Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jiří Baláš, Charles University, Czechia

REVIEWED BY Vladimir Puzovic, College of Sport and Health, Serbia Xeber Iruretagoiena, University of Deusto, Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE Volker Rainer Schöffl 🖾 volker.schoeffl@me.com

RECEIVED 16 September 2024 ACCEPTED 02 January 2025 PUBLISHED 20 January 2025

CITATION

Schöffl VR, Lutter C, Lang H-C, Perl M, Moser O and Simon M (2025) Efficacy of a new treatment algorithm for capsulitis of the fingers in rock climbers. Front. Sports Act. Living 7:1497110. doi: 10.3389/fspor.2025.1497110

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Schöffl, Lutter, Lang, Perl, Moser and Simon. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Efficacy of a new treatment algorithm for capsulitis of the fingers in rock climbers

Volker Rainer Schöffl^{1,2,3,4,5}*, Christoph Lutter^{4,6}, Hans-Christoph Lang¹, Mario Perl², Othmar Moser⁵ and Michael Simon²

¹Department of Trauma and Orthopedic Surgery, Klinikum Bamberg, Bamberg, Germany, ²Department of Trauma and Orthopedic Surgery, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg, University Hospital Erlangen, Erlangen, Germany, ³Section of Wilderness Medicine, Department of Emergency Medicine, University of Colorado School of Med., Denver, CO, United States, ⁴School of Health, Leeds Becket University, Leeds, United Kingdom, ⁵Division of Exercise Physiology and Metabolism, Department of Sport Science, University of Bayreuth, Bavaria, Germany, ⁶Department of Orthopedics, University Medical Center, Rostock, Germany

Background: Although finger joint capsulitis has been described among the most frequent injuries in climbers, no clinical studies on treatment strategies and outcomes are available.

Study design: Prospective case series study.

Methods: Between 2015 and 2018 we prospectively treated 50 patients (38 male, 12 female) with a total number of 69 independent finger joint capsulitis according to a clinic specific treatment regimen and evaluated the outcome retrospectively. Therapy consisted of either conservative management, steroid injections, radiosynoviorthesis or a combination depending on the treatment regimen, prior therapy and timeline of symptoms. Outcomes were assessed using visual analogue scale (VAS), Buck-Gramcko score and a climbing specific outcome score with secondary patient recall.

Results: The proximal interphalangeal joint of the middle finger was the most commonly affected joint, and there was no correlation with osteoarthritis. All climbers returned to sport within 12 months. The majority were able to maintain their level of performance after injury and the difference in climbing level before and after injury was not statistically significant (p = 0.22). The total time spent climbing was significantly less after the injury than before the injury (p < 0.001). The Buck-Gramcko score showed excellent results. The overall functional outcome was good to very good with a mean score of 1.6 ± 0.7 , as was the climbing specific score of 1.7 ± 0.9 . Pain was significantly less after treatment than before (p < 0.001). **Conclusion:** Good to very good functional and sport-specific outcomes were seen with the stage-specific treatment regimen presented, allowing all patients studied to resume climbing. A better understanding of the underlying pathogenesis is essential in order to better assess long-term progress.

KEYWORDS

capsulitis, finger joint synovitis, rock climbing, finger injuries, sport climbing

Introduction

Finger injuries are the most common sport-specific injuries in rock climbing (1-3). With the growing popularity of the sport, which is an Olympic sport since the 2020 summer games in Tokyo (held in 2021), and the ever-increasing difficulty of the sport, we can expect to see an increase in training intensity and

chronic overuse injuries (1, 2, 4–7). After finger flexor pulley lesions and tenosynovitis of the flexor tendons, chronic capsulitis of the small finger joints was found to be the third most common diagnosis seen in climbers (7.7% in overall 633 injured climbers) (8). In an analysis of the differential diagnosis of finger injuries, it was reflected in 18.8% of 261 finger injuries (8). Up to date now work exists into further analyzing this condition, its pathogenesis, treatment possibilities and outcome analysis.

The term capsulitis (intra-articular synovitis) has been used in literature on climbing injuries to describe a variety or complex of symptoms consisting of hyperemia and effusion of the finger joints in climbers (8-11). Despite being one of the most common finger injuries in climbers (1, 12) neither the etiology nor the treatment has been extensively analyzed so far (10, 13). In terms of etiology, the following theory is currently being discussed as the most likely: High peak pressures within the interphalangeal joints of the fingers, especially during the crimp position (Figure 1), cause the release of inflammatory mediators that can trigger chronic inflammation of the joint capsule (8). Capsulitis can therefore be caused either by repetitive stress or by a single traumatic event with reactive effusion within the joint (8). This effusion itself affects the synovia and can be the starting point of a vicious cycle of overstrain, effusion and synovitis, leading to a chronic capsulitis and, if left untreated, potentially to osteoarthritis and joint destruction (8, 9, 13-15); however, this theory has yet to be scientifically proven. It is debatable whether the term "synovitis" would not be more appropriate, but the term "capsulitis" has become popular in the climbing literature (8, 11). This can also be compared to the foot surgeons using the term "dactylitis" for synovitis of the joints of the small toes. We therefore decided to use the term "capsulitis" in this paper.

