Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Anat Galor, University of Miami, United States

REVIEWED BY Mary Ann Stepp, George Washington University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE James Chodosh, ⋈ jchodosh@salud.unm.edu

RECEIVED 04 January 2023 ACCEPTED 12 June 2023 PUBLISHED 22 June 2023

CITATION

Ma KS-K, Tsai P-F, Hsieh TY-J and Chodosh J (2023), Ocular surface complications following biological therapy for cancer. *Front. Toxicol.* 5:1137637. doi: 10.3389/ftox.2023.1137637

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Ma, Tsai, Hsieh and Chodosh. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Ocular surface complications following biological therapy for cancer

Kevin Sheng-Kai Ma^{1,2,3}, Ping-Feng Tsai⁴, Tina Yi-Jin Hsieh^{5,6} and James Chodosh⁷*

¹Department of Epidemiology, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, United States, ²Center for Global Health, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, United States, ³Division of Pharmacoepidemiology and Pharmacoeconomics, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, United States, ⁴Department of Ophthalmology, Tri-Service General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, ⁵Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, United States, ⁶Department of Gynecology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, United States, ⁷Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, University of New Mexico School of Medicine, Albuquerque, NM, United States

Novel and highly effective biological agents developed to treat cancer over the past two decades have also been linked to multiple adverse outcomes, including unanticipated consequences for the cornea. This review provides an overview of adverse corneal complications of biological agents currently in use for the treatment of cancer. Epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors and immune checkpoint inhibitors are the two classes of biological agents most frequently associated with corneal adverse events. Dry eye, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, and corneal transplant rejection have all been reported following the use of immune checkpoint inhibitors. The management of these adverse events requires close collaboration between ophthalmologists, dermatologists, and oncologists. This review focuses in depth on the epidemiology, pathophysiology, and management of ocular surface complications of biological therapies against cancer.

KEYWORDS

biological therapy, cancer, cornea, immunotherapy, ocular surface, targeted therapy

Introduction

The emergence of biologicals as antineoplastic therapies began in the 1990s. Such agents inhibit the growth and survival of cancer cells, but can also induce severe side effects that affect multiple body systems. The various cell types present in the cornea each have distinct receptor expression profiles that makes the cornea susceptible to adverse outcomes during use of biological agents. This review will summarize the corneal complications of biological agents used in oncology and discuss the pathogenesis and clinical management of these adverse events.

Tyrosine kinase inhibitors

Tyrosine kinases regulate cell proliferation and apoptosis by transducing intracellular signaling cascades. Their inhibitors, known as tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKi), include agents that can suppress uncontrolled cell proliferation in various types of cancer. As the use of TKi to treat cancer has increased in recent years, awareness of ocular side effects from TKi

has also increased. Among all TKi in clinical oncology practice, epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors (EGFRi) have been most commonly reported to be associated with keratitis (Saint-Jean et al., 2018).

Epidermal growth factor receptors (EGFRs) are highly expressed on the ocular surface and periocular tissues, and adverse effects of EGFR inhibition on the cornea should not be surprising. Breakdown of the corneal epithelial barrier is often an initial harbinger of keratitis. Reduced epithelial cell proliferation in the cornea during EGFRi treatment results in loss of epithelial regeneration, impaired healing from environmental exposures such as dryness and exposure to particulate matter, and ultimately leads to corneal inflammation. Inhibition of the EGFR cascade also disrupts hair follicle growth cycle, resulting in trichomegaly which can add insult to the cornea due to trichiasis. Suppression of EGFR also inhibits the proliferation and repair of the meibomian glands. When meibum secretion is diminished, the tear film evaporates more rapidly, further compromising corneal epithelial repair (Ho et al., 2013; Huillard et al., 2014).

One such EGFRi, cetuximab, has been strongly associated with induction of keratitis (Table 1). Cetuximab is now approved to treat metastatic colorectal cancer and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Trichomegaly, conjunctivitis, and blepharitis are the most common ocular side effects reported as associated with cetuximab (Fraunfelder and Fraunfelder, 2012). According to post-marketing surveillance in Japan, the incidence of ocular adverse events linked with cetuximab was approximately 2.6%, and the severity of most adverse events was less than grade 2 (Ishiguro et al., 2012; National Institutes of Health, 2022). However, in select case reports, cetuximab was associated with severe keratitis (Specenier et al., 2007).

