Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Rémy Dulery, Hôpital Saint-Antoine, France

REVIEWED BY Pawan Kumar Raghav, University of California, San Francisco, United States Sara Piccinelli,

Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, United States
*CORRESPONDENCE

Simrit Parmar i spa718@tamu.edu

RECEIVED 13 June 2024 ACCEPTED 18 November 2024 PUBLISHED 11 December 2024

CITATION

Zeng K, Ma H, Huang M, Lyu M-A, Sadeghi T, Flowers CR and Parmar S (2024) Cord blood T regulatory cells synergize with ruxolitinib to improve GVHD outcomes. Front. Transplant. 3:1448650. doi: 10.3389/frtra.2024.1448650

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Zeng, Ma, Huang, Lyu, Sadeghi, Flowers and Parmar. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Cord blood T regulatory cells synergize with ruxolitinib to improve GVHD outcomes

Ke Zeng¹, Hongbing Ma², Meixian Huang¹, Mi-Ae Lyu¹, Tara Sadeghi³, Christopher R. Flowers¹ and Simrit Parmar^{4*}

¹Department of Lymphoma/Myeloma, The University of Texas at MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, United States, ²Department of Hematology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China, ³Cellenkos Inc., Houston, TX, United States, ⁴Department of Microbial Pathogenesis & Immunology, Texas A&M University, Bryan, TX, United States

Background: Adoptive therapy with umbilical cord blood (UCB) T-regulatory (Treg) cells can prevent graft vs. host disease (GVHD). We hypothesize that UCB Tregs can treat GVHD and synergize with ruxolitinib, Jak2 inhibitor, to improve outcomes.

Methods: UCB Treg potency and efficacy was examined using cell suppression assay and xenogeneic GVHD model, respectively. Ruxolitinib was fed continuously in presence or absence of CellTraceViolet tagged UCB Tregs on days +4, +7, +11, +18. Mice were followed for survival, GVHD score, hematology parameters and inflammation.

Results: Addition of ruxolitinib to UCB Tregs exerted synergistic suppressor function *in vitro* and improved persistence of UCB Tregs *in vivo*. Lower GVHD score, improved survival, increased hemoglobin level and platelet count, decreased inflammatory cytokines and decrease in CD3⁺ T cell lung infiltrate was observed in UCB Tregs+ruxolitinib recipients.

Conclusion: UCB Treg+Ruxolitinib combination improves outcomes in xenogeneic GVHD and should be explored in a clinical setting.

KEYWORDS

GVHD, T regulatory cell, allogeneic, cord blood, ruxolitinib

Introduction

Graft vs. host disease (GVHD) is a fatal complication of allogeneic stem cell transplantation, driven by donor derived T cell proliferation and characterized by excessive inflammation which can lead to widespread tissue injury and wasting phenomenon (1). $CD4^+CD25^+FoxP3^+$ regulatory T cells (Treg) are a subset of T cells that regulate immune function and resolve unwanted inflammation (2). Tregs have been extensively studied for prevention and treatment of GVHD (3–5), with promising clinical results (6–11).

We have previously shown that Tregs derived from umbilical cord blood (UCB) coexpress CD45RA⁺CD45RO⁺ (12) that allow for sustained *in vivo* proliferation of the injected cells; as well as retain their suppressor function in presence of dexamethasone (12, 13). Additionally, treatment with multiple injections of UCB Tregs can reduce burden of inflammation without interfering in the anti-tumor activity of CD19 CART cells in a xenogeneic lymphoma model (14). Recently, Kadia et al., showed that a single infusion of CK0801 Tregs can lead to durable independence from blood and platelet transfusion in patients with bone marrow failure (15). In a randomized placebo control trial, multiple infusions of CK0802 Tregs led to improvement in survival in patients with COVID associated acute respiratory distress syndrome (13). Combination of donor derived Tregs and ruxolitinib, a Jak2 inhibitor currently approved agent for steroid refractory GVHD (16), has been shown to exert synergistic effect to improve GVHD outcomes without interference in graft vs. leukemia effect (17). We hypothesize that addition of UCB Tregs to ruxolitinib can improve results in GVHD.

