Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Panagiotis Korovessis, Olympion Medical Center, Greece

REVIEWED BY Giacomo Papotto, Cannizzaro Hospital, Italy Fanglin Guan, Xi'an Jiaotong University Health Science Center, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Zhencun Cai sychczc@163.com

† These authors share first authorship

RECEIVED 09 February 2024 ACCEPTED 09 May 2024 PUBLISHED 16 May 2024

CITATION

Liang H, Chen B, Duan S, Yang L, Xu R, Zhang H, Sun M, Zhou X, Liu H, Wen H and Cai Z (2024) Treatment of complex limb fractures with 3D printing technology combined with personalized plates: a retrospective study of case series and literature review. Front. Surg. 11:1383401.

doi: [10.3389/fsurg.2024.1383401](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsurg.2024.1383401)

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Liang, Chen, Duan, Yang, Xu, Zhang, Sun, Zhou, Liu, Wen and Cai. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

[Treatment of complex limb](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsurg.2024.1383401/full) [fractures with 3D printing](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsurg.2024.1383401/full) [technology combined with](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsurg.2024.1383401/full) [personalized plates: a](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsurg.2024.1383401/full) [retrospective study of case](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsurg.2024.1383401/full) [series and literature review](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fsurg.2024.1383401/full)

Hairui Liang^{1†}, Beibei Chen^{1†}, Siyu Duan^{1†}, Lei Yang², Rongda Xu¹, He Zhang¹, Ming Sun¹, Xueting Zhou¹, Hanfei Liu¹, Hang Wen¹ and Zhencun Cai^{1,3*}

¹Department of Orthopedics Surgery, Central Hospital Affiliated to Shenyang Medical College, Shenyang, Liaoning, China, ²School of Pharmacy, Inner Mongolia Medical University, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, Shenyang, China, ³Key Laboratory of Human Ethnic Specificity and Phenomics of Critical Illness in Liaoning Province, Shenyang Medical College, Shenyang, China

Background: In recent years, 3D printing technology has made significant strides in the medical field. With the advancement of orthopedics, there is an increasing pursuit of high surgical quality and optimal functional recovery. 3D printing enables the creation of precise physical models of fractures, and customized personalized steel plates can better realign and more comprehensively and securely fix fractures. These technologies improve preoperative diagnosis, simulation, and planning for complex limb fractures, providing patients with better treatment options.

Patients and methods: Five typical cases were selected from a pool of numerous patients treated with 3D printing technology combined with personalized custom steel plates at our hospital. These cases were chosen to demonstrate the entire process of printing 3D models and customizing individualized steel plates, including details of the patients' surgeries and treatment procedures. Literature reviews were conducted, with a focus on highlighting the application of 3D printing technology combined with personalized custom steel plates in the treatment of complex limb fractures.

Results: 3D printing technology can produce accurate physical models of fractures, and personalized custom plates can achieve better fracture realignment and more comprehensive and robust fixation. These technologies provide patients with better treatment options.

Conclusion: The use of 3D printing models and personalized custom steel plates can improve preoperative diagnosis, simulation, and planning for complex limb fractures, realizing personalized medicine. This approach helps reduce surgical time, minimize trauma, enhance treatment outcomes, and improve patient functional recovery.

KEYWORDS

3D printing technology, personalized custom steel plates, limb fractures, preoperative planning, case series, literature review

1 Introduction

Complex limb fractures refer to fractures with intricate patterns, which may involve multiple fracture sites, severe dislocations, comminuted fractures, or joint surface involvement. Complex limb fractures are relatively common due to the diverse causes of fractures and the substantial mechanical stresses that limbs endure during physical activities. Consequently, they represent a significant proportion of all fracture cases, with a substantial number of patients affected $(1, 2)$ $(1, 2)$ $(1, 2)$ $(1, 2)$. In the case of limb fractures, the severity of the fracture and the complex anatomical structures involved can make the treatment of some limb fractures quite challenging ([3](#page-10-0)). In recent years, 3D printing technology has matured, allowing orthopedic surgeons to use preoperative CT data to print visual models of fractures, thereby enabling more comprehensive preoperative diagnosis, simulation, and surgical planning [\(4](#page-10-0)–[6](#page-10-0)). For some complex limb fractures, 3D printing technology can be used to design personalised implants, such as custom plates, to achieve individualized and precise treatment. This helps in reducing surgical time, improving surgical efficacy, lowering trauma, reducing postoperative complications, and facilitating postoperative functional recovery ([7](#page-10-0)–[9\)](#page-10-0).

The diagnosis and treatment of limb fractures depend on several factors, including the type and location of the fracture, the degree of displacement, the patient's age, and overall health. Common treatment methods include external support devices (such as casts), external fixation devices, internal fixation (such as plates, screws, intramedullary nails, Kirschner wires, wires, etc.), and surgical interventions in complex cases (involving open fractures or articular surface involvement) [\(10](#page-10-0)–[15](#page-10-0)). In recent years, the field of orthopedics has rapidly advanced, and with the further dissemination of fracture classification and treatment concepts, limb fracture diagnosis and treatment have established a relatively comprehensive system. This system allows for the selection of the most suitable treatment approach for patients based on fracture classification and type ([16](#page-10-0), [17](#page-10-0)). However, some complex limb fractures, characterized not only by the severity of the fractures but also by unfavorable soft tissue conditions, continue to present significant challenges for conventional treatment methods. The selection and customisation of the optimal treatment approach should be based on the individual patient's specific circumstances and the characteristics of the fracture [\(18](#page-10-0), [19\)](#page-10-0).

