

# A Novel Prognostic Signature Associated with Immunotherapeutic Response for Hepatocellular Carcinoma

#### Xinmin Jin<sup>1</sup>\* and Jinhuan Wang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Medical, Qingdao University Medical College, Qingdao China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacy, The Affiliated Hospital of Qingdao University, Qingdao China

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

## Edited by:

Duilio Pagano, Mediterranean Institute for Transplantation and Highly Specialized Therapies (ISMETT), Italy

#### Reviewed by:

Sergio Rizzo, Mediterranean Institute for Transplantation and Highly Specialized Therapies (ISMETT), Italy Leonardo Centonze, Niguarda Ca' Granda Hospital, Italy Alfredo Colombo, Casa di Cura Macchiarella, Italy

> \*Correspondence: Xinmin Jin 573447505@qq.com

#### Speciality section:

This article was submitted to Surgical Oncology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Surgery

> Received: 28 March 2022 Accepted: 11 May 2022 Published: 05 July 2022

#### Citation:

Jin X and Wang J (2022) A Novel Prognostic Signature Associated with Immunotherapeutic Response for Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Front. Surg. 9:905897. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2022.905897 **Background:** Although accumulating literature has validated that necroptosis plays a prominent role in the tumorigenesis and progression of various malignant cancer, its mechanism in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is poorly understood. Therefore, in the present study, we want to study the impact of necroptosis-related genes on the prognosis and microenvironment-infiltrating immunocytes and the effect of immunotherapy on patients with HCC.

**Methods:** The necroptosis-related genes were obtained by reviewing the available published literature; we then evaluated the effects of the prognostic genes on the relative abundance of microenvironment infiltrated immunocytes. After construction of the Risk Score Signature, we evaluated the prognostic value and the effects on immune cells infiltrating the tumor microenvironment (TME). Combining the available data on immunotherapy, we also investigated the impact on anti-PD-L1-based immunotherapy.

**Results:** A comprehensive study of the published literature confirmed that 22 genes are related to necroptosis. Among them, 10 genes were related to the prognosis of the HCC cohort in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and had a multifaceted influence on TME. We obtained the Risk Score Signature by Lasso regression. Furthermore, we also corroborated the correlation between the Risk Score Signature and tumor-infiltrating immune cells in the TME. Next, in the study of the correlation between the Signature and immunotherapy, we found that the Signature was significantly correlated with the reactivity of anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy. We also confirmed that the Risk Score Signature is a reliable and efficient independent prognostic marker of HCC.

**Conclusion:** We established a novel and effective prognostic model for patients with HCC, which is markedly related to the TME and immune infiltration in HCC and can also predict immunotherapeutic response and prognosis.

Keywords: hepatocellular carcinoma, necroptosis, prognostic signature, immune infiltration, immunotherapy

1

## INTRODUCTION

Programmed cell death, also called apoptosis, is a critical physiological process in the homeostasis of pluricellular organisms. However, if this process is out of control, aberrant cell death may lead to tissue injury, immune disorders, various diseases, and even tumors. As generally known, apoptosis is a type of caspase-dependent programmed cell death; necroptosis has received considerable attention in recent years as a caspaseindependent programmed form of cell death.

Although both are potential mechanisms for cell death, necroptosis is considered different from apoptosis. The pathogenic process of human malignant tumors is influenced by the defect or mutation of necroptosis-related genes. The defects of necroptosis-related genes have been discovered in the cells of chronic lymphocytic leukemia patients. Patients with non-Hodgkin lymphoma have mutations in necroptosis-related genes and aberrant activation of necroptosis-related pathways (1). Mutations in critical necroptosis genes have been identified to speed up tumor cell proliferation and migration in epidermal malignancies (2). Necroptosis has been shown to hasten cancer cell death or boost tumor cell susceptibility to anticancer drugs (3–6). These findings show that the mechanism of necroptosis might be a potential target for tumor therapy (**Figure 1**).