Most climbers complain of early morning stiffness and swelling in the affected finger joints, reduced range of motion and pain, which often improves after activity (Figure 2) (8, 10, 11, 13). Clinical findings include swollen finger joints and dorsal pain to tenderness and palpation of the affected joint. The diagnosis can be confirmed by ultrasound, which shows effusion and an increased synovial blood flow (10, 16-18). In addition, radiographs may show joint space widening ("x-ray sign 1", Figure 3, red arrow) and soft tissue thickening due to a swollen inflammatory edematous synovial membrane ("x-ray sign 2", Figure 3) (9). In addition, the diagnosis may be confirmed by magnetic resonance imaging using an intravenous contrast agent (e.g., gadolinium) (2, 15). There are several experience-based treatment options for capsulitis in climbers: besides conservative options such as rest, icing, anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID'S) and anti-rheumatic drugs (e.g., methotrexate), intra-articular injections of platelet rich plasma (PRP), hyaluronic acid or steroids and radiosynoviorthesis (using 20MBq Erbium) are therapeutic options (8-11, 13-15, 19). As a last resort, local radiotherapy or a surgical synovectomy may be considered (10). To standardize management we developed a stage-related treatment regimen for capsulitis of the finger joints in climbers (Table 1) based on the evaluation and management of affected climbers (not included in the current study sample) presenting to our clinic, which is a referral center for climbing injuries (8).

The aim of this study was to investigate the treatment success (clinical and sport-specific outcome) of climbers treated for finger joint capsulitis using a staged treatment regimen.



FIGURE 1

Crimp grip during rock climbing. The crimp grip with an angle between 90° and 110° in the PIP joint is preferred by climbers because, among other reasons, the flexor tendons of the fingers can develop the greatest possible holding force due to the resulting lever arm.



FIGURE 2 Clinical presentation of a patient's finger hand with capsulitis on the right ring finger proximal interphalangeal (PIP) joint.



FIGURE 3

X-ray of a capsulitis of the PIP joint depicting as a joint space widening due to the effusion ("x-ray sign 1", arrow) as well as a thickened soft tissue presentation ("x-ray sign 2") (9).

Methods

Patient criteria

Between 2015 and 2018, all climbers (non-competitive and competitive, indoor and outdoor) who were treated for capsulitis

of the proximal (PIP) or distal (DIP) interphalangeal joint or the metacarpophalangeal joint (MCP) of the finger were included in this study. The climbers were seen and treated at our specialized outpatient sports medicine clinic, which is a referral center for climbing-related injuries (e.g., German Alpine Club). All 50 patients [38 (67%) male, 12 (24%) female] with a total number of 69 independent finger joint capsulitis injuries included in the study complained of pain for more than 6 weeks during or after climbing. The mean age at presentation was 35.6 ± 10.1 years, and the mean BMI was 22.2 ± 2.6 (Table 2). Climbing and bouldering skill levels and demographic information of the patient population are shown in Table 2. A climbing-specific score was used to assess the pre-injury status of the affected finger (Table 3) (20, 21). The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee and all patients provided informed consent.

Diagnostics

A standard questionnaire and examination protocol were used. Diagnosis was based on clinical investigation and radiologic findings. The final diagnosis was reviewed and confirmed by the first author, who is a board-certified orthopedic surgeon with more than 25 years of experience with climbing injuries. Patients who were initially seen and treated in our emergency department were later re-evaluated in the outpatient sports medicine clinic.

All patients presenting to our center with a diagnosis of proximal interphalangeal (PIP), distal interphalangeal (DIP) or metacarpophalangeal (MCP joint capsulitis were included. Patients were excluded if they had finger pain, osteoarthritis, rheumatoid or psoriatic arthritis prior to rock climbing or any underlying relevant medical condition or hand surgery. Capsulitis was defined as: swelling, pain and tenderness of the respective joint with effusion (PIP, DIP) for more than 6 weeks; pain and

TABLE 1 Stage-related treatment regimen for capsulitis of the finger joints in climbers (8).

Stage	Time frame since onset of pain	Therapy	Climbing rest
1	<6 weeks	Conservative: icing, movement therapy, traction, hand baths with anti-inflammatory solution (e.g., medical sulphur solution), exercise therapy with therapy putty, acupressure ring, lymphatic drainage.	 0-14 days Then stepwise climbing load increase in accordance to the clinical symptoms. Taping during climbing restart with figure of eight tape.
2	>6 weeks (or after failed conservative therapy >4 weeks)	 2a. local infiltration with corticosteroid, re-injection after 7–10 days. 2b. alternative local infiltration with PRP (Platelet-rich plasma), mesenchymal stem cells (MSC) or autologous fat micrograft. (If applicable combination of corticosteroid or PRP). 	 2a: no climbing or hand related sports in between the injections and at least for 10 days after the second injection. 2b: no climbing or hand related sports 48 h after the injection. Then stepwise climbing load increase. Taping during climbing restart with figure of eight tape.
3	Persistent pain and swelling/effusion >6 weeks after second injection	RSO (Radiosynoviorthesis using 20MBq Erbium).	 Immobilization of the respective joint for 48 h on a splint. Afterwards light movement exercises; gradually restart climbing activities after 6 weeks, with full load bearing after 10 weeks. Taping during climbing restart with figure of eight tape.
4	Persistent pain and swelling/effusion >6 month after first RSO (20MBq Erbium)	Second RSO if applicable in combination with simultaneous instillation of a corticoid or Medical leech therapy or Local radiation therapy (last resort: surgical synovectomy)	 Immobilization of the respective joint for 48 h on a splint. 6 weeks rest, then stepwise climbing load increase with full load bearing after 10 weeks. Taping during climbing restart with figure of eight tape. Personalized follow-up regime in case of medicinal leech therapy, radiation, or synovectomy.