Afatinib is another EGFRi that is now used as a first-line treatment for non-small cell lung cancer. Common side effects associated with afatinib include dry eye and ulcerative keratitis (McKelvie et al., 2019). In the LUX-lung 3 trial for metastatic lung adenocarcinoma with *EGFR* mutations (Sequist et al., 2013), the prevalence of keratitis in these patients was 2.2%. Notably, approximately 0.4% of patients had grade 3 keratitis, leading to the discontinuation of the therapy (Yang et al., 2015). Cases of trichomegaly and keratitis have also been reported with other EGFRi, for example, erlotinib and gefitinib, as well as other TKi (Zhou et al., 2016; Rawluk and Waller, 2018).

Artificial tears and lubricating ointments are frequently used to protect and rehydrate an injured corneal epithelium. Additionally, topical corticosteroids can be applied to block inflammation, which may confer rapid relief of pain (Huillard et al., 2014). In large epithelial defects, bandage contact lenses may be prescribed to protect the cornea and alleviate pain. However, if TKi-associated keratitis proves unresponsive to these measures, it may be necessary to discontinue the EGFRi (Johnson et al., 2009). Treatment of such cases with EGF-containing eyedrops is a unique approach still not validated in a human clinical trial. However, Kawakami et al. reported dramatic improvement associated with starting topical human recombinant EGF in a patient with severe filamentous keratitis after beginning cetuximab treatment for colorectal cancer. The keratitis cleared just 3 weeks after starting topical recombinant EGF, despite continuation of the cetuximab (Kawakami et al., 2011). EGFR inhibitors have also been found to induce skin toxicity through upregulating keratinocyte cytokine release (CCL2, CCL5, CCL27, and CXCL14) that leads to chemokine-driven skin inflammation, which may deter patients from taking the medication (Lichtenberger et al., 2013). Nonetheless, skin toxicity can also be an important predictor of drug response, making it difficult for clinicians to decide whether to discontinue treatment due to cutaneous and/or ophthalmological side effects, which requires collaboration between medical specialties.

Immune checkpoint inhibitors

Immune checkpoints occur when costimulatory T cell receptors bind to "checkpoint" proteins on the surface of tumors that results in sending an "off" signal to the T cells, thus reducing host immune responses to the cancer. Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) are agents that block this process, thus rendering tumors susceptible to host immune attack. The development of ICI has greatly benefitted the progression-free survival and in select instances, the rate of cure for patients with what were previously difficult or frankly untreatable malignancies, often including metastatic disease (Goleva et al., 2021). The primary checkpoint proteins targeted in this pathway are cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4), and programmed cell death protein 1(PD-1), along with the PD-1 binding partner, programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1). However, cutaneous, neurological, cardiac, and ocular adverse events, the latter including ocular myasthenia, uveitis, and dry eye, have been associated with ICI therapy since their introduction as treatment for multiple types of cancer (Vanhonsebrouck et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2021; Park et al., 2021; Chiang et al., 2022a; Chiang et al., 2022b; Kao et al., 2022; Lee et al., 2022).

Dry eye affects between 1% and 24% of patients on ICI. The mechanism for dry eye in persons on ICI therapy, as proposed by Hiro et al., is thought to be loss of self-tolerance and induction of autoimmunity, resulting in primary lacrimal dysfunction and clinical sicca syndrome (Hori et al., 2020). A similar mechanism has been proposed for the cornea with disruption of immune privilege, and subsequent T cell infiltration at the ocular surface. Among U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA)-approved ICI, nivolumab and pembrolizumab had the highest incidence of ocular adverse effects, followed by atezolizumab and ipilimumab (Fang et al., 2019; Hori et al., 2020) (Table 1).