Based on data from GLOBOCAN 2018, according to ICD-10, there are approximately 792,031 new cases of HCC in the world with the age-standardized incidence rate (ASR) of 8.9 per 100,000 person-year, ranking 6th in the incidence among all malignant tumors; the ASR for males was 13.4 per 100,000, ranking 5th in the incidence spectrum of malignant tumors in male patients, while the ASR for females was 4.7 per 100,000, ranking 9th in the incidence of malignant tumors in female

patients (7). HCC is the second leading cause of malignant tumor deaths and disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) worldwide (7). In 2017, HCC was the fifth largest cause of years of life lost (YLLs) and the seventh leading cause of disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) among all chronic diseases in China (8). Although the diagnosis and treatment technology of HCC has advanced significantly in recent years, the age-standardized 5-year net survival increased from 11.7% in 2000–2004 to 14.1% in 2010–2014 (9). However, the 5-year survival rate of patients with early-stage HCC [Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) stage 0/A] who underwent radical treatment may be as high as 69.0%–86.2% (10). Therefore, the early detection of HCC is extremely critical.

Using bioinformatics tools, we hope to investigate the expression and prognostic value of necroptosis-related genes in HCC to develop an independent prediction model for HCC, allowing us to detect and treat HCC at the earliest possible stage.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Data Acquisition**

UCSC Xena (https://xenabrowser.net/datapages/) was used to retrieve TCGA RNA-seq datasets, copy number variation (CNV) data, and clinical data of liver hepatocellular carcinoma (LIHC); there were 374 HCC tumors and 50 normal tissues. The independent cohorts (LIRI-JP) in the International Cancer Genome Consortium (ICGC) (https://dcc.icgc.org/) database were employed in our research, including 240 cases of HCC tissues and 197 cases of normal tissues. Primary processing of data was performed by the R package tinyarray.



### Collection and Analysis of Necroptosis-Related Genes

A thorough search for all relevant literature yielded necroptosisrelated genes (11–21) (RIPK3, RIPK1, MLKL, NDRG2, CXCL1, BIRC2, TLR3, TLR2, ALDH2, TNFRSF1A, TLR4, CYLD, PGAM5, NR2C2, ZBP1, HMGB1, BIRC3, USP22, FADD, TRAF2, EZH2, and TNF). The Mann–Whitney *U* test revealed that 18 genes were differently expressed in HCC samples compared to normal samples. Survival analysis of the above 18 genes was evaluated by univariate Cox regression analysis using the survival R package (22); CNV was analyzed using the maftools R package. Enrichment analysis and correlation analysis between genes were performed; we also explored the relationship between prognosis-related genes and the tumor microenvironment, immune cell infiltration, and immune checkpoint genes (23).

# Constructing and Validating the Risk Score Signature

A multigene-based Risk Score Signature for predicting prognosis was constructed using Lasso regression to further identify prognosis-related genes in HCC patients. The sum of the products of each gene's expression value and the accompanying coefficients was used to calculate each patient's risk score. Patients will be separated into low-risk and highrisk groups according to the cutoff calculated by the R package survminer. The survival packages were used to test the prediction efficacy of the Risk Score Signature on prognosis. To assess the Risk Score Signature's prediction ability, timeROC packages were used to plot 1-, 3-, and 5-year ROC curves. We utilized the tinyarray packages to investigate the relationship between the Risk Score Signature and necroptosis-related genes.

# Risk Score Signature Combined With Immune System

We evaluate the relationship between the Risk Score Signature and immune cell infiltration based on an article containing 28 categories of immune cells and their marker genes (23, 24). As immune checkpoints become more important for tumor progression, treatment, and prognosis, we investigated the specific correlation of Risk Score Signature with major immune checkpoints. The distribution of key genes at the single-cell level in HCC was then validated by TISCH databases (http://tisch.comp-genomics.org/) (25, 26). The IMvigor210 cohort was utilized to investigate the predictive efficacy of Risk Score Signature on anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy (27). The IMvigor210 cohort, which is made up of urothelial carcinoma patients who were given anti-PDL1 antibody atezolizumab, was widely utilized to predict patient response to immunotherapy.

## **Statistical Analysis**

R software was mostly used to analyze and illustrate the data (R version 4.1.2). To construct ROC curves, Kaplan–Meier curves, and forest plots for Cox regression, the timeROC, survminer,

and survival R packages were used. The mutation landscape was examined by the maftools R package. Regplot and survival were employed for the construction and presentation of the Nomogram. The Wilcoxon rank-sum test was used to compare the expression levels of the two groups. Statistical significance was defined as P < 0.05.

