Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Antonio Miceli, Istituto Clinico Sant'Ambrogio, Italy

REVIEWED BY Antonino S. Rubino, University of Campania Luigi Vanvitelli, Italy Kazuo Yamanaka, Nara Prefecture General Medical Center, Japan

*CORRESPONDENCE Huishan Wang huishanw@126.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work.

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Heart Surgery, a section of the journal Frontiers in Surgery

RECEIVED 12 April 2022 ACCEPTED 14 July 2022 PUBLISHED 18 August 2022

CITATION

Liu Y, Jiang H, Wang B, Yang Z, Xia L and Wang H (2022) Efficacy of pump-controlled selective antegrade cerebral perfusion in total arch replacement: A propensity-matched analysis.

Front. Surg. 9:918461. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2022.918461

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Liu, Jiang, Wang, Yang, Xia and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Efficacy of pump-controlled selective antegrade cerebral perfusion in total arch replacement: A propensitymatched analysis

Yu Liu[†], Hui Jiang[†], Bin Wang, Zhonglu Yang, Lin Xia and Huishan Wang^{*}

Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, General Hospital of Northern Theater Command, Shenyang, China

Background: Pump-controlled selective antegrade cerebral perfusion (PC-SACP) in total arch replacement (TAR) can regulate cerebral flow accurately, which might be beneficial for cerebral protection. However, the safety of PC-SACP for TAR combined with frozen elephant trunk implantation (FET) in patients with acute Type A dissections (ATAAD) is ambiguous.

Methods: A total of 192 patients with ATAAD underwent TAR at our institution from October 2019 to July 2021. The patients were divided into two groups based on PC-SACP used: PC group (SACP carried out by using a separate pump, n = 35) and Control group (SACP carried out as a traditional method, n = 157). Patients under PC-SACP were propensity-score matched to patients without PC-SACP, resulting in 35 pairs of patients.

Results: Preoperative characteristics, including age, gender, weight, and preoperative creatinine level, were similar between the two groups. Cardiopulmonary bypass time, cross-clamp time, circulatory arrest time, and minimum nasopharyngeal temperature did not differ between the two groups. However, SACP time (54 versus 40, P = 0.001) in the PC group was significantly longer than that in the Control group. The incidence of temporary neurologic dysfunction (5.7% versus 8.6, P = 0.643) showed a no significantly lower trend in the PC group compared with the Control group. Other clinical outcomes showed no significant intergroup differences.

Conclusions: PC-SACP in TAR is safe and feasible and might be beneficial for avoiding brain injury caused by "luxury" perfusion.

KEYWORDS

aortic dissection, selective antegrade cerebral perfusion, pump-controlled perfusion, upper hemisternotomy approach, total arch replacement

Introduction

Neurologic protection during aortic arch surgery is a challenging strategy for improving clinical outcomes in patients with acute Type A aortic dissection (ATAAD) (1). Deep hypothermic circulatory arrest (DHCA)-induced electrocerebral inactivity has been thought to ensure optimal neuroprotection, which reached the minimum

cerebral metabolic demand threshold (2). Moreover, the application of selective antegrade cerebral perfusion (SACP) has shifted the strategy from DHCA to moderate hypothermic circulatory arrest (MoHCA) or mild hypothermic circulatory arrest (MiHCA) (3, 4).

An earlier study demonstrated that bilateral SACP (BSACP) could maintain adequate cerebral blood supply at moderate hypothermia without an ischemic brain injury (5). The coupling of cerebral flow and metabolism is important for cerebral protection, and greater flow might increase the risk of cerebral edema and embolic phenomena (6). Although the suggested cerebral flow of selective cerebral perfusion is 6–10 ml/kg/min at 20–28 °C with a wide range of cerebral flow and temperature (7), our earlier study showed that 5 ml/kg/min of cerebral flow was sufficient for cerebral protection (8, 9), implying that excessive perfusion pressure and flow are unnecessary and should be avoided (6).

