Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Panayiotis D. Megaloikonomos, McGill University, Canada

REVIEWED BY Loiy Alkhatib, Dr. Sulaiman Alhabib Hospital, United Arab Emirates Georgios Panagopoulos, Athens Medical Center, Greece

*CORRESPONDENCE Guosheng Yu ygssurgeon@163.com

RECEIVED 28 June 2024 ACCEPTED 18 October 2024 PUBLISHED 11 November 2024

CITATION

Wang G, Liu C, Wang J, Li H and Yu G (2024) Risk factors for healing failure after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair in small to medium-sized tears: a retrospective cohort study. Front. Surg. 11:1456540.

doi: [10.3389/fsurg.2024.1456540](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsurg.2024.1456540)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Wang, Liu, Wang, Li and Yu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Risk factors for healing failure](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsurg.2024.1456540/full) [after arthroscopic rotator](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsurg.2024.1456540/full) [cuff repair in small to](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsurg.2024.1456540/full) [medium-sized tears: a](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsurg.2024.1456540/full) [retrospective cohort study](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsurg.2024.1456540/full)

Guangying Wang¹, Changli Liu², Jiansong Wang², Haoran Li² and Guosheng Yu $1.2*$

¹Graduate School, Hebei University of Chinese Medicine, Shijiazhuang, China, ²Department of Sports Medicine, The Cangzhou Hospital of Integrated TCM-WM Hebei, Cangzhou, China

Objectives: To identify risk factors for tendon healing failure following arthroscopic rotator cuff repair (ARCR) in patients with small to medium-sized rotator cuff tears (RCTs).

Methods: A retrospective study was conducted on 320 patients with RCTs who underwent arthroscopic repair between June 2018 and June 2021. All patients had at least 2 years of postoperative follow-up, with MRI scans at the final assessment. Based on MRI results, patients were categorized into the healing success group (Group A: types I–III) or the healing failure group (Group B: types IV–V). Variables associated with rotator cuff healing, including patient characteristics, baseline symptoms, imaging data, and surgery-related factors, were analyzed using univariate and multivariate logistic regression.

Results: Healing failure occurred in 54 of the 320 patients (16.9%). Functional status improved significantly across all patients $(P < 0.05)$, irrespective of healing outcomes. Multifactorial analysis identified smoking (OR = 1.931, $P = 0.028$), diabetes (OR = 3.517, $P = 0.038$), lower bone mineral density (BMD) (OR = 1.551, $P = 0.018$), higher fatty infiltration (FI) (OR = 4.025, $P = 0.009$), and smaller acromiohumeral distance (AHD) (OR = 2.546, $P = 0.006$) as independent risk factors for healing failure.

Conclusions: Smoking, diabetes, lower BMD, higher FI, and smaller AHD are independent risk factors for healing failure following ARCR.

KEYWORDS

rotator cuff tears, healing failure, risk factors, acromiohumeral distance, arthroscopic surgery, fatty infiltration

1 Introduction

Rotator cuff tears (RCTs) are a leading cause of shoulder pain and significantly reduce patients' quality of life, making them a growing global health concern [\(1](#page-6-0)). The prevalence of RCTs is approximately 13% in individuals over 50, increasing to 25% in those over 60 and up to 50% in those over 80 years old ([2\)](#page-6-0). As shoulder arthroscopy becomes more advanced, the arthroscopic rotator cuff repair (ARCR) technique is increasingly used in

Abbreviations

ARCR, arthroscopic rotator cuff repair; RCTs, rotator cuff tears; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; ROM, range of motion; BMD, bone mineral density; AHD, acromiohumeral distance; FI, fatty infiltration; T2WI, MRI T2-weighted images; VAS, visual analogue scale; ASES, American shoulder and elbow surgeons.

patients with RCTs, particularly when conservative treatments have failed [\(3\)](#page-6-0), yielding favorable outcomes. Achieving anatomic integrity of the repaired tendon is the primary goal of ARCR. However, healing failure and postoperative retears remain significant complications [\(4\)](#page-6-0), with reported incidence rates between 13% and 84% [\(5\)](#page-6-0).

Small to medium-sized RCTs, defined by Cofield et al. ([6\)](#page-6-0) as tears involving less than 3 cm of the tendon, generally have favorable clinical and anatomic outcomes after surgical repair. However, some patients still experience healing failure and postoperative pain. While multivariate analyses have been done on large to massive tears, limited research exists for smaller tears ([7](#page-6-0), [8](#page-6-0)). Therefore, this study aims to evaluate ARCR outcomes for small to medium-sized tears and identify the risk factors that affect their healing.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Patient selection

Clinical data of patients with RCTs who underwent ARCR between June 2018 and June 2021 at the Cangzhou Hospital of Integrated TCM-WM Hebei were analyzed. The inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) diagnosis of full-thickness RCTs treated with ARCR; (2) availability of complete clinical data; and 3. follow-up of at least 2 years, including MRI examination at the final followup. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) partial-thickness RCTs; (2) isolated subscapularis tendon tears; (3) large to massive tears per the DeOrio et al. classification [\(9\)](#page-6-0), and (4) history of previous shoulder surgery. Out of the 653 patients initially considered, 320 patients were ultimately included in the analysis. The reasons for this reduction primarily involved noncompliance with initial inclusion criteria, withdrawal of informed consent, and loss to follow-up. A total of 320 patients with small to medium-sized full-thickness RCTs met the inclusion criteria, comprising 150 males (46.9%) and 170 females (53.1%). Of these, 106 patients underwent the single-row suture technique, and 214 underwent with double-row suture technique. Based on the most recent MRI results, patients were categorized into two groups: the healing success group (type I–III) and the healing failure group (type IV–V). The study was conducted with approval from the ethics committee of our hospital, and written informed consent was obtained from all participants, in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2 Clinical variables

The demographic variables analyzed in this study included age, sex, BMI, smoking, drinking, heart disease, hypertension, and diabetes (Table 1). Clinical variables assessed included symptom duration, history of steroid injections, shoulder stiffness, dominant hand involvement, range of motion (ROM), bone mineral density (BMD), fatty infiltration (FI), tear size, cuff retraction, and acromiohumeral distance (AHD). Additionally, intraoperative variables such as acromioplasty, biceps surgery, and the type of repair technique were recorded and included in the analysis ([Table 2](#page-2-0)).

