



Parasites of Native and Invasive Rodents in Chile: Ecological and Human Health Needs

Carlos Landaeta-Aqueveque^{1*}, Lucila Moreno Salas², AnaLía Henríquez³,
María C. Silva-de la Fuente⁴ and Daniel González-Acuña¹

¹ Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Universidad de Concepción, Chillán, Chile, ² Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Oceanográficas, Universidad de Concepción, Concepción, Chile, ³ Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria, Universidad San Sebastián, Concepción, Chile, ⁴ Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Alexis Ribas Salvador,
University of Barcelona, Spain

Reviewed by:

Carlos Feliu,
University of Barcelona, Spain
Santiago Sanchez-Vicente,
Columbia University Irving Medical
Center, United States

*Correspondence:

Carlos Landaeta-Aqueveque
clandaeta@udec.cl

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Parasitology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Veterinary Science

Received: 18 December 2020

Accepted: 20 January 2021

Published: 11 February 2021

Citation:

Landaeta-Aqueveque C, Moreno Salas L, Henríquez A, Silva-de la Fuente MC and González-Acuña D (2021) Parasites of Native and Invasive Rodents in Chile: Ecological and Human Health Needs. *Front. Vet. Sci.* 8:643742. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2021.643742

Invasive populations are a threat to biodiversity, resulting in the loss of species, and also a threat to human health, participating in the reservoir of diseases. Rodents are among the most important invasive species worldwide. Chile is a country that features island conditions in terms of geography and has been widely invaded by allochthonous rodents. In this mini-review, we updated the literature on macro-parasites infecting both native and invasive rodents and of vector-borne pathogens in continental Chile in order to assess the relative importance of invasive rodents from both ecological and public health points of view. A total of 174 parasite species were found, with *Siphonaptera* representing the most diverse group. When examining how parasites are shared between native and introduced rodents, the analysis suggests that parasites circulate freely within recipient populations, and are not significantly transmitted from source populations. Further, generalist parasites are typically more prone to being shared between native and introduced rodents. Most zoonotic parasites were reported in invasive rodents, suggesting that these rodents must represent a public health concern. Although several vector-borne pathogens have been reported in rodents or ectoparasites, most of the recently emerging research has illustrated that there is a lack of evidence on rodent–vector-borne zoonoses in most pathogens.

Keywords: rat, parasite, biological invasion, native (autochthonous), human health, spillover, vector-borne disease, zoonotic diseases

INTRODUCTION

Invasive populations represent one of the most important threats to biodiversity (1), either interacting directly with native fauna (2), or by sharing their co-introduced parasites with them (3, 4). Invasive animal populations can also serve as a threat to human health, either co-introducing zoonotic parasites (5) or hosting previously existing zoonotic parasites (6, 7). Thus, increased knowledge related to parasitism and the processes driving parasite distribution among native and introduced animals is not only a biological conservation, but also, a public health issue. Rats (*Rattus* spp.) and mice (*Mus musculus*) are among the most spread invasive mammals around the world and constitute an important source of pathogens for humans (8, 9).

Chile is a country with island conditions given its geographic border, which includes the Los Andes Mountain Range, the Atacama Desert, and the Pacific Ocean, each of which clearly separates

an invaded territory. Rats and mice were introduced into and have colonized the country, invading several environments and harboring zoonotic pathogens (10, 11); however, studies on zoonotic macroparasites have remained neglected over the last few decades, as studies related to vector-borne zoonoses (by microparasites) have been the main focus in public health. Conversely, studies focusing on the biodiversity and ecology of macroparasites among introduced rodents have increased slightly in recent years (12, 13). Thus, the aim of this study was to review and quantitatively explore the literature on macroparasites of rodents from the perspectives of public health and ecology. This review revisits the raw data from previously published articles to explore future pathways for the study of parasites in the inter-phase of native and introduced rodents.

METHODS FOR THE REVIEW AND ANALYSES

A literature search of reports prior to December, 2020, was performed in PubMed (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/>) and Scholar Google (<https://scholar.google.com/>) using the following terms: Acari, Ixodida, Phthiraptera, Siphonaptera, Nematoda, Cestoda, Trematoda, Acanthocephala plus Rodentia, and Chile. These taxa names were also replaced by their respective colloquial terms. In the case of ticks and fleas, the search considered literature published from 2005 and 2014, respectively. For previously published literature pertaining to those taxa, we referred to published reviews (14, 15). We also considered literature on the presence of these parasites in humans, as well as pathogens transmitted by these parasites. Given that the separation of the rodent species *Abrothrix longipilis* and *Abrothrix hirta* is rather new, we advise that some mentions of *A. longipilis* can correspond to *A. hirta*. When the parasite was identified only at the genus level, it was considered in this review when this was the sole report available on this genus, unless the evidence supports that they are different to other species of the same genus. In the case of the *Androlaelaps* sp. reported in *Abrothrix olivacea*, we considered it to belong to the *Androlaelaps farenholzi* complex given that it is morphologically within the morphospecies (16).

For ecological approximations, we assessed whether host specificity is a factor that affects the sharing of parasites between native and introduced rodents, as determined by two classifications. The first classification is dichotomous in nature; if a parasite is collected from myomorph and hystrichomorph rodents, it was considered a generalist (non-host-specific) parasite, while if it was only collected from one suborder of rodent, it was considered a specialist (host-specific) parasite. The second, more quantitative, classification was reflected by the number of hosts species from which the parasite was collected (i.e., the smaller the number, the more host-specific the parasite). We also explored whether the spillover propagule pressure (13) is a factor driving the structure of the parasitic supra-populations. To determine this, we assessed the associations between the mean abundance (MA) and prevalence of a parasite species in the source host populations (i.e., native hosts for native parasites, and

introduced hosts for introduced parasites), and those (MA and prevalence) in the recipient host populations (native hosts for introduced parasites, and vice versa). If the spillover propagule pressure is significant, a positive correlation is predicted. We considered the raw data from one previously published study (17) in order to achieve this and we assessed the association using Spearman's correlation test.