Methods

UCB Treg cell generation and function

UCB derived Tregs were generated as described previously (12, 18) and/or provided by Cellenkos[®] Inc. (Houston, TX, USA). Cell characterization was performed as described in Supplementary Material. Cell suppression assay was performed as described previously (14).

In vivo GVHD model

Animal procedures were performed according to an approved protocol by The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Xenogeneic GVHD model was established as described previously (4). CellTraceViolet (CTV) dye labeled UCB Tregs $(1 \times 10^7 \text{ cells})$ were injected on days +3, +10, +17, and +24. Mice received 1 mg ruxolitinib daily by oral gavage for 14 consecutive days. Mice were monitored and weighed twice weekly. GVHD score was calculated as described previously (Supplementary Table S1) (19). Peripheral Blood (PB) from mice was collected weekly and at euthanasia, for flow analysis. Euthanasia was performed according to institutional guidelines. Room air in the mice chamber was gradually replaced by 100% CO2, from a compressed gas cylinder at a flow rate that displaced 30%-70% of the chamber volume per minute until the concentration of CO2 reached 100%. Upon achieving this concentration, the mice remained in the chamber for at least, an additional three minutes to ensure effective euthanasia. Plasma was analyzed for inflammatory cytokines using Human Cytokine 42-plex Discovery Assay Kit (Eve Technologies, Calgary, Canada). Organs of xenografts were harvested, homogenized, and analyzed as described previously (14).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were done with GraphPad Prism 9 software (San Diego, CA, US). Data are presented as mean \pm SEM. *P*-values were calculated using 2-tailed *t*-test with 95% confidence interval, one-way ANOVA, or two-way ANOVA for evaluation of statistical significance compared with the untreated controls. *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results and discussion

UCB Tregs can treat GVHD without affecting GVL

Ex vivo expanded UCB Tregs express CD4⁺CD25⁺CD127^{lo} FOXP3^{hi}Helios^{hi} phenotype (Supplementary Figure S1) and have been shown to retain their function in presence of dexamethasone (12). In an established haploidentical murine GVHD model, donor Tregs injection on day +11 led to improvement in survival and decrease in GVHD score (20). In an exploratory study, two out of five patients suffering from chronic GVHD, who received donor derived, ex vivo expanded, Treg cells at a median of 35 months after their allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation showed improvement in their condition which allowed decrease in their steroid intake and the other three patients had stable disease (21). To evaluate whether UCB Tregs can treat established GVHD in a completely mismatched setting, we injected multiple of UCB Tregs, in a fixed dose of 10 million cells, in a xenogeneic GVHD model (Supplementary Figure S2). As shown in Figure 1A, UCB Treg cell treatment led to improvement in survival; preservation of weight (Figure 1B) and decrease in GVHD score (Figure 1C). A major co ncern exists in regard to impact of any GVHD treatment modality on possibly interfering in the donor T cell mediated graft vs. leukemia (GVL) effect (22). To evaluate the impact of UCB Tregs on GVL, 3×10^6 GFP labeled HL60 leukemia cells were injected through tail vein into sublethal irradiated non-SCID gamma null (NSG) mice to establish acute leukemia. On day +1, mice were injected with 3×10^{6} CD4⁺25⁻ Tcon cells in presence or absence of 3×10^{6} UCB Tregs injected on day +4 (Supplementary Figure S2). No evidence of leukemia was detected in Tcon and UCB Tregs + Tcon recipients by day +28 by non-invasive bioluminescence imaging (Figures 1D,E). However, all Tcon recipients succumbed to GVHD without evidence of leukemia by day +35 (Figure 1D). Whereas highest GVHD score was observed in Tcon recipients, addition of UCB Tregs reduced it significantly over 35 days (p < 0.0001; Figure 1F). While body weight was comparable between Tcon and Tcon+UCB Treg recipients (Figure 1G), a significantly superior survival was observed in the latter arm (p < 0.001; Figure 1H). Our findings support that UCB Tregs can treat xenogeneic GVHD without compromising GVL effect. Similar uncoupling effect has been reported in a xenogeneic lymphoma model, where UCB Tregs dampened systemic inflammation witho ut interfering in the on-target anti-tumor effect of CD19 CART cells (14). Long term follow up of multiple clinical studies examining Treg cells in GVHD, also do not report any detrimental effect of Tregs on the risk of leukemia relapse (6-10, 23). Thus, adoptive therapy with UCB Tregs presents itself as a viable strategy for treatment of GVHD.