2.3 Imaging and clinical evaluation

All patients underwent preoperative MRI to confirm the diagnosis and assess key parameters like tear size, cuff retraction, and the degree of FI. The tear size was calculated as the linear distance between the anterior and posterior margins on oblique sagittal T2-weighted images (T2WI). The extent of retraction was measured as the straight-line distance between the medial margin of the supraspinatus footprint and the medial margin of the retracted cuff on oblique coronal T2WI ([10](#page-6-0)). The degree of FI of the rotator cuff muscle was evaluated using T1-weighted MRI in the oblique sagittal scapular Y position, following the classification criteria of Goutallier et al. ([11](#page-6-0)) and the diagnostic method of Fuchs et al. [\(12\)](#page-6-0) [\(Figure 1\)](#page-2-0). FI was categorized into 5 grades, where higher grades indicated more extensive FI. Grade 0 represented no FI, grades 1 and 2 reflected mild FI with up to 50% normal muscle tissue, grade 3 indicated 50% FI, and grade 4 represented FI exceeding 50% of normal muscle tissue. According to Golding, [\(13](#page-6-0)) the AHD was measured as the distance between the inferior border of the acromion and the superior border of the humerus on a radiograph of the shoulder joint [\(Figure 2](#page-3-0)). BMD was assessed using dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry within one year prior to surgery. The lowest

TABLE 1 The main demographic variables between the two groups of patients.

Characteristics	Group A $(n = 266)$	Group B $(n = 54)$	P value
Age, years	$63.8 + 6.0$	62.1 ± 6.5	0.076
Sex, n (%)			0.842
Male	121 (45.4%)	29 (53.7%)	
Female	145 (54.5%)	25 (46.3%)	
BMI, kg/m^2			0.691
\leq 27	199 (74.8%)	39 (72.2%)	
>27	67 (25.2%)	15 (27.8%)	
Smoking			$0.029*$
Yes	105 (39.5%)	30 (55.6%)	
No	161 (60.5%)	24 (44.4%)	
Drinking			0.662
Yes	112 (42.1%)	21 (38.9%)	
N ₀	154 (57.9%)	33 (61.1%)	
Heart disease			0.396
Yes	126 (47.4%)	29 (53.7%)	
No	140 (52.6%)	25 (46.3%)	
Hypertension			0.458
Yes	138 (51.9%)	31 (57.4%)	
No	128 (48.1%)	23 (42.5%)	
Diabetes			$0.017*$
Yes	46 (17.3%)	17 (31.5%)	
No	220(82.7%)	37(68.5%)	

BMI, body mass index.

 $*P < 0.05$

Characteristics	Group A $(n = 266)$	Group B $(n = 54)$	\overline{P} value
Symptom duration, mo	$15.5 + 4.3$	16.4 ± 5.9	0.272
Steroid injection history			0.765
Yes	54 (20.3%)	10 (18.5%)	
No	212 (79.7%)	44 (81.5%)	
Shoulder stiffness			0.560
Yes	64 (24.1%)	11 (20.4%)	
No	202 (75.9%)	43 (79.6%)	
Dominant hand			0.817
Yes	203 (76.3%)	42 (77.8%)	
No	63 (23.7%)	12 (22.2%)	
ROM			
Forward flexion, deg	151.9 ± 15.3	148.9 ± 21.8	0.447
External rotation, deg	62.4 ± 16.6	59.2 ± 17.4	0.199
Internal rotation, deg	9.4 ± 3.6	8.8 ± 3.1	0.242
BMD	-1.11 ± 1.34	-1.61 ± 1.42	0.013
FI			$< 0.001**$
<2 Grade	205 (77.1%)	29 (53.7%)	
>2 Grade	61 (22.9%)	25 (46.3%)	
Tear size			$< 0.001**$
\leq 2 cm	236 (88.7%)	38 (70.4%)	
>2 cm	30 (11.3%)	16 (29.6%)	
Retraction of the cuff			$0.016*$
\leq 2 cm	224 (84.2%)	38 (70.4%)	
>2 cm	42 (15.8%)	16 (29.6%)	
AHD, cm	8.47 ± 1.49	7.15 ± 2.23	$< 0.001**$
Acromioplasty			0.484
Yes	190 (71.4%)	36 (66.7%)	
No	76 (28.6%)	18 (33.3%)	
Biceps surgery			$0.035*$
Yes	97 (36.5%)	28 (51.9%)	
No	169 (63.5%)	26 (48.1%)	
Repair technique			$0.024*$
Single-row	81 (30.5%)	25 (46.3%)	
Double-row	185(69.5%)	29(53.7%)	

TABLE 2 Comparison of the related risk factors between the two groups of patients treated with ARCR.

ROM, range of motion; BMD, bone mineral density; FI, fatty infiltration; AHD, acromiohumeral distance.

 $*P < 0.05$, $*P = 0.000$.

T-score from either the proximal femur or the lumbar spine was used for analysis.