PARASITES

One trematode (Plagiorchiida), eight cestode (Cyclophyllidea), 35 nematode (Trichinellida, Rhabditida, Strongylida, Ascaridida and Spirurida), 92 flea (Siphonaptera), 17 louse (Phthiraptera), 16 mite (Mesostigmata, Trombidiformes and Sarcoptiformes), and five tick (Ixodida) species were reported (12–70). **Supplementary Table 1 (helminths) and Supplementary Table 2 (arthropods) in Supplementary Material** detail the species and hosts from which they have been collected.

ZOONOTIC PARASITES

Some of the reported helminth species frequently affect humans worldwide: *Hymenolepis (Rodentolepis) nana*, *Hymenolepis diminuta*, *Trichinella spiralis*, and *Calodium hepaticum* (71–74). There are reports of human infection with *Hymenolepis* spp. and *T. spiralis* in Chile (26, 75), but we did not find reports of human infection with *C. hepaticum* in this country. Other helminths, such as *Hydatigera taeniaeformis*, *Syphacia obvelata*, *Syphacia muris*, and *Trichuris muris*, have been rarely reported in humans (76–80) and they have not been reported in humans in Chile. As such, they are of lower public health concern. *Echinococcus granulosus*, which is an important zoonosis in Chile (81, 82), has been described only once in a rodent, indicating that rodents seem to be of minimal concern for this zoonosis.

Rhipicephalus sanguineus, *Ornithonyssus bacoti*, and *Pulex irritans* are the sole tick, mite, and flea species to parasitize rodents and humans; conversely, *Nosopsyllus fasciatus*, *Leptopsylla segnis*, and *Echidnophaga gallinacea* were reported to be aberrant parasites of humans (14, 15, 83).

VECTOR-BORNE ZOONOSES

Few vector-borne pathogen have been reported to cause disease and have been detected in humans, vectors and in rodents in Chile. *Candidatus Orientia chiloensis* has been found in the chigger mite *Herpetacarus eloisae* parasitizing the rodents *A. olivacea*, *Abrothrix sanborni*, and *Geoxus valdivianus* (84, 85). There have been no reports related to the mites parasitizing humans. *Trypanosoma cruzi* has been found in native and invasive rodents and in the triatomine vectors *Triatoma infestans*, *Mepraia spinolai*, *Mepraia gajardoi*, and *Mepraia parapatrica* (86). To the best of our knowledge, there is no report of these triatomines parasitizing rodents in natural conditions in Chile; thus, analyses of the feeding profiles of the vectors are the

main evidence supporting that they parasitize rodents (87). This disease is largely reported in humans in Chile (88).

The DNA of *Bartonella tribocorum* has been detected in *N. fasciatus* and *Plocopsylla* sp. *Bartonella mastomydis* has been detected in *Xenopsylla cheopis*, and *Bartonella* sp. has been detected in *X. cheopis*, *L. segnis*, *N. fasciatus*, *Hectopsylla* sp., *Neotyphloceras chilensis*, *Neotyphloceras pardinasi*, and *Sphinctopsylla ares* (12, 89). *Bartonella tribocorum* and *Bartonella rochalimae* have been detected in *Rattus rattus* and *Abrothrix* sp. in Chile; however, *B. rochalimae* has not been found in fleas isolated from rodents in Chile, but has been found in *P. irritans* from dogs (90). Only *B. tribocorum* and *B. rochalimae* have reportedly affected humans elsewhere, while rodents have been reported as the reservoir (91). Conversely, although zoonotic *Bartonella clarridgeiae* and *Bartonella henselae* have been detected in *Ctenocephalides felis* from cats (90), there is no evidence to suggest that rodents participate in their reservoir.

Regarding rickettsias, the DNA of *Candidatus Rickettsia senegalensis* has been detected in *Chilopsylla allophyla*, parasitizing *A. hirta*. As well, *Rickettsia* sp. has been detected in *Ctenoparia inopinata*, *Delostichus phyllotis*, *L. segnis*, *N. chilensis*, *Neotyphloceras crassispina*, *N. pardinasi*, *Neotyphloceras* sp., *N. fasciatus*, *Plocopsylla* sp., *S. ares*, *Tetrapsyllus rhombus*, and *Tetrapsyllus tantillus*, parasitizing several rodents (17). *Candidatus Rickettsia senegalensis* has been isolated around the world (92–94), but we did not find reports of human infection. Its pathogenic potential remains unknown and it has been hypothesized as a possible commensal in fleas (95). On the other hand, another *Rickettsia* sp., belonging to the spotted fever rickettsiae, has been detected in *Ornithodoros* sp., isolated from *Phyllotis darwini* (58). The pathogenicity of this rickettsia remains unknown, and it has not been isolated from the rodent host; thus, there is no evidence that it is a zoonotic rodent-borne disease. The DNA of reportedly zoonotic *Rickettsia felis* has been detected in *C. felis* from cats (96); therefore, there is no evidence that rodents participate in its reservoir. Given the above, there is not enough evidence to support zoonotic, rodent-borne rickettsioses.

Regarding relapsing fever borreliiae, the DNA of the *Borrelia* sp., close to *Candidatus Borrelia johnsonii*, has been detected in *Ornithodoros* sp. isolated from *P. darwini* (58). The pathogenicity of this *Borrelia* remains unknown, and it has not been detected in rodent hosts. Therefore, there is not enough evidence to support zoonotic rodent-borne borrelioses.