# RESULTS

### Expression and Mutation of Necroptosis-Related Genes in HCC

The relative expression levels of necroptosis-related genes in tumor tissue and normal tissue were examined as shown in Figures 2A,B; we found that 18 of these genes showed changes in gene expression at the mRNA level. RIPK1, MLKL, PGAM5, NR2C2, HMGB1, USP22, TRAF2, EZH2, BIRC2, BIRC3, FADD, and CYLD are necroptosis-related genes with elevated expression levels in HCC. In normal liver tissues, TLR2, TLR3, TLR5, CXCL1, and NDRG2 were all upregulated (Figures 2A,B). In the TCGA HCC cohort, mutations in necroptosis-related genes occurred in 40 patients with HCC, there was a preponderance of stage I patients, and male patients were in the majority. USP22 recorded the highest frequency of mutations (Figure 2C). Mistranslation mutation was the most common variant categorization, whereas the most prevalent variation type was single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP). When compared to the other SNV classes, C > T had the highest frequency (Figure 2D).

#### Identification, Functional Enrichment, and Effect on TME-Infiltrating Cells of Prognosis-Related Genes

Using univariate Cox analysis, we found that 10 of the 18 necroptosis-elated genes differentially expressed in HCC were related to the prognosis of HCC (Figure 3A). Various degrees of correlation were revealed between each other; PGAM5 had the strongest correlation with EZH2, whereas NDRG2 and TLR2 had negligible correlation (Figure 3B). Enrichment analysis was used to characterize the function of these prognostic genes. Under the criteria of P.adj < 0.05 and qvalue < 0.2, a total of 3 MF terms, 158 BP terms, 3 CC terms, and 23 KEGG pathways were significantly enriched (Figure 3C). According to the common genes in the enriched pathway, we networked the results of functional enrichment (Figure 3D). We explored the influence of prognostic genes on immune cells identified in the TME, as well as immunological checkpoints, to further understand the connection between prognostic genes and tumor immunity. TLR2, MLKL, and CXCL1 were discovered to be highly connected with the majority of infiltrating immune cells in the TME, and these three genes were also strongly linked to immunological checkpoints (CTLA4, PD-1, PD-L1, and PD-L2). Except for ALDH2 and NDRG2, activated CD4+ T cells were positively linked with almost all prognostic genes (Figures 4A,B).



# Constructing and Validating the Risk Score Signature

We constructed a Risk Score Signature by Lasso regression analysis of 10 necroptosis-related genes related to the prognosis of HCC based on univariate Cox analysis. The risk score equations are formulated as follows: risk score = (0.243)\*PGAM5+ (0.0024) \*CXCL1+ (-0.0708) \*ALDH2+ (0.2325) \*EZH2. Partial likelihood deviance for the LASSO coefficient profiles of the 10 prognostic genes is demonstrated in Figures 5A,B. As shown in Figure 6, univariate Cox analysis shows that risk score can serve as an independent diagnostic biomarker. According to the cutoff calculated by the R package survminer, patients were separated into high-risk and low-risk groups (Figure 7). Almost all necroptosis-related genes associated with prognosis were substantially expressed in the high-risk group, except NDRG2 and ALDH2 (Figures 8A,D). In the 1-year, 3-year, and 5-year ROC curves, the values of the area under the ROC curve were 0.75, 0.68, and 0.68, respectively (Figure 8B). The Kaplan-Meier survival curve revealed that individuals in the low-risk group lived substantially longer than those in the high-risk group, indicating that the Risk Score Signature had a strong prognostic value (Figure 8C). We employed the ICGC cohort (Project LIRI-JP) as an external validation set to validate the Risk Score Signature further. According to the same cutoff value as the TCGA cohort, patients from the ICGC cohort were separated into high-risk and low-risk groups (**Figure 9A**). In 1-year and 3-year ROC curves for the ICGC cohort, the values of the area under the ROC curve were 0.73 and 0.69, respectively (**Figure 9B**). To increase the accuracy of Risk Score Signature prediction, we created a Nomogram, which we integrated with other characteristics to assess the probability of HCC patients surviving (**Figure 9A**). The calibration diagram for 1-, 3-, and 5-year OS is shown in **Figure 10B**.

