Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Xiaojian Wang, Shanxi Provincial People's Hospital, China

REVIEWED BY An Qin, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China Shaowei Wang, Shanxi Medical University, China

*correspondence Jianfeng Zhang ⊠ 11018083@zju.edu.cn

[†]These authors share first authorship

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Orthopedic Surgery, a section of the journal Frontiers in Surgery

RECEIVED 04 December 2022 ACCEPTED 22 February 2023 PUBLISHED 21 March 2023

CITATION

Wang Q, Jin B and Zhang J (2023) Morphological features of basivertebral foramen among different age groups: Recognition in spine. Front. Surg. 10:1115654. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2023.1115654

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Wang, Jin and Zhang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Morphological features of basivertebral foramen among different age groups: Recognition in spine

Qiang Wang[†], Benyu Jin[†] and Jianfeng Zhang^{*}

Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, School of Medicine, Graduate School, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China

Background: Basivertebral foramen (BF) is a vessel and nerve passage in the posterior wall of vertebral body (VB). Our goal was to report BF's morphological characteristics in different age groups of mainland Chinese adults and to evaluate the relationship between BF's morphology and factors such as age, gender, heavy work, size and level of VB.

Methods: We enrolled 300 adults among persons who came to our hospital for health examination. We measured BFs and VBs' parameters on T1 weighted sagittal lumbar spine MR images. We also assessed following potential predictors: gender, body height, body weight, body mass index, alcohol use, habits of smoking and drinking, type of work (physical work or non-physical work). A stepwise multivariate linear regression analysis was conducted to identify predictors of BF's height.

Results: People above 60 have significantly bigger BFHr than those in young adulthood and in the middle ages at all five levels, while they have shallowest BFs, especially at L3. Multiple linear regression resulted in a formula that accounted for 30.1% of the variability in the height of basivertebral foramen. Significant predictors included: gender, age, level, vertebral height and heavy work. **Conclusion:** Age is the highest weight in all factors on the height of BF. BF is closer to the upper endplate. The BF was relatively higher and deeper in the female lumbar spine. Heavywork results in lower BF. Last but not the least, as we supposed, BF gets shallower and higher compare to VB with age.

Level of evidence: Prognostic level III. See instructions for authors for a complete description of levels of evidence.

KEYWORDS

morphological, basivertebral foramen, vertebral body, measurement, spine

Introduction

Basivertebral foramen (BF) is a bone defect in the posterior wall of vertebral body (VB), between the pedicles, containing basivertebral veins, arteries and nerves (Figure 1). BF existed in every vertebra of the spine (1–5). It has a bigger size than other vessel passage of bone (6). The intravertebral circulation links to vertebral venous system *via* BF, through so-called basivertebral vein, single or sometimes with two separate tributaries. All the venous system is valveless, and it eventually drains to Vena Cava (7–9). Studies implied that BFs had a relationship with lots of diseases and phenomenons, such as tumor metastasis, postero-superior fragment in burst fracture of thoracolumbar spine and cement leakage of percutaneous vertebroplasty or kyphoplasty (type B cement leakage) (10). Our former research also revealed the presence of a connection between the basivertebral foramen and the intravertebral cleft (11), and the possibility of direct cement



BFs in the vertebrae from T11 to S1 (arrow heads).

leakage into the spinal canal through the basivertebral foramen when PKP is performed in senior patients of osteoporotic vertebral fracture with intravertebral cleft (12).

To this day there's no systemically study about the morphological features of BF, although which is the fundament of the recognition of the relationship between BF and vertebral diseases. Spinal metastasis was thought to be happen firstly in pedicle arch, because x-ray examination showed the malignant colony firstly settling in this region (13). But Algra et al. (14) found that, explicated by CT, spinal metastasis often happened firstly at posterior part of VB because of BV, since basivertebral vein served as an entrance site for hematogenous spread of metastases (9, 15-18). Algra et al. (14) also described that the lumbar region of the spine was the area most frequently affected by metastases; next were the thoracic and cervical portions. Lumbar spine bears the largest loading in the whole spine, while a big bony defect in the VB such as BF would impose important biomechanical influence on the VB, especially in the lumbar spine. Thus, the BFs of the lumbar spine became the first subjects we studied among the spine.

