNA after PEA was amplified, thus it was easier for detection.



Rickettsia genotyping using PEA amplified DNA

We sequenced and compared the PCR products that had been amplified either from PEA-amplified products or from original tick DNA. The sequences generated from PEA products were identical to those from original tick DNA. This is one of the most important requirements for genotyping, as any introduction of wrong bases will lead to incorrect genotyping. The high fidelity of PEA enables its use for pathogen genotyping. We selected *ompB* gene for the systematic analysis, the generated sequences of ompB were compared with those in the GenBank database, and a phylogenetic tree was constructed with NG modules implemented in MEGA software (Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis, MEGA7, Auckland, New Zealand). We discussed the phylogenetic tree showed that the Rickettsia detected herein belongs to a novel genotype (Figure 4). According to the criteria for novel Rickettsia determination based on gene sequences, an isolate can be classified as a new *Rickettsia* genotype when amplifiable, ≥99.2% for ompB. Thus, we made a sequence alignment based on our sequenced genes ompB, between the Rickettsia detected in this study and R. heilongjiangensis. The results of the alignment with R. heilongjiangensis, the most homologous validated species, for which the percentages for the nucleotide identities were 98.63% for the *ompB* gene. Therefore, we temporarily determined that



the detected *Rickettsiae* is a novel genotype as our previous study (17).

Discussion

In this study, we developed and evaluated a new Phi29based amplification assay, which we named PEA. Owing to the strong strand displacement activity of the Phi29 enzyme, the PEA is a powerful technique for amplification of trace amounts of DNA. The most important advantages of PEA are the production of long DNA products and the high efficiency and fidelity of the amplification. These advantages make PEA a very powerful tool, which will be useful for many applications.

PEA allows the amplification of DNA up to three orders of magnitude. In our present study, tick DNA was amplified up to 5,000 times. This yielded sufficient DNA for subsequent analysis. We also found that the final concentration of PEA products was correlated with the incubation time, but not with the original concentration of the template DNA; The starting DNA concentration ranged from 0.1 to 100 ng/ μ l, with a 1,000fold difference; however, after 16 h of amplification, all final PEA products had concentrations around 550 ng/ μ l (Figure 2). Therefore, our PEA amplification technique provides a very efficient approach for generating template DNA for future use.

Notably, PEA is unbiased, a significant advantage over many other amplification technologies. As shown in this study, *Rickettsia* positive rate was improved by 30% post PEA. However, even with the high efficiency of PEA, the starting DNA concentration could not be reduced to <1 ng/ μ l. Extremely low concentrations of starting template DNA (<1 ng/ μ l) could lead to two critical problems: representativeness and amplification bias. We tested this by serial dilution of tick DNA. Although amplification products remained available, excessive dilution resulted in negative detection of *Rickettsia* DNA (data not shown). The reason for this might be that the low quantity of input DNA does not contain pathogen DNA, as it is present at lower concentration than tick DNA (20).

The feasibility of PEA for tick DNA amplification for pathogen detection and genotyping was then validated with ticks collected from Dandong, Liaoning province, China. As shown in Table 1, with PEA amplification, the detection rate of Rickettsia DNA in these samples was increased by 30%. This validated that PEA is very efficient not only for amplification of tick DNA but also for pathogen DNA. To further test the PEA efficacy, tick DNA was diluted, and Rickettsia DNA could be detected after PEA in samples with a starting concentration of as low as 1 ng/µl. However, without prior amplification, no Rickettsia DNA was detected (data not shown). This confirms that PEA amplifies both tick and pathogen DNA. Pathogen genotyping is significant for genetic analysis and for tracing the source of an outbreak. High amplification fidelity is key for correct genotyping (21). PEA results in DNA amplification up to three orders of magnitude, and any mutation occurring early during amplification could greatly change the sequence of the final product. We, therefore, compared the gltA, ompA and ompB sequences of original and PEA amplified products. No sequence differences were observed, indicating the high fidelity of PEA.

When developing the PEA assay for pathogen detection, we faced difficulty choosing an appropriate starting quantity of tick DNA. As the amount of pathogen DNA in tick DNA was unknown, it was difficult to define the optimal starting DNA¹⁰. Total DNA isolated from a single tick may vary greatly. As shown in Figure 3, the concentration of original tick DNA varied between 6 and 552 ng/µl, a 100-fold difference. In our study, the presence and amount of pathogen DNA in ticks also varies greatly. For the 20 ticks, 12 were positive by PCR before PEA amplification, and another six were positive when PEA was applied. We cannot know definitively that the other three samples were negative for Rickettsia. Alternative explanations are that the concentration of Rickettsia or Rickettsia DNA was too low to amplify detectable concentrations of Rickettsia DNA. We are currently trying to modify the PEA protocol to improve the concentration of pathogen DNA in the final PEA products.

Conclusion

In the present study, we developed a PEA assay based on the unbiased strand displacement amplification activity of Phi29 DNA polymerase to amplify trace samples of DNA for ecological tick surveillance. With PEA, tick and tick-borne pathogen DNA could be unbiasedly amplified, resulting in sufficient sample DNA for subsequent detection and genotyping. This study demonstrates that PEA is a universal assay for the amplification of low amounts of DNA for genetic analysis.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Laboratory Animal Welfare Ethics Committee of Shenyang Agricultural University. Written informed consent was obtained from the owners for the participation of their animals in this study.