#### Role of Risk Score Signature in the TME Cell Infiltration and Immunotherapeutic Response

We assessed the association between Risk Score Signature and 28 infiltrating immune cells to evaluate the connection between Risk Score Signature and TME and discovered that the Risk Score Signature was significantly negatively connected to eosinophils but considerably positively related to effector memory CD4 T cells, central memory CD4 T cells, and natural killer T cells (Figure 11A). We also discovered that the expression of immune checkpoint protein was strongly connected with the Risk Score Signature (Figure 11B). To better understand the relationship between Risk Score Signature and immune cells in liver cancer, we analyzed the



FIGURE 3 | Univariate Cox analysis, correlation analysis, and function enrichment analysis of necroptosis-related genes. (A) Forest map showing 18 necroptosisrelated genes for HCC identified by univariate Cox analysis. (B) Correlation visualization matrix displaying the pairwise correlation between the 10 necroptosis-related genes. (C and D) Function enrichment analysis of necroptosis-related genes.





Hazard ratio								
gender (/	N=343)	0.97 (0.58 - 1.6)		<b>B</b>				0.916
age (l	N=343)	1.01 (0.99 - 1.0)			I			0.529
т (/	N=343)	1.71 (0.68 - 4.3)		ŀ	-			0.255
N (/	N=343)	1.37 (0.24 - 7.7)	ļ		-			0.722
M (/	N=343)	2.59 (0.65 - 10.3)		F				0.177
stage (I	N=343)	0.98 (0.35 - 2.7)	F					0.968
riskscore (/	N=343)	5.57 (2.80 - 11.1)				<b></b>	-	┥ <0.001 *
# Events: 71; Global p-value (Log AIC: 637.29; Concordance Index:	g-Rank): 1.3534e-09 : 0.77	0.	2 0.	5 1	2	2	5 1	)
UBE 6   Prognostic value of the	Risk Score Signature	Eorest plot	showing that the	Risk Score Sic	inature was a	an independent p	roanostic bion	narker



expression of key genes at the single-cell level in HCC; 12 types of immune cells were clustered. Finally, we found that among the four key genes, PGAM5 and CXCL1 were less expressed in immune cells, while ALDH2 was closely related to DC, ILC, and mono/macro and EZH2 was mainly expressed in Tprolif (Figure 12). We also evaluated the clinical relevance of Risk Score Signature and the effectiveness of anti-PD-L1 immunotherapy and discovered that following immunotherapy, division of the patients into two groups according to the cutoff calculated by the survminer package (Figure 13A), activated CD4 T cells were the immune cells most closely connected with risk score in patients receiving immunotherapy (Figure 13B), and patients in the high-risk group seemed to be more responsive (Figures 13C,D).

#### DISCUSSION

Hepatocellular carcinoma is one of the most common malignancies around the world, with the second-highest fatality rate among all cancers in China (28). Chronic hepatitis virus infection, alcoholism, autoimmune liver disease, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis, drug-induced liver injury, and aflatoxin are all risk factors for HCC (29). The clinical treatment of HCC includes liver transplantation, surgical resection, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, interventional therapy, molecular targeted therapy, and immunotherapy. Although surgical resection is currently the most effective treatment for HCC, the resectable rate of HCC at the time of diagnosis is only 20%–30%. Because of intrahepatic and extrahepatic



FIGURE 8 | Risk score analysis, prognostic performance, and survival analysis of the Risk Score Signature in the TCGA cohort. (A) Risk score and survival time distribution of patients and gene expression of necroptosis-related genes in the Risk Score Signature. (B and C) Overall survival curve and ROC curve of the Signature. (D) Key molecules expressed in the low- and high-risk groups.



FIGURE 9 | Risk score analysis, prognostic performance, and survival analysis of the Risk Score Signature in the ICGC cohort. (A) Risk score and survival time distribution of patients as well as gene expression of necroptosis-related genes in Risk Score Signature. (B and C) Signature's overall survival and ROC curves. (D) Expression of key molecules in the low- and high-risk groups.