The DNAs of *Candidatus Neohrlichia chilensis*, the *Borrelia* sp. belonging to the Lyme disease group, and *Hepatozoon* sp. have been detected in *Ixodes* sp. isolated from native rodents (97). No evidence of human infection with these genotypes has been reported. Although antibodies against *Ehrlichia* sp. has been reported in humans in Chile (98), the species have not yet been determined. Additionally, *Ehrlichia canis* and *Anaplasma platys* have been detected in dogs from Chile (99, 100). Although the tick *R. sanguineus* is likely a vector (101), there is no evidence to indicate that these are rodent-borne diseases.

Dipylidium caninum is known to affect humans following the consumption of *Ctenocephalides* spp. or *P. irritans* (102). However, there have been no reports of *D. caninum* affecting

rodents, nor fleas isolated from rodents; therefore, rodents do not seem to be part of the reservoir.

ECOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVE

In all, 45.7% of generalist parasites and 6.4% of specialist parasites were shared between native and introduced rodents. Shared parasites were found in a mean of 6.9 host species, while parasites that were not shared were found in a mean of 2.1 host species. However, only fleas presented a large number of generalist species; hence, an in-depth exploration of fleas was performed. Overall, it was noted that 4.8% of the specialist ($n = 63$) and 44.8% of the generalist ($n = 29$) flea species were shared between native and introduced rodents. Consequently, the mean number of host species was 2.8 among the non-shared flea species, while it was 7.8 among the shared flea species. Considering that shared parasites must be found in at least two hosts species (both a native and an allochthonous one), it is expected that the number of hosts of shared parasites is the same number of non-shared plus one, assuming that there is no effect of the parasite's host specificity. The larger difference suggests that there is an effect of host specificity. However, this study only points toward a trend in this direction, since this hypothesis requires additional exploration to arrive at more conclusive results. Further, it should also be noted that the considered hosts must coexist in the same territory, and it was impossible to determine if that was the case in several reports.

We consider two flea species when exploring the spillover propagule pressure, *S. ares* and *N. fasciatus*, given that they were spilled over and found in many host communities (study units). Overall, we only found a significant association between the MA of *S. ares* in introduced hosts and its prevalence in native hosts (11 study units; Spearman Rho = 0.63, $P = 0.04$). A higher prevalence in the source population can be interpreted as a higher probability of contact and transmission to the recipient population. The abundance of parasites could depend on the acquisition (transmission) and reproduction of the flea within the host population. Thus, this association suggests that the higher probability of transmission of *S. ares* from the native to introduced rodents raises the acquisition by the latter. The other examined variables did not show significant associations. The lack of an association suggests that the parasites already circulate within the recipient population independently of the source population. This is only a preliminary exploration, as this analysis does not consider the sample size nor the variability within each study unit. A previous study only found an association between loads in the source population and the fact that the parasite was transmitted (13).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Although there are many reports of vector-borne pathogens, most of them lack sufficient evidence to state they are rodent-borne zoonoses. Thus, most of the rodent-borne zoonoses reviewed herein represent cases of parasitosis transmitted by invasive rodents, enhancing their importance for human health.

Therefore, in the case of vector-borne zoonoses, it is necessary to remain focused on searching for the pathogens on rodents, while also describing the entire cycle to determine whether they are, in fact, rodent-borne zoonoses. Specifically, it is important to determine the presence of these pathogens in humans, rodents, and vectors, while also isolating the vector from both humans and rodents. This will enhance our awareness of the risks rodents carry.

The rodent-borne helminthiasis have decreased in Chile over time (26, 75); however, it is necessary to keep vigilant. Most zoonotic helminths of rodents are carried by introduced rodents, in such a way that either introduced rodents are of greater concern for humans than are native rodents, or it may be the case that many instances of parasitosis have been caused by native parasites, but were misidentified as an introduced parasite. For instance, an undetermined *Hymenolepis* (*Rodentolepis*) species was found only in cricetid rodents in the presence of rats and mice, suggesting that this is a native parasite (13). Thus, *H. (Rodentolepis) nana* eggs reported in humans could have been misidentified, corresponding to this native cestode. The introduction of molecular tools could help to corroborate this identification in order to determine the potential parasite source.

Few studies have examined the sharing of parasites between native and introduced rodents. Although some factors involved in this transmission have been identified or observed, the consequences of allochthonous parasites on native host and parasite populations and individuals have not been studied. Although there is no evidence to support the notion that host-guest associations are related to parasitism, some pathological studies have shown the extent of damage to the hosts, supporting the idea that they are parasites. For instance, *O. bacoti*, *Plocopsylla* sp., *Heterakis spumosa*, and *H. taeniaeformis* produced lesions in rats (103). Further, biological evidence obtained from guests supports the notion that they are parasites, as they are

hematophagous. For instance, although not all *Androlaelaps* spp. are obligate parasites, *A. farenholzi* is reportedly unable to reproduce on a diet of arthropods alone (predation), instead requiring blood or a mixed diet (104). No studies to date have been conducted to examine the effects of parasites on host populations in Chile. Rather, these effects on individual hosts are the only evidence to suggest that introduced parasites can affect native hosts by parasite transmission. From the point of view of biological conservation, this is an important issue to assess in future studies.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LMS, MS, DG-A, and AH reviewed the literature and provided the raw data. CL-A performed the analysis of the literature and data, and wrote the first draft. All authors revised and expanded upon the original draft and approved of the submitted manuscript.