The application of SACP in the total arch replacement (TAR) surgery has been routinely carried out by using one main arterial line bifurcated for an SACP line and a systemic perfusion line via a Y connector (10). The flow of SACP and systemic perfusion depends on the resistance in cerebral arteries and systemic arteries, respectively. When the flow of the main arterial line is determined, occlusion of the systemic perfusion line can dramatically increase the flow of SACP, which may cause excessive SACP flow and pressure. This "luxury" perfusion might lead to brain injury. To solve this problem, a pump-controlled SACP (PC-SACP) has been implemented to avoid the excessive SACP that can occur during TAR surgery.

Materials and methods

Patients

Data were collected retrospectively from patients undergoing TAR for ATAAD. Patients with preoperative neurologic complications (cerebral infarction or cerebral hemorrhage), malperfusion syndrome, and concomitant operations (e.g., coronary heart disease, mitral valve disease, and congenital heart disease) were excluded. A total of 192 patients underwent TAR by the same surgery group, including surgeons, anesthetist, perfusionist, cardiologist, and nurses, at our institution between October 2019 and July 2021. The patients were divided into two groups: PC group (n = 35, PC-SACP was carried out) and Control group (n = 157, SACP carried out by a routine method) (Table 1). The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of General Hospital of Northern Theater Command, Shenyang, China. All patients provided their informed consent. The diagnosis of ATAAD was based on the patients' clinical history and computed tomography angiography.

Surgical procedure

All surgeries were carried out via a single upper hemisternotomy approach, and near-infrared spectroscopy monitoring was used for cerebral protection during surgery, as in our earlier studies (8, 9). The cannulation strategy in the two groups was to select the innominate artery as the first artery perfusion cannula and the right atrial cannulation as the venous drainage cannula. The right-angle artery cannulation was selected for artery perfusion with the direction of blood flow to the heart. The right subclavian artery and the right or left common carotid artery were used as alternative cannulation sites. The cardioplegia strategy was aortic root or coronary orifices after aortotomy antegrade delivery. The right superior pulmonary vein was cannulated for left ventricular vent. Cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) was carried out after cannulation, and MoHCA was induced at the time of aortic root procedures. The cerebral perfusion strategy was based on BSACP, which was carried out by using arterial cannulation, and a 15Fr femoral arterial cannula was placed into the left/right common carotid artery after the brachiocephalic arteries were cross-clamped. The blood flow control of SACP depended on the group. In one group, routine SACP was perfused through the main arterial pump as in previous studies (10, 11) with a systemic line clamped (Figure 1A). In the other group, PC-SACP was perfused by the cardioplegia pump (8, 12) with the systemic line clamped and A-V shunt opened (Figure 1B). The flow of SACP was approximately maintained at 5 ml/kg·min, which was modulated on the basis of near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) monitoring. Then, the frozen elephant trunk (FET) with and without lower body perfusion (LBP) was carried out as in earlier reports (8, 13). Briefly, a stent graft (MicroPort Medical Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) was mainly placed into the distal aorta after the origin of the left subclavian artery and the left carotid artery transected. Then, through a fourbranch prosthetic graft (VASCUTEK Ltd., a Terumo Co., Inchinnan, Scotland), an endotracheal cannula (Teleflex Medical Ltd., Wayne, PA, USA) with an inside diameter of 5.5 mm was placed into the distal artery for delivering oxygenated blood to the lower body and preventing the backflow as LBP with 25 ml/kg·min of flow (8). Moreover, LBP was switched to the four-branch prosthetic graft after the stent graft was attached to the four-branch prosthetic graft. The sequence of anastomosis to the prosthetic graft was carried out from the left common carotid artery, proximal aortic stump, innominate artery, and the left subclavian artery in succession. Special attention was paid to carrying out cerebral perfusion by using the cardioplegia pump until the innominate artery was anastomosed in the PC group (Figure 2B). The cerebral perfusion and LBP were carried out by using the main pump together in the Control group