FIGURE 10 | Establishment and evaluation of Nomograms. (A) 1-, 3-, and 5-year Nomograms for predicting OS of HCC. (B) Nomogram's calibration curves for predicting 1-, 3-, and 5-year OS in the TCGA cohort.



metastases, most HCC patients are unable to undergo radical surgery. In previous research, the immune microenvironment of the liver has been proven to be intimately linked to the tumorigenesis and development of HCC. Various tumorrelated immune cells such as tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs), CD8 + cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CTLs), tumorassociated macrophages (TAMs), regulatory T (Treg) cells, and marrow-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) play a role in HCC



progression (30, 31). We conducted this study to predict better the prognosis of patients with HCC and their response to immunotherapy.

By analyzing and summarizing existing studies, we found 22 genes associated with necroptosis, of which 18 genes were differently expressed between HCC and normal tissues. To be able to further clarify the impact of these genes on the prognosis of patients with HCC, we used univariate Cox analysis to obtain 10 prognostic necroptosis-related genes. We performed Lasso regression analysis to find genes that may be used as potential markers, and we came up with a Risk Score Signature that included PGAM5, CXCL1, ALDH2, and EZH2. We used an external dataset from the ICGC database to establish the validity and reliability of the Risk Score Signature. The findings indicate that the Signature is both reliable and effective. Whether in the TCGA cohort or the ICGC cohort, the Signature can be used as an effective independent predictor to predict the prognosis of HCC. The high-risk group, divided according to the risk score, is significantly correlated with adverse outcomes. Although not listed in the Nomogram, some researchers found that MVI, satellite status, margin status, and other factors also have an important impact on the prognosis of patients with HCC (32).

We also concluded that the Risk Score Signature was presented in relation to immune cell infiltration in the TME as well as levels of immune checkpoint proteins. Although the trends differ, the analysis of the relationship with immunotherapy reveals that the Risk Score Signature has a significant correlation in predicting responsiveness to immunotherapy. Case reports have shown that in individual patients, using VEGFR TKI alone or combined chemotherapy can significantly shrink the tumor before surgery or other deterministic local treatment. Combined with our findings, we believe that preoperative immunotherapy may improve the survival of patients preparing for liver transplantation, especially those with high-risk scores.



FIGURE 13 | Role of the Risk Score Signature in immunotherapeutic responses. (A) Determination of cutoff. (B) Differences in 28 TME infiltration cells between lowand high-risk groups. (C) Relationship between the Risk Score Signature and anti-PD-L1 clinical response. (D) Proportion of patients responding to anti-PD-L1 therapy in low- or high-risk groups.

# CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the Risk Score Signature we established based on necroptosis-related genes may accurately and reliably predict the prognosis of HCC patients. We discovered that necroptosisrelated genes play an essential role in the incidence, progression, and immune tolerance of HCC by analyzing the connection between the Risk Score Signature and immune cell infiltration, immune checkpoint, and immunotherapeutic response, which means that our finding could become a potential therapeutic target for HCC.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

# **ETHICS STATEMENT**

This study did not collect actual patient data, and gene expression data were obtained from public databases. Therefore, patient informed consent and ethical approval were not required.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

XJ: conceptualization, methodology, validation, and writing review and editing; JW: visualization and roles/writing—original draft, supervision, and project administration. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We owe a debt of gratitude to the public database used in this study and express gratitude to the editors and reviewers of the study.

