Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Hayley Mills, Canterbury Christ Church University, United Kingdom

REVIEWED BY

Rashmi Supriya, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong SAR, China Kiara Lewis, Birmingham City University, United Kingdom

*CORRESPONDENCE

Giridhara R. Babu i gbabu@qu.edu.qa; epigiridhar@gmail.com

RECEIVED 24 July 2023 ACCEPTED 02 January 2025 PUBLISHED 30 January 2025

CITATION

Ana Y, van den Brand FA, van Schayck OCP and Babu GR (2025) Relationship between physical activity during pregnancy and maternal health outcomes: evidence from the MAASTHI cohort study in Bengaluru, India. Front. Sports Act. Living 7:1265929. doi: 10.3389/fspor.2025.1265929

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Ana, van den Brand, van Schayck and Babu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms

Relationship between physical activity during pregnancy and maternal health outcomes: evidence from the MAASTHI cohort study in Bengaluru, India

Yamuna Ana¹, Floor A. van den Brand², Onno C. P. van Schayck² and Giridhara R. Babu^{3*}

¹Indian Institute of Public Health-Bengaluru, Public Health Foundation of India (PHFI), Bengaluru, India, ²Department of Family Medicine, Care and Public Health Research Institute (CAPHRI), Maastricht University, Maastricht, Limburg, Netherlands, ³Department of Population Medicine, College of Medicine, QU Health, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar

Background: Sedentary behavior is one of the major modifiable behavioral risk factors for non-communicable diseases. Physical activity (PA) is crucial during pregnancy but pregnant women may become sedentary, leading to adverse health outcomes. Our study aimed to explore the association between social support and PA levels during pregnancy and the relationship between sedentary behavior and adverse pregnancy health outcomes including delivery. Methods: The study used a validated physical activity questionnaire to assess the physical activity levels of pregnant women. We collected detailed sociodemographic information, pregnancy characteristics, assessed social support. We assessed presence of depressive symptoms and conducted oral glucose tolerance tests, hemoglobin and blood pressure assessments, anthropometric measurements, and collected delivery details. We used linear logistic regression to assess the association between a continuous measure of physical activity level and maternal outcomes and performed multivariable logistic regression analysis to understand the association between sedentary behavior and maternal health outcomes and mode of delivery after adjusting for potential confounders.

Results: We interviewed 2,424 eligible pregnant women at baseline and 1,317 were considered in the final analysis after excluding those who missed followups. We observed that one unit increase in physical activity level was associated with reduced prenatal depressive symptoms ($\beta = -6.36$, p < 0.001), fasting ($\beta = 2.06$, p = 0.04), and postprandial blood sugar levels ($\beta = -0.99$, p = 0.01), respectively. Pregnant women who had good social support tended to engage in higher levels of activity. In addition, women who engaged in sedentary behavior during pregnancy were 1.07 times more likely to be obese and 4.32 times more likely to have elective cesarean section (C-section) delivery than those who engaged in moderate activity. **Conclusion:** The study found that physical activity during pregnancy has several beneficial effects on maternal prenatal health outcomes, including a reduced risk of obesity and C-section delivery, lower blood glucose levels, and improved mental health. Therefore, it is essential to adhere to the recommended guidelines for physical activity during pregnancy. Healthcare providers and policymakers in India should consider promoting physical activity as part of comprehensive routine prenatal care.

KEYWORDS

physical activity, C-section delivery, maternal health outcomes, life course approach, cohort study

Introduction

Sedentary behavior during pregnancy could impose significant risks to maternal wellbeing and nearly two-thirds of pregnant women have been found to be sedentary (1). Physical activity (PA) helps women carry out daily activities with more liveliness and can reduce the risk of diseases caused by physical inactivity (2). Being physically active has beneficial effects for pregnant women who were sedentary before pregnancy (3). It is suggested that pregnant and postpartum women without complications should accomplish at least 150 min of moderate-intensity PA per week (4-7), but only approximately one in ten pregnant women meet the suggested guidelines in India (8). Healthy weight and higher educational attainment are positively associated with PA (9), depending on socio-demographics and maternal health conditions. Physically active pregnant women are less likely to have adverse maternal health outcomes such as cesarean section (C-section) delivery (10), gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) (11), hypertension, obesity (12), and maternal depression (13).

Globally, almost one in five women will give birth via C-section delivery (14). In Karnataka, according to the National Family Health Survey (NFHS)-5, 31.5% of births are delivered by C-section and the prevalence has increased compared to NFHS-4 (23.6%) which could be due to several medical conditions, including the preference of women. The NFHS is a household survey that provides state and national information for India on women and child health indicators. Moreover, C-section rates are higher in urban areas than in rural areas (15). Many factors contribute to adverse delivery outcomes, and most prominently pregnancy conditions such as diabetes, hypertension, anemia, obesity, and sedentary behavior. Efforts to decrease overall C-section rates are important, as it is associated with several adverse outcomes in women and children, including an association with excess weight and reduced linear growth at 1 year of age in children in low- and middleincome countries (LMICs) (16). There are several mechanisms which explain such an association. Among these, the leading hypothesis is that there is a relationship between sedentary behavior and birth via C-section delivery. Sedentary behavior

during pregnancy can increase the likelihood of C-section delivery through several mechanisms. These include excessive weight gain during pregnancy, which can result in a larger fetus that may be difficult to deliver vaginally, and poor muscle strength and endurance, which can make it more difficult for women to push during labor. In addition, sedentary behavior can lead to poor cardiovascular fitness, increasing the risk of fatigue and exhaustion during labor, which can also increase the likelihood of C-section delivery. Finally, sedentary behavior can increase the risk of developing GDM and hypertension, which are linked to a higher risk of C-section delivery (17). Furthermore, evidence shows nearly two-thirds of the sedentary women were obese at the end of pregnancy (18), and a higher level of PA in the first trimester of pregnancy reduced the risk of GDM by 20% (19). Pregnant women who engage in sedentary behavior had a three times higher chance of developing postpartum depressive symptoms (20).