Spine degenerates as human being getting aged. Bone density decreases, and the shape of vertebrae also changes with age. In series of reports by Erichsen between 1976 and 1978, lumbar vertebrae showed relative lowering and broadening of their bodies with age (19–21). As to easterners, Kim et al. (22) found that VB height decreased with age in thoracic vertebrae (T5, T6)

and lumbar vertebrae (L4, L5) among Koreans. As the VBs get less bone mass and flattened with age, we suppose that BFs would get shallower and higher compare to VBs. To prevent the influence of size change of BVs among different age groups, we calculated relative values of BFs to BVs and compared these relative values.

Materials and methods

Patient population

Between January 2012 and November 2015, many adults came to our hospital for regular health examination including a Magnetic Resonance Imaging scan of lumbar spine. Exclusion criteria were spine diseases including infection and tumor, deformity, severe osteoporosis, hypertension and vessel diseases. Among these healthy adults, 300 were randomly included in this study and then divided into three age groups (under 30, 30–60, and over 60), and in each group were 50 females and 50 males.

Measurements and definitions

We measured BFs' parameters on T1 weighted sagittal images. We measured BF's height (BFH) and depth (BFD), vertebral body height (VH) and depth (VD) of every lumbar vertebral body (**Figure 2**). The BFH is the distance between the two crossover points of BF and posterior wall of VB. The BFD is the distance of anterior point of BF to the line crossing the two crossover points. Along the line, the distance of the upper crossover point to the upper endplate called UH, and the distance of the lower crossover point to the lower endplate called LH. The distance of anterior point of BF to the anterior margin of VB is VDa. So the VH equals to the sum of UH, LH and BFH (VH = UH + LH + BFH), and the VD equals to the sum of VDa and BFD (VD = VDa + BFD). In addition, the ratio of BFH to VH called BFHr, and the ratio of BFD to VD called BFDr (**Figure 2**).

Two clinicians carried out the work independently and measured every VB for three times. The averages of every clinician's three values were collected for statistic analysis. Before measuring, the clinicians discussed and agreed on the measurement method. In case of the margins of a few VBs and BFs were hard to find because of the reasons such as image quality or soft tissue interference, two clinicians reach a consensus about the margins after discuss.

Predictors evaluated

We also assess following potential predictors: gender, body height, body weight, body mass index, alcohol use, smoking, type of work (physical work or non-physical work).

Statistical analysis

BFH, UH, LH, BFD, VDa, BFHr, UHr, LHr and BFDr were compared in three age groups using KW test or ANOVA analysis, and in two gender groups using Mann-Whitney test or *t*-test, and in five vertebral level groups using KW test. Wilcoxon test or paired *t*-test was used to compare UH and LH. A stepwise multivariate linear regression analysis was conducted to identify predictors of basivertebral height.

Statistical significance was defined as a probability level of ≤ 0.05 . All analyses were performed with SPSS 19.0 statistical package (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL).

Source of funding

This work was supported by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

Results

According to morphological characteristics of basivertebral foramen, we classified them as 3 types: triangular type (65%), trapezoid type (24%) and irregular type (11%). The bone interval exsist in 6% of the basivetebral foramen. The average basivertebral height is 9.2 ± 2.5 mm, which is approximately 1/3 of vertebral height at the same level, whilst the average basivertebral depth is 4.4 ± 1.6 mm. Compared with the lower endplates, the basivertebral foramen are statistically more close to the upper endplates at all five measured levels (P < 0.05, Figure 3). The BFHr at the lower level tends to be relatively smaller, while BFDr at L2 is the biggest among five vertebral levels (P < 0.05, Figure 4). People above 60 have significantly bigger BFHr than those in young adulthood and in the middle ages at all five levels, while they have shallowest BFs, especially at L3 (P < 0.05, Figures 5, 6). Females have higher BFHr and deeper BFDr than males in lumbar vertebrae, which only BFHr at L2 and L4 show statistically significant difference (P < 0.05, Figure 7). Multiple linear regression resulted in a formula that accounted for 30.1% of the variability in the height of basivertebral foramen. As detailed in Table 1, significant predictors included: gender, age, level, vertebral height and heavy work.