All patients underwent MRI evaluations at least 2 years postsurgery to assess anatomical outcomes. Tendon healing success was determined using Sugaya et al.'s [\(14](#page-6-0)) classification on T2WI in both coronal and sagittal oblique views. This classification consists of 5 types: type I, where the tendon appears uniformly low-signal and sufficiently thick; type II, indicating a locally high-intensity signal but sufficient thickness; type III, showing tendon continuity despite insufficient tendon thickness; type IV, signifying a small discontinuity in more than one piece, indicating a minor retear; and type V, representing a severe retear. Healing failure was defined as types IV or V. All imaging evaluations were conducted by an experienced musculoskeletal radiologist.

ROM measurements of the affected shoulder, including forward flexion, external rotation, and internal rotation, were

The patient's rotator cuff muscle fatty infiltration was assessed in the oblique sagittal scapular Y position on MRI T1-weighted images. This case was grade 2 fatty infiltration.

performed using a goniometer with the elbow bent at 90°. Pain was assessed with the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), where 0 indicates no pain and 10 signifies the most severe pain. Pre- and postoperative functional outcomes were measured using the Constant-Murley score and the American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons (ASES) score, with higher scores reflecting better shoulder function [\(15\)](#page-6-0).

2.4 Surgical procedures and rehabilitation

All patients were treated by the same medical team and operated on by a senior surgeon. Surgeries were performed under general anesthesia with the patient in the beach chair position. For patients with a stiff shoulder, capsular release was performed following shoulder manipulation. In the glenohumeral joint, synovectomy and debridement of partially torn rotator cuff tendons were carried out. If the long head of the biceps tendon was intact and of good quality, it was preserved. Conversely, it was detached from the glenoid labrum and fixed in the intertubercular sulcus. The transverse humeral ligament was also severed to reduce tension. If the patient had a type II or type III acromion, acromioplasty was performed, polishing the acromion to type I. After the tear size, tendon quality, and patient's functional demands were evaluated, it was decided to use the

FIGURE 2

The acromiohumeral distance was defined as the shortest distance between the dense cortical bone on the inferior side of the acromion and the subchondral cortex on the superior side of the humeral head (arrow). This case was 7.37 mm.

single-row or double-row suture bridge technique. For patients with tears smaller than 2 cm, good tendon tissue quality, and no need for high-level functional demands, single-row repair is used. Conversely, double-row repair is applied. After placing the anchor according to the selected technique, the loaded suture was passed through the tendon using a flexible suture piercer. After securing the medial row sutures, an anchor without sutures is placed at the lateral aspect of the greater tuberosity. The sutures are then tensioned and pressed over the footprint to ensure proper reattachment of the tendon [\(16\)](#page-6-0).

After surgery, the same rehabilitation team supervised the patients' recovery exercises, tailoring the rehabilitation plan according to the severity of the rotator cuff injury. The rehabilitation process was divided into three stages. In the first stage, from the first day to 6 weeks after surgery, the affected shoulder was immobilized using an abduction brace. Passive range of motion exercises may begin at 2 weeks post-operation, but no active movement is allowed. In the second stage, from 6 weeks to 3 months after surgery, the goal during this phase is to restore the active range of motion while ensuring the protection of the surgical repair. Begin active-assisted and active exercises within a safe range. In the third stage, from 3 months to 6months after surgery, functional activities and sport-specific exercises are introduced gradually, based on the patient's tolerance. Strengthen the rotator cuff and surrounding muscles to restore full shoulder function.

2.5 Statistical analysis

SPSS 23.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA) statistical software was utilized for data analysis, with a significance level set as $\alpha = 0.05$. Measurement data were compared between the two groups using the independent samples t-test or nonparametric test, depending on normal distribution and variance homogeneity. Categorical data were analyzed with the chi-square test. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was performed on factors found to be statistically significant in the univariate analysis, identifying potential predictors of healing failure.

3 Results

A total of 320 patients were included in the study, with all surgeries successfully completed. No complications such as infection, wound issues, deltoid problems, or neurovascular injury were observed during follow-up. Based on MRI results, 266 patients had successful rotator cuff healing, while 54 patients experienced healing failure post-surgery, forming the two study groups. There were no significant differences in age, sex, BMI, drinking, heart disease, or hypertension between the two groups $(P > 0.05)$ [\(Table 1](#page-1-0)).

In the univariate analysis, rotator cuff healing failure was significantly higher among smokers and diabetic patients (all $P < 0.05$). Additionally, lower BMD ($P = 0.013$), higher FI $(P < 0.001)$, larger tear and retraction size (all $P < 0.05$), smaller AHD ($P < 0.001$), biceps surgery ($P = 0.035$), and different repair techniques ($P = 0.024$) were significantly associated with healing failure [\(Table 2](#page-2-0)).

With rotator cuff healing failure as the dependent variable, logistic regression analysis was performed with the variables that showed statistically significant differences in univariate analysis [\(Table 2\)](#page-2-0). The analysis revealed that smoking ($OR = 1.931$, $P = 0.028$), diabetes $(OR = 3.517, P = 0.038)$, lower BMD $(OR = 1.551, P = 0.018)$, higher FI (OR = 4.025, $P = 0.009$), and smaller AHD (OR = 2.546, $P = 0.006$) were positively correlated with rotator cuff healing failure after ARCR. These factors were identified as independent risk factors for postoperative healing failure (Table 3).

TABLE 3 Multivariate logistic regression analysis for the risk factors for healing failure after ARCR.

Risk factor	OR (95% CI)	P value
Smoking	1.931 (1.448-4.623)	$0.028*$
Diabetes	$3.517(1.571 - 12.631)$	$0.038*$
BMD	$1.551(0.402 - 3.768)$	$0.018*$
FI	$4.025(2.609-10.385)$	$0.009*$
AHD	2.546 (1.311-3.859)	$0.006*$

BMD, bone mineral density; FI, fatty infiltration; AHD, acromiohumeral distance. $*P < 0.05$.

TABLE 4 Functional outcomes after arthroscopic repair in small to medium-sized RCTs.