3D printing technology is an advanced manufacturing technique widely used in various fields. It is based on the principle of additive manufacturing, where digital design files are transformed into physical objects by layer-by-layer stacking or incremental addition of materials [\(20\)](#page-10-0). In the field of orthopedics, the manufacturing process involves image acquisition, image post-processing, and 3D printing ([21](#page-10-0)). There are various 3D printing technologies, including Selective Laser Sintering (SLS), Stereolithography (SLA), Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM), Selective Deposition Modeling (SDM), Bioprinting, Electron Beam Melting (EBM), and Direct Metal Laser Sintering (DMLS). Different printing technologies have their respective advantages, and the appropriate technology can be selected based on specific requirements [\(22](#page-10-0)–[27\)](#page-10-0). 3D printing technology has had a revolutionary impact on the field of orthopedics, providing a wide range of applications, including preoperative planning, customized implants, surgical simulations, fracture modeling, bioprinting, surgical navigation, and more. It has also equipped healthcare professionals with additional tools and resources and transforming the methods of orthopedic medical care and surgery. This technology has introduced numerous innovative and personalised solutions to enhance patient treatment and recovery processes [\(6,](#page-10-0) [7,](#page-10-0) [27](#page-10-0)–[35](#page-10-0)). Despite the numerous advantages of 3D printing, it also faces certain challenges and limitations. 3D printing technology is continually evolving, and currently, there is ongoing exploration of new materials, faster printing methods, and broader application areas [\(36\)](#page-10-0). This technology holds the promise of further enhancing the quality and efficiency of orthopedic healthcare in the future.

2 Patients and methods

Five representative cases were selected from a pool of numerous patients undergoing treatment with 3D printing technology combined with personalized custom steel plates at our institution. (3D Group) These cases were chosen to comprehensively demonstrate the entire process of printing 3D models and customizing individualized steel plates, including an overview of the patients' surgeries, treatment procedures, and postoperative follow-up outcomes.

This study was conducted with the approval of the Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Central Hospital of Shenyang Medical College. Patients provided informed consent for the publication of case details and any accompanying images, in accordance with publication terms.

2.1 The process of customizing individualized steel plates

The patient's fracture CT data was imported into Mimics 20.0 software (Materialise, Belgium) workstation in DICOM format for three-dimensional modeling. The fracture fragments were separated and color-coded using the thresholding selection function in the software, and then anatomical virtual reduction of the fracture fragments was performed using the move and rotate functions. Subsequently, the three-dimensional modeling and virtually reduced fracture data were exported as STL files and imported into FlashPrint 5 software (Flashforge, China) to print physical models using PLA (polylactic acid) as the printing material.

Through collaboration between biomedical engineers and surgeons, based on the virtually reduced fracture model, customized individualized steel plate solutions were developed using Unigraphics NX (Siemens PLM Software, USA). The optimal placement of the steel plates was determined to achieve sufficient reduction and strong fixation of the fracture area. Specific steel plate design parameters included the fixation area, shape, curvature, thickness, and the positions and orientations of screw holes.

Next, the physical models of the steel plates were printed using PLA as the printing material with FlashPrint 5 software. The physical models of the steel plates were then reverse-scanned and imported into Mimics software. The placement and fixation of the steel plates were simulated on the virtually reduced threedimensional model, confirming the positions, lengths, directions, and diameters of the screws. Transparency processing was applied to the fracture model to ensure proper screw length and positioning without intruding into the joint cavity. The length of the fixation screws was measured using the measurement function, and the recommended screw lengths were marked next to each screw hole on the steel plate.

Based on the designed individualized steel plate production drawings, the steel plates were manufactured using pure titanium TA3 as the raw material. After fabrication, the steel plates underwent processes such as sandblasting, magnetic polishing, and ultrasonic cleaning before being sent to a specialized quality inspection department for testing. After passing quality inspection, the individualized steel plates were labeled and packaged. Prior to surgery, the steel plates underwent hightemperature and high-pressure sterilization for disinfection and were kept on standby during the procedure.

2.2 Surgical technique

All patients underwent surgery performed by the same group of surgeons. Preoperative vital signs, postoperative imaging, and functional assessments during follow-up were conducted collectively by three physicians from our team. The only difference compared to previous treatments for limb fractures was the use of 3D printing technology in conjunction with individualized customized steel plates; no other interventions were applied. Detailed surgical procedures are described in each case presentation.

2.3 Baseline data and follow-up assessment indicators

A paired case-control analysis was conducted, matching 3D group cases with non-3D group patients based on fracture type, age, gender, and BMI. The shortest follow-up period for all participants in the study was one year. Postoperative x-rays were reviewed on the first day after surgery to assess fracture reduction quality. To compare the surgical time, fracture healing time, postoperative three-month joint function score, and complication rates between the two groups of paired cases, as well as to assess the postoperative functional recovery, joint function scores, and activities of daily living (ADL) at one year postoperatively.

Functional scores were assessed according to the Mayo score for the elbow joint (37) , the Cooney score for the wrist joint ([38](#page-10-0)), the Hospital for Special Surgery (HSS) score for the knee joint ([39](#page-10-0)), the Mazur score for the ankle joint [\(40\)](#page-10-0), and the postoperative modified Neer score for the shoulder joint [\(41\)](#page-10-0).

Fracture reduction quality was classified as excellent (angle less than 5° or displacement less than 2 millimeters in any plane), good (angle between 5° and 10° or displacement between 2 and 5 mm in any plane), fair (angle between 10° and 20° or displacement between 5 and 10 mm in any plane), and poor (angle greater than 20 $^{\circ}$ or displacement greater than 10 mim) ([42](#page-10-0)).

2.4 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS version 27.0 software. Descriptive statistics included the mean and standard deviation for continuous variables, as well as frequencies for categorical variables. The Shapiro-Wilk test was employed to assess normality. For categorical variables, the chi-square test was used for analysis, while for continuous variables, both independent samples t-test and Mann-Whitney U-test were utilized. The significance level was set at $p < 0.05$.

3 Results

[Figures 1](#page-3-0)–[10](#page-6-0) illustrate the schemes of 3D-printed fracture models and customized personalized steel plates.

[Table 1](#page-6-0) summarizes the cases in the 3D printing group. [Table 2](#page-6-0) compares the data of matched patients between the 3D group and the non-3D group.

