



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Tanushri Kaul,
International Centre for Genetic Engineering
and Biotechnology, India

*CORRESPONDENCE
Aida Turrini
✉ aida.turrini@gmail.com

RECEIVED 18 January 2024
ACCEPTED 12 February 2024
PUBLISHED 01 March 2024

CITATION
Turrini A and Ridoutt BG (2024) Editorial: Food
systems evaluation methods and sustainability
assessment.
Front. Sustain. Food Syst. 8:1372395.
doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2024.1372395

COPYRIGHT
© 2024 Turrini and Ridoutt. This is an
open-access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic practice.
No use, distribution or reproduction is
permitted which does not comply with these
terms.

Editorial: Food systems evaluation methods and sustainability assessment

Aida Turrini^{1*} and Bradley George Ridoutt^{2,3}

¹Independent Researcher, Scansano, Italy, ²Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research
Organisation (CSIRO) Agriculture and Food, Clayton, VIC, Australia, ³University of the Free State,
Department of Agricultural Economics, Bloemfontein, South Africa

KEYWORDS

sustainable food systems, evaluation methods, sustainability assessment, food system
transition, sustainability metrics

Editorial on the Research Topic

Food systems evaluation methods and sustainability assessment

The Food System Countdown to 2030 was initiated to think about monitoring the food system transition toward “a future where all people have access to healthy diets, produced in sustainable, resilient ways, that restore nature and deliver just and equitable livelihood” (Fanzo et al., 2021). Recently, a food system indicator framework to track the transition was developed (Schneider et al., 2023).

The monitoring system is based on five pillars: (i) diets, nutrition, and health; (ii) environment, natural resources, and production; (iii) livelihoods, poverty, and equity; (iv) governance; and (v) resilience. In this context, the community of stakeholders and experts has identified 50 indicators that are valid *per se* and aligned with other indicator systems, including the Sustainable Development Goals, which are scheduled to be achieved before 2030 (Schneider et al., 2023).

Indicators are expected to reflect the complexity of the food system and the connectedness with several sectors concerning non-food components influencing the environment, social outcomes, and food consumption. The monitoring framework relies on data already collected and scheduled to be updated within the next 8 years (Schneider et al., 2023). During the observational period, the indicators can be integrated with other variables if considered relevant to the evaluation (Schneider et al., 2023). Therefore, in-depth insights can provide useful information to refine the monitoring framework.

The present topic collection comprises the originally considered topics (Table 1) as well as the themes of information and education as factors facilitating the food system transition. Specifically, it includes the information and education topic and the development of indicators to monitor specific aspects that have not yet been covered.

The literature review showed a limited availability of assessment methods for food system sustainability, which have only recently been developed (Fanzo et al., 2021; Sachs et al., 2023; Schneider et al., 2023). The article “A scoping review of indicators for sustainable healthy diet” focused on available methods to evaluate the sustainability of the food chain and its related impact on human health (Harrison et al.). The interest for alternative protein sources is increasingly growing, given the various recommendations for reducing meat intake for health and sustainability reasons. The article “Alternative protein innovations and challenges for industry and consumer: an initial overview” offers a preliminary overview for researchers and stakeholders in this field (Hefferon et al.).

TABLE 1 Topics related to food system evaluation considered in the Research Topic launch.

Integrated assessment of the entire food production and consumption from a life cycle perspective
Sustainability assessment case studies of specific industry segments
Consumer habits and perception survey
Integrated assessment of human health and environmental impacts of food production
Evaluation of the impact of traditional and innovative agricultural, farming, and fishing techniques
Food system components contributing to healthy and sustainable diets

The majority of published articles on this Research Topic are constituted by original research to try to identify overarching methods and indicators on specific aspects to disentangle innovative points at issue.

The approaches concerning the whole food system *per se* and in relation to climate change were discussed in two articles. A method based on a typical economic approach exploiting data from the global multi-regional input–output databases was used in the article “Using input-output analysis to measure healthy, sustainable food systems,” providing indications for assessing the whole food system sustainability (Boylan et al.). The problem of estimating variables measuring environmental warming was discussed in “Methane emissions from California dairies estimated using novel climate metric global warming potential star show improved agreement with modeled warming dynamics” (Pressman et al.).