	All patients			
Number of patients	50			
Number of injuries	69			
Age (years)	35.6 ± 10.1			
Gender (men/women)	38/12			
BMI	22.2 ± 2.6			
Dominant hand r/l	48/2			
Climbing sport experience	12.5 ± 8.5 years			
Rope climbing level° before injury	8.6 ± 1.1			
Rope climbing level° after therapy	8.3 ± 1.8			
Bouldering V-scale before injury	7.9 ± 0.9			
Bouldering V-scale after therapy	7.8 ± 1.0			
Recreational climber	42 (84)			
Competitive climber	7 (14)			
Professional climber	1 (2)			
Training:				
1-2 sessions/week	6 (12)			
2-3 sessions/week	24 (48)			
3-5 sessions/week	19 (38)			
>5 sessions/week	1 (2)			
Conservative treatment	26 fingers			
Steroid injections	38 fingers			
Steroid injections + RSO	5 fingers			

TABLE 2 Patient characteristics.

UIAA metric grade.

swelling aggravated by climbing; limited range of motion; absence of chronic osteoarthritis; joint effusion and possible hyperemia in the capsule (ultrasound and/or MRI); absence of pulley or collateral ligament injury, joint capsular sprain or any other climbing finger-specific differential diagnosis (10).

Classification

The Union Internationale des Associations d'Alpinisme (UIAA) metric scale was used to grade climbing levels and the V scale

TABLE 3A Functional outcome score after finger injuries in climbing according to Schöffl et al. (20, 21).

Excellent (1)	Free range of motion, no objective strength deficit, normal motion
	pattern.
Good (2)	Extension- or flexion deficit of the PIP joint up to 10°, minor
	strength deficit, normal motion pattern.
Satisfactory (3)	Extension- or flexion deficit of the PIP joint up to 20°, clinical
	strength deficit, minor irritation of motion pattern.
Fair (4)	Extension- or flexion deficit of the PIP joint of more than 20°,
	major strength deficit, major irritation of motion pattern.

(PIP, proximal interphalangeal joint).

(Vermin scale) was used to grade bouldering levels as previously published (12, 22). The (UIAA) Injury Score was used to classify the severity of injury, as recommended by the UIAA Medical Commission (22).

Therapeutic regimen

The clinic-specific, experience-based, stage-related treatment regimen for capsulitis of the finger joints in climbers (Table 1) was employed for all patients involved in this study. Conservative therapy consisted of icing, movement therapy, physiotherapy with traction of the PIP joint, hand baths with anti-inflammatory solution (e.g., medical sulfur solution), exercise therapy with theraeuticy putty, acupressure ring, lymphatic drainage and stress reduction (climbing rest).

Outcome

Climbers were seen for follow-up at 6 and 12 weeks after the initial visit and contacted at 1 year. Visual Analog Scale (VAS) scores were collected at the initial visit and at one year. In addition, the Buck-Gramcko score a functional outcome score after finger injuries in climbing and a climbing-specific outcome score (Tables 3A,B) were recorded at 1 year (20, 21, 23). The Buck-Gramcko is a common hand surgery score for the outcome analysis of flexor tendon injuries focusing on range of motion and pain (23). It has been widely used in outcome analysis of finger injuries of climbers (24–28). All patients self-reported pre-injury conditions. Patients' self-assessments of time to treatment success (pain reduction, swelling reduction, increased range of motion, increased climbing) were then evaluated.

Statistical analysis

Microsoft Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, Washington, USA) was used for data collection Statistical analysis was performed using GNU PSPP Statistical Analysis Software (Free Software Foundation Inc. 2007, version 1.4.1-g79ad47, Boston, Massachusetts, USA). The Kolmogorov-Smirnow test was used to test for normal distribution. *T*-test was used for normal distributed data, Wilcoxon rank test was used for not normal distributed data. Level of significance was set as p < 0.05. Unless otherwise noted, data was expressed as mean \pm SD (standard deviation).

TABLE 3B Gener	al outcome score	for climbing	injuries according	to	Schöffl	et al.	(20,	21).
----------------	------------------	--------------	--------------------	----	---------	--------	------	------

Excellent (1)	Full load capacity of the former injured joint/extremity after 12 months (with or without tape), no subjective strength deficit of the former injured joint, regain
	of full climbing ability (UIAA metric +/- 0.66 UIAA metric grade)/pre-injury climbing level, no pain.
Good (2)	Full load capacity of the former injured joint/extremity after 12 months (with tape), subjectively minor strength deficit of the former injured joint/extremity,
	regain of full climbing ability (UIAA metric +/- 0.66 UIAA metric grade)/pre-injury climbing level, minor pain.
Satisfactory	Minor restricted load capacity of the former injured joint/extremity after 12 months (with tape), subjectively strength deficit of the former injured f joint/
(3)	extremity, regain of full climbing ability/pre-injury UIAA climbing level minus one UIAA metric grade, minor pain.
Fair (4)	Major restricted load capacity of the former injured joint/extremity after 12 months (with tape), strength deficit und restricted ability to use the former injured
	finger while climbing, major decrease in climbing ability (more than 1.33 UIAA metric grade), frequent pain.
Poor (5)	Climbing is not possible anymore.

TABLE 4 Clinical characteristics.