Poor social support is a vital risk factor for poor maternal health outcomes. Higher social support is associated with an improved level of PA (21). Pregnant women who have good family and social support tend to adopt a healthy lifestyle (22). Pregnant women with improved PA were found to have better cardiovascular function and reduced risk of GDM and hypertension (23). However, the extent to which PA during pregnancy influences the mode of delivery is still a topic of debate. In addition, this study examined several adverse pregnancy outcomes, which pose significant risks to both pregnant women and their children. Furthermore, investigating the association between modifiable risk factors and these outcomes could aid in the adoption of a comprehensive health strategy. Given that no such study has been done in South India in a birth cohort study, we hypothesized that there is a relationship between social support and the level of PA among pregnant women and that the level of PA has an association with adverse pregnancy health outcomes. Our study aimed to explore the association between social support and PA levels (PAL) during pregnancy and the relationship between sedentary behavior during pregnancy and adverse pregnancy health outcomes including delivery. The outcomes examined were

Abbreviations

BP, blood pressure; C-section, cesarean section; EPDS, Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale; FBS, fasting blood sugar; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; JNC 8, Joint National Committee 8; LMICs, low- and middle-income countries; MAASTHI, Maternal Antecedents of Adiposity and Studying the Transgenerational Role of Hyperglycemia and Insulin; MUAC, mid-upper arm circumference; NFHS, National Family Health Survey; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; PA, physical activity; PAL, PA level; PPBS, postprandial blood sugar; SPSS, Statistical Package for the Social Sciences; SGA, small for gestational age; SSFT, sum of skinfold thickness; WHO, World Health Organization.

delivery type, depressive symptoms, hypertension, GDM, and maternal obesity.

Materials and methods

Study setting

This study was conducted within a longitudinal cohort study entitled "Maternal Antecedents of Adiposity and Studying the Transgenerational Role of Hyperglycemia and Insulin (MAASTHI)," and the protocol of this has been previously published (24, 25). We recruited pregnant women from public hospitals in urban Bengaluru in the gestational age range of 14– 36 weeks after obtaining written informed consent. The inclusion criteria included pregnant women between the ages of 18 and 45 years who were less than 36 weeks of gestation, planned to deliver in the study location, and were available for follow-up soon after delivery. The exclusion criteria included a history of diabetes, Hepatitis B infection, and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) positivity. The study period was from 2016 to 2021.

Assuming an incidence of cesarean delivery of 7% among mothers with moderate to vigorous physical activity levels during pregnancy (26) and a relative risk of 1.8 in the sedentary behavior group, our estimated sample size for 80% power to detect a difference at a 95% confidence level was 878. Further assuming a follow-up of up to 50%, the calculated sample size was 1,317.

Data collection

Trained research assistants interviewed the pregnant women at the public hospitals maintaining privacy during the interviews. We collected demographic information, socioeconomic characteristics, obstetric history, behavioral factors, depressive symptoms, and social support information in addition to measuring blood pressure (BP), GDM status, and anthropometric characteristics during pregnancy. We followed up with the participants after delivery, within 1 week postpartum, and obtained follow-up data, including information on the mode of delivery, maternal health status, and any complications during delivery (Figure 1). We executed data collection using a designed application on Android tablets, with rigorous actions applied to safeguard the collected data such as Android-based tablets for data collection and real-time monitoring of the data, and a website was developed for the project to maintain an audit trail of all the data entries made in the app (27).

Exposure assessment

While recruiting the pregnant women, trained research assistants assessed PA using the physical activity questionnaire (28). The correlation of this questionnaire with that of the accelerometer was 0.28; p = 0.01 and with 24 h PA dairy was p = 0.006 and this questionnaire was validated for use in



Schematic flow of assessments from recruitment to follow-ups for assessing the relationship between sedentary behavior during pregnancy and adverse maternal and delivery health outcomes.

Bengaluru, India (28). All the research assistants were trained on this questionnaire at St. Johns Research Institute, Bengaluru, and a pilot cohort study was conducted to pre-test the questionnaire and assess the feasibility of conducting the study (29). The questionnaire covers various domains to capture information on sedentary, moderate, and vigorous activity. The participant's PA data were collected by recording the frequency, duration, and type of activity in each domain, including work, leisure time, and transportation. The duration of each activity was recorded in minutes per day. PAL was calculated using parameters such as the duration of each activity, its energy cost, and total duration of activity using the formula sum of (time × energy cost of each activity)/total duration of activity. This is also termed energy requirement, expressed as a multiple of the 24-hour basal metabolic rate (BMR). To classify the level of PA, we used PAL cutoffs. Participants were classified as sedentary if their PAL value was 1.40-1.69, moderately active if their PAL value was between 1.70 and 1.99, and vigorously active if their PAL value was 2.00-2.40 (30).

Outcome assessment

We assessed prenatal depressive symptoms using the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) during the baseline recruitment (31), which is the most widely used screening tool for the detection of perinatal depression (32). The questionnaire was validated to assess prenatal depression for use in Karnataka, India (sensitivity = 100%, specificity = 84.90%, and area under the curve (AUC) = 0.95 (31). The pregnant women had to choose a response from the list for each question, considering the previous week. The upper limit of the EPDS score is 30 and according to the previous evidence, women with EPDS scores above 13 were categorized as having prenatal depressive symptoms (33). We counseled the women found to have depressive symptoms and referred them to the clinical psychiatrists at the public health facilities. We explained the study to them and the questionnaire was used to assess depressive symptoms and a referral mechanism was established with the hospital staff. We measured social support using a validated social support questionnaire which was developed at St. John's Research Institute, Bengaluru, and as there are no defined cutoffs for this score, median cutoffs were considered for categorizing the scores into poor (0-24) and good (>24) social support scores (34). The instrument had an alpha coefficient of 0.88 (35). This questionnaire covers a broad range of support aspects such as emotional, instrumental, informational, and appraisal support. It captures data on the availability of people in the pregnant woman's social environment who can look after the children, take them to the hospital during an emergency; provide something that is needed during emergencies; give advice; provide information, care, and support; talk about personal topics; appreciate good work; and respect personal qualities.