Specific components and approaches related to production innovation are analyzed in two articles: one dealing with primary production, such as agroecology in primary production [“Insights into agroecological farming practice implementation by conservation-minded farmers in North America” (Silva et al.)] and another dealing with cropland [“Estimating cropland requirements for global food system scenario modeling” (Smith et al.)]. Furthermore, the food consumption issue is the object of the article “Assessing the diet quality, environmental impact, and monetary costs of the dietary transition in China (1997–2011): impact of urbanization” (Chang et al.).

Finally, information and education to promote a healthy and sustainable diet are discussed in three articles: “Simple eco-labels to nudge customers toward the most environmentally friendly warm dishes: an empirical study in a cafeteria setting” (Slapø and Karevold), “An approach for integrating and analyzing sustainability in food-based dietary guidelines” (Mazac et al.), and “Advancing an integrative framework to evaluate sustainability in national dietary guidelines” (Ahmes et al.).

It is clear that production and consumption, i.e., the opposite extreme points of the food chain, are the most considered components in the food system transition. Moreover, information and education seem linked to healthy and sustainable food consumption but not to the production, distribution, or food service sectors.

Indicators included in the sustainability monitoring and assessment framework do not include the structure of the

transformation or the distribution system at the national and/or international level, including trade agreements. Additionally, they do not include economics in general, except for the affordability of a healthy and sustainable diet (Sachs et al., 2023).

Industry and trade are crucial in supporting sustainability (OECD, 2022; Zimmermann and Rapsomanikis, 2023) because “trade is an integral part of our food systems. It connects people at all stages of agricultural and food value chains, linking farmers with consumers across the world. It also links nations to each other and thus scales up from the domestic to the global perspective. By moving food from surplus to deficit regions, trade promotes food security” (Zimmermann and Rapsomanikis, 2023). While creating economic opportunities for producers, including farmers and small and medium enterprises (SMEs) (OECD, 2022), “the diversity of foods available, and can affect preferences and diets. Trade impacts food prices and the allocation of resources, and thus is inherent to economic growth and interacts with the environment. At the same time, trade can create both winners and losers, resulting in inequality, and can generate negative social and environmental outcomes.” (Zimmermann and Rapsomanikis, 2023). Trade enables food security while creating economic opportunities for producers, including farmers and small and medium enterprises (SMEs) (OECD, 2022). In this context, statistical data on economics can provide significant information. In this regard, the SUSFAN European research project approach (2015–2017) can be very helpful (SUSFANS Metrics, 2015).

In conclusion, integrating indicators from different suitable monitoring systems can provide comprehensive information. The Schneider et al. (2023) approach is characterized by openness to this option, which can be exploited as well.

Author contributions

AT: Writing—original draft, Writing—review & editing. BR: Writing—review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

Editors thank all the authors who submitted the manuscripts, adding important information to the Research Topic.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- Fanzo, J., Haddad, L., Schneider, K. R., Béné, C., Covic, N. M., Guarin, A., et al. (2021). Rigorous monitoring is necessary to guide food system transformation in the countdown to the 2030 global goals. *Food Policy* 104, 102163. doi: 10.1016/j.foodpol.2021.102163
- OECD (2022). *Trade and Food System Transformation. Meeting of Agriculture Ministers*. Available online at: <https://www.oecd.org/agriculture/ministerial/documents/Trade%20and%20Food%20Systems%20Transformation.pdf> (accessed February 19, 2024).
- Sachs, J. D., Lafortune, G., Fuller, G., and Drumm, E. (2023). *Implementing the SDG Stimulus. Sustainable Development Report 2023*. Dublin: Dublin University Press.
- Schneider, K. R., Fanzo, J., Haddad, L., Herrero, M., Moncayo, J. R., Herforth, A., et al. (2023). The state of food systems worldwide in the countdown to 2030. *Nature Food* 4, 1090–1110. doi: 10.1038/s43016-023-00885-9
- SUSFANS Metrics (2015). *Models and Foresight for European Sustainable Food and Nutrition Security*. Available online at: www.susfans.eu (accessed February 19, 2024).
- Zimmermann, A., and Rapsomanikis, G. (2023). Trade and sustainable food systems. *Sci. Innov. Food Syst. Transf.* 685, 1–14. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-15703-5_36