The baseline characteristics were similar between the two groups. For the 3D group compared to the non-3D group, the age was $(45.00 \pm 8.46 \text{ vs. } 44.60 \pm 9.07, P > 0.05)$ and the BMI was $(23.48 \pm 1.58 \text{ vs. } 23.58 \pm 1.11, P > 0.05).$

Comparison between the 3D group and the non-3D group revealed no significant difference in surgical time (77.60 ± 16.29) vs. 100.80 ± 17.08 min, $P > 0.05$), fracture reduction quality (excellent reduction rate 80% vs. 20% , $P > 0.05$), and complication rates (20% vs. 40%, $P > 0.05$). However, there was a significant difference in fracture healing time $(11.60 \pm 0.55 \text{ vs.})$ 13.00 ± 0.71 weeks, $P < 0.05$), postoperative three-month joint function score $(94.00 \pm 1.00 \text{ vs. } 86.20 \pm 1.30, P < 0.05)$, postoperative one-year joint function score $(95.80 \pm 0.84 \text{ vs. } 90.60 \pm 1.14, P < 0.05)$, and postoperative one-year activities of daily living score (99.00 \pm 2.24 vs. 99.00 ± 0.00 , $P < 0.05$).

The combination of 3D printing technology and personalized customized steel plates provides patients with additional treatment options. Particularly in the treatment of complex fractures involving multiple joints, the use of 3D-printed customized implants has shown significant potential ([Figures 1](#page-3-0)–[8\)](#page-5-0).

3.1 Case presentation

3.1.1 Case 1

A 56-year-old patient with a radial fracture resulting from a car accident was assessed by CT [\(Figures 1A](#page-3-0)–[D\)](#page-3-0), revealing severe

Preoperative and postoperative radiological data of the patient. (A–D) Preoperative CT images of the patient. (E–G) CT images at 7 months postoperative. (H) x-ray at 7 months postoperative.

FIGURE 2

Patient's 3D printing and custom plate data. (A) Virtual simulation of preoperative fracture CT data. (B) Virtual reduction of patient's fracture data. (C,D) Design of the plate and screws for the patient, simulation of plate positioning, and data for screw length measurement.

FIGURE 3 Patient's preoperative CT scan of the fracture.

Patient's preoperative and postoperative radiological data. (A) Preoperative x-ray. (B-D) Preoperative CT scans. (E) x-ray on the first day postoperatively. (F) x-ray one month postoperatively.

Patient's 3D printing and customized steel plate data. (A) Virtual simulation of preoperative CT data for fracture reduction. (B-D) Design of the steel plate and screws, simulation of the steel plate's position, and data for screw length measurement.

Patient's preoperative and postoperative radiological data. (A,B) Preoperative x-ray and CT images. (C) x-ray image on the first day after surgery. (D,E) x-ray and CT images 6 months after surgery.

FIGURE 8

Patient's 3D printing and customized steel plate data. (A) Virtual simulation of preoperative CT data of the fracture. (B) Virtual reduction of the fracture data. (C,D) Design of the steel plate and screws, simulation of the steel plate's position, and data for screw length measurement. (E,F) 3D printing model and customized individualized steel plate model.

Patient's preoperative and postoperative radiological data. (A) Preoperative x-ray. (B-D) Preoperative CT. (E) x-ray on the first day after surgery. (F) x-ray one month after surgery.

fragmentation and displacement of the radial head and proximal radius. Treatment options considered included open reduction internal fixation (ORIF) or radial head replacement. After discussing the options with the patient, the decision was made to proceed with ORIF. Virtual simulation based on the patient's data was performed ([Figures 2A,B\)](#page-3-0), followed by the creation of a 3D model and the design of a customized individualized steel plate ([Figures 2C,D\)](#page-3-0). This facilitated preoperative planning, simulation, and subsequent surgical intervention. The surgery was performed through a lateral incision on the elbow joint, with careful layer-by-layer dissection to protect the radial nerve. Upon exposure, the fracture was observed to be comminuted, with

multiple fracture fragments. Reduction of the fracture was challenging during the procedure, but satisfactory realignment was achieved with reference to preoperative fracture models and disinfection. Temporary fixation was achieved using Kirschner wires, and intraoperative fluoroscopy confirmed satisfactory fracture reduction. The fixation employs individualized steel plates with customized shapes and hole positions provided by Shenyang Dongya Company. Post-fixation, the elbow joint showed stable fracture alignment, which was confirmed by intraoperative fluoroscopy using a C-arm. The site was irrigated, and the incision was sutured. The site was irrigated, and the incision was sutured. Postoperative imaging ([Figures 1E](#page-3-0)–[H](#page-3-0)) and

Patient's 3D printing and customized steel plate data. (A,B) Virtual simulation of preoperative CT data. (C,D) Virtual reduction of fracture data in the patient. (E) Design of the steel plate and screws, simulation of the steel plate's position, and data for screw length measurement.

TABLE 1 Individual case scenarios.

A/B/G, age/BMI/gender; DBS/NOS, days before surgery/number of surgeries; OSO/FTP, optional surgical options/final treatment plan; RHR, ORIF and WJR, radial head replacement surgery, Open reduction and internal fixation and Wrist joint replacement surgery.

FRQ, fracture reduction quality; JFS, joint function score; #, after one year post-operation; *, after 3 months post-operation.

the final follow-up follow-up assessments demonstrated the patient's successful recovery of joint functionality, with satisfactory flexion, extension, and rotation capabilities.