Variable	Raw data			Matched data			
	PC group (<i>n</i> = 35)	Control group (<i>n</i> = 157)	P value	PC group (<i>n</i> = 35)	Control group $(n = 35)$	P value	SMD
Preoperative characteristics							
Age (years)	52.0 (44.0, 59.0)	54.0 (46.0, 60.0)	0.730	52.0 (44.0, 59.0)	52.0 (44, 59)	0.431	0.02
Male (%)	27 (77.1)	117 (74.5)	0.746	27 (77.1)	27 (77.1)	1.000	< 0.001
Weight (kg)	74.0 (66.5, 88.0)	78.0 (65.0, 88	0.274	74.0 (65.0, 88.0)	75.0 (70.0, 85.0)	0.549	0.125
LVEF (%)	58.0 (58.0, 60)	58.0 (57.0, 59.5)	0.087	58.0 (58.0, 60.0)	58.0 (57.0, 59.0)	0.497	0.125
Smoking (%)	22 (62.9)	81 (51.6)	0.227	22 (62.9)	20 (57.1)	0.626	0.117
Diabetes (%)	2 (5.7)	11 (7.0)	0.783	2 (5.7)	3 (8.6)	0.643	0.111
Hypertension (%)	25 (71.4)	105 (66.9)	0.063	25 (71.4)	26 (74.3)	0.788	0.064
Pre-Cr (µmol/L)	71.0 (61, 81.0)	59 (46.7, 72.0)	0.001	71.0 (61.0, 81.0)	75.0 (70.0, 85.0)	0.137	0.008
Intraoperative characteristics							
CPB time (min)	160 (144, 191)	158 (140, 187.5)	0.307	160 (144, 191)	185 (156, 202)	0.987	0.08
Cross-clamp time (min)	101 (85, 128)	93 (78.5, 107)	0.043	101 (85, 128)	107 (95, 137)	0.787	0.003
Circulatory arrest (min)	10 (8, 13)	11 (5, 15)	0.838	10 (8, 13)	11 (8, 16)	0.354	0.458
BSACP (min)	53 (41, 58)	28 (23, 34)	0.000	53 (41, 58)	40 (31, 42)	0.001	1.057
Min nasopharyngeal T (°C)	30 (27, 32)	29 (28, 30)	0.003	30 (27, 32)	30 (29, 32)	0.644	0.109
Operative outcomes of patients							
Ventilation time (h)	19 (16, 39)	22 (18, 65.5)	0.029	19 (16, 39)	20 (17, 40)	0.701	
ICU stay (h)	43 (32, 87)	44 (24.5, 90)	0.775	43 (32, 87)	44 (35, 62)	0.614	
First 24-h chest tube drainage (ml)	260 (170, 650)	200 (150, 310)	0.441	260 (170, 650)	350 (200, 560)	0.993	
Perioperative blood transfusion	25 (71.4)	101 (64.3)	0.424	25 (71.4)	24 (68.6)	0.794	
Reoperation for bleeding (%)	1 (2.9)	4 (2.5)	0.917	1 (2.9)	1(2.9)	1.000	
Reventilation (%)	1 (2.9)	1 (0.6)	0.242	1 (2.9)	1 (2.9)	1.000	
TND (%)	2 (5.7)	22 (14)	0.179	2 (5.7)	3 (8.6)	0.643	
PND (%)	1 (2.9)	6 (3.8)	0.783	1 (2.9)	2 (5.7)	0.555	
Acute renal failure (%)	2 (5.7)	17 (10.8)	0.360	1 ()	3 (5.7)	1.000	
Paraplegia (%)	1 (2.9)	2 (1.3)	0.495	1 (2.9)	1(2.9)	0.314	
Postoperative length of stay (d)	14.0 (10.0, 19.0)	15.0 (11.0–19.0)	0.491	14 (10, 19)	16 (11, 21)	0.333	
In-hospital death (%)	3 (8.6)	12 (7.6)	0.853	3 (8.6)	2 (5.4)	0.643	

TABLE 1 Perioperative characteristics of patients.

(Figure 2A). After anastomosis to the left common carotid artery, CPB gradually returned, and rewarming started. Temporary pacing wire and a drainage tube were installed before sternal closure.

tomography of the brain. Postoperative renal dysfunction was defined as a creatinine level >230 μ mol/L (twice the normal value). Perioperative blood transfusion was defined as intraoperative and postoperative transfusion of red blood cells, fresh frozen plasma, and platelets.

Definitions for complications

For the purposes of this study, temporary neurologic dysfunction (TND) was defined as the presence of reversible postoperative motor deficit, confusion, or transient delirium with complete resolution of symptoms before discharge from the hospital. Permanent neurologic deficit (PND) was defined as the presence of either new stroke or coma with permanent neurological dysfunction confirmed by means of computed

Statistical analysis

Perioperative data were collected prospectively. Analyses were performed with SPSS version 22.0 software (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). Normally distributed data were presented as group means \pm SEM or SD, and non-normally distributed data were presented as the median and interquartile ranges. Student's *t*-test and Mann–Whitney *U* test were used to compare continuous variables.