We conducted a 2 h 75 g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) to identify GDM and the test was conducted between 24 and 36 weeks of gestational age after overnight fasting for at least 8 h. At the study centers, a trained phlebotomist collected 2 ml blood both during fasting and 2 h postprandial for glucose analysis, and the test was conducted at an accredited laboratory. Using the World Health Organization (WHO) diagnostic criteria, GDM cases were identified if the fasting blood sugar (FBS) was \geq 92 mg/dl, or 2 h postprandial blood sugar (PPBS) was ≥153 mg/dl (36). To measure obesity, we considered the sum of skinfold thickness (SSFT) by taking the average value of bicep, tricep, and subscapular skinfold thickness as measured using calipers (Holtain, UK). This measure has a greater diagnostic capability for obesity (37) and an SSFT >90th percentile cutoff was used to define obesity (38, 39). To measure the mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC), Chasmors body circumference tape was used and two measurement readings to the nearest 0.1 mm were documented. Trained research assistants who are certified annually at the St. Johns Research Institute, Bengaluru, conducted all the anthropometric measurements. We assessed the mother's BP using an automated digital device (Omron Digital BP measuring device) and documented two readings of systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP). We used the Joint National Committee 8 (JNC 8) criteria to diagnose hypertension (40). Pregnant women diagnosed with GDM, hypertension, or obesity were referred to the gynecologists at the study hospitals. Since the study was set up at public health facilities and the research team worked closely with the maternal and child health wings of the hospitals, this paved the way to refer the pregnant women directly to the gynecologists for further management. When requested by the doctors, the research team also performed a retest of the pregnant women for GDM to confirm the diagnosis. During follow-up after delivery, the mode of delivery of the women was documented by referring to their medical records. Vaginal delivery types included spontaneous and assisted vaginal delivery. C-section delivery is inclusive of both emergency and elective C-section.

Covariates

Detailed demographic details such as the age of the pregnant women, education, and total monthly income of the family were collected during the time of the recruitment according to the prevalidated tool (41). To assess the socioeconomic status of the pregnant women, we used data on education, occupation, and income. The socioeconomic status of the participants was categorized into upper, middle, and lower class using the recent version of the Kuppuswamy scale (41). Details regarding the parity of the pregnant women were collected from their medical records and verified during the interview. The hemoglobin level of the pregnant women was measured at an accredited laboratory and if the hemoglobin level was <11 g/dL, it was categorized as anemia according to the WHO criteria (42).

Statistical analysis

Research assistants entered the collected data into a designated application built for Android devices. The study analysis was executed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences

(SPSS) version 23.0 (IBM Corp. Released 2015, Armonk, NY) statistical software. We measured the normality of data using the Shapiro-Wilk test before applying parametric tests and variables with significance >0.05 were considered. We used the chi-square test to test whether the exposure and outcome variables were related to each other. The effect size was measured using the independent samples t-test for each outcome assessed in the study and findings showed medium and small effects (Supplementary File 1). We performed a linear logistic regression to evaluate the association between the continuous PA data and each continuous measure of pregnancy characteristics. For the adjusted analysis, all the other factors are considered as confounders and are presented as correlation coefficients, 95% confidence interval, and the p-value. We performed a multivariable logistic regression analysis where one independent variable was assessed in association with multiple outcomes that had multiple categories. This study measured the association of sedentary behavior during pregnancy on the mode of delivery and other maternal characteristics after adjusting for the potential confounders, such as outcomes that were significant in the linear regression and from earlier evidence (43). We presented the results as the odds ratio, 95% confidence interval, and the p-value.

Results

In the MAASTHI cohort, we interviewed 2,494 pregnant women and among them, 97.2% completed the baseline interviews and we included 52.8% of the participants after excluding those who did not have follow-up data (Figure 1). The study found that two-thirds of the pregnant women were 18–25 years old and 47.1% were primiparous. The study found that 7.4% of the women reported having prenatal depressive symptoms, and 6.6% engaged in sedentary behavior. The mode of delivery was recorded and 47.0% of the participants had a C-section delivery (Table 1).

The study found that among the pregnant women who engaged in sedentary behavior, 70.1% were in the 18–25 year age group, 59.8% had low socioeconomic status, 54.0% were nulliparous, 69.0% had low social support, 93.1% had depressive symptoms, 11.5% were obese, 19.0% had GDM, 6.9% had hypertension, and 57.5% underwent a C-section delivery, which was higher among women who were sedentary compared to women who engaged in a moderate level of activity (Table 2).

Each unit increase in the social support score was associated with an increase in the PAL value ($\beta = 1.65$, p = 0.03) in the adjusted linear model. Similarly, each unit increase in the PAL value was associated with a decrease in prenatal depressive symptoms ($\beta = -6.36$, p < 0.001). Moreover, each unit increase in the PAL value was found to be associated with lower FBS and PPBS levels ($\beta = -2.06$, p = 0.04 and $\beta = -0.99$, p = 0.01) in the adjusted linear model (Table 3).

