Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Stefano Cianci University of Messina, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Rafał Watrowski rafal.watrowski@gmx.at

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Obstetrics and Gynecological Surgery, a section of the journal Frontiers in Surgery

RECEIVED 03 October 2022 ACCEPTED 04 October 2022 PUBLISHED 02 November 2022

CITATION

Watrowski R, Kostov S and Sparić R (2022) Editorial: Changing backgrounds and groundbreaking changes: Gynecological surgery in the third decade of the 21st century. Front. Surg. 9:1060503. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2022.1060503

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Watrowski, Kostov and Sparic. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Changing backgrounds and groundbreaking changes: Gynecological surgery in the third decade of the 21st century

Rafał Watrowski^{1,2*}, Stoyan Kostov³ and Radmila Sparić^{4,5}

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Helios Hospital Müllheim, Müllheim, Germany, ²Faculty Associate, Medical Center–University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany, ³Department of Gynecology, University Hospital "Saint Anna", Medical University of Varna, Varna, Bulgaria, ⁴Clinic for Gynecology and Obstetrics, University Clinical Centre of Serbia, Belgrade, Serbia, ⁵Faculty of Medicine, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Serbia

KEYWORDS

gynecological surgery, surgical complication, pregnancy, laparoscopy, placenta increta, primum non nocere, lymphadenectomy, contained morcellation

Editorial on the Research Topic Changing backgrounds and groundbreaking changes: Gynecological surgery in the third decade of the 21st century

By Watrowski R, Kostov S and Sparić R. (2022) Front. Surg. 9: 1060503. doi: 10.3389/fsurg. 2022.1060503

In the third decade of the 21st century, gynecological surgeons are faced with new technical developments but also with new expectations. The surgical evolution is reflected by individualized approaches to patients and treatments (1), increasing role of "omics" and advanced imaging methods for surgical decisions (2, 3), the substantial shift from vaginal to laparoscopic concepts in urogynecology (4–6), increasing role of the robotic-assisted surgery or increased awareness about surgical complications and their prevention (7).

These favorable developments do not eliminate unresolved problems of the past (e.g., the role of the human factor in surgical complications) and add new pitfalls and uncertainties, e.g., the controversy about laparoscopic tissue morcellation (7), the doubts about the oncological risks and long-term results of minimally invasive procedures following the LACC trial (8–10), or issues regarding fertility preservation in oncological patients (11).

We welcome several high-quality articles within this Research Topic that perfectly illustrate these chances and challenges. The publication by *Alkatout* et al. introduces this topic by showing the milestones and pitfalls of gynecological laparoscopy from its beginnings to the present day. This contribution opens our Research Topic and can

serve as a compendium of historical knowledge, but also as a source of reflection that technical evolution alone does not absolve its pioneers from personal defeats and that each stage of development of gynecology brings with it challenges and risks that were unpredictable in the early days of laparoscopy. Alkatout et al. remember in the first sentence of their article that the maxim 'primum non nocere', originating from the Hippocratic tradition, remains relevant also with regard to current and future developments in surgery. Notably, we live in a time when many of the principles of the Hippocratic Oath-that formed a bedrock of medical ethics for centuries -are being increasingly ignored or overshadowed by nonscientific and non-medical concepts. Gratifyingly, and in keeping with the Research Topic, many authors have focused on the modern implementation of Hippocarates' principle: 'When dealing with illness, practice two things: either help or do not harm the patient' (12).

The papers published in this Research Topic address different laparoscopical approaches (classical, natural orifice, single-port), different areas of gynecologic surgery (urogynaecology, oncology) or deal with specific problems that are the price for the development of minimally invasive approaches, e.g., morcellation risks or safety concerns when performing laparoscopy in pregnancy. Importantly, all authors have proposed constructive solutions that go beyond simply reporting of difficulties. For instance, *Tinelli* et al. describe the management of an external iliac vein injury during laparoscopic pelvic lymphadenectomy, supplementing a didactic surgical video with case report and literature review; *Wang* et al. evaluated the utility of a novel multi-port system for contained laparoscopic morcellation; *Yang* et al. developed a nomogram predicting lymph node metastasis in early-stage cervical cancer.

One of the hallmarks of modern gynecological surgery is the changed paradigm of the surgical approach to pelvic organ prolapse (POP). While vaginal techniques, including transvaginal mesh applications, flourished in the late 20th century, laparoscopic concepts have rapidly evolved over the past two decades. Modern surgeons "discovered" fixation points for mesh or autografted tissue at different levels of the lateral pelvic wall, leading to the development of techniques like pectopexy or laparoscopic lateral suspension (LLS), both applicable via the classical and robotic laparoscopic approaches, and thus significantly expanding the therapeutic spectrum for POP beyond the laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy. Dällenbach compares the LLS vs. laparoscopic sacrocolpopexy for apical pelvic organ prolapse, and postulates the LLS as the new "gold standard". We are confident that this not entirely uncontroversial opinion can stimulate scientific debate and sharpen the senses of clinicians regarding the best current treatment for POP (4, 6).