### REFERENCES

- Cerhan JR, Ansell SM, Fredericksen ZS, Kay NE, Liebow M, Call TG, et al. Genetic variation in 1253 immune and inflammation genes and risk of non-hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood.* (2007) 110:4455–63. doi: 10.1182/BLOOD-2007-05-088682.
- Alameda JP, Moreno-Maldonado R, Navarro M, Bravo A, Ramírez A, Page A, et al. An inactivating CYLD mutation promotes skin tumor progression by conferring enhanced proliferative, survival and angiogenic properties to epidermal cancer cells. *Oncogene*. (2010) 29:6522–32. doi: 10.1038/ONC. 2010.378.
- Mantel F, Frey B, Haslinger S, Schildkopf P, Sieber R, Ott OJ, et al. Combination of ionising irradiation and hyperthermia activates programmed apoptotic and necrotic cell death pathways in human colorectal carcinoma cells. *Strahlenther Onkol.* (2010) 186:587–99. doi: 10.1007/S00066-010-2154-X.
- Zhang H, Zhong C, Shi L, Guo Y, Fan Z. Granulysin induces cathepsin B release from lysosomes of target tumor cells to attack mitochondria through processing of bid leading to necroptosis. *J Immun.* (2009) 182:6993–7000. doi: 10.4049/JIMMUNOL.0802502.
- Bonapace L, Bornhauser BC, Schmitz M, Cario G, Ziegler U, Niggli FK, et al. Induction of autophagy-dependent necroptosis is required for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia cells to overcome glucocorticoid resistance. *J Clin Invest.* (2010) 120:1310–23. doi: 10.1172/JCI39987.
- Han W, Li L, Qiu S, Lu Q, Pan Q, Gu Y, et al. Shikonin circumvents cancer drug resistance by induction of a necroptotic death. *Mol Cancer Ther*. (2007) 6:1641–9. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-06-0511.
- Fitzmaurice C, Abate D, Abbasi N, Abbastabar H, Abd-Allah F, Abdel-Rahman O, et al. Global, regional, and national cancer incidence, mortality, years of life lost, years lived with disability, and disabilityadjusted life-years for 29 cancer groups, 1990 to 2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study. *JAMA Oncol.* (2019) 5:1749–68. doi: 10.1001/JAMAONCOL.2019.2996.
- Zhou M, Wang H, Zeng X, Yin P, Zhu J, Chen W, et al. Mortality, morbidity, and risk factors in China and its provinces, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. *Lancet.* (2019) 394:1145–58. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30427-1.
- Allemani C, Matsuda T, di Carlo V, Harewood R, Matz M, Nikšić M, et al. Global surveillance of trends in cancer survival 2000-14 (CONCORD-3): analysis of individual records for 37 513 025 patients diagnosed with one of 18 cancers from 322 population-based registries in 71 countries. *Lancet.* (2018) 391:1023–75. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)33326-3.
- Tsilimigras DI, Bagante F, Sahara K, Moris D, Hyer JM, Wu L, et al. Prognosis after resection of Barcelona clinic liver cancer (BCLC) stage 0, A, and B hepatocellular carcinoma: a comprehensive assessment of the current BCLC classification. *Ann Surg Oncol.* (2019) 26:3693–700. doi: 10. 1245/S10434-019-07580-9.
- Choi ME, Price DR, Ryter SW, Choi AMK. Necroptosis: a crucial pathogenic mediator of human disease. *JCI Insight*. (2019) 4(15):e123884. doi: 10.1172/ JCI.INSIGHT.128834.
- Malireddi RKS, Kesavardhana S, Kanneganti TD. ZBP1 And TAK1: master regulators of NLRP3 inflammasome/pyroptosis, apoptosis, and necroptosis (PAN-optosis). Front Cell Infect Microbiol. (2019) 9:406. doi: 10.3389/FCIMB. 2019.00406.
- Xia X, Lei L, Wang S, Hu J, Zhang G. Necroptosis and its role in infectious diseases. *Apoptosis*. (2020) 25:169–78. doi: 10.1007/S10495-019-01589-X.
- Cheng M, Lin N, Dong D, Ma J, Su J, Sun L. PGAM5: a crucial role in mitochondrial dynamics and programmed cell death. *Eur J Cell Biol.* (2021) 100(1):151144. doi: 10.1016/J.EJCB.2020.151144.
- Park MY, Ha SE, Vetrivel P, Kim HH, Bhosale PB, Abusaliya A, et al. Differences of key proteins between apoptosis and necroptosis. *BioMed Res Int.* (2021) 2021:1–10. doi: 10.1155/2021/3420168.
- Gong Y, Fan Z, Luo G, Yang C, Huang Q, Fan K, et al. The role of necroptosis in cancer biology and therapy. *Mol Cancer*. (2019) 18(1):100. doi: 10.1186/ S12943-019-1029-8.
- Wen S, Li X, Ling Y, Chen S, Deng Q, Yang L, et al. HMGB1-associated Necroptosis and kupffer cells M1 polarization underlies remote liver injury induced by intestinal ischemia/reperfusion in rats. *FASEB J.* (2020) 34:4384–402. doi: 10.1096/FJ.201900817R.