FIGURE 1

Application of pump-controlled SACP. (A) Routine SACP was carried out by clamping a systemic line and reducing flow of the main pump to 5 ml/ kg·min. (B) Pump-controlled SACP was carried out by clamping the systemic line, opening A-V shunt, maintaining flow of the main pump at 2-3 L/ min, and modulating cardioplegia pump to 5 ml/kg·min. SACP: selective antegrade cerebral perfusion.



SACP, selective antegrade cerebral perfusion; LBP, lower body perfusion; SACP, selective antegrade cerebral perfusion.

Categorical variables were analyzed by using the χ^2 test or Fisher's exact probability test (if necessary). Differences with P < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Propensity score (PS) matching was conducted between the two groups to simulate randomization in this observational study. PS was estimated by using the logistic model and matched between the two groups within a caliper of 0.2 PS standard deviations. The covariates were based on eleven clinical variables, namely, gender, age, height, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), preoperative creatinine level, and the history of hypertension, diabetes, stroke, CPB time, cross-clamp time, and minimum nasopharyngeal temperature. Then, standardized mean difference (SMD) was carried out for assessing the balance between the groups, and SMD < 0.25 was considered as "balance satisfied". The Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to compare PS-matched pair variables.

Results

Baseline characteristics and propensity score matching

After PS matching, 70 patients (35 pairs) remained. The raw and matched data of preoperative and intraoperative characteristics are listed in Table 1. We did not observe any significant differences in preoperative and intraoperative characteristics between the two matched groups. Moreover, all time characteristics were found balanced by SMD.

Intra- and postoperative characteristics

We did not find any differences in CPB time, cross-clamp time, CA time, and minimum nasopharyngeal temperature between the two groups. However, BSACP time (54 min versus 40 min, P = 0.001) in the PC group was significantly longer than that in the Control group (Table 1).

Three patients (8.6%) died in the PC group, and two patients (5.4%) died in the Control group. In the PC group, one patient died of multiple organ failure, and two patients died of sudden hemodynamic changes that were thought to be due to aortic rupture. One patient died of postoperative massive cerebral infarction and one patient died of multiple organ failure in the Control group. We did not observe any differences in ventilation time, ICU stay, postoperative inhospital stay, and the incidence of acute renal failure and paraplegia between the two groups. The incidence of TND showed a lower trend in the PC group compared with the Control group (5.7% versus 8.6%), but without reaching statistical significance (P = 0.643), as well as the incidence of PND. Other postoperative characteristics, including chest tube drainage, the incidence of perioperative blood transfusion, reoperation for bleeding, and reventilation, did not show any differences between the two groups (**Table 1**).

Discussion

Neurologic injury is a potentially devastating complication of ATAAD, and cerebral protection is vital during TAR surgery. SACP has been demonstrated as the best method of cerebral protection during TAR surgery (14–16). Different strategies of SACP affecting the outcomes include flow rates of SACP, unilateral or bilateral application, duration of DHCA, degree of hypothermia, and blood gas strategy (1, 6, 14, 17).

An intact circle of Willis is believed to be the base of unilateral SACP. However, incompleteness of the circle of Willis has been reported in up to 40% of patients (18). Earlier



FIGURE 3

Angulated prosthetic graft and the advance of pump-controlled SACP. (A) A normal prosthetic graft for systemic (lower body) perfusion. (B) An angulated prosthetic graft for systemic (lower body) perfusion. (C) The main pump line split to the SACP line and the systemic line in the Control group. With the constant flow of the main pump, the decreased systemic flow caused by the angulated prosthetic graft increased SACP flow. (D) The main pump controlled the flow of the systemic line, while the cardioplegia pump controlled the flow of the SACP line. The angulated prosthetic graft influenced only the flow of the systemic line. The flow of the SACP line was always modulated accurately. SACP, selective antegrade cerebral perfusion; IA, innominate artery; RSA, right subclavian artery; RCA, right common carotid artery; LCA, left common carotid artery.