	All patients					
Number of patients	50					
Number of injuries	69					
Affected finger						
Dig III right	24 (34.8)					
Dig III left	18 (26.1)					
Dig IV left	11 (15.9)					
Dig IV right	6 (8.7)					
Dig II left	5 (7.2)					
Dig II right	4 (5.8)					
Dig V left	1 (1.5)					
Affected joint						
PIP joint	57 (82.6%)					
DIP joint	8 (10.2%)					
MCP joint	4 (5.8%)					
Clinical symptoms						
Pain	64 (92.8%)					
Joint swelling	31 (44.9%)					
Restriction of movement	9 (13%)					
Morning stiffness	7 (10.1%)					
Joint hyperthermia	2 (2.9%)					
Joint snapping	2 (2.9%)					
Misalignment of joint	1 (1.5%)					
Onset of symptoms						
Acute	31 (44.9%)					
Chronic	38 (55%)					
Osteoarthritis ^a						
Grade 0	43 (62.3%)					
Grade 1	24 (34.8%)					
Grade 2	1 (1.5%)					
Grade 3	1 (1.5%)					
Grade 4	0					

^aStages of arthritic changes in imaging according to Kellgren and Lawrence score (29).

Results

Thirty-seven patients (37/50) presented with one affected finger, 8 patients with two, 4 patients with three, and one patient with four individual finger injuries. The clinical characteristics are shown in Table 4. In 38 cases (55%), patients reported a chronic onset of symptoms; in 31 (45%), an acute onset of symptoms was reported during or a few days after a climbing session. Four patients reported a specific event with immediate onset of symptoms: in three cases, pain occurred after holding a one-finger pocket, while one climber reported trapping his finger in a finger crack. Climbers reported diffuse pain with lateral and dorsal pressure tenderness in the affected joint with intensification during flexion and extension and during and after climbing, proportional to the intensity of training. The time interval between the onset of finger joint symptoms and the first consultation was 26.7 ± 40.1 weeks (range: 3 days to 2.5 years). None of the climbers reported any history of the affected fingers, and all had excellent pre-injury climbing scores.

According to the treatment algorithm, 26 fingers were treated conservatively and 38 were treated with steroid injections. Five fingers required additional treatment with radiosynoviorthesis (RSO, using erbium) after cortisone injection. None of the patients required extended treatment (stage 4).

Return to sport

The interval from the end of therapy (in case of conservative treatment after the last consultation, in case of cortisone injection and RSO after the last therapy session) to the resumption of (climbing) sports activity was 3.7 ± 4.5 weeks (range 0–30 weeks). After conservative therapy, return to sport took slightly longer (4.3 ± 6.7 weeks) than after steroid injection (3.4 ± 2.3 weeks) and steroid + RSO (2.75 ± 1 weeks). At 12.6 ± 12.6 weeks after treatment, 93% of all treated fingers had regained full weight bearing. In 5 fingers ($3\times$ conservatively treated, $1\times$ cortisone injection, $1\times$ RSO), full weight bearing was not yet possible at the time of reevaluation. Twenty climbers still had mild pain (VAS 2.2 ± 1.4) with certain movements and loads at the time of the interview, and 30 climbers were pain-free. Pain was significantly less after treatment than before (p < 0.001).

There was a significant change in total climbing time from preinjury to post-treatment (p < 0.001). While 86% of the climbers climbed 2–4 times per week before the injury, this number decreased to 66% after the injury. In terms of the cause of the reduction in climbing time, it was not due to the injury or its aftermath; the patients reported that they had rearranged their leisure activities during rehabilitation.

Outcomes

All climbers had returned to sport within 12 months. The majority (72.4%) were able to maintain their performance level after the injury (Figure 4), and the difference of climbing level before and after injury was not statistically significant (p = 0.22).

The range of motion of the individual fingers at the time of the examination showed a Buck-Gramcko score of 14.3 ± 1.3 , which represents excellent results (Buck-Gramcko scores: 49×15 , 1×14 , 13×13 , 4×11 , 2×10). Twenty-one of the 69 (30.4%) fingers showed minor motion restrictions; two of these fingers (6.8%) were restricted in both flexion and extension: $18 \times$ flexion deficit ($16.4^{\circ} \pm 4.5^{\circ}$), $5 \times$ extension deficit of $12^{\circ} \pm 5.7^{\circ}$. The exact distribution is shown in Table 5. The overall functional outcome was good to very good with an average score of 1.6 ± 0.7 (functional outcome score after finger injuries in climbing), and an climbing specific score of 1.7 ± 0.9 . Seventy-five percent of the participating climbers indicated that they were satisfied with the duration of the healing process, while 25% considered the healing time to be inconveniently long. Outcome scores separated by treatment regimen are shown in Table 6.

Discussion

This is the first study to evaluate the results of a structured treatment regimen for the treatment of climbing related finger



TABLE 5 Range of motion outcomes after therapy.

Restriction range of mc	Affected fingers/ total		%	Restriction in°	
Overall		21/69		30.4	15.4° <u>+</u> 5.6° (10–30)
Flexion deficit	Finger	Dig III	13/42	30.1	16.4° ± 4.5° (10-30)
		Dig II	3/9	33.3	
		Dig IV	2/17	11.8	
	Joint	PIP	15/58	25.9	
		МСР	2/4	50	
		DIP	1/7	14.3	
Extension deficit	Finger	Dig III	3/42	7.1	12°±5.7° (10-20)
		Dig II	2/9	22.2	
	Joint	PIP	5/58	8.6	

joint capsulitis. A total of 50 patients with capsulitis of one or more fingers (69 in total) were treated and evaluated with good to very good results in both general functional and sport-specific terms.