FUNDING

This study was funded by the Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico (ANID/FONDECYT Grant No. 11170294).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

English-language editing of this manuscript was provided by Journal Prep Services.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fvets.2021.643742/full#supplementary-material>

REFERENCES

1. Wilcove DS, Rothstein D, Jason D, Phillips A, Losos E. Quantifying threats to imperiled species in the United States. *BioScience*. (1998) 48:607–15. doi: 10.2307/1313420
2. Simeone A, Luna-Jorquera G. Estimating rat predation on Humboldt Penguin colonies in north-central Chile. *J Ornithol*. (2012) 153:1079–85. doi: 10.1007/s10336-012-0837-z
3. Poulin R. Invasion ecology meets parasitology: advances and challenges. *Int J Parasitol Parasites Wildlife*. (2017) 6:361–3. doi: 10.1016/j.ijppaw.2017.03.006
4. Landaeta-Aqueveque C, Henríquez A, Cattan PE. Introduced species: domestic mammals are more significant transmitters of parasites to native mammals than are feral mammals. *Int J Parasitol*. (2014) 44:243–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2013.12.002
5. Panti-May JA, Serván A, Ferrari W, Zonta ML, Hernández-Mena DI, Hernández-Betancourt SF, et al. Morphological and molecular identification of hymenolepidid cestodes in children and synanthropic rodents from rural Mexico. *Parasitol Int*. (2020) 75:102042. doi: 10.1016/j.parint.2019.102042
6. Ramirez-Pizarro F, Silva-de la Fuente C, Hernandez-Orellana C, Lopez J, Madrid V, Fernandez I, et al. Zoonotic pathogens in the American mink in its southernmost distribution. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis*. (2019) 19:908–14. doi: 10.1089/vbz.2019.2445
7. Hidalgo A, Villanueva J, Becerra V, Soriano C, Melo A, Fonseca-Salamanca F. *Trichinella spiralis* infecting wild boars in Southern Chile: evidence of an underrated risk. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis*. (2019) 19:625–9. doi: 10.1089/vbz.2018.2384
8. Morand S, Bordes F, Chen H-W, Claude J, Cosson J-F, Galan M, et al. Global parasite and *Rattus* rodent invasions: the consequences for rodent-borne diseases. *Integr Zool*. (2015) 10:409–23. doi: 10.1111/1749-4877.12143
9. Jones EP, Eager HM, Gabriel SI, Jóhannesdóttir F, Searle JB. Genetic tracking of mice and other bioproxies to infer human history. *Trends Genetics*. (2013) 29:298–308. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2012.11.011
10. Correa JP, Bucarey SA, Cattan PE, Landaeta-Aqueveque C, Ramírez-Estrada J. Renal carriage of *Leptospira* species in rodents from Mediterranean Chile: the Norway rat (*Rattus norvegicus*) as a relevant host in agricultural lands. *Acta Tropica*. (2017) 176:105–8. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2017.07.032
11. Lobos G, Ferres M, Palma E. Presencia de los géneros invasores *Mus* y *Rattus* en áreas naturales de Chile: un riesgo ambiental y epidemiológico. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural*. (2005) 78:113–24. doi: 10.4067/S0716-078X2005000100008

