Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Susanna Rampichini, Università degli studi di Milano, Italy

REVIEWED BY Luca Cavaggioni, Italian Auxological Institute (IRCCS), Italy

Gonzalo Márquez, University of A Coruña, Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE Andrés Fabricio Caballero-Lozada andres.caballero@correounivalle.edu.co

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 08 May 2024 ACCEPTED 26 August 2024 PUBLISHED 16 September 2024

CITATION

Carvajal-Tello N, Ortega JG, Caballero-Lozada AF, Devia-Quiñonez MJ, González-Calzada I, Rojas-Hernández D and Segura-Ordoñez A (2024) Effects of inspiratory muscle training on lung function parameter in swimmers: a systematic review and meta-analysis.

Front. Sports Act. Living 6:1429902. doi: 10.3389/fspor.2024.1429902

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Carvajal-Tello, Ortega, Caballero-Lozada, Devia-Quiñonez, González-Calzada, Rojas-Hernández and Segura-Ordoñez. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Effects of inspiratory muscle training on lung function parameter in swimmers: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Nathali Carvajal-Tello^{1†}, José Guillermo Ortega^{2†}, Andrés Fabricio Caballero-Lozada^{3,4,5*†}, María Juliana Devia-Quiñonez^{1†}, Isabella González-Calzada^{1†}, Daniela Rojas-Hernández^{1†} and Alejandro Segura-Ordoñez^{1,3†}

¹Grupo de Investigación Salud y Movimiento, Faculty of Health, Universidad Santiago de Cali, Cali, Colombia, ²Grupo de Investigación de Ciencias Básicas y Clínicas de la Salud, Department of Basic Health Sciences, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Cali, Colombia, ³Grupo de Investigación en Anestesia INVANES, Department of Anesthesiology and Resuscitation, Universidad del Valle, Cali, Colombia,

⁴Department of Anesthesiology, Hospital Universitario del Valle, Cali, Colombia, ⁵Intensive Care Unit, Fundación Hospital San José de Buga, Buga, Colombia **Background:** This systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to assess the

impact of inspiratory muscle training (IMT) on lung function parameters (MIP, MEP, FEV1, and FVC) between both elite and non-elite swimmers.

Methods: We searched for controlled clinical trials (CCT) and prospective longitudinal studies (PLS) in elite and non-elite swimmers following an inspiratory muscle training (IMT) protocol with a standardized device, published between 2012 and 2023. The databases used in the search were PubMed, Science Direct, Scopus, Springer, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, and Google Scholar. The primary outcome assessed was the impact of IMT on lung function parameters, including MIP, MEP, FEV1, and FVC. **Results:** We selected 13 articles involving 277 subjects aged 11–21 years, with 61.4% being male, and 84.6% being elite swimmers. The most commonly used IMT device was the PowerBreathe[®], prescribed for 3–12 weeks, 1–2 sessions per day, 3–6 times per week, with 30 repetitions, starting at 50% of MIP and progressing up to 80%. The meta-analysis showed that IMT was associated with a higher MIP (MD = 29.35 cmH2O, 95% CI: 13.04–45.65 cmH2O, p < 0.01) without affecting FEV1 and FVC.

Conclusion: The swimmers that used IMT improved muscle strength, specifically MIP, without changes in MEP, FEV1, and FVC.

KEYWORDS

athletes, swimming, muscle strength, respiratory muscles, sports performance

1 Introduction

Swimming is an activity performed for recreational or competitive purposes that involves exercising while submerged in water. It imposes significant demands on the respiratory muscles due to the higher hydrostatic pressure surrounding the thoracic cavity. This leads to an increase in the speed of inspiratory muscle contraction and tidal volume (1, 2). The workload on respiratory muscles during swimming can lead to fatigue and dyspnea. This is primarily due to these muscles having to overcome the

hydrostatic pressure which limits the thoracic expansion, ultimately reducing performance (3, 4). During vigorous exercise, the demands on the respiratory system to function properly rise significantly (5). The respiratory system acts as one of the main metabolic buffers by increasing the strength of the respiratory muscles to ensure ventilation and maintain normal acid-base balance in the blood (6). The efficiency of the respiratory muscles is crucial; if they are inefficient, it could lead to a hypercapnic state where working muscles tire faster because the removal of metabolites cannot keep up with the production of CO2 (1). The high levels of sustained work required from the respiratory muscles during intense exercise can lead to respiratory muscle fatigue. However, having respiratory muscles with enhanced contractile responsiveness and increased force generation capacity could result in better fatigue tolerance and a reduced perception of breathlessness during exercise (7).