studies have demonstrated that BSACP offers better cerebral protection at a higher temperature (19-21). Therefore, BSACP was carried out in both groups to maintain the continuous cerebral perfusion through the innominate artery and the left common carotid artery, except when the left common carotid artery was anastomosed to the prosthetic graft. In the present study, we did not find any significant differences between the two groups, except for SACP time (53 min versus 40 min, P = 0.001). Because SACP time in the PC group was calculated from CA to the innominate artery anastomosed and the flow was controlled by using a cardioplegia pump throughout the process of SACP, the increased time was spent on the left common carotid and proximal aortic stump anastomosed. The routine SACP used a Y connector to separate the main arterial line to SACP and the systemic perfusion line. The flow between the SACP and the systemic perfusion line depended on the resistance of the two lines. In the Control group, SACP time was only during CA, and BSACP was initially controlled by using the main pump separately after the brachiocephalic arteries were crossclamped. Then, the flow through the innominate artery was influenced by LBP when CA recovered, and the flow of LBP was controlled by using the main pump as well. So, the cerebral flow was unclear, leading to the possibility of "luxury" perfusion.

It is easy for a prosthetic graft to be out of shape. Thus, during surgery, some mistakes by surgeons caused the prosthetic graft to angulate, which increased the resistance of the systemic perfusion line and decreased the flow dramatically (Figure 3). Then, the pressure and flow of the SACP line to the innominate artery increased dramatically, which might have caused "luxury" perfusion to the brain and led to TND. This situation did not occur in the PC group because the cerebral flow was always controlled by using a separate pump. Although the prosthetic graft angulation might occur in the PC group, only the flow of LBP would decrease dramatically. The decreasing trend in the incidence of TND (5.7 versus 8.6, P = 0.643) might be caused by the effect of PC-SACP, which might be beneficial for cerebral protection, while also preventing "luxury" perfusion of the brain.

Limitations

This study had some limitations. First, this was a singlecenter retrospective study, although propensity score analysis was used to simulate randomization. Second, the small sample size, especially in the PC group, might have caused confounder bias. Third, the perioperative characteristics were insufficient, which might have influenced the evaluation of clinical outcomes. Thus, a prospective larger-sample study is necessary.

Conclusions

In this study, we found that PC-SACP in TAR was safe and feasible. This approach may be beneficial for avoiding neuroinjury caused by "luxury" perfusion.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by The Ethics Committee of General Hospital of Northern Theater Command. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

YL and HJ analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript. ZY and BW acquired and analyzed the data. YL and HW performed the statistical analysis and critically reviewed the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

Natural Science Foundation of Liaoning Province (2020JH2/10300161, 2021JH2/10300062, and 2020-KF-12-01) and LiaoNing Revitalization Talents Program (XLYC2007053) were provided for the study.

Acknowledgments

We thank LetPub (www.letpub.com) for its linguistic assistance during the preparation of this manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their

References

1. Bergeron EJ, Mosca MS, Aftab M, Justison G, Reece TB. Neuroprotection strategies in aortic surgery. *Cardiol Clin.* (2017) 35(3):453–65. doi: 10.1016/j.ccl. 2017.03.011

2. Mezrow CK, Midulla PS, Sadeghi AM, Gandsas A, Wang W, Dapunt OE, et al. Evaluation of cerebral metabolism and quantitative electroencephalography after hypothermic circulatory arrest and low-flow cardiopulmonary bypass at different temperatures. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* (1994) 107(4):1006–19. doi: 10.1016/S0022-5223(94)70375-2

3. Urbanski PP, Lenos A, Bougioukakis P, Neophytou I, Zacher M, Diegeler A. Mild-to-moderate hypothermia in aortic arch surgery using circulatory arrest: A change of paradigm? *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* (2012) 41(1):185–91. doi: 10. 1016/j.ejcts.2011.03.060

4. Luehr M, Bachet J, Mohr FW, Etz CD. Modern temperature management in aortic arch surgery: The dilemma of moderate hypothermia. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* (2014) 45(1):27–39. doi: 10.1093/ejcts/ezt154

