



Corrigendum: Functional Activation in the Ventral Object Processing Pathway during the First Year

Teresa Wilcox* and Marisa Biondi

Infant Cognition Lab, Department of Psychology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA

Keywords: infants, object processing, object processing pathway, ventral temporal cortex, cortical development

A corrigendum on

Functional Activation in the Ventral Object Processing Pathway during the First Year

by Wilcox, T., and Biondi, M. (2016). *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 9:180. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2015.00180

Table 3 of the Wilcox and Biondi (2016) article contained the incorrect *p*-values, which we hereby rectify. The original table contained two-tailed *p*-values rather than one-tailed *p*-values. The legend of **Table 3** indicated that one-tailed values were reported. We therefore re-submit **Table 3** with the correct one-tailed *p*-values.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

Natasha Sigala,
University of Sussex, UK

*Correspondence:

Teresa Wilcox
twilcox@tamu.edu

Received: 04 April 2016

Accepted: 18 April 2016

Published: 03 May 2016

Citation:

Wilcox T and Biondi M (2016)
Corrigendum: Functional Activation in
the Ventral Object Processing
Pathway during the First Year.
Front. Syst. Neurosci. 10:38.
doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2016.00038

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TW contributed to the conception and design of the work, data analysis and interpretation, and manuscript preparation. MB contributed to data acquisition and interpretation, and manuscript preparation.

REFERENCES

- Benjamini, Y., and Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. R. Statist. Soc.* 57, 289–300. doi: 10.2307/2346101
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences, 2nd Edn.* Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Wilcox and Biondi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

TABLE 3 | Mean (SD) HbO responses for the young and old age groups of Experiment 2.

Areas of Interest		Young (4–6 months)	Old (10–13 months)
Region I	<i>M (SD)</i>	0.0271 (0.0358)	0.0132 (0.0527)
	<i>t (df)</i>	3.211 (17)	1.002 (15)
	<i>p</i> -value	0.0025**	0.116
	Cohen's <i>d</i>	1.065	0.354
Region II	<i>M (SD)</i>	0.0250 (0.0450)	0.0078 (0.0282)
	<i>t (df)</i>	2.135 (17)	1.111 (15)
	<i>p</i> -value	0.024**	0.142
	Cohen's <i>d</i>	0.71	0.391
Region III	<i>M (SD)</i> 3	0.0150 (0.0312)	−0.0016 (0.0255)
	<i>t (df)</i>	2.038 (17)	−0.252 (15)
	<i>p</i> -value	0.0285**	0.402
	Cohen's <i>d</i>	0.68	0.089

One sample *t*-tests were used to compare mean responses at each of the three ROIs to zero. One-tailed *p*-values that passed the Benjamini-Hochberg (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) test are indicated by asterisks: * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$. Effect sizes as measured by Cohen's *d* are also reported (Cohen, 1988).