Discussion

Although many studies have investigated the anatomy, circulation, innervation and biomechanical features of spine, the BV is still a blind zone of research. Our study and some previous studies showed that BV, such a big bony defect, lies in middle column according Denis and Ferguson's (23–25) three column theory of spine, anterior to spinal canal and posterior to the center of VB, a little closer to upper endplate. The size and location of BV could tell the truth of many clinical phenomenons such as burst fracture and type B cement leakage in PVP and PKP. The strain around the BV is the highest within the entire VB and along the surface of VB (26, 27), so posterior regions of the VB had greater bone volume, more connections, reduced trabecular separation and more plate-like isotropic structures than anterior regions (28). Although the superior part



Frontiers in Surgery



FIGURE 3

Compared with the lower endplates, the basivertebral foramen are more close to the upper endplates at all five measured levels (R1: the ratio of distance between BF and upper endplate to VB height; R2: the ratio of distance between BF and lower endplate to VB height).



of posterior BV had better bone quality, the factors of the thinner upper endplate and closer BV seemed to share more in burst fracture, resulted in posterior superior fracture fragment (28–32). As the ratio of BF's height to VB's height largens according to aging, the posterior region and wall of VB would burden more strain, as a result, which would become fragile under violence. Thus, we can easily found that osteoporotic compression fracture of aging VB resulted in flattened VB while the younger nonosteoporotic VB were wedge-shaped after compression fracture. Thoracolumbar spine (T11–L1) (33, 34) is the most often fractured in spine, results from which previous studies argued that this span of spine is a transitional section and with lots of





motion. In our study, the BFHr of L1 was the largest among the lumbar vertebrae, which could be a cause of the high risk of fracture of L1.

Cement leakage happened quite often in PKP/PVP (35–37), especially under CT examination post-operatively (38–40). Extravertebral cement leakage *via* the basivertebral veins (so-called type B) and fractured cortex (so-called type C) are more than other type. Type B is more dangerous than type C (37), and would be harmful (7, 39, 41). Because of BF, unilateral injection of cement had more cement leakage than bilateral injection (42). The needle head would get closer to BF when surgeon tried to put it into the center of VB. Our study showed that the depth of BF is up to 15% of anteroposterior diameter of VB, so the region anterior to the posterior 15% of VB is safe for cement injection. This is consistent with the founding of Yeom et al.'s study (37), who declared that cement existed in posterior 1/5 of VB might be a leakage.

The basivertebral nerves which might be the sole passage that enabled intravertebral innervations made BF more important (43, 44). Intravertebral nerves clustered at the center of VB,



Females have higher BFHr and deeper BFDr than males in lumbar vertebrae, which only BFHr at L2 and L4 show statistically significant difference.

TABLE 1 List of significant predictors

| | Unstandardized coefficients | | Standardized coefficients | t | Sig. |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--------|------|
| | В | Std. error | Beta | | |
| Constant | 161 | .034 | | -4.700 | .000 |
| Gender* | .254 | .054 | .237 | 4.691 | .000 |
| Age | .360 | .034 | .371 | 10.659 | .000 |
| Vertebral level** | 228 | .035 | 237 | -6.491 | .000 |
| Vertebral height | .263 | .041 | .262 | 6.453 | .000 |
| Heavy work*** | 068 | .032 | 068 | -2.089 | .037 |

*1 = male, 2 = female.

1 = L1, 2 = L2, 3 = L3, 4 = L4, 5 = L5. *1 = with heavy work, 2 = without heavy work.

after descended from basivertebral nerves. That's why a little cement injected into the VB could also ameliorate symptom in osteoporotic-vertebral-fractured patients by PVP/PKP, just like larger volume (45). As mentioned before, the calibration of BF is larger than other nutritional foramen of bone. The existence of basivertebral nerves could make a reason. Although VB's volume changed under compressive loading *via* flow through the BF, and the basivertebral vein deformed in the course (46), it's still unimaginable that it needs a passage of over 1/3 of VB's height (except for L5 which is 29%). However, sufficient space for basivertebral nerves is essential not only to tolerate the enlarged BF but also to take precautions against deformation of BF that resulted from loading, fracture, hyperostegeny and so on.