With increasing invasiveness of the treatment (conservative vs. injection therapy vs. RSO), the therapeutic outcome slightly worsened. However, a direct comparison of the three different treatment modalities based on this study is not possible because the treatment was selected sequentially according to the treatment algorithm. Finger mobility remained slightly limited in 30.4% (21 fingers) of the cases. This did not lead to any impairment in daily life. However, 19 climbers (28%) experienced a decrease in climbing and bouldering difficulty compared to the pre-injury period (Table 1; Figure 4). In addition to residual symptoms that persisted despite therapy, this may be due to the sometimes long interval between symptom onset and full recovery after therapy and the resulting reduced

training workload. Furthermore, in almost all cases the reduction in climbing frequency was not due to the capsulitis; some patients reported that they used the injury and the resulting long forced break as an opportunity to redirect their leisure activities. A decrease in climbing ability after injury was lately also reported about in finger tenosynovitis (30), wrist injuries (31), as well as shoulder injuries [rotator cuff tears (32), SLAP lesions (33) and dislocations (34)].

The injury susceptibility of the different fingers in the current study correlates with the relevant biomechanics literature (35-37). As the longest finger, the middle finger absorbs the most force, experiences the most stress and is therefore the most prone to injury, which is also reflected in the results of our study (38). This is followed by the ring finger, index finger and little finger (10). No capsulitis was diagnosed in the thumb, as this finger is only used for active support during climbing and (unlike fingers II-V) is never subject to a load similar to that of the long fingers (37). Looking at the different grip shapes used in climbing, similar to the correlation between finger flexor pulley injuries and the crimp grip (Figure 1) (39), an association with the crimp grip is also likely for the development of capsulitis, which is reflected in the strikingly frequent anamnestic indication of capsulitis-associated pain when holding ledges with this grip shape. The crimp grip with an angle between 90° and 110° in the PIP joint is preferred by climbers because, among other reasons, the flexor tendons of the fingers can develop the greatest possible holding force due to the resulting lever arm (40, 41). Studies have shown that the crimp grip places high stress on the cartilage of the finger joints (35, 39, 42-44).

With the increasing number of patients presenting with clinical signs of capsulitis, especially those who have been climbing for a short time, the question of possible preventive measures arises (1,

		Conservative <i>n</i> (%)	Cortisone <i>n</i> (%)	Cortisone + RSO* <i>n</i> (%)	Total <i>n</i> (%)
Overall functional outcome	1	16 (62)	20 (53)	1 (20)	37 (54)
	2	10 (38)	12 (32)	1 (20)	23 (33)
	3		6 (16)	3 (60)	9 (13)
	4				
		1.4	1.6	2.4	1.6
Sport/climbing specific outcome	1	15 (58)	21 (55)	1 (20)	37 (54)
	2	10 (38)	9 (24)	2 (40)	21 (30)
	3	1 (4)	5 (13)	2 (40)	8 (12)
	4	-	3 (8)	-	3 (4)
	5	_	_	-	-
		1.5	1.7	2.2	1.7

TABLE 6 Outcome scores separated by treatment regimen.

*RSO, radiosynoviorthesis.

2, 4–6, 45). This requires a slow adaptation of the musculoskeletal system to the increased load and education of the climbers about potential overuse injuries. For example, prevention programs should be implemented to avoid premature overuse of the small finger joints through overly intensive training, such as hanging from the smallest ledges during campus board training (Figure 5).

The definitive question of the etiology of climbing-related capsulitis and whether it is a form of pre-arthritis remains unclear. While it is known that the crimp grip places high stress on the cartilage of the finger joints it is still unclear in which cases it causes synovial inflammation in the finger joints (35, 39, 42–44). Histologic studies of the knee joint have shown a direct correlation between arthritic joint symptoms and the presence of synovial inflammation, with the amount of symptoms correlating with the degree of synovial inflammation (14). In addition, patients with clinical symptoms but no radiographic evidence of osteoarthritis may be diagnosed with synovial inflammation (14, 46). Capsulitis was diagnosed clinically, sonographically, and



FIGURE 5

Campus board training: a campus board is a specific training device for climbers and consists of very narrow horizontal holds on an inclined vertical board. For ascending only the hands are used. radiographically in the climbers in this study, with no radiographic evidence of osteoarthritis in the majority of patients (62%). Definitive molecular detection of synovitis by biopsy is not feasible in the small finger joints of climbers due to the highly invasive nature of the procedure, nor does it provide any therapeutic benefit. If the common models for the development of synovial inflammation from studies primarily on the knee joint are applied to the small finger joints of climbers, a multifactorial genesis of capsulitis can be assumed, independent of the presence of osteoarthritis. Therefore, the following model serves as a possible explanation: Acute trauma, but especially chronic climbing-induced micro-trauma of the small finger joints could trigger an aseptic immune response via the release of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), activation of the complement system and activation of proinflammatory cytokine cascades mediated by specific mechanoreceptors (14, 46-48). Chondrocytes can be stimulated by specific mechanical stimuli to produce inflammatory mediators and trigger the catabolic activity of macrophages (49). Intensive, both acute and chronic stress causing increased expression of proinflammatory mediators in studies whereby NF-kB (nuclear factor "kappa-light-chainenhancer" of activated B-cells) appears to be an important transcription factor (50, 51). Its activity is suppressed by intermittent, cyclic mechanical loading of chondrocytes, but is stimulated by high-intensity, static loading (52). The finger joints perform mainly static holding work during climbing, which supports this explanation. Other theories for the development of capsulitis, such as pre-existing cartilage or bone degradation as in detritus synovialitis, in which cartilage or bone fragments in the joint space initiate the inflammatory response of the synovium seem less likely (53). However, this is contradicted by the high proportion of patients in the current study who had no radiographic signs of osteoarthritis. In addition, climbers with radiographic evidence of osteoarthritis, such as osteophyte formation, may not have symptoms typical of capsulitis. An example of this is a professional climber in the study group who developed symptomatic capsulitis based on decades of asymptomatic, radiographic finger joint osteoarthritis (Kellgren-Lawrence grade 2). Also, Hochholzer et al. (54) as well as Lutter et al. (55) report about many cases of elderly climbers with radiographic osteoarthritis but no evidence of acute swelling or

capsulitis. Further studies are needed to investigate and validate our hypothesized explanatory model.