12. Moreno Salas L, Espinoza-Carniglia M, Lizama Schmeisser N, Torres LG, Silva-de la Fuente MC, Lareschi M, et al. Fleas of black rats (*Rattus rattus*) as reservoir host of *Bartonella* spp. in Chile. *PeerJ*. (2019) 7:e7371. doi: 10.7717/peerj.7371
13. Landaeta-Aqueveque C, Robles MdR, Henríquez A, Yáñez-Meza A, Correa JP, González-Acuña D, et al. Phylogenetic and ecological factors affecting the sharing of helminths between native and introduced rodents in Central Chile. *Parasitology*. (2018) 145:1570–6. doi: 10.1017/S0031182018000446
14. González-Acuña D, Guglielmone A. Ticks (Acari: Ixodoidea: Argasidae, Ixodidae) of Chile. *Exp Appl Acarol*. (2005) 35:147–63. doi: 10.1007/s10493-004-1988-2
15. Beaucournu J-C, Moreno L, González-Acuña D. Fleas (Insecta-Siphonaptera) of Chile: a review. *Zootaxa*. (2014) 3900:151–203. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.3900.2.1
16. Silva-de la Fuente MC, Moreno Salas L, Casanueva ME, Lareschi M, González-Acuña D. Morphometric variation of *Androlaelaps fahrenheiti* (Mesostigmata: Laelapidae) associated with three Sigmodontinae (Rodentia: Cricetidae) from the north of Chile. *Exp Appl Acarol*. (2020) 81:135–48. doi: 10.1007/s10493-020-00490-6
17. Moreno-Salas L, Espinoza-Carniglia M, Lizama-Schmeisser N, Torres-Fuentes LG, Silva-de La Fuente MC, Lareschi M, et al. Molecular detection of *Rickettsia* in fleas from micromammals in Chile. *Parasites Vectors*. (2020) 13:523. doi: 10.1186/s13071-020-04388-5
18. Babero BB, Cabello C, Kinard JE. Helminthofauna de Chile. V. Parásitos del Coipo, *Myocastor coypus* (Molina, 1782). *Boletín Chileno de parasitología*. (1979) 34: 26–31.
19. Babero BB, Cattán PE. Helminthofauna de Chile: III. Parasitos del roedor degu, *Octodon degus* Molina, 1782, con la descripción de tres nuevas especies. *Boletín Chileno de Parasitología*. (1975) 30:68–76.
20. Olsen W. *Diplophallus taglei* n. sp. (Cestoda: Cyclophyllidea) from the Viscacha, *Lagidium peruanum* Meyer, 1832 (Chinchillidae) from the Chilean Andes. *Proc Helminthol Soc Wash*. (1966) 33:49–53.
21. Álvarez V. Investigaciones sobre equinococosis silvestre en Chile. *Biológica*. (1961) 31:89–94.
22. Ruiz del Río A. Contribución al estudio de las enfermedades parasitarias humanas transmitidas por las ratas en Concepción. *Boletín de la Sociedad de Biología de Concepción*. (1939) 13:47–82.
23. Cubillos V, Torres P, Gallardo M. Aspectos histopatológicos en un nuevo hospedador de *Taenia taeniformis*. *Archivos de Medicina Veterinaria*. (1991) 33:77–9.
24. Olsen OW. *Monocoestus torresi* n. sp. Cestoda: Cyclophyllidea: Anoplocephalidae from tuco-tuco, *Ctenomys maulinus* brunneus Osgood 1943. *Revista Ibérica de Parasitología*. (1976) 38:505–14.
25. Torres P, Lopetegui O, Gallardo M. Investigaciones sobre algunos nematodos parásitos de *Rattus norvegicus* y *Ctenomys maulinus* de Chile. *Boletín Chileno de Parasitología*. (1976) 31:39–42.
26. Schenone H, Olea A, Schenone H, Contreras M, Mercado R, Sandoval L, et al. Situación epidemiológica actual de la triquinosis en Chile. 1991–2000. *Revista Médica de Chile*. (2002) 130:281–5. doi: 10.4067/S0034-98872002000300006
27. Babero BB, Cattán PE, Cabello C. *Trichuris bradleyi* sp. N., a whipworm from *Octodon degus* in Chile. *J Parasitol*. (1975) 61:1061–3.
28. Babero BB, Cattán PE, Cabello C. A new species of whipworm from the rodent *Akodon longipilis* in Chile. *Trans Am Microsc Soc*. (1976) 95:232–5.
29. Babero BB, Murua R. The helminth fauna of Chile. X. A new species of whipworm from a Chilean rodent. *Trans Am Microsc Soc*. (1987) 106:190–3. doi: 10.2307/3226320
30. Babero BB, Murua RB. A new species of whipworm from a south american hystricomorph rodent. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz*. (1990) 85:211–3. doi: 10.1590/s0074-02761990000200012
31. Babero BB, Cattán PE. Helminthofauna de Chile: VIII. *Graphioidea yañezi* sp. n. Parásito de *Spalacopus cyanus* Molina. *Boletín del Museo Nacional de Historia Natural de Chile*. (1980) 37:225–8
32. Durette-Desset M-C, Denke M. Présence chez un rongeur du Chili d'un nématode Ingalamidinae (sub. fam. nov.) appartenant aux Amidostomatidae, famille connue des mammifères d'Australie. *Annales de Parasitologie Humaine et Comparée*. (1976) 51:453–60. doi: 10.1051/parasite/1976514453