UCB Tregs synergize with ruxolitinib to improve GVHD outcomes

Next, we proceeded to examine whether UCB Tregs can be added to ruxolitinib, an approved agent for treatment of steroid



and received tail vein injection of 10⁷ human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) on Day 0. In the treatment arm, 10⁷ UCB Treg cells were injected through tail vein on days +4, +11, +18, and +25. N = 6 each arm. (A) UCB Tregs improve survival compared to control. (B) UCB Tregs maintain body weight compared to continued weight loss in control arm. (C) UCB Tregs significantly improve GVHD scores, from days 7 and 14 compared to control groups. Graft vs. Leukemia (GVL) xenogeneic model was set up using female Rag2-yc- mice, that underwent sublethal irradiation, followed by 3×10^6 GFP-labeled HL60 AML cells in presence or absence of by 3×10^6 UCB Tregs on Day 0, followed by tail vein injection of 3×10^6 Tcon cells. Treatment groups were divided into: Arm 1: HL60 alone (AML); Arm 2: HL60 + UCB Tregs (+Treg); Arm 3: HL60+Tcon (+Tcon); Arm 4: HL60+UCB Tregs+Tcon (+Tcon+Treg), N = 6 each arm. (D) UCB Tregs do not increase tumor burden in GVL model. HL60 tumor burden in mice was evaluated by Non-invasive bioluminescence imaging (BLI) with the IVIS Lumina X5 Imaging System. Ventral images are shown for each day of capture. Non-invasive BLI showed clear evidence of disease progression in control arm, AML and +Treg. No evidence of disease in +Tcon and +Tcon+Tregs recipients on day 21 and day 28. (E) Quantitative analysis of the BLI measurements (photons/sec/cm²/sr) showed no differences in the +Tcon and +Tcon+Tregs recipients by day 35. (F) UCB Tregs improve GVHD score in GVL model. Significant improvement in GVHD scores in +Treg+Tcon arm compared to +Tcon arm alone. Scores were evaluated bi-weekly, with statistical analysis conducted using data from day 28 to compare the different arms. (G) UCB Treqs maintain weight in GVL model. No differences observed between +Tcon vs. +Tcon+UCB Treq arm. Weight measured twice weekly, and results presented as fold changes compared to baseline. (H) UCB Tregs do not compromise survival in GVL. Over a 49 day follow up, +Tcon+UCB Treg arm shows survival benefit. Addition of UCB Tregs to AML did not have any significant impact on tumor burden. Error bars represent SEM. Statistical differences compared with control were quantified by one-way ANOVA or paired student's t-test; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001, *****p* < 0.0001, ns, not significant; SEM, standard error of means.

refractory GVHD (16). In a recent study of 35 patients with aplastic anemia, prophylatic administration of ruxolitinib significantly lowered incidence of moderate to severe acute GVHD (17.1% vs. 48.6%) (24). However, since ruxolitinib can suppress both T cells (25) and Tregs (26) and is accompanied by hematologic toxicity including anemia and thrombocytopenia (16) that can be dose limiting in GVHD treatment, we examined whether the addition of UCB Tregs can mitigate such a side effect. We analyzed the proliferation of CTV labeled CD4⁺25⁻ Tcon cells cultured with different ratios of UCB Tregs in presence or absence of ruxolitinib. A slight, but significant improvement in the cell suppression was observed with the addition of ruxolitinib at UCB Treg:Tcon ratio of 2:1 and 1:1 (p < 0.01; Figure 2A). Similar synergistic effect of 0.1 um ruxolitinib on cell suppression function of human Treg cells has been reported at 120 h of co-culture, where superior survival was