Characteristics	Preoperatively	Final follow- up	P value
ROM			
Forward flexion, deg	150.9 ± 18.3	$165.2 + 10.12$	$< 0.001**$
External rotation, deg	$61.3 + 14.6$	$71.1 + 12.4$	$< 0.001**$
Internal rotation, deg	$9.3 + 3.6$	$10.4 + 2.8$	0.213
VAS pain score	7.2 ± 1.7	1.1 ± 0.9	$< 0.001**$
ASES score	$52.6 + 18.2$	$85.3 + 13.3$	$< 0.001**$
Constant-Murley score	$48.8 + 15.1$	$78.6 + 12.3$	$< 0.001**$

ROM, range of motion; VAS, visual analogue scale; ASES, American shoulder and elbow surgeons.

 $*$ $P = 0.000$

All patients achieved significant improvements in functional outcomes, including VAS, ASES, and Constant-Murley scores, at the last follow-up (all $P < 0.001$) (Table 4).

4 Discussion

ARCR is a widely used minimally invasive procedure for the treatment of RCTs, providing pain relief, restoring function, and improving patients' quality of life. However, despite technological advancements, rotator cuff healing failure remains a significant issue over the past few decades [\(17\)](#page-6-0). Therefore, this study identifies potential risk factors for rotator cuff healing failure by measuring the incidence of rotator cuff healing failure in a retrospective study of RCTs patients treated with ARCR. In this retrospective study, the failure rate was 16.9%, aligning with previous studies [\(2,](#page-6-0) [18,](#page-6-0) [19](#page-6-0)). Various previous studies have identified prognostic factors that influence cuff healing after rotator cuff repair. Oh JH et al. found that age, retraction, FI, BMD, and work activity level were independent prognostic factors of healing failure in full-thickness RCTs ([20,](#page-6-0) [21](#page-6-0)). Another study focusing on massive RCTs identified FI as an independent risk factor for structural integrity [\(7\)](#page-6-0). For small to medium-sized tears, research has highlighted somking, FI, age, and tear size as significant prognostic factors ([22,](#page-6-0) [23](#page-6-0)). Additionally, several authors have noted that preoperative AHD affects rotator cuff integrity post-ARCR [\(24](#page-6-0), [25](#page-6-0)). This current study incorporated previous findings with a longer follow-up period.

Several previous studies suggest that metabolic diseases may interfere with rotator cuff healing due to their effects on bone, tendon quality, and circulation, all of which are crucial for tendon-bone healing ([26,](#page-6-0) [27](#page-6-0)). It has been reported that metabolic conditions like diabetes are associated with higher risks of healing failure [\(28,](#page-6-0) [29\)](#page-6-0). Diabetes has been linked to an increased risk of rotator cuff rupture and poorer functional outcomes postarthroscopic tendon repair [\(30](#page-6-0)). Egemen et al. ([31](#page-6-0)) observed the effects of diabetes on tendon injuries in animals and found delayed tissue regeneration and functional recovery, attributing

these issues to a hyperglycemic environment that weakens tendon biomechanical properties and causes histopathological changes that ultimately lead to inadequate tendon repair, maintenance, and remodeling [\(32\)](#page-7-0). A recent clinical study also confirms diabetes as a factor in postoperative healing failure ([33\)](#page-7-0). Consistently with previous data, our findings suggest that diabetes is a significant independent risk factor for healing in small to medium-sized RCTs.

Smoking as a risk factor for tendon healing is a subject of debate. Multiple studies have examined its potential negative effects on rotator cuff healing $(34-36)$ $(34-36)$ $(34-36)$ $(34-36)$ $(34-36)$. Lundgreen et al. (34) (34) found that tendon samples from smokers showed more advanced degeneration, increased apoptotic cells, decreased tenocyte density, and heightened proliferative activity compared to non-smokers. Similarly, Park et al. [\(35\)](#page-7-0) reported that smoking deteriorates tendon quality, which may impair rotator cuff healing and increase the chances of RCTs. However, the effect of smoking on outcomes after rotator cuff repair remains an unanswered question. In contrast, McElvany et al. ([36\)](#page-7-0) reported in their meta-analysis that studies with a higher proportion of smokers showed more favorable results. In this study, although smoking was significantly associated with rotator cuff healing failure (OR = 1.931, $P = 0.028$), we did not observe significant differences in functional outcomes between these two groups. Additionally, smoking cessation has been shown to reduce postoperative complications in surgical patients ([37\)](#page-7-0). Therefore, attention should be given to smokers undergoing rotator cuff repair, particularly heavy smokers, and our surgeons strongly advise quitting smoking both before and after the procedure.

FI after RCTs is a complex pathophysiological phenomenon that significantly affects patient outcomes and clinical decisionmaking. Prolonged unrepaired rotator cuff damage increases the risk of FI, which can significantly reduce the success of surgical treatment. Greater preoperative FI is associated with a higher recurrence rate post-repair [\(11,](#page-6-0) [23](#page-6-0), [38](#page-7-0), [39\)](#page-7-0). Longo et al. ([40](#page-7-0)) reported that patients with moderate or significant FI (grades 2–4) had a significantly higher retear rate than those with minimal or no FI (grades 0–1). Our findings revealed that grade 2 or greater FI serves as a critical threshold influencing structural integrity after rotator cuff repair, with a notably higher rate of retear when FI equals or exceeds grade 2. FI $(OR = 4.025, P = 0.009)$ was associated with an increased risk of postoperative retear. The irreversibility of FI after surgery may explain why patients with preoperative rotator cuff FI exhibit poorer shoulder function compared to those without FI. Therefore, we should focus more on the degree of FI in patients with RCTs during clinical practice and routinely assess and grade FI. Regarding the clinical evaluation of muscle FI, MRI remains the gold standard for evaluating rotator cuff FI. While the semi-quantitative Goutallier grading method is commonly used, new imaging techniques, such as magnetic resonance spectroscopy and water-fat separation, offer improved accuracy in FI evaluation and present distinct advantages [\(41,](#page-7-0) [42](#page-7-0)).