3.1.2 Case 2

A 49-year-old patient with a distal radial fracture resulting from a fall was examined by CT ([Figure 3](#page-3-0)), revealing a highly

fragmented fracture with displaced bone fragments and severe joint surface collapse. Considering the patient's relatively young age, wrist joint replacement and open reduction internal fixation (ORIF) were initially considered. However, the patient and family opted for the latter. Due to post-injury soft tissue swelling, the patient was managed for swelling reduction, and surgery was deferred until the soft tissue condition improved. Virtual simulation based on the patient's data was performed [\(Figures 4A](#page-4-0)– [D](#page-4-0)), followed by the creation of a 3D model and the design of a customized individualized steel plate ([Figures 4E,F](#page-4-0)). This facilitated preoperative planning, simulation, and subsequent surgical intervention. The surgery was performed using two incisions, one on the volar aspect and the other on the dorsal aspect, with layer-by-layer dissection while carefully protecting the median nerve. The fracture was exposed, revealing comminution and displacement of fracture fragments. Despite difficulty in reducing the fracture during the procedure, satisfactory realignment was achieved by referencing preoperative fracture models and disinfection. Temporary fixation was achieved using Kirschner wires, and intraoperative fluoroscopy using a C-arm confirmed satisfactory fracture reduction. The fixation employs individualized steel plates with customized shapes and hole positions provided by Shenyang Dongya Company. Post-fixation, the wrist joint exhibited stable fracture alignment, which was further confirmed by intraoperative fluoroscopy using a C-arm. The site was irrigated, and the incision was sutured. The final follow-up follow-up assessments demonstrated the patient's successful postoperative functional recovery.

3.1.3 Case 3

A 43-year-old patient involved in a car accident with traumatic brain injury, tibial plateau fracture, and an open wound on the left lower limb underwent hospitalization for wound debridement and treatment of the head injury. x-rays and CT scans [\(Figures 5A](#page-4-0)–[D](#page-4-0)) revealed a comminuted fracture of the tibial plateau. Once the patient's overall condition stabilized, it was observed that the tibial fracture had become chronic. A 3D model was printed to assess the immediate status of the fracture, plan the surgery, and evaluate bone callus and defect conditions. Based on the patient's relevant data, a virtual simulation was conducted [\(Figure 6A](#page-4-0)), and a customized individualized steel plate was designed ([Figures 6B](#page-4-0)–[D](#page-4-0)) for preoperative planning, simulation, and subsequent surgical intervention. The surgery was performed through a medial curved incision on the tibia, with step-by-step dissection of the skin and subcutaneous tissues to expose the fracture. The fracture was observed to be comminuted, with collapse of the articular surface and partial formation of callus. Despite difficulty in realigning the articular surface intraoperatively, satisfactory reduction of the fracture and articular surface was achieved by referencing preoperative fracture models and disinfection. Temporary fixation was accomplished using Kirschner wires, and intraoperative fluoroscopy with a C-arm confirmed satisfactory realignment of the fracture and articular surface. The fixation employs individualized steel plates with customized shapes and hole positions provided by Shenyang Dongya Company. Post-fixation, the knee joint exhibited stable alignment of the fracture, which was further confirmed by intraoperative fluoroscopy with a Carm. The site was irrigated and the incision was sutured. The final follow-up follow-up assessments, including imaging studies ([Figures 5E,F\)](#page-4-0), and functional follow-ups, demonstrated that the patient achieved a favorable recovery in joint function, with satisfactory outcomes in flexion, extension, and ambulation.

3.1.4 Case 4

A 33-year-old patient involved in a car accident sustained a trimalleolar ankle fracture. Given the patient's young age, achieving the best surgical outcome and satisfactory postoperative functional recovery were the primary goals. Preoperative x-rays and CT scans [\(Figures 7A,B](#page-5-0)) revealed severe comminution of the fracture, involving the medial malleolus, lateral malleolus, and posterior malleolus, with joint surface collapse and displacement of fracture fragments.

Based on the patient's relevant data, a virtual simulation was conducted [\(Figures 8A,B\)](#page-5-0), and a customized individualized steel plate was designed ([Figures 8C,D](#page-5-0)). 3D models of the fracture and the customized plate were printed ([Figures 8E,F](#page-5-0)) for preoperative planning, simulation, and subsequent surgical intervention. The surgery was performed through two incisions, one on the medial and the other on the lateral aspect of the distal tibia and fibula, with step-by-step dissection of the skin and subcutaneous tissues to expose the fracture. The fracture was observed to be comminuted, with displacement of the posterior malleolar fragment. Reduction of the fracture was challenging intraoperatively; however, satisfactory realignment was achieved by referencing preoperative fracture models and disinfection. Temporary fixation was achieved using Kirschner wires, and intraoperative fluoroscopy with a C-arm confirmed satisfactory fracture reduction. The fixation employs individualized steel plates with customized shapes and hole positions provided by Shenyang Dongya Company. Post-fixation, stable alignment of the ankle joint was observed, which was confirmed by intraoperative fluoroscopy with a C-arm. The site was irrigated, and the incisions were sutured. The final follow-up assessments, including imaging studies [\(Figures 7C](#page-5-0)–[E](#page-5-0)), and functional follow-ups demonstrated that the patient achieved a favorable recovery in joint function after surgery.

3.1.5 Case 5

A 44-year-old patient suffered a shoulder blade fracture due to a fall, and the patient was obese. Preoperative x-rays and CT scans ([Figures 9A](#page-5-0)–[D\)](#page-5-0) revealed a severe and complex fracture. Based on the patient's relevant data, virtual simulations were performed ([Figures 10A](#page-6-0)–[D](#page-6-0)), and 3D models of the fracture were printed. A customized individualized steel plate was designed (Figure [10E\)](#page-6-0) for preoperative planning, simulation, and subsequent surgical intervention. The surgery was performed through two incisions, one on the inner and the other on the outer edge of the scapula, with step-by-step dissection of the skin and subcutaneous tissues to expose the fracture. The fracture was observed to be comminuted, with displacement of fracture fragments. Reduction of the fracture was challenging intraoperatively; however, satisfactory realignment was achieved by referencing preoperative fracture models and disinfection. Temporary fixation was achieved using Kirschner wires, and intraoperative fluoroscopy with a C-arm confirmed satisfactory fracture reduction. The fixation employs individualized steel plates with customized shapes and hole positions provided by Shenyang Dongya Company. Post-fixation, stability of the fracture was observed with movement in various directions of the shoulder joint, which was further confirmed by intraoperative fluoroscopy with a C-arm. The site was irrigated, and the incisions were sutured. The final follow-up follow-up assessments, including imaging studies ([Figures 9E,F\)](#page-5-0), and functional follow-ups demonstrated that the patient achieved satisfactory surgical outcomes and postoperative functional recovery.