observed in recipients of ruxolitinib and Tregs compared to either agent alone (17). To understand whether a comparable effect will be recapitulated in a xenogeneic GVHD model, ruxolitinib and UCB Treg treatment combination was examined (Supplementary Figure S2). Improvement of survival was observed in the UCB Tregs + ruxolitinib recipients compared to all other arms (Figure 2B), which was accompanied with preservation of body weight (Figure 2C) and lowering of GVHD score (Figure 2D). When gated on live cells, while the circulating human CD45⁺ cells in the peripheral blood increased over time, a significantly lower level was observed in the UCB Tregs + ruxolitinib recipients when compared to UCB Tregs alone recipients at day +14 (p < 0.001; Figure 2E). This synergistic effect might be due to engagement of complimentary pathways since the effects of ruxolitinib on Tregs are immune-context dependent (27).



FIGURE 2

UCB tregs synergize with ruxolitinib to improve GVHD outcomes. (A) Ruxolitinib improves UCB Treg suppression. UCB Tregs co-cultured with CTVlabeled Tcon (CD4⁺CD25⁻) cells at different ratios of 4:1, 2:1, 1:1, 1:2, and 1:4 in the presence of CD3/28 beads. Proliferation of CTV-labeled Tcons was assessed by the LSR Fortessa Cell Analyzer after 96 h of culture. Percentage suppression was calculated using the following formula: 100% x (1-percentage of proliferating CTV-diluting Tcons in the presence of UCB Tregs at a different ratio/percentage of proliferating CTV-diluting Tcons when cultured alone). Ruxolitinib (0.5 µM) led to improvement in UCB Treg suppression at 2:1 and 1:1 Treg: Tcon ratio. Impact of addition of ruxolitinib at different UCB Tregs: Tcon ratios was: 4:1 (84.0% vs. 80.2%); 2:1 (64.1% vs. 59.3%); 1:1 (55.4% vs. 49.1%); 1:2 (22.4% vs. 19.1%); 1:4 (5.4% vs. 4.1%), respectively. Xenogeneic GVHD model was established using female Rag2- γ c- mice, that received tail vein injection of 10⁷ human PBMCs on Day 0. In the treatment arm, mice received 1 mg of Ruxolitinib (45 mg/kg) daily orally from day -1 until day 14. Additionally, 10⁷ UCB Tregs were injected by tail vein on days +4, +11, +18, and +25. Arm 1: control (PBMC); Arm 2: PBMC+UCB Tregs (+Treg); Arm 3: PBMC +ruxolitinib (+Ruxo); Arm 4: PBMC+UCB Treas+ruxolitinib (+Trea+Ruxo), N = 6 each arm, (B) UCB Treas improve survival in xenogeneic GVHD When compared to control arm, PBMC, significant improvement in survival was seen in +Treg and +Treg+Ruxo arms, at a follow up of 42 days (C) UCB Tregs maintain body weight in xenogeneic GVHD. Weights were recorded twice a week, and the data are presented as fold changes over successive days relative to the baseline. (D) UCB Tregs lower GVHD score. When compared to control arm, +Treg, +Ruxo and +Treg+Ruxo led to significantly lower GVHD score at day 14. The scoring was performed bi-weekly. (E) UCB Tregs synergize with ruxolitinib to decrease circulating human CD45⁺ T cells (gated on live cells) at day 14 in xenogeneic GVHD. (F) Ruxolitinib increases UCB Treas persistence. Significantly higher circulating CTV labeled Tregs on day 7 (p < 0.01) and day 14 (p < 0.001) in +Treg+Ruxo vs. +Treg arm, respectively. (G) Ruxolitinib increases UCB Tregs tissue persistence. Flow cytometric analysis of harvested organ cell suspensions at euthanasia on day 14 showed higher level of CTV labeled Treqs in UCB Treqs+ruxolitinib (w R) recipients compared to UCB Treqs recipients (w/o R), across different lymphoid sites, including spleen (SP) liver (LV) and bone marrow (BM). (H) Ruxolitinib addition to UCB Tregs leads to decrease in CD8⁺ T cells in spleen and bone marrow in xenogeneic GVHD at day 14. Y-axis shows CD8⁺ T cells