During the phase II trial of nivolumab in subjects with ipilimumab-refractory melanoma, three patients (3%) treated with 3 mg/kg nivolumab suffered either grade 1 or 2 dry eye (Weber et al., 2016). In the KEYNOTE-010 clinical trial, which compared pembrolizumab to docetaxel for previously treated, PD-L1-positive, advanced non-small-cell lung cancer, out of 1,034 study subjects, ten (1.5%) treated with pembrolizumab experienced grade 1, 2 dry eye, while only one person treated with docetaxel reported dry eye (Herbst et al., 2016). While most documented dry eye occurrences are grade 1 or 2, there have been reports of more severe dry eye necessitating withdrawal of the ICI. A 58-year-old man with metastatic melanoma developed bilateral superficial punctate keratitis after receiving six courses of nivolumab treatment. Despite punctal plugs to increase the tear film, and

| Drug | Indications | Corneal adverse event |
|---------------|---|-------------------------|
| Cetuximab | Colorectal cancer, squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck | Conjunctivitis |
| Afatinib | Non-small cell lung cancer | Ulcerative keratitis |
| Erlotinib | Non-small cell lung cancer, pancreatic cancer | Trichomegaly, keratitis |
| Gefitinib | Non-small cell lung cancer | Keratitis |
| Nivolumab | Melanoma | Dry eye, keratitis |
| Pembrolizumab | Non-small cell lung cancer | Dry eye |

TABLE 1 Biological anti-cancer agents-their indications and reported ocular adverse effects.

use of topical cyclosporin, one cornea perforated. Three weeks following the withdrawal of nivolumab, and concurrent with institution of topical loteprednol (0.5%), and topical autologous serum, the perforation healed (Nguyen et al., 2016).

Treatment with ICI has also been associated with Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis (SJS/TEN), which can result in severe cicatrizing keratoconjunctivitis leading to blindness. ICI-related SJS/TEN was reported in a case series involving eight individuals with SJS and an ALDEN score greater than four. Five patients exhibited ocular involvement, and three individuals exhibited grade 3 ocular involvement. Of the three patients with severe ocular involvement, two were being treated with pembrolizumab, and one with atezolizumab. In this series, patients with nivolumab-associated SJS/TEN exhibited little to mild ocular involvement (Ma et al., 2021).

ICI has also been hypothesized to be associated with corneal transplant rejection. An 85-year-old asymptomatic woman with a history of bilateral penetrating keratoplasty presented with bilateral diffuse keratic precipitates and subepithelial infiltrates 3 months after starting immunotherapy with pembrolizumab for a metastatic urothelial cell carcinoma. The corneal transplant rejection was treated with topical dexamethasone drops, but relapsed 2 weeks after the drops were discontinued. After consulting with an oncologist, pembrolizumab was discontinued (Vanhonsebrouck et al., 2020).

Conclusion

As the clinical use of biological anti-cancer agents expands, the frequency of associated side effects is also expected to increase. This article briefly overviews corneal adverse effects associated with biological agents, particularly EGFRi and ICI. More research is needed to pinpoint the molecular basis for these adverse events.

References

Chiang, C. H., Chiang, C. H., Ma, K. S., Hsia, Y. P., Lee, Y. W., Wu, H. R., et al. (2022a). The incidence and risk of cardiovascular events associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors in Asian populations. *Jpn. J. Clin. Oncol.* 52 (12), 1389–1398. doi:10.1093/jjco/hyac150

Fang, T., Maberley, D. A., and Etminan, M. (2019). Ocular adverse events with immune checkpoint inhibitors. J. Curr. Ophthalmol. 31 (3), 319–322. doi:10.1016/j.joco.2019.05.002

Ophthalmologists and other medical professionals should be aware of corneal adverse events in patients receiving biological agents for cancer. In order to prevent sight-threatening complications, the management of corneal adverse events requires close coordination between oncologists, ophthalmologists, and dermatologists so that the important benefits of anti-cancer therapies are balanced against the potential loss of vision in the small but significant number of treated patients who develop keratitis.