4 Discussion

With the advancement of orthopedics, surgery is no longer limited to merely relieving patient pain, fixing fractures, and correcting deformities. It now aims to not only address these issues but also enhance the restoration of patients' limb function. Limbs, as the primary sites for motor function, demand greater precision in fracture reduction and higher postoperative functional recovery. The use of 3D printing technology in combination with personalised custom-made plates allows for the simulation of surgical reduction and procedures based on models and individualized plates. During surgery, it enables comprehensive fracture reduction and provides more robust and stable internal fixation. This technology facilitates the measurement of screw lengths and the assessment of incision locations and lengths, ensuring a smooth and secure surgical procedure [\(9](#page-10-0)). Bagaria and Chaudhary [\(43](#page-11-0)). conducted a multicenter study, which demonstrated the crucial role of 3D models in complex orthopedic surgeries, such as complex fractures and fractures around joints. This technology not only provides additional information beyond traditional imaging but also offers significant support in preoperative planning, surgical rehearsal, procedural simulation, intraoperative guidance, surgical navigation, pre-implant selection, and inventory management. It holds the potential to reduce surgical time and enhance surgical precision, garnering strong support and recommendations from surgeons. The research by Upex et al. [\(44](#page-11-0)) indicates that utilizing 3D printing technology for preoperative planning significantly enhances the quality of fracture reduction and reduces surgical time. You et al. demonstrate that models generated using 3D printing technology can provide a visual representation of the severity of fractures and enable comprehensive observation. This aids in preoperative diagnosis, surgical planning and design, data measurements, preselection of internal fixation devices, and surgical outcome simulation. It assists surgeons in gaining a better understanding of complex fracture cases, designing the most suitable surgical approach before the operation, facilitating doctorpatient communication, reducing intraoperative damage, and optimizing surgical outcomes [\(45](#page-11-0)). Xie et al.'s research indicates that for tibial plateau fracture patients, 3D printing technology assisted ORIF is a more suitable method for treating tibial plateau fractures. It results in shorter surgical duration, reduced intraoperative bleeding, and faster healing time ([46](#page-11-0)).

Giannopoulos et al.'s study ([47\)](#page-11-0) demonstrates that 3D printing models can enhance diagnosis, facilitate advanced preoperative planning, and enable surgeons to absorb information more rapidly than image reviews alone. These models assist in selecting the optimal surgical approach. Patient-specific 3D printed implants may have a significant impact on future

surgeries. This research also indicates that 3D printing technology is rapidly evolving and could potentially be a transformative factor for surgeons. However, Rengier et al. ([21\)](#page-10-0) argue that while 3D printing and rapid prototyping are feasible for specialized surgical planning and prosthetic applications, their widespread use in preoperative surgical planning and personalised implant design seems impractical. This is because standard planning procedures and standardized implants are already sufficient, and the time required for creating 3D printed models is relatively long, making it unsuitable for emergency patients. However, the authors have found through clinical practice that complex limb fractures often involve severe fragmentation and significant displacement. Using 3D printing technology to create limb fracture models allows physicians to gain a clear understanding of the fracture location and type. Customising individualized plates through these models enables more comprehensive and robust fixation of fractures while also saving surgical time. Currently, 3D printing technology can provide a relatively comprehensive surgical approach and planning for complex limb fractures. However, whether it significantly reduces surgical time, decreases the probability of soft tissue damage, improves fracture reduction quality, and enhances postoperative function for all fracture surgeries remains to be confirmed and further studied, depending on the specific fracture case.

With the continuous research and advancements in using biomaterials in 3D printing, the applications of 3D printing in manufacturing customized implants, prosthetics, and medical 3D scaffolds have been rapidly growing [\(33\)](#page-10-0). Customized internal implants make each patient unique, holding tremendous potential in individualized and tailored solutions [\(32\)](#page-10-0). Today, 3D printing technology can be used to create physical models, plan surgeries, or develop surgical guides, aiding orthopedic surgeons in dealing with complex cases. Building upon this foundation, Mimics computational software is employed to create perfectly tailored individual internal fixations based on different fracture locations and types, eliminating the need for preoperative simulation of pre-bent plates. This further realizes the customisation of surgical treatments and has achieved satisfactory clinical outcomes. The use of 3D printing technology and customisation in orthopedics has become a reality [\(4\)](#page-10-0). Yoshii et al. [\(48](#page-11-0)) employed three-dimensional preoperative planning for the treatment of distal radius fractures. The reproducibility of fracture reduction was relatively high, and the reproducibility of implant selection was outstanding. Three-dimensional digital planning is highly useful for visualizing the reduction process and choosing appropriate implants to address distal radius fractures. Furthermore, Yoshii et al. ([49\)](#page-11-0) demonstrated the significant benefits of preoperatively determining the plate and screw positions and types for distal radius fractures through 3D printing and image fusion systems. Shuang et al. [\(50\)](#page-11-0) compared the treatment of intercondylar fractures of the humerus using traditional fixed plates and 3D printing technology with customized individualized plates, with the latter achieving more satisfactory postoperative joint function recovery. This demonstrates that the use of 3D printing technology in conjunction with personalised custom plates is safe and effective for treating intercondylar fractures of the humerus and significantly reduces the surgical time. The study by Yang et al. [\(51\)](#page-11-0) demonstrates that 3D printed custom patient-specific plates are effective in surgical procedures, simplifying the surgical process and achieving precise surgical outcomes through highly accurate methods.