The odds of having elective C-section delivery were 4.32 times higher for the women who engaged in sedentary behavior during pregnancy compared to the women who engaged in a moderate level of activity [Odds Ratio (OR) = 4.32, CI: 2.62–7.11, $p \le 0.01$]. Furthermore, the women who engaged in sedentary behavior had

TABLE 1 Descriptive characteristics of the pregnant women in the MAASTHI cohort, South India (N = 1,317).

Parameter	Category	N (%)	
Age (years)	18-25	870 (66.1)	
	26-35	430 (32.6)	
	36-45	17 (1.3)	
SES	Lower	800 (60.8)	
	Middle	513 (39)	
	Upper	3 (0.2)	
Parity	Nullipara	574 (43.6)	
	Primipara	620 (47.1)	
	Multipara	123 (9.3)	
Prenatal depressive symptoms	Present	97 (7.4)	
Hemoglobin status	Anemia	543 (42.7)	
Physical activity level	Sedentary	87 (6.6)	
	Moderate	1,230 (93.4)	
GDM status	GDM	190 (15.1)	
Mother's SSFT	<10th	126 (9.8)	
	10th-90th	1,034 (80.3)	
	>90th	127 (9.9)	
Height of the mother	<10th	119 (9.2)	
	≥10th	1,169 (90.8)	
Blood pressure	Hypertension	78 (6.1)	
Mode of delivery	Normal	698 (53.0)	
	Cesarean	619 (47.0)	

GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; PA, physical activity; SES, socioeconomic status; SSFT, sum of skinfold thickness.

higher odds of obesity as measured through SSFT (OR = 1.07, CI: 1.01–1.14, p = 0.02) and MUAC (OR = 1.04, CI: 1.0–1.09, p = 0.04). There was no significant relationship between sedentary behavior during pregnancy and other maternal outcomes such as GDM, prenatal depressive symptoms, and poor social support in the multivariable logistic regression analysis (Table 4).

Discussion

We found that each unit increase in PAL during pregnancy was strongly associated with a decrease in the total score of prenatal depressive symptoms, fasting, and postprandial blood sugar level. In addition, we observed that the pregnant women who had good social support tended to engage in higher levels of PA. Conversely, sedentary behavior during pregnancy was associated with higher odds of being obese as measured through SSFT and MUAC and also undergoing an elective C-section delivery.

The findings of our study suggest that a moderate level of activity during pregnancy can contribute to reducing prenatal depressive symptoms. This is consistent with previous research, including a systematic review and meta-analysis by Daley et al. which found that PA interventions during pregnancy can moderately reduce depressive symptoms (44). Another meta-analysis by Liu et al. reported that higher levels of PA during pregnancy were associated with a lower risk of perinatal depressive symptoms (45). Our study adds to this existing evidence by using a well-validated measure of PA and demonstrating a dose-response relationship between PA and prenatal depressive symptoms. We also found a positive

TABLE 2 Distribution of maternal and delivery characteristics based on physical activity level during pregnancy in the MAASTHI cohort, South India (N = 1,317).

Parameter	Category	Sedentary, n (%)	Moderate activity, <i>n</i> (%)	<i>p</i> -value	
Age (years)	18-25	61 (70.1)	809 (65.8)	0.68	
	26-35	25 (28.7)	405 (32.9)	-	
	36-45	1 (1.1)	16 (1.3)	-	
Education	Illiterate	2 (2.3)	29 (2.4)	0.82	
	Primary and middle school	17 (19.5)	249 (20.3)	-	
	High school	43 (49.4)	548 (44.6)	-	
	PUC and higher	25 (28.7)	403 (32.8)	-	
SES	Lower	52 (59.8)	748 (60.9)	0.85	
	Middle	35 (40.2)	478 (38.9)	-	
	Upper	0 (0)	3 (0.2)	-	
Parity	Nullipara	47 (54.0)	527 (42.8)	0.12	
	Primipara	33 (37.9)	587 (47.7)	-	
	Multipara	7 (8.0)	116 (9.4)	_	
Prenatal depressive symptoms	Absent	6 (6.9)	91 (7.4)	1.0	
	Present	81 (93.1)	1,139 (92.6)	-	
Social support score	0-24	60 (69.0)	780 (63.4)	0.30	
	>24	27 (31.0)	450 (36.6)		
Prenatal SSFT (percentile)	<10th	5 (5.7)	123 (10.0)	0.39	
	10-90th	72 (82.8)	987 (80.3)		
	>90th	10 (11.5)	119 (9.7)	-	
Hemoglobin level	Normal	45 (53.6)	683 (57.5)	0.49	
	Anemia	39 (46.4)	504 (42.5)		
GDM status	No	68 (81.0)	1,000 (85.2)	0.34	
	Yes	16 (19.0)	174 (14.8)		
Blood pressure	Normal	81 (93.1)	1,125 (94.0) (
	hypertension	6 (6.9)	72 (6.0)		
Mode of delivery	Normal vaginal delivery	37 (42.5)	661 (53.7)	0.04	
	C-section	50 (57.5)	569 (46.3)		

PUC, pre-university course; GDM, gestational diabetes mellitus; PA, physical activity; SES, socioeconomic status; SSFT, sum of skinfold thickness. Statistically significant findings (*p*-value < 0.05) are shown in bold.

TABLE 3 Multivariable linear regression model depicting the relationship between an increase in physical activity level score and continuous pregnancy outcome variables in the MAASTHI birth cohort, South India (N = 1,317).