Author contributions

KS-KM wrote the first draft of the manuscript. P-FT and TY-JH contributed to and edited the draft. JC conceived the topic, edited the manuscript, and finalized the paper for submission. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Fraunfelder, F. T., and Fraunfelder, F. W. (2012). Trichomegaly and other external eye side effects associated with epidermal growth factor. *Cutan. Ocul. Toxicol.* 31 (3), 195–197. doi:10.3109/15569527.2011.636118

Goleva, E., Lyubchenko, T., Kraehenbuehl, L., Lacouture, M. E., Leung, D. Y. M., and Kern, J. A. (2021). Our current understanding of checkpoint inhibitor therapy in cancer immunotherapy. *Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 126 (6), 630–638. doi:10.1016/j.anai.2021. 03.003

Herbst, R. S., Baas, P., Kim, D. W., Felip, E., Pérez-Gracia, J. L., Han, J. Y., et al. (2016). Pembrolizumab versus docetaxel for previously treated, PD-L1-positive, advanced non-

Chiang, C. H., Chiang, C. H., Peng, C. Y., Hsia, Y. P., See, X. Y., Horng, C. S., et al. (2022b). Efficacy of cationic amphiphilic antihistamines on outcomes of patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Eur. J. Cancer* 174, 1–9. doi:10.1016/j. ejca.2022.07.006

small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-010): A randomised controlled trial. Lancet 387 (10027), 1540-1550. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)01281-7

Ho, W. L., Wong, H., and Yau, T. (2013). The ophthalmological complications of targeted agents in cancer therapy: What do we need to know as ophthalmologists? *Acta Ophthalmol.* 91 (7), 604–609. doi:10.1111/j.1755-3768.2012.02518.x

Hori, J., Kunishige, T., and Nakano, Y. (2020). Immune checkpoints contribute corneal immune privilege: Implications for dry eye associated with checkpoint inhibitors. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21 (11), 3962. doi:10.3390/ijms21113962

Huang, J. W., Kuo, C. L., Wang, L. T., Ma, K. S., Huang, W. Y., Liu, F. C., et al. (2021). Case report: *In situ* vaccination by autologous CD16(+) dendritic cells and anti-PD-L 1 antibody synergized with radiotherapy to boost T cells-mediated antitumor efficacy in A psoriatic patient with cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. *Front. Immunol.* 12, 752563. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.752563

Huillard, O., Bakalian, S., Levy, C., Desjardins, L., Lumbroso-Le Rouic, L., Pop, S., et al. (2014). Ocular adverse events of molecularly targeted agents approved in solid tumours: A systematic review. *Eur. J. Cancer* 50 (3), 638–648. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2013. 10.016

Ishiguro, M., Watanabe, T., Yamaguchi, K., Satoh, T., Ito, H., Seriu, T., et al. (2012). A Japanese post-marketing surveillance of cetuximab (Erbitux[®]) in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer. *Jpn. J. Clin. Oncol.* 42 (4), 287–294. doi:10.1093/jjco/ hys005

Johnson, K. S., Levin, F., and Chu, D. S. (2009). Persistent corneal epithelial defect associated with erlotinib treatment. *Cornea* 28 (6), 706–707. doi:10.1097/ICO. 0b013e31818fdbc6

Kao, Y. S., Ma, K. S., Wu, M. Y., Wu, Y. C., Tu, Y. K., and Hung, C. H. (2022). Topical prevention of radiation dermatitis in head and neck cancer patients: A network metaanalysis. *Vivo* 36 (3), 1453–1460. doi:10.21873/invivo.12851

Kawakami, H., Sugioka, K., Yonesaka, K., Satoh, T., Shimomura, Y., and Nakagawa, K. (2011). Human epidermal growth factor eyedrops for cetuximab-related filamentary keratitis. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 29 (23), e678–e679. doi:10.1200/JCO.2011.35.0694

Lee, I. T., Shen, C. H., Tsai, F. C., Chen, C. B., and Ma, K. S. (2022). Cancer-derived extracellular vesicles as biomarkers for cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma: A systematic review. *Cancers (Basel)* 14 (20), 5098. doi:10.3390/cancers14205098