33. Digiani MC, Landaeta-Aqueveque C, Serrano PC, Notarnicola J. Pudicinae (Nematoda: Heligmonellidae) Parasitic in Endemic Chilean Rodents (Caviomorpha: Octodontidae and Abrocomidae): description of a new species and emended description of *Pudica degusi* (Babero and Cattán) n. comb. *J Parasitol*. (2017) 103:736–46. doi: 10.1645/17-81
34. Denke MA, Murúa R. Description de *Stilestrongylus manni* n. sp. (Nematoda: Heligmosomidae) parasite de différents Cricétidés du Chili. *Bulletin du Muséum National D'Histoire Naturelle 3 série, n° 428*. (1977) Zoologie 298:127–31.
35. Durette-Desset M-C, Murua R. Description de *Stilestrongylus valdivianus* n. sp. (Nematoda, Heligmonellidae), parasite d'un Cricétidé du Chili. *Bulletin du Muséum National D'Histoire Naturelle 4 série, n° 1*. (1979) Section A, n°1:245–9.
36. Palomino H, Barriga OO. *Heterakis spumosa* en rata sinantrópica. *Boletín Chileno de Parasitología*. (1967) 22:79.
37. Landaeta-Aqueveque C, Robles MdR, Cattán PE. Helminthofauna del roedor *Abrothrix olivaceus* (Sigmodontinae) en áreas sub-urbanas de Santiago de Chile. *Parasitología Latinoamericana*. (2007) 62:134–41. doi: 10.4067/S0717-77122007000200006
38. Landaeta-Aqueveque C, Notarnicola J, Correa JP, Yáñez-Meza A, Henríquez A, Cattán PE, et al. First record of *Litomosoides pardinasi* (Nematoda: Onchocercidae) in native and exotic rodents from Chile. *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad*. (2014) 85:1032–7. doi: 10.7550/rmb.44711
39. Landaeta-Aqueveque C, Robles MDR, Cattán PE. The community of gastrointestinal helminths in the housemouse, *Mus musculus*, in Santiago, Chile. *Parasitología Latinoamericana*. (2007) 62:165–9. doi: 10.4067/S0717-77122007000200010
40. Quentin JC, Courtin SS, Fontecilla JA. *Octodonthoxys gigantea* n. gen. N. sp. Nuevo nematodo Oxyurinae parásito de un roedor caviomorfo de Chile. *Boletín Chileno de Parasitología*. (1975) 30:21–5.
41. Quentin JC. Oxyure de Rongeurs: II. Essai de classification des oxyures Heteroxyematidae. *Memoires du Museum National d' Histoire Naturelle Zoologie Serie A*. (1975) 94:51–96.
42. Torres P. Hallazgo de *Syphacia muris* (Yamaguti, 1935) (Nematoda Oxyuridae) en Chile. *Archivos de Medicina Veterinaria*. (1971) 3:3–7.
43. Yáñez-Meza A, Landaeta-Aqueveque C, Quiroga N, Botto-Mahan C. Helminthic infection in three native rodent species from a semi-arid Mediterranean ecosystem. *Revista Brasileira de Parasitologia Veterinária*. (2019) 28:119–25. doi: 10.1590/s1984-29612019014
44. Quentin JC, Babero BB, Cattán PE. Helminthofauna de Chile: V. *Syphacia* (*Syphacia*) *phyllotis* n. sp. Nouvel oxyurie parasite d'un rongeur cricétide au Chili. *Bulletin du Muséum National D'Histoire Naturelle 4 série*. (1979) 2:323–7.
45. Veloso-Frias J, Silva-De La Fuente MC, Rubio AV, Moreno L, González-Acuña D, Andrés Simonetti J, et al. Variation in the prevalence and abundance of mites parasitizing *Abrothrix olivacea* (Rodentia) in the ivy forest and Pinus radiata plantations in central Chile. *Hystrix Italian J Mammal*. (2019) 30:107–11. doi: 10.4404/hystrix-00171-2019
46. Yáñez-Meza A, Moreno L, Botto-Mahan C. Ectoparasites of the endemic rodent *Abrocoma bennetti* (Hystricomorpha: Abrocomidae) from semi-arid Chile. *Gayana*. (2018) 82:94–7. doi: 10.4067/S0717-65382018000100094
47. Lareschi M, González-Acuña D. Acari, Laelapidae (ectoparasite mites), central and southern Chile. *Check List*. (2010) 6:546–8. doi: 10.15560/6.4.546
48. Barriga OO. Hallazgo en Chile de *Liponyssus bacoti* (Hirst, 1913) (Acarina, Dermatyssidae). *Boletín Chileno de Parasitología*. (1965) 20:30–3.
49. Silva-de la Fuente C. *El complejo Ornithonyssus bacoti* (Acari: Mesostigmata) de roedores de Chile: diversidad genética, variaciones morfológicas y patógenos asociados. (Ph.D. thesis). Universidad de Concepción, Concepción, Chile (2019).
50. Lee Goff M, Webb JP. A new genus and species of Leeuwenhoekia (Acari: Trombiculidae) from rodents collected in Chile, and a key to the new world genera of Leeuwenhoekia. *Int J Acarol*. (1989) 15:75–8. doi: 10.1080/01647958908683828
51. Webb JP, Bennett SG, Loomis RB. A new genus and species of trombiculid mite (Acari) from a Chilean rodent (Mammalia: Cricetidae). *Int J Acarol*. (1986) 12:83–5. doi: 10.1080/01647958608683446
52. Silva-de la Fuente MC, Casanueva ME, Moreno Salas L, González-Acuña D. A new genus and species of chigger mite (Trombidiformes: Trombiculidae) from *Loxodontomys pikumche* (Rodentia: Cricetidae) in Chile. *Zootaxa*. (2015) 4092:426–30. doi: 10.11646/zootaxa.4092.3.8