The use of advanced magnetic resonance imaging and molecular imaging as a non-invasive diagnostic tools to better understand the metabolic processes in patients with capsulitis without performing a biopsy is promising (56). Long-term studies are needed to clarify to what extent capsulitis may be an early form of osteoarthritis without radiologic correlates, whether these patients with persistent symptoms and prolonged climbingspecific stress develop manifest osteoarthritis, and the role of correct diagnosis and stage-appropriate therapeutic intervention.

Limitations

This study is not without limitations, as a comparative evaluation of this treatment regimen cannot be made due to the lack of studies on climbing specific capsulitis. In addition, there is no comparison with a control group to be able to better classify the very positive treatment results. A control group with no treatment would have been scientifically advantageous, but difficult to ask from our patients. It is well known in climbing medicine that capsulitis is a long-term and often chronic condition with little self-healing capacity (8, 10). Furthermore, in none of the patients was it necessary to extend the treatment to stage 4 of our treatment algorithm (Table 1), so these treatment options (second RSO with simultaneous instillation of a corticoid, medical leech therapy, local radiation therapy, surgical synovectomy) were not evaluated. Further studies are needed to investigate these potentially promising treatment options and compare them with the previous results. The relatively small number of cases in our study should also be noted. This is because sports-related capsulitis of the small finger joints is almost exclusively found in climbing. Also, a direct comparison of the three different forms of treatment modalities is not possible in this study as the treatment was selected sequentially according to the treatment algorithm. In addition, there is a lack of knowledge in the area of conservative treatment, such as techniques, their order or combination, frequency and intensity.

Conclusion

Although finger joint capsulitis has been described as one of the most common injuries in climbers, this is the first study on the treatment of this injury in a larger cohort. Good to very good functional and sport-specific outcomes were achieved with a stage-specific treatment regimen that allowed all patients to return to climbing. A better understanding of the underlying pathogenesis is essential to better assess long-term outcome.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethical Board of the Friedrich Alexander University Erlangen Nuremberg. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

Author contributions

VS: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. CL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. HL: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. MP: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review Conceptualization, & editing. OM: Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. MS: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

10.3389/fspor.2025.1497110

References

1. Lutter C, Tischer T, Hotfiel T, Frank L, Enz A, Simon M, et al. Current trends in sport climbing injuries after the inclusion into the Olympic program. Analysis of 633 injuries within the years 2017/18. *Muscle Tendons Ligament J*. (2020) 10(2):201–10. doi: 10.32098/mltj.02.2020.06

2. Jones G, Schöffl V, Johnson MI. Incidence, diagnosis, and management of injury in sport climbing and bouldering: a critical review. *Curr Sports Med Rep.* (2018) 17(11):396–401. doi: 10.1249/JSR.00000000000534

3. Cole KP, Uhl RL, Rosenbaum AJ. Comprehensive review of rock climbing injuries. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. (2020) 28(12):e501–9. doi: 10.5435/JAAOS-D-19-00575

4. Lutter C, El-Sheikh Y, Schöffl I, Schöffl V. Sport climbing: medical considerations for this new Olympic discipline. *Br J Sports Med.* (2017) 51(1):2–3. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2016-096871

5. Gronhaug G. Self-reported chronic injuries in climbing: who gets injured when? BMJ Open Sport Exerc Med. (2018) 4(1):e000406–6. doi: 10.1136/bmjsem-2018-000406

6. Jones G, Johnson M. A critical review of the incidence and risk factors for finger injuries in rock climbing. *Curr Sports Med Rep.* (2016) 15(6):400–9. doi: 10.1249/JSR. 000000000000304

7. Lutter C, Tischer T, Schöffl VR. Olympic competition climbing: the beginning of a new era-a narrative review. Br J Sports Med. (2021) 55(15):857-64. doi: 10.1136/ bjsports-2020-102035

8. Schöffl V, Hochholzer T, El-Sheikh Y, Lutter C. Hand and fingers. In: Schöffl V, Schöffl I, Hochholzer T, Lutter C, editors. *Climbing Medicine*. 1st ed. Heidelberg: Springer (2022). p. 67–114.

9. Freyschmidt J. Skeletterkrankungen. Heidelberg: Springer (2018).

10. Schöffl VR, Schöffl I. Finger pain in rock climbers: reaching the right differential diagnosis and therapy. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. (2007) 47(1):70-8.

11. Vagy J. Clinical management of finger joint capsulitis/synovitis in a rock climber. *Front Sports Act Living*. (2023) 5:1185653. doi: 10.3389/fspor.2023. 1185653

12. Schöffl V, Popp D, Küpper T, Schöffl I. Injury distribution in rock climbers—a prospective evaluation of 911 injuries between 2009 and 2012. *Wilderness Environ Med.* (2015) 26(1):62–7. doi: 10.1016/j.wem.2014.08.013

13. Schöffl V, Hochholzer T, Lightner S. *One Move too Many*. Boulder CO, USA: Sharp End Publishing (2016).

14. Scanzallo CR, Goldring SR. The role of synovitis in osteoarthritis patheogenesis. Bone. (2012) 51(2):249–57. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2012.02.012

15. Mathiessen A, Conaghan PG. Synovitis in osteoarthritis: current understanding with therapeutic implications. *Arthritis Res Ther.* (2017) 19(18):2–9.

