



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE
Frontiers Production Office
✉ production.office@frontiersin.org

RECEIVED 08 November 2023
ACCEPTED 08 November 2023
PUBLISHED 22 November 2023

CITATION
Frontiers Production Office (2023) Erratum:
Political economy of protein transition: battles
of power, framings, and narratives around a
false wicked problem.
Front. Sustain. 4:1335077.
doi: 10.3389/frsus.2023.1335077

COPYRIGHT
© 2023 Frontiers Production Office. This is an
open-access article distributed under the terms
of the [Creative Commons Attribution License
\(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction
in other forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)
are credited and that the original publication in
this journal is cited, in accordance with
accepted academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is permitted which
does not comply with these terms.

Erratum: Political economy of protein transition: battles of power, framings, and narratives around a false wicked problem

Frontiers Production Office*

Frontiers Media SA, Lausanne, Switzerland

KEYWORDS

food system transformation, political economy, protein transition, narrative analysis, discursive practices

An Erratum on

Political economy of protein transition: battles of power, framings and narratives around a false wicked problem

by Bén  , C., and Lundy, M. (2023). *Front. Sustain.* 4:1098011. doi: 10.3389/frsus.2023.1098011

Due to a production error, the reference for Adesogan et al., 2020 was incorrectly cited as Afshin et al., 2019 in two places.

A correction has been made to the section “**Protein transition: wicked problem or strawman argument?**”, subsection “*Discursive practices around protein transition*,” paragraph 8:

“Animal source food production contributes meaningfully to goals for a sustainable food system by converting millions of tons of agro-industrial by-products that cannot be consumed by humans into livestock feeds, concomitantly reducing waste and environmental pollution and increasing human-consumable food” (Adesogan et al., 2020, p. 4—our emphasis).

A correction has been made to the section “**Protein transition: wicked problem or strawman argument?**” subsection “*Discursive practices around protein transition*,” paragraph 9:

“Sustainable intensification of livestock production, which involves improved resource use efficiency with environmental stewardship can foster a reduction of greenhouse gas emissions” (Adesogan et al., 2020, p. 4—our emphasis).

The publisher apologizes for this mistake. The original article has been updated.