In recent years, the author has successfully utilized 3D printing technology in conjunction with personalized custom steel plates to treat a series of complex limb fractures. For patients with such intricate fractures, it is crucial to recommend more and better treatment options. Additionally, for some younger patients, there is a strong desire to preserve their own bone structure and joints rather than opting for prosthetics or internal implants. For physicians, the pursuit of minimal trauma, shorter surgery times, perfect, comprehensive, and robust fracture fixation to promote rehabilitation and achieve better joint functional recovery is a priority. These principles motivated the author to undertake the use of 3D printing technology combined with personalized custom steel plates for the treatment of complex limb fractures.

Reviewing literature and practical surgical experiences revealed that collaborating with engineers to design customized individualized steel plates using 3D printing technology resulted in a perfect fit during surgery. This approach successfully achieved fracture reduction and comprehensive, robust, and stable fixation, reducing surgical complexity. It also provided conditions for early initiation of functional exercises, positively impacting patient prognosis.

However, challenges persist with 3D printing technology combined with personalized custom steel plates, including extended customization times, high costs, and exclusion from medical insurance settlements [\(36](#page-10-0)). The author believes that with the advancement of technology, these issues will gradually be overcome, offering patients higher quality and more satisfactory services.

The limitations of this study include a small sample size of included cases, potential selection bias, and a lack of long-term follow-up of 3 to 5 years. These factors may introduce some bias into the results. Additionally, the widespread application of this surgical method is limited due to the incomplete popularization of 3D printing technology. This study is a case series with limited persuasiveness and credibility, and the data reporting and comparisons are not comprehensive. It is hoped that in the future, more high-quality randomized controlled studies with larger and more diverse samples will be conducted to provide more comprehensive and accurate conclusions.

5 Conclusion

The combination of 3D printing technology with personalized custom steel plates has created more choices and convenience for preoperative planning, treatment option selection, and surgical procedures for patients with limb fractures. This approach provides a more precise and personalized therapeutic method, realizing personalized medicine, aiding in reducing surgery time, minimizing trauma, and improving patient functional recovery. This technology is poised to become the trend in the surgical treatment of various complex traumatic bone fractures.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Committee of Shenyang Medical College Affiliated Central Hospital. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

HaiL: Data curation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. BC: Formal Analysis, Writing – review & editing. SD: Data curation, Writing – original draft. LY: Data curation, Investigation, Writing – original draft. RX: Data curation, Writing – original draft. HZ: Validation, Writing – original draft. MS: Validation, Writing – original draft. XZ: Investigation, Writing – original draft. HanL: Investigation, Writing – original draft. HW: Investigation, Writing – original draft. ZC: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

This study was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Liaoning Province (2014), Liaoning Provincial Department of Education Fund Project (JYTMS20231396) and the Science and Technology Plan Project of Shenyang City (Grant no. 22-321-32-13).

Acknowledgments

We thank the patient for the participation and cooperation. This study obtained ethical approval from the Ethics Committee of Shenyang Medical College Affiliated Central Hospital.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. MacKenzie JS, Banskota B, Sirisreetreerux N, Shafiq B, Hasenboehler EA. A review of the epidemiology and treatment of orthopaedic injuries after earthquakes in developing countries. World J Emerg Surg. (2017) 12:9. [doi: 10.1186/s13017-017-0115-8](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13017-017-0115-8)

2. Parratte S, Pesenti S, Argenson JN. Obesity in orthopedics and trauma surgery. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. (2014) 100(1 Suppl):S91–97. [doi: 10.1016/j.otsr.2013.11.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2013.11.003)

3. Stinner DJ, Edwards D. Surgical management of musculoskeletal trauma. Surg Clin North Am. (2017) 97(5):1119–31. [doi: 10.1016/j.suc.2017.06.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.suc.2017.06.005)

4. Ejnisman L, Gobbato B, de França Camargo AF, Zancul E. Three-dimensional printing in orthopedics: from the basics to surgical applications. Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med. (2021) 14(1):1–8. [doi: 10.1007/s12178-020-09691-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12178-020-09691-3)

5. Rodriguez Colon R, Nayak VV, Parente PEL, Leucht P, Tovar N, Lin CC, et al. The presence of 3D printing in orthopedics: a clinical and material review. J Orthop Res. (2023) 41(3):601–13. [doi: 10.1002/jor.25388](https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.25388)

6. Skelley NW, Smith MJ, Ma R, Cook JL. Three-dimensional printing technology in orthopaedics. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. (2019) 27(24):918–25. [doi: 10.5435/jaaos-d-18-](https://doi.org/10.5435/jaaos-d-18-00746) [00746](https://doi.org/10.5435/jaaos-d-18-00746)

7. Jing Z, Zhang T, Xiu P, Cai H, Wei Q, Fan D, et al. Functionalization of 3D-printed titanium alloy orthopedic implants: a literature review. Biomed Mater. (2020) 15(5):052003. [doi: 10.1088/1748-605X/ab9078](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-605X/ab9078)

8. Li Z, Wang Q, Liu G. A review of 3D printed bone implants. Micromachines (Basel). (2022) 13(4):528. [doi: 10.3390/mi13040528](https://doi.org/10.3390/mi13040528)

9. Xiong L, Li X, Li H, Chen Z, Xiao T. The efficacy of 3D printing-assisted surgery for traumatic fracture: a meta-analysis. Postgrad Med J. (2019) 95(1126):414–9. [doi: 10.](https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2019-136482) [1136/postgradmedj-2019-136482](https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2019-136482)

10. Costa ML, Achten J, Hennings S, Boota N, Griffin J, Petrou S, et al. Intramedullary nail fixation versus locking plate fixation for adults with a fracture of the distal tibia: the UK FixDT RCT. Health Technol Assess. (2018) 22(25):1–148. [doi: 10.3310/hta22250](https://doi.org/10.3310/hta22250)

11. Dei Giudici L, Faini A, Garro L, Tucciarone A, Gigante A. Arthroscopic management of articular and peri-articular fractures of the upper limb. EFORT Open Rev. (2016) 1(9):325–31. [doi: 10.1302/2058-5241.1.160016](https://doi.org/10.1302/2058-5241.1.160016)