gated on human CD45⁺ cells. T = UCB Tregs; T + R = UCB Tregs + ruxolitinib. Compared to peripheral blood (PB), proportion of CD8⁺ cells was significantly higher in the spleen (SP) (p < 0.05) and bone marrow (BM) p < 0.001. Addition of ruxolitinib led to a significant decrease of CD8⁺ cells in spleen and bone marrow and an increase in liver (p < 0.001). (I) UCB Tregs and ruxolitinib decrease systemic inflammation in xenogeneic GVHD. On day 7 and 14, plasma cytokines level was quantified and analyzed. Cytokines at day 14 were normalized to day 7. The categorical heatmap shows the percentage changes in cytokine levels at day 14 compared to day 7 for TGF-a, GM-CSF, IFN-y, TNF-a, sCD40l, and IL-1a. Changes are color-coded: shades of green indicate decreases (less than 25%, 25%-50%, more than 50%) and shades of red indicate increases (less than 25%, 25%-50%, more than 50%). (J). Histopathologic examinations of lung at 40× magnification, shows tissue destruction and high CD3⁺ staining in the control PBMC arm. Tissue architecture is somewhat preserved in PBMC +ruxolitinib arm, with high concentration of CD3⁺ staining in the alveolar lining as well as in the parenchyma. Complete resolution of CD3⁺ infiltrate as well as tissue architecture preservation is seen in UCB Treg recipients with or without ruxolitinib. Quantification analysis of the H-score for human CD3 positivity (right panel). The H-score was defined by the percentage of strongly positive stain × 3 + moderately positive stain × 2 + weakly positive stain x 1. A final value of 0-300 was also calculated at 40x magnification using the software HALO (v3.5-3,577.140). A p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. (K), UCB Tregs improve hemoglobin in ruxolitinib recipients. On day 14, ruxolitinib recipients show lower hemoglobin levels compared to UCB Tregs recipients. Addition of UCB Tregs to ruxolitinib increases day 14 hemoglobin level. (L) UCB Tregs improve platelet decrease in ruxolitinib recipients. Compared to day 7, decrease in platelet counts observed in all arms on day 14. UCB Tregs + ruxolitinib recipients preserved their platelet count. The statistical differences were quantified by a one-way ANOVA or student's t-test. Error bars represent SEM (n = 7); statistical differences compared with the control arm were quantified by one-way or two-way ANOVA using GraphPad Prism software: p < 0.05, p < 0.01, p < 0.01.

To study their *in vivo* distribution pattern, UCB Tregs, were labeled with CTV, a low-cytotoxicity intracellular dye, that is detectable for at least seven days post-labeling. As shown in Supplementary Figure S3, when gated on human lymphocytes, nearly all the CD4⁺25⁺127^{lo} Treg cells comprised of CTV-labeled cells. On day +14, CTV labelled UCB Tregs percentage was

significantly higher in the UCB Tregs + ruxolitinib vs. UCB Tregs alone recipients in peripheral blood (PB) (Figure 2F), and in liver, spleen and bone marrow (Figure 2G). When gated on human CD45⁺ cells, CD8⁺ T cells percentages decreased in spleen and bone marrow (Figure 2H). Cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cells play a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of acute GVHD because they directly attack nonmalignant host tissues through effector molecules (28), and therefore, their decrease at the level of the target tissue in the UCB Tregs recipients, alone and in combination with ruxolitinib, highlights an important mechanism deployed by UCB Tregs to resolve GVHD. Although a relative increase in CD8⁺ T cells was observed by flow cytometry in the liver, such an increase was not reflected on the immunohistology studies (Supplementary Figure S5).