53. Silva-de la Fuente C, Stekonikov AA, Weitzel T, Beltrami E, Martínez-Valdevenito C, Abarca K, et al. Chigger mites (Acariformes: Trombiculidae) of Chiloe Island, Chile, with descriptions of two new species and new data on the genus *Herpetacarus*. *J Med Entomol*. (2020). doi: 10.1093/jme/tjaa258. [Epub ahead of print].
54. Brennan JM, Goff ML. Three new monotypic genera of chiggers (Acari: Trombiculidae) from South America. *J Med Entomol*. (1978) 14:541–4. doi: 10.1093/jmedent/14.5.541
55. Goff ML, Webb JPJ. A new species of *Paraguacarus* (Acari: Trombiculidae) from a degu (Mammalia: Rodentia) collected in Chile. *Bull Soc Vector Ecol*. (1989) 14:93–4.
56. Sikora B, Bochkov A. Fur mites of the family Listrophoridae (Acariformes: Sarcotoidea) associated with South American sigmodontine rodents (Cricetidae: Sigmodontinae). *Acta parasitologica*. (2012) 57:388–96. doi: 10.2478/s11686-012-0046-1
57. Guglielmone AA, Nava S, Bazán-León EA, Vásquez RA, Mangold AJ. Redescription of the male and description of the female of *Ixodes abrocomae* Lahille, 1916 (Acari: Ixodidae). *Syst Parasitol*. (2010) 77:153–60. doi: 10.1007/s11230-010-9262-y
58. Muñoz-Leal S, Marcili A, Fuentes-Castillo D, Ayala M, Labruna MB. A relapsing fever *Borrelia* and spotted fever *Rickettsia* in ticks from an Andean valley, central Chile. *Exp Appl Acarol*. (2019) 78:403–20. doi: 10.1007/s10493-019-00389-x
59. Muñoz-Leal S, Venzal JM, Nava S, Marcili A, González-Acuña D, Martins TF, et al. Description of a new soft tick species (Acari: Argasidae: *Ornithodoros*) parasite of *Octodon degus* (Rodentia: Octodontidae) in northern Chile. *Ticks Tick Borne Dis*. (2020) 11:101385. doi: 10.1016/j.ttbdis.2020.101385
60. Emerson KC, Price RD. Abrocomophagidae (Mallophaga: Amblycera), a new family from Chile. *Florida Entomol*. (1976) 59:425–8. doi: 10.2307/3494196
61. Price R, Timm R. Review of the chewing louse genus *Abrocomophaga* (Phthiraptera: Amblycera), with description of two new species. *Proc Biol Soc Wash*. (2000) 113:210–7. Available online at: <https://biostor.org/reference/81065> and <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/page/35460034#page/218/mode/1up>
62. Durden LA, Gomez MS. *Abrocomaphthirus chilensis* (Gomez), new combination (Phthiraptera-Anoplura), an ectoparasite of the Chilean rodent *Abrocoma bennetti* (Abrocomidae). *Parasite*. (2000) 7:331–2. doi: 10.1051/parasite/2000074331
63. Gomez MS. Two Anoplura species from rodents in Chile: *Hoploplerura andina* Castro, 1981 (Hoplopleuridae) from *Geoxus valdivianus* (Cricetidae) and *Eulimnathus chilensis* n. sp. (Polyplacidae) from *Abrocoma bennetti* (Abrocomidae). *Res Rev Parasitol*. (1998) 58:49–54.
64. Moreno-Salas L, Castro DdC, Torres-Murua JC, González-Acuña D. Phthiraptera (Amblycera and Anoplura) parasites of the Family Octodontidae, Ctenomidae and Abrocomidae (Mammalia: Rodentia) from Chile. *Rudolstädter Naturhistorische Schriften*. (2005) 13:115–8. Available online at: <http://phthiraptera.info/sites/phthiraptera.info/files/47589.pdf>
65. Castro DdC, Cicchino A. Las especies del género *Gyropus* Nitzsch, 1818 (Phthiraptera: Gyropidae) parásitas de Octodontidae (Mammalia: Rodentia). *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural*. (2002) 75:293–8. doi: 10.4067/S0716-078X2002000200003
66. Ewing HE. On the taxonomy, biology, and distribution of the biting lice of the family Gyropodidae. *Proc US Natl Mus*. (1924) 63:20.
67. González-Acuña D, Del C. Castro D, Moreno-Salas L. Contribucion al conocimiento de los Phthiraptera (Anoplura, Hoplopleura) parasitos de roedores en Chile. *Gayana*. (2003) 67:117–9. doi: 10.4067/s0717-65382003000100014
68. González-Acuña D, Castro D, Mey E, Torres-Murua J. New records of Sucking lice (Insecta: Phthiraptera: Anoplura) on rodents (Mammalia: Rodentia: Muridae) from Chile. *Mastozoología Neotropical*. (2005) 12:249–51.
69. Castro DC. Contribución al conocimiento de los Anoplura neotropicales. *Revista de la Sociedad de Entomología de Argentina*. (1981) 40:231–6.
70. Macchiavello A. Siphonaptera de la costa Sur-Occidental de América (Primera lista y distribución zoo-geográfica). *Boletín de la Oficina Sanitaria Panamericana*. (1948) 27:412–60.
71. Fuehrer H-P, Igel P, Auer H. *Capillaria hepatica* in man—an overview of hepatic capillariosis and spurious infections. *Parasitol Res*. (2011) 109:969–79. doi: 10.1007/s00436-011-2494-1
72. Zarlenga D, Thompson P, Pozio E. *Trichinella* species and genotypes. *Res Vet Sci*. (2020) 133:289–96. doi: 10.1016/j.rvsc.2020.08.012
73. Panti-May JA, Rodríguez-Vivas RI, García-Prieto L, Servián A, Costa F. Worldwide overview of human infections with *Hymenolepis diminuta*. *Parasitol Res*. (2020) 119:1997–2004. doi: 10.1007/s00436-020-06663-x
74. Goudarzi F, Mohtasebi S, Teimouri A, Yimam Y, Heydarian P, Salehi Sangani G, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of *Hymenolepis nana* in human and rodent hosts in Iran: a remaining public health concern. *Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis*. (2021) 74:101580. doi: 10.1016/j.cimid.2020.101580
75. Vidal S, Toloza ML, Cancino FB. Evolución de la prevalencia de enteroparasitosis en la ciudad de Talca, Región del Maule, Chile. *Revista Chilena de Infectología*. (2010) 27:336–40. doi: 10.4067/s0716-10182010000500009
76. Horton J Helminth-Nematode: *Trichuris trichiura*. In: Motarjemi Y, editor. *Encyclopedia of Food Safety*. Waltham: Academic Press (2014). p. 111–5.
77. Ekanayake S, Warnasuriya ND, Samarakoon PS, Abewickrama H, Kuruppuarachchi ND, Dissanaikie AS. An unusual ‘infection’ of a child in Sri Lanka, with *Taenia taeniaeformis* of the cat. *Ann Trop Med Parasitol*. (1999) 93:869–73. doi: 10.1080/00034983.1999.11813494
78. Sterba J, Barus V. First record of *Strobilocercus fasciolaris* (Taeniidae-larvae) in man. *Folia parasitologica*. (1976) 23:221–6.
79. Riley WA. A mouse oxyurid, *Syphacia obvelata*, as a parasite of man. *J Parasitol*. (1919) 6:89–93. doi: 10.2307/3270899
80. Stone WB, Manwell RD. Potential helminth infections in humans from pet or laboratory mice and hamsters. *Public Health Rep*. (1966) 81:647–53.
81. Soto-Aguilar A, Junod T, Campillay M, Acosta-Jamett G, Landaeta-Aqueveque C. Análisis de la hidatidosis humana en la Región de Coquimbo entre los años 2008 y 2012. *Revista Médica de Chile*. (2017) 145:603–9. doi: 10.4067/S0034-98872017000500007
82. Colombe S, Togami E, Gelaw F, Antillon M, Fuentes R, Weinberger DM. Trends and correlates of cystic echinococcosis in Chile: 2001–2012. *PLoS Neglected Trop Dis*. (2017) 11:e0005911. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0005911
83. Barriga OO, Doncaster R. Dermatitis humana por *Liponyssus bacoti*. Primeros casos en Chile. *Boletín Chileno de Parasitología*. (1965) 20:76–8.
84. Abarca K, Martínez-Valdebenito C, Angulo J, Jiang J, Farris CM, Richards AL, et al. Molecular description of a novel *Orientia* species causing scrub typhus in Chile. *Emerg Infect Dis*. (2020) 26:2148–56. doi: 10.3201/eid2609.200918
85. Acosta-Jamett G, Martínez-Valdebenito C, Beltrami E, Silva-de La Fuente MC, Jiang J, Richards AL, et al. Identification of trombiculid mites (Acari: Trombiculidae) on rodents from Chiloe Island and molecular evidence of infection with *Orientia* species. *PLoS Neglected Trop Dis*. (2020) 14:e0007619. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0007619
86. Correa JP, Bacigalupo A, Yefi-Quinteros E, Rojo G, Solari A, Cattán PE, et al. Trypanosomatid infections among vertebrates of Chile: a systematic review. *Pathogens*. (2020) 9:661. doi: 10.3390/pathogens9080661
87. Chacón F, Bacigalupo A, Quiroga JE, Ferreira A, Cattán PE, Ramírez-Toloza G. Feeding profile of *Mepraia spinolai*, a sylvatic vector of Chagas disease in Chile. *Acta Tropica*. (2016) 162:171–3. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2016.06.027
88. Reyes R, Yohannessen K, Ayala S, Canals M. Estimaciones de la distribución espacial del riesgo relativo de mortalidad por las principales zoonosis en Chile: enfermedad de Chagas, hidatidosis, síndrome cardiopulmonar por hantavirus y leptospirosis. *Revista Chilena de infectología*. (2019) 36:599–606. doi: 10.4067/s0716-10182019000500599
89. Müller A, Gutiérrez R, Seguel M, Monti G, Otth C, Bittencourt P, et al. Molecular survey of *Bartonella* spp. in rodents and fleas from Chile. *Acta Tropica*. (2020) 212:105672. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2020.105672
90. Pérez-Martínez L, Venzal JM, González-Acuña D, Portillo A, Blanco JR, Oteo JA. *Bartonella rochalimae* and other *Bartonella* spp. in fleas, Chile. *Emerg Infect Dis*. (2009) 15:1150–2. doi: 10.3201/eid1507.081570
91. Buffet J-P, Kosoy M, Vayssier-Taussat M. Natural history of *Bartonella*-infesting rodents in light of new knowledge on genomics, diversity and evolution. *Fut Microbiol*. (2013) 8:1117–28. doi: 10.2217/fmb.13.77
92. Betancourt-Ruiz P, Martínez-Díaz H-C, Gil-Mora J, Ospina C, Olaya-M L-A, Benavides E, et al. *Candidatus Rickettsia senegalensis* in Cat Fleas