The vascular system of VB is extremely complicated (10, 47). The central vascularity of the vertebral body which originated from vessels entering BF (48, 49) is established during fetal development, while peripheral vessels persist after adolescence (50). Tortuosity of the central intravertebral arteries and presence of vessels around the vertebral periphery increases with age (50) and is thought to be variable based on degeneration and nutrient availability. In our study, the depth of BF tended to be less as aging, especially in L3, which had significant tendency. It could be implied that as peripheral vessels increasing when aging, the nutrition supply *via* central vessels attenuated, so the depth of BF decreased. It is also possible that shallowed BF, because of microfractures or creep deformation, compromised blood supply of VB, causing VB degeneration under malnutrition, and then resulting in peripheral vessels infiltration. However, central vessels are still one of the main nutritional passages for the BFs in the aged VBs were just a little shallower than those in younger ones. Algra et al. reported that disappearing BF might have relation with bone marrow disease of VB (51).

Female had higher and deeper BF than male, although only L2 and L4's rates of BF's height to VB's height showed significance. Although female have smaller body size and VB size, the BFs were relatively bigger than male. Heavy work was a negative factor of BF height, which is intuitively understood, attributed to increased creep deformation and microfractures of VB.

This study has the following merits: the factors analyzed in our study are easily and reliably determined in the clinical practice. Besides factors that could be obtained by inquiry, the vertebral height could be acquired from cheap and time-saving x-ray examination, with acceptable radiation.

However, some limitations could not be ignored. First, as a bony defect, BF is most explicit in CT. In our region, the cost of MRI is just a little more expensive than CT, but with sparse radiation. Although MRI is time-consuming, patients still prefer MRI examination about spine. It costs us a lot of time to calibrate the parameters while carefully identified the low signal of cortex of VB, but it is not as accurate as calibration in CT



image. Second, we couldn't get the width of BF. The images only contained transverse section of intervertebral discs. Although occasionally clinical CT examination of spine showed large width of BF (Figure 8), it needs further prospective study to reveal the truth. Third, some factors that might affect the BF such as blood pressure and race were not included in the study. Whether and how blood pressure, especially hypertension, influences BF is an interesting topic, we would carry out such a study to explicit the relationship.

Conclusion

Age is the highest weight in all factors on the height of BF. BF is closer to the upper endplate. The BF was relatively higher and deeper in the female lumbar spine. Heavywork results in lower BF. Last but not the least, as we supposed, BF gets shallower and higher compare to VB with age.

References

1. Haughton VM, Syvertsen A, Williams AL. Soft-tissue anatomy within the spinal canal as seen on computed tomography. *Radiology*. (1980) 134(3):649–55. doi: 10. 1148/radiology.134.3.7355214

2. Tzika M, Paraskevas GK, Piagkou M, Papatolios AK, Natsis K. Basivertebral foramen of true vertebrae: morphology, topography and clinical considerations. *Surg Radiol Anat.* (2021) 43(6):889–907. doi: 10.1007/s00276-021-02690-0

3. Macharla DK, Harpanahalli R, Panigrahi M. Unusual intraspinal migration of ingested foreign body. *World Neurosurg.* (2018) 120:516–20. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu. 2018.09.114

4. Tieppo Francio V, Sherwood D, Twohey E, Barndt B, Pagan-Rosado R, Eubanks J, et al. Developments in minimally invasive surgical options for vertebral pain: basivertebral nerve ablation: a narrative review. *J Pain Res.* (2021) 14:1887–907. doi: 10.2147/JPR.S287275

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of Shaw Hospital Affiliated to Zhejiang University Medical College. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

QW is the main contributor of this study, responsible for most of the work of the paper, including writing and editing; BJ is the compilation of data and tables; JZ is responsible for the revision of the article and the guiding role in the research process. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