Variable	Unadjusted		Adjusted			
	$m{eta}$ coefficient	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value	$m{eta}$ coefficient	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Age	9.26	5.42 to 13.10	<0.01	2.60	-1.88 to 7.09	0.25
Gestational age	2.56	-0.39 to 5.51	0.08	1.63	-1.58 to 4.84	0.32
Income	0.002	0.00-0.004	0.11	0.001	-0.002 to 0.004	0.41
Prenatal depressive symptoms	-7.11	-10.21 to -4.01	<0.001	-6.36	-9.67 to -3.05	<0.001
Social support	2.60	1.14 to 4.05	<0.001	1.65	0.10 to 3.20	0.03
FBS	-2.09	-3.88 to -0.30	0.02	-2.06	-4.12 to -0.008	0.04
PPBS	-0.87	-1.58 to -0.16	0.01	-0.99	-1.80 to -0.18	0.01
SBP	1.78	0.30 to 3.25	0.01	1.17	-0.88 to 3.22	0.26
DBP	1.86	0.08 to 3.64	9.04	0.55	-1.87 to 2.98	0.65
Hemoglobin	-2.50	-16.45 to 11.44	0.72	-6.55	-20.92 to 7.81	0.37
Sum of skinfold thickness	1.86	0.76 to 2.96	0.001	1.86	-0.04 to 3.76	0.05
Weight	2.20	0.77 to 3.62	0.003	-0.18	-3.10 to 2.72	0.90
MUAC	6.58	2.27 to 10.90	0.003	-1.90	-11.07 to 7.27	0.68

CI, confidence interval; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; EPDS, Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale; FBS, fasting blood sugar; MUAC, mid-upper arm circumference; PPBS, postprandial blood sugar; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Age, socioeconomic status, parity, pregnancy EPDS score, social support during pregnancy, blood pressure, blood sugar levels, and the sum of skinfold thickness during pregnancy have been adjusted for in the adjusted analysis. Statistically significant findings (*p*-value < 0.05) are shown in bold.

Variable	Category	OR	Standard error	95% CI	<i>p</i> -value
Delivery type	Vaginal delivery	1 (Ref)			
	Emergency C-section	0.27	0.04	0.19 to 0.38	<0.01
	Elective C-section	4.32	1.09	2.62 to 7.11	<0.01
Education	Illiterate	1 (Ref)			
	Primary school	0.145	0.12	0.02 to 0.78	0.025
	Middle school	0.165	0.13	0.03 to 0.79	0.025
	High school	0.11	0.08	0.02 to 0.51	<0.01
	PUC/diploma	0.09	0.07	0.02 to 0.46	<0.01
	Graduate	0.02	0.02	0.005 to 0.12	<0.01
	Postgraduate	0.007	0.008	0.001 to 0.07	<0.01
Socioeconomic status	Lower class	1 (Ref)			
	Upper-lower class	1.173	0.33	0.66 to 2.06	0.58
	Lower middle class	.816	0.23	0.46 to 1.44	0.48
	Upper middle class	1			
	Upper class	1			
Depressive symptoms	Absent	1 (Ref)			
	Present	1.001	0.01	0.97 to 1.03	0.94
Gestational diabetes	No	1 (Ref)			
	Yes	1.14	0.25	0.73 to 1.78	0.54
Sum of skinfold thickness	≤90th percentile	1 (Ref)			
	>90th percentile	1.07	0.03	1.01 to 1.14	0.02
Mid-upper arm circumference	≤90th percentile	1 (Ref)			
	>90th percentile	1.04	0.02	1.01 to 1.09	0.04
Social support score	>24	1 (Ref)			
	0-24	1.007	0.007	0.99 to 1.02	0.32

TABLE 4 Multivariable logistic regression for the association between sedentary behavior during pregnancy and adverse pregnancy and delivery health outcomes (N = 1,317).

Adjusted for age, education, socioeconomic status, parity, depressive symptom score, social support, mid-upper arm circumference, parity, gestational diabetes mellitus, and the sum of skinfold thickness. Statistically significant findings (*p*-value < 0.05) are shown in bold.

association between PA levels and social support scores, which is supported by previous research. For instance, a study by Bennetter et al. found that overall family support was associated with an increase in moderate to vigorous levels of PA (46). In addition, a systematic review found that individuals with greater social support for PA are more likely to engage in some form of PA (21). Overall, our study provides further evidence of the benefits of PA during pregnancy and highlights the importance of social support in promoting PA in an urban Indian population.

Several previous studies have investigated the relationship between sedentary behavior during pregnancy and the likelihood of C-section delivery. The evidence suggests that increased PA during pregnancy may increase the chances of normal delivery (47). A randomized controlled trial found that moderateintensity PA during pregnancy was associated with a reduced frequency of C-section delivery (48). Our study supports these findings by demonstrating that pregnant women who engage in sedentary behavior had higher odds of elective C-section delivery, even after adjusting for potential confounders. However, few studies have explored the relationship between PA during pregnancy and adverse maternal outcomes in India. A study by Anjana et al. reported that GDM was significantly associated with sedentary behavior compared to women without GDM (8).

In this study, we found that the association between the continuous data of exposure (physical activity) and outcomes (depressive symptom score, social support score, FBS, and PPBS value) was significant while the categorized data for both the exposure and outcome based on predefined cutoffs did not show any significant association. This shows that using cutoffs to define two or more groups for exposure or outcome variables may tend to lose significant results compared to the use of continuous data in the analysis. However, this also suggests that the existing exposure cutoffs should be reviewed periodically.

Our research has significant implications for urban areas in India and other LMICs where the problem of adverse pregnancy and delivery outcomes is prevalent. In India, the high prevalence of C-section deliveries is associated with maternal and fetal morbidity and mortality. Our previous study revealed that babies born through elective C-section delivery may be at risk of obesity and reduced linear growth at 1 year of age (16). In addition, antenatal depressive symptoms during pregnancy were strongly associated with small for gestational age (SGA) babies (49). We also found that moderate levels of activity among pregnant women were linked to reducing postnatal depressive symptoms (20).