Author contributions

ZC, HZ, and XH: conceived and designed the study. XZ, JC, PJ, HX, and QZ: performed the experiments and analyzed the data. XZ and ZC: draft and revised the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the State Key Program of National Natural Science of China (U1808202), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2021M692233), NSFC International (regional) Cooperation and Exchange Program (31961143024), the National Key Program for Infectious Disease of China (2018ZX10101002-002), and Key Program of Inner Mongolia (2019ZD006).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Bondarenko EI, Filimonova ES, Krasnova EI, Krinitsina EV, Tkachev SE. Cases of Q fever detected in residents of the novosibirsk region hospitalized with suspection of infections transmitted by ticks. *Klin Lab Diagn*. (2021) 66:229–36. doi: 10.51620/0869-2084-2021-66-4-229-236

2. Stokes JV, Walker DH, Varela-Stokes AS. The guinea pig model for tickborne spotted fever rickettsioses: a second look. *Ticks Tick-Borne Dis.* (2020) 11:101538. doi: 10.1016/j.ttbdis.2020.101538

3. Ross Russell AL, Dryden MS, Pinto AA, Lovett JK. Lyme disease: diagnosis and management. *Pract Neurol.* (2018) 18:455–64. doi: 10.1136/practneurol-2018-001998

4. Mohammad Saleh MS, Morsy ATA, Ismail MAM, Morsy TA. Tick-borne infectious diseases with reference to Egypt. *J Egypt Soc Parasitol.* (2016) 46:273–98. doi: 10.21608/jesp.2016.88676

5. Zhang Y, Zhang X, Liu J. Ticks (Acari: Ixodoidea) in China: geographical distribution, host diversity, and specificity. *Arch Insect Biochem.* (2019) 102:e21544. doi: 10.1002/arch.21544

6. Hoogstraal H, Roberts FH, Kohls GM, Tipton VJ. Review of Haemaphysalis (kaiseriana) Longicornis Neumann (resurrected) of Australia, New Zealand, New Caledonia, Fiji, Japan, Korea, and Northeastern China and USSR, and its parthenogenetic and bisexual populations (Ixodoidea, Ixodidae). *J Parasitol.* (1968) 54:1197–213. doi: 10.2307/3276992

 Raney WR, Herslebs EJ, Langohr IM, Stone MC, Hermance ME. Horizontal and vertical transmission of Powassan virus by the invasive Asian Longhorned tick, *Haemaphysalis longicornis*, under laboratory conditions. *Front Cell Infect Mi*. (2022) 12:923914. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2022.923914

8. Childs JE, Paddock CD. The ascendancy of *Amblyomma americanum* as a vector of pathogens affecting humans in the United States. *Annu Rev Entomol.* (2003) 48:307–37. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ento.48.091801.112728

9. Williams-Newkirk AJ, Rowe LA, Mixson-Hayden TR, Dasch GA. Characterization of the bacterial communities of life stages of free living lone star ticks (*Amblyomma americanum*). *PLoS ONE*. (2014) 9:e102130. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0102130

10. Lasken RS. Genomic DNA amplification by the multiple displacement amplification (MDA) method. *Biochem Soc T.* (2009) 37:450–3. doi: 10.1042/BST0370450

11. Pang Y, Lu J, Yang J, Wang Y, Cohen C, Ni X, et al. A novel method for diagnosis of smear-negative tuberculosis patients by combining a random

unbiased Phi29 amplification with a specific real-time PCR. *Tuberculosis*. (2015) 95:411–4. doi: 10.1016/j.tube.2015.03.011

12. Chen M, Song P, Zou D, Hu X, Zhao S, Gao S, et al. Comparison of multiple displacement amplification (MDA) and multiple annealing and looping-based amplification cycles (MALBAC) in single-cell sequencing. *PLoS ONE.* (2014) 9:e114520. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.01 14520

13. Kroneis T, El-Heliebi A. Whole genome amplification by isothermal multiple strand displacement using Phi29 DNA polymerase. *Methods Mol Biol.* (2015) 1347:111-7. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-2990-0_8

14. Huang L, Ma F, Chapman A, Lu S, Xie XS. Single-cell wholegenome amplification and sequencing: methodology and applications. *Annu Rev Genom Hum G.* (2015) 16:79–102. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genom-090413-025352

15. Fu Y, Li C, Lu S, Zhou W, Tang F, Xie XS, et al. Uniform and accurate singlecell sequencing based on emulsion whole-genome amplification. *P Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2015) 112:11923–8. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1513988112

16. Cançado PHD, Zucco CA, Piranda EM, Faccini JLH, Mourão GM. Rhipicephalus (Boophilus) microplus (Acari: Ixodidae) as a parasite of pampas deer (*Ozoctoceros bezoarticus*) and cattle in Brazil's Central Pantanal. *Rev Bras Parasitol Vet.* (2009) 18:42–6. doi: 10.4322/rbpv.01801008

17. Xu H, Zhang Q, Guan H, Zhong Y, Jiang F, Chen Z, et al. High incidence of a novel rickettsia genotype in parasitic *Haemaphysalis longicornis* from China-North Korea Border. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:5373. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-41 879-7

18. Mutai BK, Wainaina JM, Magiri CG, Nganga JK, Ithondeka PM, Njagi ON, et al. Zoonotic surveillance for *Rickettsiae* in domestic animals in Kenya. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* (2013) 13:360–6. doi: 10.1089/vbz.2012.0977

19. Haras D, Amoros JP. [Polymerase chain reaction, cold probes and clinical diagnosis]. *Sante*. (1994) 4:43–52.

20. Raghunathan, Ferguson HRJ, Bornarth CJ, Song W, Driscoll M, Lasken RS. Genomic DNA amplification from a single bacterium. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2005) 71:3342-7. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.6.3342-3347.2005

21. Dahl JM, Wang H, Lázaro JM, Salas M, Lieberman KR. Dynamics of translocation and substrate binding in individual complexes formed with active site mutants of {phi}29 DNA polymerase. *J Biol Chem.* (2014) 289:6350-61. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M113.535666