12. Iheozor-Ejiofor Z, Newton K, Dumville JC, Costa ML, Norman G, Bruce J. Negative pressure wound therapy for open traumatic wounds. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. (2018) 7(7):Cd012522. [doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD012522.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012522.pub2)

13. Laohaprasitiporn P, Boonchai K, Monteerarat Y, Limthongthang R, Vathana T. Comparative clinical and radiographic outcomes between early and delayed wrist mobilization after volar fixed-angle plate fixation of distal radius fracture. Sci Rep. (2022) 12(1):9648. [doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-13909-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-13909-4)

14. Mathieu L, Ouattara N, Poichotte A, Saint-Macari E, Barbier O, Rongiéras F, et al. Temporary and definitive external fixation of war injuries: use of a French dedicated fixator. Int Orthop. (2014) 38(8):1569–76. [doi: 10.1007/s00264-014-2305-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00264-014-2305-2)

15. Rigal S, Mathieu L, de l'Escalopier N. Temporary fixation of limbs and pelvis. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. (2018) 104(1s):S81–s88. [doi: 10.1016/j.otsr.2017.03.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2017.03.032)

16. Nieto H, Baroan C. Limits of internal fixation in long-bone fracture. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. (2017) 103(1s):S61–s66. [doi: 10.1016/j.otsr.2016.11.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2016.11.006)

17. Zhao XW, Ma JX, Ma XL, Jiang X, Wang Y, Li F, et al. A meta-analysis of external fixation versus open reduction and internal fixation for complex tibial plateau fractures. Int J Surg. (2017) 39:65–73. [doi: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2017.01.044](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2017.01.044)

18. Dujardin F, Abdulmutalib H, Tobenas AC. Total fractures of the tibial pilon. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. (2014) 100(1 Suppl):S65–74. [doi: 10.1016/j.otsr.2013.06.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2013.06.016)

19. Tang X, Liu L, Tu CQ, Li J, Li Q, Pei FX. Comparison of early and delayed open reduction and internal fixation for treating closed tibial pilon fractures. Foot Ankle Int. (2014) 35(7):657–64. [doi: 10.1177/1071100714534214](https://doi.org/10.1177/1071100714534214)

20. Schubert C, van Langeveld MC, Donoso LA. Innovations in 3D printing: a 3D overview from optics to organs. Br J Ophthalmol. (2014) 98(2):159–61. [doi: 10.1136/](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjophthalmol-2013-304446) [bjophthalmol-2013-304446](https://doi.org/10.1136/bjophthalmol-2013-304446)

21. Rengier F, Mehndiratta A, von Tengg-Kobligk H, Zechmann CM, Unterhinninghofen R, Kauczor HU, et al. 3D Printing based on imaging data: review of medical applications. Int J Comput Assist Radiol Surg. (2010) 5(4):335–41. [doi: 10.1007/s11548-010-0476-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11548-010-0476-x)

22. Bandari S, Nyavanandi D, Dumpa N, Repka MA. Coupling hot melt extrusion and fused deposition modeling: critical properties for successful performance. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. (2021) 172:52–63. [doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2021.02.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2021.02.006)

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

23. Fan H, Fu J, Li X, Pei Y, Li X, Pei G, et al. Implantation of customized 3-D printed titanium prosthesis in limb salvage surgery: a case series and review of the literature. World J Surg Oncol. (2015) 13:308. [doi: 10.1186/s12957-015-0723-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12957-015-0723-2)

24. Mangano F, Chambrone L, van Noort R, Miller C, Hatton P, Mangano C. Direct metal laser sintering titanium dental implants: a review of the current literature. Int J Biomater. (2014) 2014:461534. [doi: 10.1155/2014/461534](https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/461534)

25. Pagac M, Hajnys J, Ma QP, Jancar L, Jansa J, Stefek P, et al. A review of vat photopolymerization technology: materials, applications, challenges, and future trends of 3D printing. Polymers (Basel). (2021) 13(4):598. [doi: 10.3390/polym13040598](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym13040598)

26. Shirazi SF, Gharehkhani S, Mehrali M, Yarmand H, Metselaar HS, Adib Kadri N, et al. A review on powder-based additive manufacturing for tissue engineering: selective laser sintering and inkjet 3D printing. Sci Technol Adv Mater. (2015) 16 (3):033502. [doi: 10.1088/1468-6996/16/3/033502](https://doi.org/10.1088/1468-6996/16/3/033502)

27. Zhang J, Wehrle E, Rubert M, Müller R. 3D Bioprinting of human tissues: biofabrication, bioinks, and bioreactors. Int J Mol Sci. (2021) 22(8):3971. [doi: 10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22083971) [3390/ijms22083971](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22083971)

28. Attarilar S, Ebrahimi M, Djavanroodi F, Fu Y, Wang L, Yang J. 3D Printing technologies in metallic implants: a thematic review on the techniques and procedures. Int J Bioprint. (2021) 7(1):306. [doi: 10.18063/ijb.v7i1.306](https://doi.org/10.18063/ijb.v7i1.306)

29. Cui H, Miao S, Esworthy T, Zhou X, Lee SJ, Liu C, et al. 3D Bioprinting for cardiovascular regeneration and pharmacology. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. (2018) 132:252–69. [doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2018.07.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2018.07.014)

30. Meng M, Wang J, Sun T, Zhang W, Zhang J, Shu L, et al. Clinical applications and prospects of 3D printing guide templates in orthopaedics. J Orthop Translat. (2022) 34:22–41. [doi: 10.1016/j.jot.2022.03.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jot.2022.03.001)

31. Portnoy Y, Koren J, Khoury A, Factor S, Dadia S, Ran Y, et al. Threedimensional technologies in presurgical planning of bone surgeries: current evidence and future perspectives. Int J Surg. (2023) 109(1):3–10. [doi: 10.1097/js9.](https://doi.org/10.1097/js9.0000000000000201) [0000000000000201](https://doi.org/10.1097/js9.0000000000000201)