A lower BMD is a well-established risk factor for rotator cuff healing failure, as it implies reduced bone strength and structural integrity [\(20\)](#page-6-0). Patients with lower BMD are likely to have more severe osteoporosis, which weakens the humeral greater tuberosity. We believe that this weakened bone structure contributes to anchor loosening and biological healing disorders, leading to rotator cuff healing failure after surgical repair. This finding was supported by biomechanical studies by Yakacki et al. ([43](#page-7-0)) and Tingart et al. ([44\)](#page-7-0), who linked the quality of the humeral head bone to the pullout strength of suture anchors. Additionally, another biological mechanism may explain the relationship between osteoporosis and tendonbone healing. Osteoporosis is characterized by excessive osteoclast activity ([45\)](#page-7-0), which may interfere with bone growth at the tendon-bone junction, resulting in weakness in this area. Therefore, the impact of BMD on rotator cuff healing failure is likely the result of multiple factors. In our study, all patients were prescribed long-term and regular anti-osteoporotic medications following surgery.

The AHD, defined as the shortest distance between the inferior border of the acromion and the head of the humerus, is a significant factor in determining rotator cuff function (25) (25) (25) . An AHD of less than 6 mm, as measured on standard radiographs, is typically associated with larger RCTs [\(46](#page-7-0)). The relationship between RCTs and AHD was such that the incidence of tears increased as AHD decreased ([24,](#page-6-0) [47,](#page-7-0) [48](#page-7-0)). Additionally, Saupe et al. demonstrated a negative correlation between tear size, the degree of FI in the rotator cuff muscles, and AHD, concluding that FI of the infraspinatus muscle had the most pronounced impact on AHD [\(49](#page-7-0)). When the infraspinatus muscle loses its ability to lower the humeral head, upward movement occurs due to the torn supraspinatus, which no longer functions as a placeholder ([50\)](#page-7-0). When the AHD is reduced, it places increased upward forces on the rotator cuff, particularly the supraspinatus tendon, within the limited space of the subacromial space, leading to more severe impingement ([51\)](#page-7-0). Furthermore, there is a reciprocal relationship between RCTs and abnormal AHD. A reduced AHD can accelerate the progression of RCTs, while the instability of the glenohumeral joint following RCTs causes further upwards migration of the humeral head, exacerbating the narrowing of AHD ([52,](#page-7-0) [53](#page-7-0)). However, the impact of the AHD remains debated, with some studies finding no correlation between AHD and functional outcomes ([54\)](#page-7-0). Kim et al. [\(55](#page-7-0)) suggested that an increase in the size of sagittal retear and a decrease in AHD are significant imaging parameters for predicting retear rates. Nové-Josserand et al. ([56\)](#page-7-0) reported complete AHD narrowing following muscle fat degeneration in the supraspinatus muscle. Our study observed normal AHD in patients with stage 2 or 3 FI of the supraspinatus muscle, indicating a need for further investigation. Thus, the relationship between AHD and rotator cuff healing failure requires more clarification. While MRI provides moderate evidence for AHD measurement reliability ([57\)](#page-7-0), x-ray remains a practical and cost-effective

alternative, offering similar sensitivity and predictive value for RCTs ([58](#page-7-0)).

It must be noted that these interpretations are based on general understanding and assumptions. The exact mechanism by which these risk factors lead to healing failure after ARCR in patients with RCTs requires further study and may involve a combination of mechanical, inflammatory, neurological, and psychological factors. Future studies, including prospective and experimental research, could provide more insights into these underlying mechanisms and help guide strategies for preventing and managing postoperative nonunion in this patient group.

This study has several limitations. First, due to the retrospective study design, some patients who underwent rotator cuff repair surgery at our institution withdrew from the study, with only 320 patients enrolled out of 652. Second, the tear size, degree of FI, retraction distance, and healing status were measured and evaluated via MRI. Due to differences in MRI machines and scanning parameters, artifacts from implants, and variations in scanning planes and slice thickness, some measurement discrepancies may occur. These factors could further affect the study's results. Third, two different surgical techniques, singlerow and double-row, were used in this study. The differences in biomechanical stability and healing potential between them may have led to some variability in the outcomes. Finally, being a single-center study with a limited sample size and short followup period, long-term and multistage follow-up is necessary to further validate our findings.

5 Conclusions

Smoking, diabetes, lower BMD, higher FI, and smaller AHD were identified as independent risk factors for rotator cuff healing failure following ARCR.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Committee of the Cangzhou Hospital of Integrated TCM-WM Hebei. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required from the participants or the participants' legal guardians/next of kin in accordance with the national legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s)

for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

GW: Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. CL: Writing – review & editing. JW: Writing – review & editing. HL: Writing – review & editing. GY: Writing – original draft.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

1. Malavolta EA, Gracitelli MEC, Assunção JH, Pinto GMR, da Silveira AZF, Ferreira Neto AA. Shoulder disorders in an outpatient clinic: an epidemiological study. Acta Ortop Bras. (2017) 25(3):78–80. [doi: 10.1590/1413-785220172503170849](https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-785220172503170849)

2. Fermont AJ, Wolterbeek N, Wessel RN, Baeyens JP, de Bie RA. Prognostic factors for successful recovery after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: a systematic literature review. J Orthop Sports Phys Ther. (2014) 44(3):153–63. [doi: 10.2519/jospt.2014.4832](https://doi.org/10.2519/jospt.2014.4832)