The Global Action Plan on Physical Activity emphasizes the importance of making PA a part of daily life for individuals of all ages (50). The WHO 2020 physical activity guidelines also stress the importance of reducing sedentary behavior throughout the lifespan (51). Despite the growing evidence of the benefits of physical activity during pregnancy, it has not been fully integrated into life course research (52). A recent review has highlighted the advantages of incorporating life course epidemiology into physical activity research (53). However, many studies have limited application of life course epidemiology

concepts and do not use objective physical activity data. Our work is a valuable contribution to life course epidemiology by incorporating physical activity data.

Physical inactivity is becoming a major public health issue in LMICs. Encouraging physical activity during pregnancy could have significant benefits for both the mother and baby. Our study contributes to this evidence by using a large sample size and the study was conducted at public hospitals in an urban area of India. We used a PA questionnaire which was validated against an accelerometer and a 24-h activity diary in the study setting. We conducted biochemical tests at accredited laboratory facilities, taking both internal and external quality control measures. We also calibrated the anthropometric equipment every month according to prescribed guidelines. The relevance of our study extends beyond urban India to other LMICs where there is a high burden of adverse maternal health outcomes.

The questionnaires used to evaluate the level of PA were based on subjective data, which could lead to over-reporting of data. This questionnaire was validated in the general adult population but not validated specifically in pregnant women. Since there is no marked difference in activity among these two populations, a validated questionnaire among the adult population in the study setting was used in the study. To safeguard the collected data, the study ensured the privacy and anonymity of the collected data. The study did not find any pregnant women with vigorous levels of PA, therefore only sedentary and moderate levels were considered for analysis. The study found a low prevalence of sedentary behavior, which could have resulted in a smaller number of true positive cases. Finally, our analysis of data on physical activity, prenatal depressive symptoms, social support, and anthropometric characteristics was cross-sectional in nature.

Conclusions

The findings of our study highlight the potential association between sedentary behavior during pregnancy and higher odds of maternal obesity, C-section delivery, higher blood glucose levels, and depressive symptoms. We also noted that good social support was associated with moderate-level activity. Promoting physical activity during pregnancy is an effective way to improve maternal health outcomes. Additional research could be required to assess the effectiveness of physical activity interventions in reducing adverse pregnancy and delivery outcomes. Healthcare providers and policymakers in India should consider promoting physical activity through a comprehensive approach in routine prenatal care and an effective strategy to improve social support for pregnant women is required.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee of the Indian Institute of Public Health, Public Health Foundation of India, Bengaluru. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

YA: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. FvdB: Methodology, Writing – review & editing. OvS: Methodology, Writing – review & editing, Supervision. GB: Methodology, Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Resources.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust DBT India Alliance Senior Fellowship (Grant No. IA/CPHS/20/1/505278) awarded to GB. The funder had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data, preparation or approval of the manuscript; or decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Acknowledgments

We sincerely thank the Department of Health and Family Welfare, Government of Karnataka (DoHFW, GoK), and the Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike (BBMP) for providing permission to carry out the study activities and providing constant support. Our sincere thanks go to the Wellcome Trust India Alliance for the funding support. We acknowledge the hospitals under the DoHFW, GoK, Superintendents, Medical Officers, Doctors, and all the department's support staff for their support in the ongoing study. We sincerely thank Dr. Sumathi Swaminathan and her team at St. John's Research Institute for training the Research Assistants in anthropometry measurements. We thank Dr. Suresh Shapeti and Mr. T. S. Ramesh for helping with the administrative support and coordination activities. We thank all the research team members of MAASTHI for their support in carrying out research activities in the field and all the participants for being willing to participate and for their continuous involvement in the cohort.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Silva-Jose C, Sánchez-Polán M, Barakat R, Gil-Ares J, Refoyo I. Level of physical activity in pregnant populations from different geographic regions: a systematic review. *J Clin Med.* (2022) 11(15):4638. doi: 10.3390/jcm11154638

2. Belza B, Warms C. Physical activity and exercise in women's health. Nurs Clin North Am. (2004) 39(1):181–93. doi: 10.1016/j.cnur.2003.11.011

3. Kagan KO, Kuhn U. Sport und Schwangerschaft [exercise and pregnancy]. *Herz.* (2004) 29(4):426. doi: 10.1007/s00059-004-2590-4

4. World Health Organization. *Global Strategy on Diet, Physical Activity and Health.* Geneva: World Health Organization (2004).

5. CDC. Healthy pregnant or postpartum women. Available online at: https://www. cdc.gov/physicalactivity/basics/pregnancy/index.htm#:~:text=Pregnant%20or%20post partum%20women%20should,this%20activity%20throughout%20the%20week (Accessed April 13, 2024).

6. Obstetricians ACo, Gynecologists. Committee opinion no. 650: physical activity and exercise during pregnancy and the postpartum period (2015).

7. Mottola MF, Davenport MH, Ruchat S-M, Davies GA, Poitras V, Gray C, et al. No. 367-2019 Canadian guideline for physical activity throughout pregnancy. *J Obstet Gynaecol Can.* (2018) 40(11):1528–37. doi: 10.1016/j.jogc.2018.07.001

8. Anjana RM, Sudha V, Lakshmipriya N, Anitha C, Unnikrishnan R, Bhavadharini B, et al. Physical activity patterns and gestational diabetes outcomes-the wings project. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract.* (2016) 116:253–62. doi: 10.1016/j.diabres.2016.04.041

9. Lindqvist M, Lindkvist M, Eurenius E, Persson M, Ivarsson A, Mogren I. Leisure time physical activity among pregnant women and its associations with maternal characteristics and pregnancy outcomes. *Sex Reprod Healthc.* (2016) 9:14–20. doi: 10.1016/j.srhc.2016.03.006