5. Sebag GH, Dubois J, Tabet M, Bonato A, Lallemand D. Pediatric spinal bone marrow: assessment of normal age-related changes in the MRI appearance. *Pediatr Radiol.* (1993) 23(7):515–8. doi: 10.1007/BF02012134

6. Hogan QH. Epidural anatomy examined by cryomicrotome section. Influence of age, vertebral level, and disease. *Reg Anesth.* (1996) 21(5):395–406. doi: 10.1016/S1098-7339(97)80024-7

 Wu CC, Lin MH, Yang SH, Chen PQ, Shih TT. Surgical removal of extravasated epidural and neuroforaminal polymethylmethacrylate after percutaneous vertebroplasty in the thoracic spine. *Eur Spine J.* (2007) 16(Suppl 3):S326–31. doi: 10.1007/s00586-006-0237-2

8. Berritto D, Abboud S, Kosmas C, Riherd D, Robbin M. Vertebral body enhancement mimicking sclerotic osseous lesions in the setting of bilateral brachiocephalic vein thrombosis. *Skeletal Radiol.* (2015) 44(2):303–5. doi: 10.1007/s00256-014-2037-9

9. Cahueque-Lemus MA, Cobar-Bustamante AE, Bregni-Duraes MC, Jimenez-Avila JM. Syndrome of vertebral destruction: understanding to practice. *Acta Ortop Mex.* (2018) 32(3):182–7.

10. Gan D-H, Fang M-Z, Xue H-P, Tan G-Q, Li N-H, Li Z-C, et al. Clinical observations of kümmell disease treatment through percutaneous fixation combined with vertebroplasty. *Orthop Surg.* (2021) 13(5):1505–12. doi: 10.1111/os.12935

11. Wang Q, Wang CY, Fan SW, Zhao FD. Pathomechanism of intravertebral clefts in osteoporotic compression fractures of the spine: basivertebral foramen collapse might cause intravertebral avascular necrosis. *Spine J.* (2014) 14(6):1090–1. doi: 10. 1016/j.spinee.2014.01.051

12. Wang CY, Fan SW, Liu JH, Suyou LT, Shan Z, Zhao FD. Basivertebral foramen could be connected with intravertebral cleft: a potential risk factor of cement leakage in percutaneous kyphoplasty. *Spine J.* (2014) 14(8):1551–8. doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2013. 09.025

13. Jacobson HG, Poppel MH, Shapiro JH, Grossberger S. The vertebral pedicle sign: a roentgen finding to differentiate metastatic carcinoma from multiple myelorna. *Am J Roentgenol.* (1958) 80:817–21.

14. Algra PR, Heimans JJ, Valk J, Nauta JJ, Lachniet M, Van Kooten B. Do metastases in vertebrae begin in the body or the pedicles? Imaging study in 45 patients. *Am J Roentgenol.* (1992) 158(6):1275–9. doi: 10.2214/ajr.158.6.1590123

15. Batson OV. The vertebral vein system. Am J Roentgenol Radium Ther Nucl Med. (1957) 78:195–212.

16. Cornan OR, De Long RP. Role of the vertebral venous system in the metastasis of cancer to the spinal column: experiments with tumor cell suspensions inrats and rabbits. *Cancer.* (1951) 4:610–8. doi: 10.1002/1097-0142(195105)4:3<610::AID-CNCR2820040312>3.0.CO;2-Q

17. Sartoris OJ, Resnick D, Guerra J. Vertebral venous channels: CT appearonce and differential considerations. *Radiology*. (1985) 155:745–9. doi: 10.1148/radiology.155.3. 4001379

18. Arguello F, Baggs RB, Ouerst RE, Johnstone L, McOueen K, Frantz CN. Pathogenesis of vertebral metastasis and epidural spinal cord compression. *Cancer*. (1990) 65:98–106. doi: 10.1002/1097-0142(19900101)65:1<98::AID-CNCR2820650121>3.0.CO;2-K

19. Ericksen MF. Some aspects of aging in the lumbar spine. Am J Phys Anthropol. (1976) 45(3 pt. 2):575–80. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.1330450322