Lichtenberger, B. M., Gerber, P. A., Holcmann, M., Buhren, B. A., Amberg, N., Smolle, V., et al. (2013). Epidermal EGFR controls cutaneous host defense and prevents inflammation. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 5 (199), 199ra111. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.3005886

Ma, K. S., Saeed, H. N., Chodosh, J., Wang, C. W., Chung, Y. C., Wei, L. C., et al. (2021). Ocular manifestations of anti-neoplastic immune checkpoint inhibitorassociated Stevens-Johnson syndrome/toxic epidermal necrolysis in cancer patients. *Ocul. Surf.* 22, 47–50. doi:10.1016/j.jtos.2021.06.010 McKelvie, J., McLintock, C., and Elalfy, M. (2019). Bilateral ulcerative keratitis associated with afatinib treatment for non-small-cell lung carcinoma. *Cornea* 38 (3), 384–385. doi:10.1097/ICO.00000000001808

National Institutes of Health (2022). Common terminology criteria for adverse events (CTCAE). https://ctep.cancer.gov/protocolDevelopment/electronic_applications/ctc. htm#ctc_60.

Nguyen, A. T., Elia, M., Materin, M. A., Sznol, M., and Chow, J. (2016). Cyclosporine for dry eye associated with nivolumab: A case progressing to corneal perforation. *Cornea* 35 (3), 399–401. doi:10.1097/ICO.00000000000724

Park, R. B., Jain, S., Han, H., and Park, J. (2021). Ocular surface disease associated with immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy. *Ocul. Surf.* 20, 115–129. doi:10.1016/j.jtos.2021. 02.004

Rawluk, J., and Waller, C. F. (2018). Gefitinib. Recent Results Cancer Res. 211, 235-246. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-91442-8_16

Saint-Jean, A., Reguart, N., Eixarch, A., Adán, A., Castellà, C., Sánchez-Dalmau, B., et al. (2018). Ocular surface adverse events of systemic epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors (EGFRi): A prospective trial. *J. Fr. Ophtalmol.* 41 (10), 955–962. doi:10.1016/j. jfo.2018.07.005

Sequist, L. V., Yang, J. C. H., Yamamoto, N., O'Byrne, K., Hirsh, V., Mok, T., et al. (2013). Phase III study of afatinib or cisplatin plus pemetrexed in patients with metastatic lung adenocarcinoma with EGFR mutations. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 31 (27), 3327–3334. doi:10.1200/JCO.2012.44.2806

Specenier, P., Koppen, C., and Vermorken, J. B. (2007). Diffuse punctate keratitis in a patient treated with cetuximab as monotherapy*Ann. Oncol.* 18. England, 961–962. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdm116

Vanhonsebrouck, E., Van De Walle, M., Lybaert, W., Kruse, V., and Roels, D. (2020). Bilateral corneal graft rejection associated with pembrolizumab treatment. *Cornea* 39 (11), 1436–1438. doi:10.1097/ICO.000000000002372

Weber, J., Gibney, G., Kudchadkar, R., Yu, B., Cheng, P., Martinez, A. J., et al. (2016). Phase I/II study of metastatic melanoma patients treated with nivolumab who had progressed after ipilimumab. *Cancer Immunol. Res.* 4 (4), 345–353. doi:10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-15-0193

Yang, J. C., Wu, Y. L., Schuler, M., Sebastian, M., Popat, S., Yamamoto, N., et al. (2015). Afatinib versus cisplatin-based chemotherapy for EGFR mutation-positive lung adenocarcinoma (LUX-Lung 3 and LUX-lung 6): Analysis of overall survival data from two randomised, phase 3 trials. *Lancet Oncol.* 16 (2), 141–151. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(14)71173-8

Zhou, Z., Sambhav, K., and Chalam, K. V. (2016). Erlotinib-associated severe bilateral recalcitrant keratouveitis after corneal EDTA chelation. *Am. J. Ophthalmol. Case Rep.* 4, 1–3. doi:10.1016/j.ajoc.2016.06.003