3. Gazielly DF, Gleyze P, Montagnon C. Functional and anatomical results after rotator cuff repair. Clin Orthop Relat Res. (1994) 304:43–53. [doi: 10.1097/00003086-](https://doi.org/10.1097/00003086-199407000-00009) [199407000-00009](https://doi.org/10.1097/00003086-199407000-00009)

4. Boileau P, Brassart N, Watkinson DJ, Carles M, Hatzidakis AM, Krishnan SG. Arthroscopic repair of full-thickness tears of the supraspinatus: does the tendon really heal? J Bone Joint Surg Am. (2005) 87(6):1229–40. [doi: 10.2106/JBJS.D.02035](https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.D.02035)

5. Galatz LM, Ball CM, Teefey SA, Middleton WD, Yamaguchi K. The outcome and repair integrity of completely arthroscopically repaired large and massive rotator cuff tears. J Bone Joint Surg Am. (2004) 86(2):219–24. [doi: 10.2106/00004623-200402000-](https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-200402000-00002) [00002](https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-200402000-00002)

6. Cofield RH, Parvizi J, Hoffmeyer PJ, Lanzer WL, Ilstrup DM, Rowland CM. Surgical repair of chronic rotator cuff tears. J Bone Joint Surg Am. (2001) 83 (1):71–7. [doi: 10.2106/00004623-200101000-00010](https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-200101000-00010)

7. Chung SW, Kim JY, Kim MH, Kim SH, Oh JH. Arthroscopic repair of massive rotator cuff tears. Am J Sports Med. (2013) 41(7):1674–83. [doi: 10.1177/](https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546513485719) [0363546513485719](https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546513485719)

8. Denard PJ, Lädermann A, Jiwani AZ, Burkhart SS. Functional outcome after arthroscopic repair of massive rotator cuff tears in individuals with pseudoparalysis. Arthroscopy. (2012) 28(9):1214–9. [doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2012.02.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2012.02.026)

9. DeOrio JK, Cofield RH. Results of a second attempt at surgical repair of a failed initial rotator-cuff repair. J Bone Joint Surg Am. (1984) 66(4):563–7.

10. Kim YK, Jung KH, Won JS, Cho SH. Medialized repair for retracted rotator cuff tears. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. (2017) 26(8):1432–40. [doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2016.11.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2016.11.007)

11. Goutallier D, Postel JM, Bernageau J, Lavau L, Voisin MC. Fatty muscle degeneration in cuff ruptures. Clin Orthop Relat Res. (1994) 304:78–83. [doi: 10.](https://doi.org/10.1097/00003086-199407000-00014) [1097/00003086-199407000-00014](https://doi.org/10.1097/00003086-199407000-00014)

12. Fuchs B, Weishaupt D, Zanetti M, Hodler J, Gerber C. Fatty degeneration of the muscles of the rotator cuff: assessment by computed tomography versus magnetic resonance imaging. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. (1999) 8(6):599–605. [doi: 10.1016/S1058-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1058-2746(99)90097-6) [2746\(99\)90097-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1058-2746(99)90097-6)

13. Golding FC. The shoulder—the forgotten joint. the makenzie davidson memorial lecture. Br J Radiol. (1962) 35:149–58. [doi: 10.1259/0007-1285-35-411-149](https://doi.org/10.1259/0007-1285-35-411-149)

14. Kim KC, Shin HD, Lee WY, Han SC. Repair integrity and functional outcome after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. Am J Sports Med. (2012) 40(2):294–9. [doi: 10.](https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546511425657) [1177/0363546511425657](https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546511425657)

15. Constant CR, Murley AH. A clinical method of functional assessment of the shoulder. Clin Orthop Relat Res. (1987) 214:160-4. [doi: 10.1097/00003086-](https://doi.org/10.1097/00003086-198701000-00023) [198701000-00023](https://doi.org/10.1097/00003086-198701000-00023)

16. Tashjian RZ, Hung M, Burks RT, Greis PE. Influence of preoperative musculotendinous junction position on rotator cuff healing using technique. Arthroscopy. (2013) 29(11):1748–54. [doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2013.08.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2013.08.014)

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

17. Wilson F, Hinov V, Adams G. Arthroscopic repair of full-thickness tears of the rotator cuff. Arthroscopy. (2002) 18(2):136–44. [doi: 10.1053/jars.2002.30443](https://doi.org/10.1053/jars.2002.30443)

18. Gerber C, Fuchs B, Hodler J. The results of repair of massive tears of the rotator cuff. J Bone Joint Surg Am. (2000) 82(4):505–15. [doi: 10.2106/00004623-200004000-](https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-200004000-00006) [00006](https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-200004000-00006)

19. Jensen AR, Taylor AJ, Sanchez-Sotelo J. Factors influencing the reparability and healing rates of rotator cuff tears. Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med. (2020) 13(5):572-83. [doi: 10.1007/s12178-020-09660-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12178-020-09660-w)

20. Chung SW, Oh JH, Gong HS, Kim JY, Kim SH. Factors affecting rotator cuff healing after arthroscopic repair. Am J Sports Med. (2011) 39(10):2099-107. [doi: 10.](https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546511415659) [1177/0363546511415659](https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546511415659)

21. Kwon J, Kim SH, Lee YH, Kim TI, Oh JH. The rotator cuff healing index: a new scoring system to predict rotator cuff healing after surgical repair. Am J Sports Med. (2019) 47(1):173–80. [doi: 10.1177/0363546518810763](https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546518810763)

22. Park JS, Park HJ, Kim SH, Oh JH. Prognostic factors affecting rotator cuff healing after arthroscopic repair in small to Medium-sized tears. Am J Sports Med. (2015) 43(10):2386–92. [doi: 10.1177/0363546515594449](https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546515594449)