20. Ericksen MF. Aging in the lumbar spine. II. L1 and L2. Am J Phys Anthropol. (1978) 48(2):241–5. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.1330480219

21. Ericksen MF. Aging in the lumber spine. III. L5. Am J Phys Anthropol. (1978) 48(2):247-50. doi: 10.1002/ajpa.1330480220

22. Kim KH, Park JY, Kuh SU, Chin DK, Kim KS, Cho YE. Changes in spinal canal diameter and vertebral body height with age. *Yonsei Med J.* (2013) 54(6):1498–504. doi: 10.3349/ymj.2013.54.6.1498

23. Ferguson RL, Jr AB. A mechanistic classification of thoracolumbar spine fractures. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* (1984) 189:77-88. doi: 10.1097/00003086-198410000-00009

24. Denis F. The three column spine and its significance in the classification of acute thoracolumbar spinal injuries. *Spine.* (1983) 8(8):817–31. doi: 10.1097/00007632-198311000-00003

25. Denis F. Spinal instability as defined by the three-column spine concept in acute spinal trauma. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* (1984) 189:65–76.

26. Harrison NM, McHugh PE. Comparison of trabecular bone behavior in core and whole bone samples using high-resolution modeling of a vertebral body. *Biomech Model Mechanobiol.* (2010) 9(4):469-80. doi: 10.1007/s10237-009-0188-8

27. Shah JS, Coggins J, Rogers R, Jayson MI, Hampson WG. Surface strain distribution in isolated single lumbar vertebrae. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (1976) 35(1):51–5. doi: 10.1136/ard.35.1.51

28. Hulme PA, Boyd SK, Ferguson SJ. Regional variation in vertebral bone morphology and its contribution to vertebral fracture strength. *Bone.* (2007) 41 (6):946–57. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2007.08.019

29. Shuman WP, Rogers JV, Sickler ME, Hanson JA, Crutcher JP, King HA, et al. Thoracolumbar burst fractures: CT dimensions of the spinal canal relative to postsurgical improvement. *Am J Roentgenol.* (1985) 145(2):337–41. doi: 10.2214/ajr. 145.2.337

30. Atlas SW, Regenbogen V, Rogers LF, Kim KS. The radiographic characterization of burst fractures of the spine. *Am J Roentgenol.* (1986) 147(3):575–82. doi: 10.2214/ ajr.147.3.575

31. Zhao FD, Pollintine P, Hole BD, Adams MA, Dolan P. Vertebral fractures usually affect the cranial endplate because it is thinner and supported by less-dense trabecular bone. *Bone*. (2009) 44(2):372–9. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2008.10.048

32. Guerra J Jr, Garfin SR, Resnick D. Vertebral burst fractures: CT analysis of the retropulsed fragment. *Radiology*. (1984) 153(3):769-72. doi: 10.1148/radiology.153.3. 6494475

33. Johannesdottir F, Allaire B, Kopperdahl DL, Keaveny TM, Sigurdsson S, Bredella MA. Bone density and strength from thoracic and lumbar CT scans both predict incident vertebral fractures independently of fracture location. *Osteoporos Int.* (2021) 32(2):261–9. doi: 10.1007/s00198-020-05528-4

34. Sherman D, Somasundaram K, Begeman P, Foley S, Greb J, Bir C, et al. Dynamic response of the thoracolumbar and sacral spine to simulated underbody blast loading in whole body post mortem human subject tests. *Ann Biomed Eng.* (2021) 49 (11):3046–79. doi: 10.1007/s10439-021-02753-8

35. Tomé-Bermejo F, Piñera AR, Duran-Álvarez C, López-San Román B, Mahillo I, Alvarez L. Identification of risk factors for the occurrence of cement leakage during percutaneous vertebroplasty for painful osteoporotic or malignant vertebral fracture. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. (2014) 39(11), E693–E700. doi: 10.1097/BRS.00000000000294

36. Gstöttner M, Angerer A, Rosiek R, Bach CM. Quantitative volumetry of cement leakage in viscosity-controlled vertebroplasty. *J Spinal Disord Tech.* (2012) 25(5): E150-4. doi: 10.1097/BSD.0b013e31823f62b1