10. Dumith SC, Domingues MR, Mendoza-Sassi RA, Cesar JA. Physical activity during pregnancy and its association with maternal and child health indicators. *Rev Saude Publica*. (2012) 46:327–33. doi: 10.1590/S0034-89102012005000012

11. Ming W-K, Ding W, Zhang CJ, Zhong L, Long Y, Li Z, et al. The effect of exercise during pregnancy on gestational diabetes mellitus in normal-weight women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* (2018) 18(1):1–9. doi: 10.1186/s12884-018-2068-7

12. Zhu Z, Xie H, Liu S, Yang R, Yu J, Yan Y, et al. Effects of physical exercise on blood pressure during pregnancy. *BMC Public Health*. (2022) 22(1):1–13. doi: 10. 1186/s12889-022-14074-z

13. Sánchez-Polán M, Franco E, Silva-José C, Gil-Ares J, Pérez-Tejero J, Barakat R, et al. Exercise during pregnancy and prenatal depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Physiol.* (2021) 889:1–13. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.640024

14. WHO. Caesarean section rates continue to rise, amid growing inequalities in access 2021 (2021). Available online at: https://www.who.int/news/item/ 16-06-2021-caesarean-section-rates-continue-to-rise-amid-growing-inequalities-inaccess#:~:text=According%20to%20new%20research%20from,21%25)%20of%20all% 20childbirths (updated June 16, 2021).

15. Ministry of Health and Family welfare GoI. National Family Health Survey-5: State Fact Sheet Karnataka. New Delhi: Sciences IIfP (2019–20).

16. Babu GR, Mueller NT, Lewis MG, Krishnan A, Lobo E, Deepa R, et al. Delivery mode and altered infant growth at 1 year of life in India. *Pediatr Res.* (2021) 90(6):1251–7. doi: 10.1038/s41390-021-01417-6

17. Birsner ML, Gyamfi-Bannerman C. Physical activity and exercise during pregnancy and the postpartum period ACOG committee opinion summary, number 804. *Obstet Gynecol.* (2020) 135(4):E178–E88. doi: 10.1097/AOG.00000000003772

18. González-Cazorla E, Brenes-Romero AP, Sánchez-Gómez MJ, Estévez-Ruiz E, Díaz-Enjuto A, Cantón-Cisneros A, et al. Physical activity in work and leisure time

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fspor.2025. 1265929/full#supplementary-material

during pregnancy, and its influence on maternal health and perinatal outcomes. J Clin Med. (2024) 13(3):723. doi: 10.3390/jcm13030723

19. Xie W, Zhang L, Cheng J, Wang Y, Kang H, Gao Y. Physical activity during pregnancy and the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis. *BMC Public Health*. (2024) 24(1):594. doi: 10.1186/s12889-024-18131-7

20. Ana Y, Lewis MG, van Schayck OC, Babu GR. Is physical activity in pregnancy associated with prenatal and postnatal depressive symptoms?: results from MAASTHI cohort study in South India. *J Psychosom Res.* (2021) 144:110390. doi: 10.1016/j. jpsychores.2021.110390

21. Lindsay Smith G, Banting L, Eime R, O'Sullivan G, Van Uffelen JG. The association between social support and physical activity in older adults: a systematic review. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* (2017) 14:1–21. doi: 10.1186/s12966-017-0509-8

22. Fathnezhad-Kazemi A, Aslani A, Hajian S. Association between perceived social support and health-promoting lifestyle in pregnant women: a cross-sectional study. *J Caring Sci.* (2021) 10(2):96–102. doi: 10.34172/jcs.2021.018

23. Morales-Suárez-Varela M, Clemente-Bosch E, Peraita-Costa I, Llopis-Morales A, Martínez I, Llopis-González A. Maternal physical activity during pregnancy and the effect on the mother and newborn: a systematic review. *J Phys Act Health.* (2020) 18(1):130–47. doi: 10.1123/jpah.2019-0348

24. Babu GR, Murthy G, Deepa R, Kumar HK, Karthik M, Deshpande K, et al. Maternal Antecedents of Adiposity and Studying the Transgenerational Role of Hyperglycemia and Insulin (MAASTHI): a prospective cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. (2016) 16(1):311. doi: 10.1186/s12884-016-1088-4

25. Lobo E, Ana Y, Deepa R, Shriyan P, Sindhu ND, Karthik M, et al. Cohort profile: maternal antecedents of adiposity and studying the transgenerational role of hyperglycaemia and insulin (MAASTHI). *BMJ Open.* (2022) 12(9):e063794.

26. Makwana Viral B, Ashokbhai GH. Impact of physical activity during pregnancy on mode and duration of delivery in Indian women. *Int J Health Sci.* (2022) 6(S8):5166–72. doi: 10.53730/ijhs.v6ns8.13410

27. Lobo E, Vivekanand P, Deepa R, Babu GR. Development, design, and experience related to android application-based data collection for the MAASTHI cohort study in India. *RGUHS Natl J Public Health*. (2018) 3(1):3–10. doi: 10. 26463/rnjph.3_1_2

28. Bharathi AV, Kuriyan R, Kurpad AV, Thomas T, Ebrahim S, Kinra S, et al. Assessment of physical activity using accelerometry, an activity diary, the heart rate method and the Indian migration study questionnaire in south Indian adults. *Public Health Nutr.* (2010) 13(1):47–53. doi: 10.1017/S1368980009005850

29. Babu GR, Murthy G, Deepa R, Ana Y, Prafulla S, Kinra S. Feasibility of setting up a birth cohort and screening gestational hyperglycemia in public health facilities in Bengaluru, India. *RGUHS Natl J Public Health*. (2018) 3(3):25–35.