- Zhu J, Yang LK, Wang QH, Lin W, Feng Y, Xu YP, et al. NDRG2 Attenuates ischemia-induced astrocyte necroptosis via the repression of RIPK1. *Mol Med Rep.* (2020) 22:3103. doi: 10.3892/MMR.2020.11421.
- Lou X, Zhu H, Ning L, Li C, Li S, Du H, et al. EZH2 Regulates intestinal inflammation and necroptosis through the JNK signaling pathway in intestinal epithelial cells. *Dig Dis Sci.* (2019) 64:3518–27. doi: 10.1007/S10620-019-05705-4.
- Petersen SL, Chen TT, Lawrence DA, Marsters SA, Gonzalvez F, Ashkenazi A. TRAF2 Is a biologically important necroptosis suppressor. *Cell Death Differ*. (2015) 22:1846. doi: 10.1038/CDD.2015.35.
- Roedig J, Kowald L, Juretschke T, Karlowitz R, Abhari BA, Roedig H, et al. USP22 Controls necroptosis by regulating receptor-interacting protein kinase 3 ubiquitination. *EMBO Rep.* (2021) 22(2):e50163. doi: 10.15252/ EMBR.202050163.
- Liu J, Lichtenberg T, Hoadley KA, Poisson LM, Lazar AJ, Cherniack AD, et al. An integrated TCGA pan-cancer clinical data resource to drive high-quality survival outcome analytics. *Cell.* (2018) 173:400–416.e11. doi: 10.1016/J.CELL.2018.02.052.
- Charoentong P, Finotello F, Angelova M, Mayer C, Efremova M, Rieder D, et al. Pan-cancer immunogenomic analyses reveal genotype-immunophenotype relationships and predictors of response to checkpoint blockade. *Cell Rep.* (2017) 18:248–62. doi: 10.1016/J.CELREP.2016.12.019.
- Binnewies M, Roberts EW, Kersten K, Chan V, Fearon DF, Merad M, et al. Understanding the tumor immune microenvironment (TIME) for effective therapy. *Nat Med.* (2018) 24:541–50. doi: 10.1038/S41591-018-0014-X.
- 25. Sun D, Wang J, Han Y, Dong X, Ge J, Zheng R, et al. TISCH: a comprehensive web resource enabling interactive single-cell transcriptome visualization of tumor microenvironment. *Nucleic Acids Res.* (2021) 49: D1420–30. doi: 10.1093/NAR/GKAA1020.
- Zhang Q, He Y, Luo N, Patel SJ, Han Y, Gao R, et al. Landscape and dynamics of single immune cells in hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cell.* (2019) 179:829–845.e20. doi: 10.1016/J.CELL.2019.10.003.
- 27. Mariathasan S, Turley SJ, Nickles D, Castiglioni A, Yuen K, Wang Y, et al. TGF $\beta$  attenuates tumour response to PD-L1 blockade by contributing to exclusion of T cells. *Nature*. (2018) 554:544–8. doi: 10.1038/NATURE25501.
- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global cancer statistics 2020: gLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* (2021) 71:209–49. doi: 10.3322/caac.21660.
- Hepatocellular carcinoma. Nat Rev Dis Primers. (2021) 7(1):7. doi: 10.1038/ \$41572-021-00245-6.
- Chew V, Lai L, Pan L, Lim CJ, Li J, Ong R, et al. Delineation of an immunosuppressive gradient in hepatocellular carcinoma using highdimensional proteomic and transcriptomic analyses. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U* S A. (2017) 114:E5900–9. doi: 10.1073/PNAS.1706559114.
- Wang M, Zhao J, Zhang L, Wei F, Lian Y, Wu Y, et al. Role of tumor microenvironment in tumorigenesis. J Cancer. (2017) 8:761–73. doi: 10. 7150/JCA.17648.
- 32. Centonze L, de Carlis R, Vella I, Carbonaro L, Incarbone N, Palmieri L, et al. From LI-RADS classification to HCC pathology: a retrospective singleinstitution analysis of clinico-pathological features affecting oncological outcomes after curative surgery. *Diagnostics*. (2022) 12(1):160. doi: 10. 3390/DIAGNOSTICS12010160.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Jin and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.