16. Garcia K, Jaramillo D, Rubesova E. Ultrasound evaluation of stress injuries and physiological adaptations in the fingers of adolescent competitive rock climbers. *Pediatr Radiol.* (2018) 48(3):366–73. doi: 10.1007/s00247-017-4033-4

17. Klauser A, Frauscher F, Bodner G, Cihak C, Gabl M, Schocke M, et al. [Value of high-resolution ultrasound in the evaluation of finger injuries in extreme sport climbers]. *Ultraschall Med.* (2000) 21(2):73–8. doi: 10.1055/s-2000-316

18. Klauser A, Frauscher F, Gabl M, Smekal V. High frequency sonography in the detection of finger injuries in sports climbing [Hochauflösende Sonograohie zur Erkennung von Fingerverletzungen beim Sportklettern]. *Sport Ortho Sport Trauma*. (2005) 21:24–30.

19. MacFarlane LA, Mass H, Collins JE, Losina E, Katz JN, Chen AF. Response to intra-articular cortisone injections in knee osteoarthritis patients with and without effusion on ultrasound: a pilot study. *Osteoarthr Cartil Open.* (2023) 5(2):100361. doi: 10.1016/j.ocarto.2023.100361

20. Schöffl V, Küpper T, Hartmann J, Schöffl I. Surgical repair of multiple pulley injuries–evaluation of a new combined pulley repair. *J Hand Surg Am.* (2012) 37(2):224–30. doi: 10.1016/j.jhsa.2011.10.008

21. Lutter C, Tischer T, Cooper C, Frank L, Hotfiel T, Lenz R, et al. Mechanisms of acute knee injuries in bouldering and rock climbing athletes. *Am J Sports Med.* (2020) 48(3):730–8. doi: 10.1177/0363546519899931

22. Schöffl V, Morrison A, Hefti U, Ullrich S, Küpper T. The UIAA medical commission injury classification for mountaineering and climbing sports. *Wilderness Environ Med.* (2011) 22(1):46–51. doi: 10.1016/j.wem.2010.11.008

23. Buck-Gramcko D, Dietrich FE, Gogge S. [Evaluation criteria in follow-up studies of flexor tendon therapy]. *Handchirurgie*. (1976) 8(2):65–9.

24. Schöffl V, Jüngert J. Closed flexor pulley injuries in non-climbing activities. J Hand Surg Am. (2006) 31(5):806–10. doi: 10.1016/j.jhsa.2006.02.006

25. Schöffl VR, Einwag F, Strecker W, Schöffl I. Strength measurement and clinical outcome after pulley ruptures in climbers. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. (2006) 38(4):637–43. doi: 10.1249/01.mss.0000210199.87328.6a

26. Schoffl V, Hochholzer T, Winkelmann HP, Strecker W. [Therapy of injuries of the pulley system in sport climbers]. *Handchir Mikrochir Plast Chir.* (2004) 36(4):231-6. doi: 10.1055/s-2004-821034

27. Schöffl V, Hochholzer T, Winkelmann HP, Strecker W. Pulley injuries in rock climbers. *Wilderness Environ Med.* (2003) 14(2):94–100. doi: 10.1580/1080-6032(2003)014[0094:PIIRC]2.0.CO;2

28. Schöffl V, Hochholzer T, Winkelmann HP. [Management of the closed traumatic rupture of a finger flexor tendon pulley in sportclimbers] Management der geschlossenen Ringbandruptur bei Sportkletterern. *Sport Ortho Trauma*. (2002) 18:79–85.

29. Sunk IG, Amoyo-Minar L, Niederreiter B, Soleiman A, Kainberger F, Smolen JS, et al. Histopathological correlation supports the use of x-rays in the diagnosis of hand osteoarthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis.* (2013) 72(4):572–7. doi: 10.1136/annrheumdis-2011-200925

30. Schöffl V, Strohm P, Lutter C. Efficacy of corticosteroid injection therapy in rock climbers tenosynovitis. *Hand Surg Rehabil.* (2019) 38(5):317–22. doi: 10.1016/j. hansur.2019.07.004

31. Schöffl V, von Schroeder H, Lisse J, El-Sheikh Y, Küpper T, Klinder A, et al. Wrist injuries in climbers. *Am J Sports Med.* (2023) 51(13):3416–25. doi: 10.1177/03635465231199671

32. Simon M, Popp D, Lutter C, Schöffl V. Functional and sports-specific outcome after surgical repair of rotator cuff tears in rock climbers. *Wilderness Environ Med.* (2017) 28(4):342–47. doi: 10.1016/j.wem.2017.07.003

33. Ott B, Popp D, Schöffl I, Küpper T, Hennig F, Schöffl V. Primary long biceps tenodesis as treatment for high grade SLAP lesions: clinical evaluation in rock climbers. *Eur J Sports Med.* (2016) 4(1):51–63.