37. Yeom JS, Kim WJ, Choy WS, Lee CK, Chang BS, Kang JW. Leakage of cement in percutaneous transpedicular vertebroplasty for painful osteoporotic compression fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Br. (2003) 85(1):83–9. doi: 10.1302/0301-620X.85B1.13026

38. Trumm CG, Jakobs TF, Zech CJ, Helmberger TK, Reiser MF, Hoffmann RT. CT fluoroscopy-guided percutaneous vertebroplasty for the treatment of osteolytic breast cancer metastases: results in 62 sessions with 86 vertebrae treated. *J Vasc Interv Radiol.* (2008) 19(11):1596–606. doi: 10.1016/j.jvir.2008.08.014

39. Schmidt R, Cakir B, Mattes T, Wegener M, Puhl W, Richter M. Cement leakage during vertebroplasty: an underestimated problem? *Eur Spine J.* (2005) 14(5):466–73. doi: 10.1007/s00586-004-0839-5

40. Zarate B, Gutierrez J, Wakhloo AK, Gounis MJ, Reyes-Sánchez A. Clinical evaluation of a new kyphoplasty technique with directed cement flow. J Spinal Disord Tech. (2012) 25(3):E61–6. doi: 10.1097/BSD.0b013e31824a7e2c

41. Guan H, Yang H, Mei X, Liu T, Guo J. Early or delayed operation, which is more optimal for kyphoplasty? A retrospective study on cement leakage during kyphoplasty. *Injury.* (2012) 43(10):1698–703. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2012.06.008

42. Kasó G, Horváth Z, Szenohradszky K, Sándor J, Dóczi T. Comparison of CT characteristics of extravertebral cement leakages after vertebroplasty performed by different navigation and injection techniques. Acta Neurochir. (2008) 150(7):677–83; discussion 683. doi: 10.1007/s00701-008-1569-y

43. Bailey JF, Liebenberg E, Degmetich S, Lotz JC. Innervation patterns of PGP 9.5-positive nerve fibers within the human lumbar vertebra. *J Anat.* (2011) 218(3):263–70. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7580.2010.01332.x

44. Fras C, Kravetz P, Mody DR, Heggeness MH. Substance P-containing nerves within the human vertebral body. An immunohistochemical study of the basivertebral nerve. *Spine J.* (2003) 3(1):63–7. doi: 10.1016/S1529-9430(02)00455-2

45. Trumm C, Jakobs T, Pahl A, Stahl R, Helmberger T, Paprottka P, et al. CT fluoroscopy-guided percutaneous vertebroplasty in patients with multiple myeloma: analysis of technical results from 44 sessions with 67 vertebrae treated. *Diagn Interv Radiol.* (2012) 18(1):111–20. doi: 10.4261/1305-3825.DIR.4226-11.1

46. Yeh ML, Heggeness MH, Chen HH, Jassawalla J, Luo ZP. Compressive loading at the end plate directly regulates flow and deformation of the basivertebral vein: an analytical study. *J Orthop Surg Res.* (2006) 1:18. doi: 10.1186/1749-799X-1-18

47. Smuts MS. The arterial blood supply of the cervical vertebrae of the ox (bos taurus l.). Onderstepoort J Vet Res. (1977) 44(2):95–106.

48. Crock HV, Yoshizawa H. The blood supply of the lumbar vertebral column. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* (1976) 115:6–21.

49. Ratcliffe JF. The arterial anatomy of the adult human lumbar vertebral body: a microarteriographic study. J Anat. (1980) 131(Pt 1):57–79.

50. Ratcliffe JF. Arterial changes in the human vertebral body associated with aging. The ratios of peripheral to central arteries and arterial coiling. *Spine*. (1986) 11 (3):235–40. doi: 10.1097/00007632-198604000-00009.

51. Algra PR, Bloem JL, Valk J. Disappearance of the basivertebral vein: a new MR imaging sign of bone marrow disease. *Am J Roentgenol.* (1991) 157(5):1129–30. doi: 10.2214/ajr.157.5.1927807