23. Neyton L, Godenèche A, Nové-Josserand L, Carrillon Y, Cléchet J, Hardy MB. Arthroscopic suture-bridge repair for small to medium size supraspinatus tear: healing rate and retear pattern. Arthroscopy. (2013) 29(1):10-7. [doi: 10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2012.06.020) [arthro.2012.06.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2012.06.020)

24. Turan K, Çabuk H, Köroğlu C, Öztürk Ç. Increased acromiohumeral distance in a double-row arthroscopic rotator cuff surgery compared to a single-row surgery after 12 months. J Orthop Surg Res. (2021) 16(1):385. [doi: 10.1186/s13018-021-02523-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-021-02523-1)

25. Kim YK, Jung KH, Kim JW, Kim US, Hwang DH. Factors affecting rotator cuff integrity after arthroscopic repair for medium-sized or larger cuff tears: a retrospective cohort study. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. (2018) 27(6):1012-20. [doi: 10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2017.11.016) [1016/j.jse.2017.11.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2017.11.016)

26. Abate M, Schiavone C, Salini V, Andia I. Occurrence of tendon pathologies in metabolic disorders. Rheumatology (Oxford, England). (2013) 52(4):599–608. [doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/kes395](https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kes395)

27. Abboud JA, Kim JS. The effect of hypercholesterolemia on rotator cuff disease. Clin Orthop Relat Res. (2010) 468(6):1493–7. [doi: 10.1007/s11999-009-1151-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-009-1151-9)

28. Bedi A, Fox AJ, Harris PE, Deng XH, Ying L, Warren RF, et al. Diabetes mellitus impairs tendon-bone healing after rotator cuff repair. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. (2010) 19 (7):978–88. [doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2009.11.045](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2009.11.045)

29. Rodeo SA, Arnoczky SP, Torzilli PA, Hidaka C, Warren RF. Tendon-healing in a bone tunnel. A biomechanical and histological study in the dog. J Bone Joint Surg Am. (1993) 75(12):1795–803. [doi: 10.2106/00004623-199312000-00009](https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-199312000-00009)

30. Hong CK, Chang CJ, Kuan FC, Hsu KL, Chen Y, Chiang CH, et al. Patients with diabetes mellitus have a higher risk of tendon retear after arthroscopic rotator cuff repair: a meta-analysis. Orthop J Sports Med. (2020) 8(11):2325967120961406. [doi: 10.1177/2325967120961406](https://doi.org/10.1177/2325967120961406)

31. Egemen O, Ozkaya O, Ozturk MB, Sen E, Akan M, Sakiz D, et al. The biomechanical and histological effects of diabetes on tendon healing: experimental study in rats. J Hand Microsurg. (2016) 4(2):60–4. [doi: 10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12593-012-0074-y) [s12593-012-0074-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12593-012-0074-y)

32. Lu PP, Chen MH, Dai GC, Li YJ, Shi L, Rui YF. Understanding cellular and molecular mechanisms of pathogenesis of diabetic tendinopathy. World J Stem Cells. (2020) 12(11):1255–75. [doi: 10.4252/wjsc.v12.i11.1255](https://doi.org/10.4252/wjsc.v12.i11.1255)

33. Álvarez de la Cruz J, Méndez Ojeda MM, Álvarez Benito N, Herrera Rodríguez A, Pais Brito JL, Márquez Marfil FJ. Diabetes mellitus and obesity as prognostic factors in arthroscopic repair of chronic rotator cuff tears. J Clin Med. (2023) 12(2):627. [doi: 10.3390/jcm12020627](https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm12020627)

34. Lundgreen K, Lian OB, Scott A, Nassab P, Fearon A, Engebretsen L. Rotator cuff tear degeneration and cell apoptosis in smokers versus nonsmokers. Arthroscopy. (2014) 30(8):936–41. [doi: 10.1016/j.arthro.2014.03.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2014.03.027)

35. Park JH, Oh KS, Kim TM, Kim J, Yoon JP, Kim JY, et al. Effect of smoking on healing failure after rotator cuff repair. Am J Sports Med. (2018) 46(12):2960-8. [doi: 10.1177/0363546518789691](https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546518789691)

36. McElvany MD, McGoldrick E, Gee AO, Neradilek MB, Matsen FA 3rd. Rotator cuff repair: published evidence on factors associated with repair integrity and clinical outcome. Am J Sports Med. (2015) 43(2):491–500. [doi: 10.1177/0363546514529644](https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546514529644)

37. Gourgiotis S, Aloizos S, Aravosita P, Mystakelli C, Isaia EC, Gakis C, et al. The effects of tobacco smoking on the incidence and risk of intraoperative and postoperative complications in adults. Surgeon. (2011) 9(4):225–32. [doi: 10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surge.2011.02.001) [surge.2011.02.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surge.2011.02.001)

38. Voigt C, Bosse C, Vosshenrich R, Schulz AP, Lill H. Arthroscopic supraspinatus tendon repair with suture-bridging technique. Am J Sports Med. (2010) 38(5):983-91. [doi: 10.1177/0363546509359063](https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546509359063)

39. Tenbrunsel TN, Whaley JD, Golchian D, Malone DL, Lima DJL, Sabesan VJ. Efficacy of imaging modalities assessing fatty infiltration in rotator cuff tears. JBJS Rev. (2019) 7(4):e3. [doi: 10.2106/JBJS.RVW.18.00042](https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.RVW.18.00042)

40. Longo UG, Carnevale A, Piergentili I, Berton A, Candela V, Schena E, et al. Retear rates after rotator cuff surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. (2021) 22(1):749. [doi: 10.1186/s12891-021-04634-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-021-04634-6)