32. Salmi M. Additive manufacturing processes in medical applications. Materials (Basel). (2021) 14(1):191. [doi: 10.3390/ma14010191](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14010191)

33. Tappa K, Jammalamadaka U. Novel biomaterials used in medical 3D printing techniques. J Funct Biomater. (2018) 9:1. [doi: 10.3390/jfb9010017](https://doi.org/10.3390/jfb9010017)

34. Wang DD, Qian Z, Vukicevic M, Engelhardt S, Kheradvar A, Zhang C, et al. 3D Printing, computational modeling, and artificial intelligence for structural heart disease. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. (2021) 14(1):41–60. [doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2019.12.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2019.12.022)

35. Zheng W, Chen C, Zhang C, Tao Z, Cai L. The feasibility of 3D printing technology on the treatment of pilon fracture and its effect on doctor-patient communication. Biomed Res Int. (2018) 2018:8054698. [doi: 10.1155/2018/8054698](https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/8054698)

36. Tack P, Victor J, Gemmel P, Annemans L. 3D-printing Techniques in a medical setting: a systematic literature review. Biomed Eng Online. (2016) 15(1):115. [doi: 10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12938-016-0236-4) [1186/s12938-016-0236-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12938-016-0236-4)

37. Morrey BF, Bryan RS, Dobyns JH, Linscheid RL. Total elbow arthroplasty. A five-year experience at the mayo clinic. J Bone Joint Surg Am. (1981) 63:1050–63. [doi: 10.2106/00004623-198163070-00002](https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-198163070-00002)

38. Sadougui M, Amahtil M, Bouziane W, Hamzaoui A, Lamhaoui A, Daoudi A. Fracture-dislocation of the radiocarpal joint: bony and capsulo-ligamentar management, outcomes and long-term complications. Int Orthop. (2023) 47:2917–25. [doi: 10.1007/s00264-023-05839-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00264-023-05839-1)

39. Han C, Li X, Tian X, Zhao J, Zhou L, Tan Y, et al. The effect of distal tibial tuberosity high tibial osteotomy on postoperative patellar height and patellofemoral joint degeneration. J Orthop Surg Res. (2020) 15:466. [doi: 10.1186/s13018-020-](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-020-01996-w) $01996-w$

40. Jansen H, Jordan M, Frey S, Hölscher-Doht S, Meffert R, Heintel T. Active controlled motion in early rehabilitation improves outcome after ankle fractures: a randomized controlled trial. Clin Rehabil. (2018) 32:312–8. [doi: 10.1177/](https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215517724192) [0269215517724192](https://doi.org/10.1177/0269215517724192)

41. Werthel JD, Schoch B, Sperling JW, Cofield R, Elhassan BT. Shoulder arthroplasty for sequelae of poliomyelitis. J Shoulder Elbow Surg. (2016) 25:791–6. [doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2015.09.032](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jse.2015.09.032)

42. Haidukewych GJ, Rothwell WS, Jacofsky DJ, Torchia ME, Berry DJ. Operative treatment of femoral neck fractures in patients between the ages of fifteen and fifty years. J Bone Joint Surg Am. (2004) 86:1711-6. [doi: 10.2106/00004623-200408000-](https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-200408000-00015) [00015](https://doi.org/10.2106/00004623-200408000-00015)

43. Bagaria V, Chaudhary K. A paradigm shift in surgical planning and simulation using 3Dgraphy: experience of first 50 surgeries done using 3D-printed biomodels. Injury. (2017) 48(11):2501–8. [doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2017.08.058](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.injury.2017.08.058)

44. Upex P, Jouffroy P, Riouallon G. Application of 3D printing for treating fractures of both columns of the acetabulum: benefit of pre-contouring plates on the mirrored healthy pelvis. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. (2017) 103(3):331–4. [doi: 10.1016/j.otsr.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2016.11.021) [2016.11.021](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2016.11.021)

45. You W, Liu LJ, Chen HX, Xiong JY, Wang DM, Huang JH, et al. Application of 3D printing technology on the treatment of complex proximal humeral fractures (Neer3-part and 4-part) in old people. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. (2016) 102 (7):897–903. [doi: 10.1016/j.otsr.2016.06.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otsr.2016.06.009)

46. Xie L, Chen C, Zhang Y, Zheng W, Chen H, Cai L. Three-dimensional printing assisted ORIF versus conventional ORIF for tibial plateau fractures: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Surg. (2018) 57:35–44. [doi: 10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2018.07.012) [ijsu.2018.07.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2018.07.012)

47. Giannopoulos AA, Steigner ML, George E, Barile M, Hunsaker AR, Rybicki FJ, et al. Cardiothoracic applications of 3-dimensional printing. J Thorac Imaging. (2016) 31(5):253–72. [doi: 10.1097/rti.0000000000000217](https://doi.org/10.1097/rti.0000000000000217)

48. Yoshii Y, Kusakabe T, Akita K, Tung WL, Ishii T. Reproducibility of three dimensional digital preoperative planning for the osteosynthesis of distal radius fractures. J Orthop Res. (2017) 35(12):2646–51. [doi: 10.1002/jor.23578](https://doi.org/10.1002/jor.23578)

49. Yoshii Y, Totoki Y, Sashida S, Sakai S, Ishii T. Utility of an image fusion system for 3D preoperative planning and fluoroscopy in the osteosynthesis of distal radius fractures. J Orthop Surg Res. (2019) 14(1):342. [doi: 10.1186/s13018-019-1370-z](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-019-1370-z)

50. Shuang F, Hu W, Shao Y, Li H, Zou H. Treatment of intercondylar humeral fractures with 3D-printed osteosynthesis plates. Medicine (Baltimore). (2016) 95:e2461.

51. Yang WF, Choi WS, Leung YY, Curtin JP, Du R, Zhang CY, et al. Three-
dimensional printing of patient-specific surgical plates in head and neck
reconstruction: a prospective pilot study. Oral Oncol. (2018) 78:31-6. doi: [oraloncology.2018.01.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oraloncology.2018.01.005)