5. Pacini D, Di Marco L, Leone A, Tonon C, Pettinato C, Fonti C, et al. Cerebral functions and metabolism after antegrade selective cerebral perfusion in aortic arch surgery. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* (2010) 37(6):1322–31. doi: 10.1016/j. ejcts.2009.12.029

6. Harrington DK, Fragomeni F, Bonser RS. Cerebral perfusion. Ann Thorac Surg. (2007) 83(2):S799–804. doi: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2006.11.018

 Spielvogel D, Kai M, Tang GH, Malekan R, Lansman SL. Selective cerebral perfusion: A review of the evidence. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. (2013) 145(3 Suppl):S59–62. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2012.11.073

8. Jiang H, Liu Y, Yang Z, Ge Y, Du Y. Mild hypothermic circulatory arrest with lower body perfusion for total arch replacement via upper hemisternotomy in acute type A dissection. *Heart Surg Forum*. (2021) 24(2):E345–50. doi: 10.1532/ hsf.3729

9. Jiang H, Liu Y, Yang Z, Ge Y, Li L, Wang H. Total arch replacement via single upper-hemisternotomy approach in patients with type A dissection. *Ann Thorac Surg.* (2020) 109(5):1394–9. doi: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2019.08.095

10. Sun L, Qi R, Zhu J, Liu Y, Zheng J. Total arch replacement combined with stented elephant trunk implantation: A new "standard" therapy for type a dissection involving repair of the aortic arch? *Circulation*. (2011) 123(9):971–8. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110.015081

affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

11. Sun LZ, Qi RD, Chang Q, Zhu JM, Liu YM, Yu CT, et al. Is total arch replacement combined with stented elephant trunk implantation justified for patients with chronic Stanford type A aortic dissection? J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. (2009) 138(4):892–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2009.02.041

12. Nappi G, Maresca L, Torella M, Cotrufo M. Body perfusion in surgery of the aortic arch. *Tex Heart I J.* (2007) 34(1):23–9. PMID: 17420789

13. Maier S, Kari F, Rylski B, Siepe M, Benk C, Beyersdorf F. Selective heart, brain and body perfusion in open aortic arch replacement. *J Extra Corpor Technol.* (2016) 48(3):122–8. PMID: 27729705

14. Qu JZ, Kao LW, Smith JE, Kuo A, Xue A, Iyer MH, et al. Brain protection in aortic arch surgery: An evolving field. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth.* (2021) 35 (4):1176–88. doi: 10.1053/j.jvca.2020.11.035

15. Keeling WB, Leshnower BG, Hunting JC, Binongo J, Chen EP. Hypothermia and selective antegrade cerebral perfusion is safe for arch repair in type A dissection. *Ann Thorac Surg.* (2017) 104(3):767–72. doi: 10.1016/j.athoracsur. 2017.02.066

16. Jabagi H, Juanda N, Nantsios A, Boodhwani M. Aortic arch surgery at 32°C: mild hypothermia and unilateral antegrade cerebral perfusion. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* (2021) 32(5):773–80. doi: 10.1093/icvts/ivaa321

17. Nienaber CA, Clough RE. Management of acute aortic dissection. Lancet. (2015) 385(9970):800-11. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61005-9

 Merkkola P, Tulla H, Ronkainen A, Soppi V, Oksala A, Koivisto T, et al. Incomplete circle of Willis and right axillary artery perfusion. *Ann Thorac Surg.* (2006) 82(1):74–9. doi: 10.1016/j.athoracsur.2006.02.034

19. Li B, Hu X, Wang Z. The neurologic protection of unilateral versus bilateral antegrade cerebral perfusion in aortic arch surgery with deep hypothermic circulatory arrest: A study of 77 cases. *Int J Surg.* (2017) 40:8–13. doi: 10.1016/j. ijsu.2017.02.023

20. Angeloni E, Melina G, Refice SK, Roscitano A, Capuano F, Comito C, et al. Unilateral versus bilateral antegrade cerebral protection during aortic surgery: An updated meta-analysis. *Ann Thorac Surg.* (2015) 99(6):2024–31. doi: 10.1016/j. athoracsur.2015.01.070

21. Takayama H, Borger MA. Bilateral antegrade cerebral perfusion during aortic dissection surgery: If no harm, then why not? *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* (2017) 154(3):776-7. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2017.04.030