To further examine whether addition of UCB Tregs has an impact on tissue infiltration with CD3⁺ lymphocytes, IHC staining was performed and quantified using H-score (12). In xenogeneic GVHD model, lung has been identified as a target organ for immune mediated tissue destruction (29). IHC section of lung histologic section showed a decrease in CD3⁺ staining in ruxolitinib recipients, with a complete resolution of CD3⁺ infiltrate in UCB Tregs and in UCB Tregs + ruxolitinib recipients. Quantification of the CD3⁺ cell infiltrate using H-score mirrored the histology findings, which shows that UCB Tregs decrease the T-cell mediated tissue damage in GVHD. Histology examination of spleen and liver is shown in Supplementary Figure S5. Furthermore, a corresponding decrease in the inflammatory cytokines, including GM-CSF, IFNy, TNFa, sCD40l, IL-1a, observed in the treatment arms at day +14 (Figure 2I), underscores the multiple mechanisms at play for dampening GVHD (30).

Since ruxolitinib is associated with grade 3 and/or 4 thrombocytopenia in steroid refractory GVHD (16), we sought to examine whether UCB Tregs has an impact on this drug toxicity. As shown in Figure 2L, on day 14, platelet count decrease was lesser in UCB Tregs + ruxolitinib recipients when compared to ruxolitinib alone or UCB Tregs alone recipients. Furthermore, an improvement in the hemoglobin levels was observed in the UCB Tregs + ruxolitinib recipients when compared to ruxolitinib alone recipients (Figure 2K). In addition to a possible direct protective effect on the bone marrow, the improvement in cytopenias might be attributed to the relief from IFN γ mediated bone marrow suppression which is reversed by the UCB Tregs (31).

We conclude that UCB Tregs synergize with ruxolitinib to treat xenogeneic GVHD through multiple mechanisms and lead to improve outcomes. This combination should be examined in a clinical setting.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by University of Texas MD Anderson IRB committee. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The human samples used in this study were acquired from cord blood bank of MD Anderson Cancer Center. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements. The animal study was approved by University of Texas at MD Anderson IACUC committee. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

KZ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. HM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. MH: Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review & editing. M-AL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing review & editing. TS: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Writing - review & editing. CF: Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Writing - review & editing. SP: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was conducted by using funding provided under sponsored research agreement with Cellenkos Inc. Cellenkos Grant #54964. The authors declare that this study received funding from Cellenkos. The funder was not involved in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, the writing of this article or the decision to submit it for publication.

Conflict of interest

SP is scientific founder of Cellenkos and has equity, is on board, has patents and royalties and funding support from Cellenkos Inc. TS is employed by Cellenkos and has equity.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of

their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Weisdorf D, El Jurdi N, Holtan SG. The best GVHD prophylaxis: or at least progress towards finding it. *Best Pract Res Clin Haematol.* (2023) 36(4):101520. doi: 10.1016/j.beha.2023.101520

2. Sakaguchi S, Vignali DA, Rudensky AY, Niec RE, Waldmann H. The plasticity and stability of regulatory T cells. *Nat Rev Immunol.* (2013) 13(6):461–7. doi: 10. 1038/nri3464

3. Parmar S, Liu X, Najjar A, Shah N, Yang H, Yvon E, et al. Ex vivo fucosylation of third-party human regulatory T cells enhances anti-graft-versus-host disease potency *in vivo. Blood.* (2015) 125(9):1502–6. doi: 10.1182/blood-2014-10-603449

4. Parmar S, Liu X, Tung SS, Robinson SN, Rodriguez G, Cooper LJ, et al. Thirdparty umbilical cord blood-derived regulatory T cells prevent xenogenic graftversus-host disease. *Cytotherapy*. (2014) 16(1):90–100. doi: 10.1016/j.jcyt.2013.07.009