30. Joint F. Human energy requirements. Report of a Joint FAO/WHO/UNU Expert Consultation, Rome, 17–24 October 2001 (2004).

31. Fernandes MC, Srinivasan K, Stein AL, Menezes G, Sumithra R, Ramchandani PG. Assessing prenatal depression in the rural developing world: a comparison of two screening measures. *Arch Womens Men Health*. (2011) 14:209–16. doi: 10.1007/s00737-010-0190-2

32. Breedlove G, Fryzelka D. Depression screening during pregnancy. J Midwifery Womens Health. (2011) 56(1):18–25. doi: 10.1111/j.1542-2011.2010.00002.x

33. Cox JL, Holden JM, Sagovsky R. Detection of postnatal depression: development of the 10-item Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale. *Br J Psychiatry.* (1987) 150(6):782–6. doi: 10.1192/bjp.150.6.782

34. Anand SS, Vasudevan A, Gupta M, Morrison K, Kurpad A, Teo KK, et al. Rationale and design of South Asian birth cohort (START): a Canada-India collaborative study. *BMC Public Health*. (2013) 13:1–13. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-13-79

35. Malda M. There is No Place Like Home: On the Relation Between Culture And Children's Cognition. Ridderkerk: Ridderprint (2009).

36. Diabetes IAo, Panel PSGC. International association of diabetes and pregnancy study groups recommendations on the diagnosis and classification of hyperglycemia in pregnancy. *Diabetes Care*. (2010) 33(3):676–82. doi: 10.2337/dc09-1848

37. Muñoz CO, Muñoz NLS, Díaz DH, Luna OC, González EÁ-G, Santana AA. Anthropometric indicators for the characterization of body adiposity in healthy pregnant women at the beginning of pregnancy. *CorSalud (Revista de Enfermedades Cardiovasculares)*. (2018) 10(4):274–85.

38. Madeira FB, Silva AA, Veloso HF, Goldani MZ, Kac G, Cardoso VC, et al. Normal weight obesity is associated with metabolic syndrome and insulin resistance in young adults from a middle-income country. *PLoS One.* (2013) 8(3):e60673. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0060673

39. Babu GR, Deepa R, Lewis MG, Lobo E, Krishnan A, Ana Y, et al. Do gestational obesity and gestational diabetes have an independent effect on neonatal adiposity? Results of mediation analysis from a cohort study in south India. *Clin Epidemiol.* (2019) 11:1067–80. doi: 10.2147/CLEP.S222726

40. Bell K, Twiggs J, Olin BR, Date IR. Hypertension: the silent killer: updated JNC-8 guideline recommendations. *Ala Pharm Assoc.* (2015) 334:4222.

41. Kumar G, Dash P, Patnaik J, Pany G. Socioeconomic status scale-modified Kuppuswamy scale for the year 2022. *Int J Community Dent.* (2022) 10(1):1–6. doi: 10.56501/intjcommunitydent.v10i1.26

42. World Health Organization. Haemoglobin Concentrations for the Diagnosis of Anaemia and Assessment of Severity. Geneva: World Health Organization (2011). p. 1-6.

43. Currie LM, Woolcott CG, Fell DB, Armson BA, Dodds L. The association between physical activity and maternal and neonatal outcomes: a prospective cohort. *Matern Child Health J.* (2014) 18:1823–30. doi: 10.1007/s10995-013-1426-3

44. Daley A, Blamey RV, Jolly K, Roalfe AK, Turner KM, Coleman S, et al. A pragmatic randomized controlled trial to evaluate the effectiveness of a facilitated

exercise intervention as a treatment for postnatal depression: the PAM-PeRS trial. *Psychol Med.* (2015) 45(11):2413–25. doi: 10.1017/S0033291715000409

45. Liu X, Wang G, Cao Y. Physical exercise interventions for perinatal depression symptoms in women: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Psychol.* (2022) 13:1022402. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1022402

46. Bennetter K, Waage C, Richardsen K, Jenum A, Vøllestad N, Robinson H. Associations between social support and physical activity among postpartum women: a cohort study: Karin Elisabeth Bennetter. *Eur J Public Health.* (2022) 32(Supplement_3):ckac131. 440. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckac131.440

47. Poyatos-León R, García-Hermoso A, Sanabria-Martínez G, Álvarez-Bueno C, Sánchez-López M, Martínez-Vizcaíno V. Effects of exercise during pregnancy on mode of delivery: a meta-analysis. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* (2015) 94(10):1039–47. doi: 10.1111/aogs.12675

48. Barakat R, Pelaez M, Lopez C, Montejo R, Coteron J. Exercise during pregnancy reduces the rate of cesarean and instrumental deliveries: results of a randomized controlled trial. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* (2012) 25(11):2372–6. doi: 10.3109/14767058.2012.696165

49. Babu GR, Murthy G, Reddy Y, Deepa R, Yamuna A, Prafulla S, et al. Small for gestational age babies and depressive symptoms of mothers during pregnancy: results from a birth cohort in India. *Wellcome Open Res.* (2020) 3:76. doi: 10.12688/wellcomeopenres.14618.3

50. World Health Organization. *Global Action Plan on Physical Activity 2018–2030: More Active People for a Healthier World: At-a-Glance.* Geneva: World Health Organization (2018).

51. World Health Organization. WHO guidelines on Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviour. Geneva: World Health Organization (2020). p. 1–582.

52. Ben-Shlomo Y, Cooper R, Kuh D. The last two decades of life course epidemiology, and its relevance for research on ageing. *Int J Epidemiol.* (2016) 45(4):973-88. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyw096

53. Sharma C, Ahuja KD, Kulkarni B, Byrne NM, Hills AP. Life course research in physical activity: pathway to global action plan 2030. *Obes Rev.* (2023) 24:e13554. doi: 10.1111/obr.13554