41. Gilbert F, Böhm D, Eden L, Schmalzl J, Meffert RH, Köstler H, et al. Comparing the MRI-based goutallier classification to an experimental quantitative MR spectroscopic fat measurement of the supraspinatus muscle. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. (2016) 17(1):355. [doi: 10.1186/s12891-016-1216-3](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-016-1216-3)

42. Matsumura N, Oguro S, Okuda S, Jinzaki M, Matsumoto M, Nakamura M, et al. Quantitative assessment of fatty infiltration and muscle volume of the rotator cuff muscles using 3-dimensional 2-point dixon magnetic resonance imaging. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. (2017) 26(10):e309–18. [doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2017.03.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2017.03.019)

43. Yakacki CM, Poukalova M, Guldberg RE, Lin A, Saing M, Gillogly S, et al. The effect of the trabecular microstructure on the pullout strength of suture anchors. J Biomech. (2010) 43(10):1953–9. [doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2010.03.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2010.03.013)

44. Tingart MJ, Apreleva M, Lehtinen J, Zurakowski D, Warner JJ. Anchor design and bone mineral density affect the pull-out strength of suture anchors in rotator cuff repair Am J Sports Med. (2004) 32(6):1466–73. [doi: 10.1177/0363546503262644](https://doi.org/10.1177/0363546503262644)

45. Hirayama T, Danks L, Sabokbar A, Athanasou NA. Osteoclast formation and activity in the pathogenesis of osteoporosis in rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford, England). (2002) 41(11):1232–9. [doi: 10.1093/rheumatology/41.11.1232](https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/41.11.1232)

46. Jost B, Pfirrmann CW, Gerber C, Switzerland Z. Clinical outcome after structural failure of rotator cuff repairs. J Bone Joint Surg Am. (2000) 82(3):304–14. [doi: 10.2106/00004623-200003000-00002](https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-200003000-00002)

47. Kholinne E, Kwak JM, Sun Y, Kim H, Park D, Koh KH, et al. The relationship between rotator cuff integrity and acromiohumeral distance following open and arthroscopic rotator cuff repair. SICOT-J. (2021) 7:23. [doi: 10.1051/sicotj/2021012](https://doi.org/10.1051/sicotj/2021012)

48. Ueda Y, Tanaka H, Tomita K, Tachibana T, Inui H, Nobuhara K, et al. Comparison of shoulder muscle strength, cross-sectional area, acromiohumeral distance, and thickness of the supraspinatus tendon between symptomatic and asymptomatic patients with rotator cuff tears. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. (2020) 29 (10):2043–50. [doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2020.02.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2020.02.017)

49. Saupe N, Pfirrmann CW, Schmid MR, Jost B, Werner CM, Zanetti M. Association between rotator cuff abnormalities and reduced acromiohumeral distance. AJR Am J Roentgenol. (2006) 187(2):376–82. [doi: 10.2214/AJR.05.0435](https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.05.0435)

50. Goutallier D, Le Guilloux P, Postel JM, Radier C, Bernageau J, Zilber S. Acromio humeral distance less than six millimeter: its meaning in full-thickness rotator cuff tear. Orthop Ttraumatol Surg Res. (2011) 97(3):246–51. [doi: 10.1016/j.otsr.2011.01.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2011.01.010)

51. Xu M, Li Z, Zhou Y, Ji B, Tian S, Chen G. Correlation between acromiohumeral distance and the severity of supraspinatus tendon tear by ultrasound imaging in a Chinese population. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. (2020) 21(1):106. [doi: 10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-020-3109-8) [s12891-020-3109-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-020-3109-8)

52. Chopp JN, O'Neill JM, Hurley K, Dickerson CR. Superior humeral head migration occurs after a protocol designed to fatigue the rotator cuff: a radiographic analysis. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. (2010) 19(8):1137–44. [doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2010.03.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2010.03.017)

53. López-de-Celis C, Estébanez-de-Miguel E, Pérez-Bellmunt A, Caudevilla-Polo S, González-Rueda V, Bueno-Gracia E. The effect of scapular fixation on scapular and humeral head movements during glenohumeral axial distraction mobilization. Medicina (Kaunas, Lithuania). (2022) 58(3):454. [doi: 10.3390/medicina58030454](https://doi.org/10.3390/medicina58030454)

54. Lapner PC, Su Y, Simon D, El-Fatori S, Lopez-Vidriero E. Does the upward migration index predict function and quality of life in arthroscopic rotator cuff repair? Clin Orthop Relat Res. (2010) 468(11):3063–9. [doi: 10.1007/s11999-010-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-010-1457-7) [1457-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11999-010-1457-7)

55. Kim G, Kim S, Lee Y, Jang I, Kim JH. Prognostic factors leading to good or poor outcomes based on functional and radiological findings after a rotator cuff retear. Am J Sports Med. (2022) 50(14):3924–33. [doi: 10.1177/03635465221128232](https://doi.org/10.1177/03635465221128232)

56. Nové-Josserand L, Lévigne C, Noël E, Walch G. L'espace sous-acromial. Etude des facteurs influençant sa hauteur [The acromio-humeral interval. A study of the factors influencing its height]. Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot. (1996) 82 (5):379–85.

57. de Oliveira França F, Godinho AC, Ribeiro EJ, Falster L, Búrigo LE, Nunes RB. Avaliação da distância úmero-acromial por meio da ressonância magnética. Rev Bras Ortop. (2016) 51(2):169–74. [doi: 10.1016/j.rbo.2015.06.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rbo.2015.06.009)

58. Hufeland M, Brusis C, Kubo H, Grassmann J, Latz D, Patzer T. The acromiohumeral distance in the MRI should not be used as a decision criterion to assess subacromial space width in shoulders with an intact rotator cuff. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. (2021) 29(7):2085–9. [doi: 10.1007/s00167-020-06090-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00167-020-06090-6)