5. Hippen KL, Harker-Murray P, Porter SB, Merkel SC, Londer A, Taylor DK, et al. Umbilical cord blood regulatory T-cell expansion and functional effects of tumor necrosis factor receptor family members OX40 and 4-1BB expressed on artificial antigen-presenting cells. *Blood.* (2008) 112(7):2847–57. doi: 10.1182/blood-2008-01-132951

6. Brunstein CG, Miller JS, Cao Q, McKenna DH, Hippen KL, Curtsinger J, et al. Infusion of ex vivo expanded T regulatory cells in adults transplanted with umbilical cord blood: safety profile and detection kinetics. *Blood*. (2011) 117 (3):1061–70. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-07-293795

7. Brunstein CG, Miller JS, McKenna DH, Hippen KL, DeFor TE, Sumstad D, et al. Umbilical cord blood-derived T regulatory cells to prevent GVHD: kinetics, toxicity profile, and clinical effect. *Blood.* (2016) 127(8):1044–51. doi: 10.1182/blood-2015-06-653667

8. Kellner JN, Delemarre EM, Yvon E, Nierkens S, Boelens JJ, McNiece I, et al. Third party, umbilical cord blood derived regulatory T-cells for prevention of graft versus host disease in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: feasibility, safety and immune reconstitution. *Oncotarget.* (2018) 9(86):35611–22. doi: 10.18632/ oncotarget.26242

9. Di Ianni M, Falzetti F, Carotti A, Terenzi A, Castellino F, Bonifacio E, et al. Tregs prevent GVHD and promote immune reconstitution in HLA-haploidentical transplantation. *Blood.* (2011) 117(14):3921–8. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-10-311894

10. Martelli MF, Di Ianni M, Ruggeri L, Falzetti F, Carotti A, Terenzi A, et al. HLAhaploidentical transplantation with regulatory and conventional T-cell adoptive immunotherapy prevents acute leukemia relapse. *Blood.* (2014) 124(4):638–44. doi: 10.1182/blood-2014-03-564401

11. Meyer EH, Laport G, Xie BJ, MacDonald K, Heydari K, Sahaf B, et al. Transplantation of donor grafts with defined ratio of conventional and regulatory T cells in HLA-matched recipients. *JCI Insight.* (2019) 4(10):e127244. doi: 10.1172/jci. insight.127244

12. Lyu MA, Huang M, Zeng K, Li L, Khoury JD, Nishimoto M, et al. Allogeneic cord blood regulatory T cells can resolve lung inflammation. *Cytotherapy*. (2023) 25 (3):245–53. doi: 10.1016/j.jcyt.2022.10.009

13. Gladstone DE, D'Alessio F, Howard C, Lyu MA, Mock JR, Gibbs KW, et al. Randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled trial of allogeneic cord blood T-regulatory cells for treatment of COVID-19 ARDS. *Blood Adv.* (2023) 7(13):3075–9. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2022009619

14. Zeng K, Huang M, Lyu MA, Khoury JD, Ahmed S, Patel KK, et al. Adjunct therapy with T regulatory cells decreases inflammation and preserves the anti-tumor activity of CAR T cells. *Cells*. (2023) 12(14):1880. doi: 10.3390/cells12141880

15. Kadia TM, Huang M, Pemmaraju N, Abbas HA, Ly C, Masarova L, et al. Phase 1 study of CK0801 in treatment of bone marrow failure syndromes. *NEJM Evid.* (2024) 3 (6):EVIDoa2300362. doi: 10.1056/EVIDoa2300362

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frtra.2024. 1448650/full#supplementary-material

16. Zeiser R, von Bubnoff N, Butler J, Mohty M, Niederwieser D, Or R, et al. Ruxolitinib for glucocorticoid-refractory acute graft-versus-host disease. N Engl J Med. (2020) 382(19):1800–10. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1917635

17. Rodriguez-Gil A, Escamilla-Gomez V, Nufer M, Andujar-Sanchez F, Lopes-Ramos T, Bejarano-Garcia JA, et al. Combined treatment of graft versus host disease using donor regulatory T cells and ruxolitinib. *Sci Rep.* (2022) 12(1):8348. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-12407-x