- (Siphonaptera: Pulicidae) collected from dogs and cats in Cauca, Colombia. *J Med Entomol.* (2019) 57:382–7. doi: 10.1093/jme/tjz177
93. Mediannikov O, Aubadie-Ladrix M, Raoult D. *Candidatus* 'Rickettsia senegalensis' in cat fleas in Senegal. *New Microbes New Infect.* (2015) 3:24–8. doi: 10.1016/j.nmni.2014.10.005
 94. Maina AN, Fogarty C, Krueger L, Macaluso KR, Odhiambo A, Nguyen K, et al. Rickettsial infections among *Ctenocephalides felis* and host animals during a flea-borne rickettsioses outbreak in Orange County, California. *PLoS ONE.* (2016) 11:e0160604. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0160604
 95. Blanton LS, Idowu BM, Tatsch TN, Henderson JM, Bouyer DH, Walker DH. Opossums and cat fleas: new insights in the ecology of murine typhus in Galveston, Texas. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* (2016) 95:457–61. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.16-0197
 96. Labruna MB, Ogrzewalska M, Moraes-Filho J, Lepe P, Gallegos JL, López J. *Rickettsia felis* in Chile. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2007) 13:1794–5. doi: 10.3201/eid1311.070782
 97. Muñoz-Leal S, Lopes MG, Marcili A, Martins TF, González-Acuña D, Labruna MB. Anaplasmataceae, *Borrelia* and *Hepatozoon* agents in ticks (Acari: Argasidae, Ixodidae) from Chile. *Acta Tropica.* (2019) 192:91–103. doi: 10.1016/j.actatropica.2019.02.002
 98. López J, Rivera M, Concha JC, Gatica S, Loeffholz M, Barriga O. Ehrlichiosis humana en Chile: evidencia serológica. *Revista Médica de Chile.* (2003) 131:67–70. doi: 10.4067/S0034-98872003000100010
 99. Abarca K, López J, Perret C, Guerrero J, Godoy P, Veloz A, et al. *Anaplasma platys* in Dogs, Chile. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2007) 13:1392–5. doi: 10.3201/eid1309.070021
 100. López J, Abarca K, Mundaca MI, Caballero C, Valiente-Echeverría F. Identificación molecular de *Ehrlichia canis* en un canino de la ciudad de Arica, Chile. *Revista Chilena de Infectología.* (2012) 29:527–30. doi: 10.4067/s0716-10182012000600008
 101. Sanogo Y, Davoust B, Inokuma H, Camicas JL, Parola P, Brouqui P. First evidence of *Anaplasma platys* in *Rhipicephalus sanguineus* (Acari: Ixodida) collected from dogs in Africa. *Onderstepoort J Vet Res.* (2003) 70:205–12. Available online at: <https://repository.up.ac.za/bitstream/handle/2263/17839/23sanogo2003.pdf?sequence=1>
 102. Neira P, Jofré L, Muñoz N. Infección por *Dipylidium caninum* en un preescolar: Presentación del caso y revisión de la literatura. *Revista Chilena de Infectología.* (2008) 25:465–71. doi: 10.4067/s0716-10182008000600010
 103. Seguel M, Muñoz F, Paredes E, Navarrete MJ, Gottdenker NL. Pathological findings in wild rats (*Rattus rattus*) captured at Guafo Island, Northern Chilean Patagonia. *J Comp Pathol.* (2017) 157:163–73. doi: 10.1016/j.jcpa.2017.07.006
 104. Dowling APG. Mesostigmatid mites as parasites of small mammals: systematics, ecology, and the evolution of parasitic associations. In: Morand S, Krasnov BR, Poulin R, editors. *Micromammals and Macroparasites From Evolutionary Ecology to Management.* Tokyo, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer-Verlag (2006). p. 103–17.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Landaeta-Aqueveque, Moreno Salas, Henríquez, Silva-de la Fuente and González-Acuña. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.