34. Simon M, Geffel L, Lutter C, Schöffl V. Functional and sport-specific outcome following traumatic first-time shoulder dislocation and arthroscopic surgical repair in rock climbers. *Wilderness Environ Med.* (2023) 34(3):303–10. doi: 10.1016/j.wem. 2023.05.001

35. Schweizer A. Biomechanical properties of the crimp grip position in rock climbers. J Biomech. (2001) 34(2):217–23. doi: 10.1016/S0021-9290(00)00184-6

36. Schweizer A, Hudek R. Kinetics of crimp and slope grip in rock climbing. J Appl Biomech. (2011) 27(2):116–21. doi: 10.1123/jab.27.2.116

37. Quaine F, Vigouroux L, Martin L. Effect of simulated rock climbing finger postures on force sharing among the fingers. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon).* (2003) 18(5):385–8. doi: 10.1016/S0268-0033(03)00045-7

38. Schöffl VR, Schöffl I. Injuries to the finger flexor pulley system in rock climbers: current concepts. *J Hand Surg Am.* (2006) 31(4):647–54. doi: 10.1016/j.jhsa.2006. 02.011

39. Schöffl I, Oppelt K, Jüngert J, Schweizer A, Neuhuber W, Schöffl V. The influence of the crimp and slope grip position on the finger pulley system. *J Biomech*. (2009) 42(13):2183–7. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2009.04.049

40. Mester S, Schmidt B, Derczy K, Nyarady J, Biro V. Biomechanics of the human flexor tendon sheath investigated by tenography. *J Hand Surg Br.* (1995) 20(4):500–4. doi: 10.1016/S0266-7681(05)80163-9

41. An KN, Ueba Y, Chao EY, Cooney WP, Linscheid RL. Tendon excursion and moment arm of index finger muscles. *J Biomech*. (1983) 16(6):419–25. doi: 10.1016/0021-9290(83)90074-X

42. Bärtschi N, Scheibler AG, Schweizer A. Palmar shift of the proximal interphalangeal joint in different grip positions as a potential risk factor for periphyseal injuries in adolescent climbers. *Wilderness Environ Med.* (2023) 34(4):451-6. doi: 10.1016/j.wem.2023.06.008

43. Vigouroux L, Quaine F, Labarre-Vila A, Moutet F. Estimation of finger muscle tendon tensions and pulley forces during specific sport-climbing grip techniques. *J Biomech*. (2006) 39(14):2583–92. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2005.08.027

44. Pastor T, Schweizer A, Reissner L, Pastor T, Sporri J, Frohlich S. Long-term evolution of cartilage abnormalities and osteophytes in the fingers of elite sport climbers: a cross-sectional 10-year follow-up study. *Eur J Sport Sci.* (2022) 22(9): 1452–8. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2021.1943716

45. Schöffl I, Wüstenfeld J, Jones G, Dittrich S, Lutter C, Schöffl V. Athlete's heart in elite sport climbers: cardiac adaptations determined using ECG and echocardiography data. *Wilderness Environ Med.* (2020) 31(4):418–25. doi: 10. 1016/j.wem.2020.07.005

46. Scanzello CR, McKeon B, Swaim BH, DiCarlo E, Asomugha EU, Kanda V, et al. Synovial inflammation in patients undergoing arthroscopic meniscectomy: molecular characterization and relationship to symptoms. *Arthritis Rheum.* (2011) 63(2):391–400. doi: 10.1002/art.30137

47. Orlowsky EW, Kraus VB. The role of innate immunity in osteoarthritis: when our first line of defense goes on the offensive. *J Rheumatol.* (2015) 42(3):363–71. doi: 10.3899/jrheum.140382

48. Berenbaum F. Osteoarthritis as an inflammatory disease (osteoarthritis is not osteoarthrosis!). *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. (2013) 21(1):16–21. doi: 10.1016/j.joca. 2012.11.012

49. Loeser RF. Molecular mechanisms of cartilage destruction: mechanics, inflammatory mediators, and aging collide. *Arthritis Rheum.* (2006) 54(5):1357–60. doi: 10.1002/art.21813

50. Issa RI, Griffin TM. Pathobiology of obesity and osteoarthritis: integrating biomechanics and inflammation. *Pathobiol Aging Age Relat Dis.* (2012) 2(2012). doi: 10.3402/pba.v2i0.17470

51. O'Conor CJ, Leddy HA, Benefield HC, Liedtke WB, Guilak F. TRPV4-mediated mechanotransduction regulates the metabolic response of chondrocytes to dynamic loading. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2014) 111(4):1316–21. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1319569111

52. Agarwal S, Deschner J, Long P, Verma A, Hofman C, Evans CH, et al. Role of NF-kappaB transcription factors in antiinflammatory and proinflammatory actions of mechanical signals. *Arthritis Rheum.* (2004) 50(11):3541–8. doi: 10.1002/art.20601

53. Krenn V, Perino G, Ruther W, Krenn VT, Huber M, Hugle T, et al. 15 years of the histopathological synovitis score, further development and review: a diagnostic

score for rheumatology and orthopaedics. Pathol Res Pract. (2017) 213(8):874-81. doi: 10.1016/j.prp.2017.05.005

54. Hochholzer T, Schöffl V. Long-term effects of intensive rock climbing to the hand and fingers. In: Schöffl V, Schöffl I, Lutter C, Hochholzer T, editors. *Climbing Medicine: A Practical Guide*. Cham: Springer International Publishing (2022). p. 187–99.

55. Lutter C, Schöffl V. Climbing in older athletes. In: Schöffl V, Schöffl I, Lutter C, Hochholzer T, editors. *Climbing Medicine: A Practical Guide*. Cham: Springer International Publishing (2022). p. 207–11.

56. Mostert JM, Dur NBJ, Li X, Ellermann JM, Hemke R, Hales L, et al. Advanced magnetic resonance imaging and molecular imaging of the painful knee. *Semin Musculoskelet Radiol.* (2023) 27(6):618–31. doi: 10.1055/s-0043-1775741