18. Lyu MA, Tang X, Khoury JD, Raso MG, Huang M, Zeng K, et al. Allogeneic cord blood regulatory T cells decrease dsDNA antibody and improve albuminuria in systemic lupus erythematosus. *Front Immunol.* (2023) 14:1217121. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1217121

19. Reddy V, Hill GR, Pan L, Gerbitz A, Teshima T, Brinson Y, et al. G-CSF modulates cytokine profile of dendritic cells and decreases acute graft-versus-host disease through effects on the donor rather than the recipient. *Transplantation*. (2000) 69(4):691–3. doi: 10.1097/00007890-200002270-00041

20. Riegel C, Boeld TJ, Doser K, Huber E, Hoffmann P, Edinger M. Efficient treatment of murine acute GvHD by *in vitro* expanded donor regulatory T cells. *Leukemia*. (2020) 34(3):895-908. doi: 10.1038/s41375-019-0625-3

21. Theil A, Tuve S, Oelschlagel U, Maiwald A, Dohler D, Ossmann D, et al. Adoptive transfer of allogeneic regulatory T cells into patients with chronic graft-versus-host disease. *Cytotherapy.* (2015) 17(4):473–86. doi: 10.1016/j.jcyt.2014. 11.005

22. Negrin RS. Graft-versus-host disease versus graft-versus-leukemia. *Hematol Am Soc Hematol Educ Program.* (2015) 2015:225–30. doi: 10.1182/asheducation-2015. 1.225

23. Pierini A, Ruggeri L, Carotti A, Falzetti F, Saldi S, Terenzi A, et al. Haploidentical age-adapted myeloablative transplant and regulatory and effector T cells for acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood Adv.* (2021) 5(5):1199–208. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances. 2020003739

24. Zhang X, Zhao X, Chen S, Hao M, Zhang L, Gong M, et al. Addition of ruxolitinib to standard graft-versus-host disease prophylaxis for allogeneic stem cell transplantation in aplastic anemia patients. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* (2024) 59 (7):997–1005. doi: 10.1038/s41409-024-02266-7

25. Parampalli Yajnanarayana S, Stubig T, Cornez I, Alchalby H, Schonberg K, Rudolph J, et al. JAK1/2 Inhibition impairs T cell function *in vitro* and in patients with myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Br J Haematol.* (2015) 169(6):824–33. doi: 10. 1111/bjh.13373

26. Massa M, Rosti V, Campanelli R, Fois G, Barosi G. Rapid and long-lasting decrease of T-regulatory cells in patients with myelofibrosis treated with ruxolitinib. *Leukemia.* (2014) 28(2):449–51. doi: 10.1038/leu.2013.296

27. Aggarwal N, Manley AL, Chen J, Groarke EM, Feng X, Young NS. Effects of ruxolitinib on murine regulatory T cells are immune-context dependent. *Exp Hematol.* (2023) 125–126:16–9. doi: 10.1016/j.exphem.2023.07.004

28. Ferrara JL, Levine JE, Reddy P, Holler E. Graft-versus-host disease. Lancet. (2009) 373(9674):1550-61. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60237-3

29. Hess NJ, Brown ME, Capitini CM. Prevention and treatment: lessons from humanized mouse transplant models. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:723544. doi: 10. 3389/fimmu.2021.723544

30. Levine JE. Implications of TNF-alpha in the pathogenesis and management of GVHD. Int J Hematol. (2011) 93(5):571-7. doi: 10.1007/s12185-011-0803-1

31. Capitini CM, Herby S, Milliron M, Anver MR, Mackall CL, Fry TJ. Bone marrow deficient in IFN-gamma signaling selectively reverses GVHD-associated immunosuppression and enhances a tumor-specific GVT effect. *Blood.* (2009) 113 (20):5002–9. doi: 10.1182/blood-2008-11-187385