A very special feature of this Research Topic is that every second article is dedicated to surgical interventions in women who are pregnant or want to preserve their fertility. The latter aspect in regard to young patients diagnosed with cervical cancer has been reviewed by Theofanakis et al. The challenge of any surgical procedure during pregnancy is that the preservation of the pregnancy should not significantly compromise the safety of the mother or the effectiveness of the treatment (13). In line with these considerations is the preliminary study of Han et al. reporting of positive experiences with laparoscopic removal of adnexal mass during pregnancy. The study by Zhang et al. applies a retrograde perspective to analyze peripartum outcomes in 12 patients with a prior transluminal endoscopic transvaginal natural opening (vNOTES), including 10 cases of vaginal delivery and 2 cases of cesarean section. A vaginal fornix incision during vNOTES does not appear to compromise the safety and feasibility of vaginal delivery in a subsequent full-term pregnancy. We believe this small study will enhance interest in vNOTES and stimulate larger, multi-center evaluations. Finally, two papers are dedicated to two serious complications of pregnancy and childbirth (which often occur concomitantly): the spectrum of placenta increta and placenta previa. Fu et al. describes a parallel loop binding compression suture as an an effective and safe method to reduce postpartum bleeding in women with placenta previa complicated with placenta increta. Guan et al. presents the results of a multi-center study evaluating the innovative treatment of placenta increta left in situ by high-intensity focused ultrasound ablation. Both articles show therapeutic options of potentially vital importance.

We thank all authors who contributed to this research topic those whose contributions were accepted, but also those whose contributions were rejected—for their efforts and their openness to reviewer comments. We thank the reviewers for their insightful comments and constructive criticism. Finally, we thank the editorial team for their support in handling the manuscripts.

We sincerely hope that the works that constitutes this Research Topic will help clinicians make the right decisions, inspire researchers to further evaluate their surgical practice, and in view of the work of *Alkatout* et al. encourage someone to re-read the original Hippocratic Oath.

Author contributions

RW, RS, SK: conceptualization. RW, RS: writing-first draft. RW: writing final version, literature search. RW, RS, SK: reviewing of the final manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their

References

1. Bizzarri N, Nero C, Sillano F, Ciccarone F, D'Oria M, Cesario A, et al. Building a personalized medicine infrastructure for gynecological oncology patients in a high-volume hospital. J Pers Med. (2021) 12:3. doi: 10.3390/jpm12010003

2. Li K, Sun H, Guo Q. Combinative evaluation of primary tumor and lymph nodes in predicting pelvic lymphatic metastasis in early-stage cervical cancer: a multiparametric PET-CT study. *Eur J Radiol.* (2019) 113:153–7. doi: 10.1016/j. ejrad.2019.02.013

3. Bizzarri N, Boldrini L, Ferrandina G, Fanfani F, Pedone Anchora L, Scambia G, et al. Radiomic models for lymph node metastasis prediction in cervical cancer: can we think beyond sentinel lymph node? *Transl Oncol.* (2021) 14:101185. doi: 10.1016/j.tranon.2021.101185

4. Noé K-G, Schiermeier S, Alkatout I, Anapolski M. Laparoscopic pectopexy: a prospective, randomized, comparative clinical trial of standard laparoscopic sacral colpocervicopexy with the new laparoscopic pectopexy-postoperative results and intermediate-term follow-up in a pilot study. *J Endourol.* (2015) 29:210–5. doi: 10.1089/end.2014.0413

5. Campagna G, Vacca L, Panico G, Caramazza D, Lombisani A, Scambia G, et al. Laparoscopic lateral suspension for pelvic organ prolapse: a systematic literature review. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* (2021) 264:318–29. doi: 10. 1016/j.ejogrb.2021.07.044

6. Szymczak P, Grzybowska ME, Sawicki S, Futyma K, Wydra DG. Perioperative and long-term anatomical and subjective outcomes of laparoscopic pectopexy and sacrospinous ligament suspension for POP-Q stages II-IV apical prolapse. J Clin Med. (2022) 11:2215. doi: 10.3390/jcm11082215 affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

7. Watrowski R, Kostov S, Alkatout I. Complications in laparoscopic and robotic-assisted surgery: definitions, classifications, incidence and risk factors an up-to-date review. *Wideochir Inne Tech Maloinwazyjne*. (2021) 16:501–25. doi: 10.5114/wiitm.2021.108800

8. Ramirez PT, Frumovitz M, Pareja R, Lopez A, Vieira M, Ribeiro R, et al. Minimally invasive versus abdominal radical hysterectomy for cervical cancer. *N Engl J Med.* (2018) 379:1895–904. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1806395

9. Touhami O, Plante M. Minimally invasive surgery for cervical cancer in light of the LACC trial: what have we learned? *Curr Oncol.* (2022) 29:1093–106. doi: 10. 3390/curroncol29020093

10. Röseler J, Wolff R, Bauerschlag DO, Maass N, Hillemanns P, Ferreira H, et al. Challenges and controversies in the surgical treatment of cervical cancer: open radical hysterectomy versus minimally invasive radical hysterectomy. *J Clin Med.* (2021) 10:3761. doi: 10.3390/jcm10173761

11. Di Tucci C, Galati G, Mattei G, Chinè A, Fracassi A, Muzii L. Fertility after cancer: risks and successes. *Cancers (Basel).* (2022) 14:2500. doi: 10.3390/cancers14102500

12. Kos H. Hippokrates: Sämtliche Werke (German Edition). In: K Brodersen, editors. *Sämtliche werke*. Darmstadt: wbg Edition (2022). p. 455–7.

13. Watrowski R. Pregnancy-Preserving laparoscopic treatment of acute hemoperitoneum following lutein cyst rupture in early gestation. Z Geburtshilfe Neonatol. (2019) 223:109–12. doi: 10.1055/a-0853-8131