Hence, improving lung function and respiratory muscle strength has been recommended as a potential strategy to enhance physical performance in swimming (8). One suggested strategy to increase the strength of respiratory muscles, including the diaphragm, is Inspiratory Muscle Training (IMT), which compels the inspiratory muscles to overcome increased force, thereby enhancing their overall strength by introducing resistance during inspiration (9). It is well known that when postural demands increase, the activation of the diaphragm increases (10). Diaphragmatic and abdominal stability and contraction can increase glide performance by boosting gliding ability which plays a significant part in race performance, preserving the aerodynamic body posture, which is critical for swimmers (11).

Thus, is expected that IMT has an impact on parameters of pulmonary function in swimmers, including maximum inspiratory pressure (MIP), which represents the maximal force generated by the inspiratory muscles against resistance (12), reflecting their strength and endurance; the maximum expiratory pressure (MEP), an indicator of the maximal force exerted during expiration (13); the forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) which is the parameter of the volume of air forcefully exhaled within the first second of forced expiration (14); and forced vital capacity (FVC), which encompasses the total volume of air forcibly exhaled after maximum inhalation (15).

However, there is currently no consensus on the effects of Inspiratory Muscle Training (IMT) on lung function in swimmers. Therefore, knowing the impact of this type of training on physiological lung parameters is crucial to support the practice and prescription of IMT in swimming training programs. For this reason, this systematic review and meta-analysis aimed to evaluate the effect of IMT on lung function parameters (MIP, MEP, FEV1, and FVC) in both elite and non-elite swimmers.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Literature search

We included data from CCT and PLS published in the period 2012–2023, the search was carried out in the electronic databases: PubMed, Science Direct, Scopus, Springer, Cochrane Central

Register of Controlled Trials, and Google Scholar, between 01/11/ 2022 and 31/05/2023, without language restriction. The study complies with the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) (16). Mesh terms with combinations of boolean operators according to the database were used to search: (athletes OR professional athlete OR professional athletes OR athletic performance) AND (water sport OR swimming OR diving) AND (respiratory muscle OR diaphragm OR intercostal muscles OR ventilatory muscle OR muscles) AND (Respiratory Muscle Training OR muscle training, respiratory OR training OR resistance).

2.2 Inclusion criteria and selection process

After removing duplicated reports, two researchers independently assessed the titles and abstracts for eligibility criteria. Any disagreements were resolved by a third researcher. We included studies with elite or non-elite swimmers where IMT was performed using standardized devices such as Threshold IMT[®], Threshold PEP[®], and PowerBreathe[®]. We excluded studies that included subjects diagnosed with pulmonary, cardiac, or musculoskeletal disease, chronic or acute illness, and cognitive disorders. Additionally, we excluded systematic reviews, case series, and case reports.

2.3 Methodological quality

The quality of the CCT was evaluated using the PEDro scale, which consists of 11 items. A score of 1 is given if the item complies and 0 if it does not comply. The methodological quality is classified as low, intermediate or high based on the sum of the score. The aspects considered include an adequate control group, blinding, and randomization (17). The Minors scale was used to assess PLS. This scale consists of 12 items, with a score of 0 for not reported, 1 for reported but inadequate, and 2 for reported and adequate. The scale considers aspects such as objectives, prospective data collection, blinding, sample size calculation, and adequate statistical analysis, the ideal score for non-comparative studies is 16, and for comparative studies, it is 24 (18).

2.4 Data extraction and analysis

Two authors independently extracted data from eligible studies. The data extracted were recorded on a standardized data collection form. We included bibliographic characteristics such as authors, title, database, journal, type of study, country, continent, language, year and objective. Participant characteristics, such as sex, age, height, weight, and competitive level, were also collected. Intervention characteristics, such as the type of training, starting intensity, intensity progression, frequency per week, session, and intervention duration, were documented. The evaluation of respiratory muscle training on performance included parameters such as Maximum Inspiratory Pressure (MIP), Maximum Expiratory Pressure (MEP), Forced Expiratory Volume in the first second (FEV1), and Forced Vital Capacity (FVC). Disagreements were resolved by consensus.

2.5 Statistical analysis

A qualitative summary was carried out according to study design, characteristics, sample characteristics, number of subjects, type of instruments used to measure respiratory muscle training (Threshold[®], PowerBreathe[®]), swimming performance (maximum apnea test, 50, 100, 200, 200, 3000-m pool), dyspnea (Borg scale), and lung capacity and, volumes (spirometry).

The primary outcomes for the meta-analysis, were the mean differences (MD) for MIP, FEV, and FVC. Quantitative analyses were only conducted if comparable outcome data from four or more studies were available. In cases where study data were only available from figures, we extracted the data using the validated software Plot Digitizer (19). When baseline and final values were given, we computed changes from the baseline. Missing standard deviation (SD) values were imputed using an imputed correlation coefficient (20). When a study presents multiple interventions with IMT and a unique control group, the groups IMT were combined into a single group (21). For quantitative synthesis, we used a random effects model with restricted maximum likelihood to estimate between-study variability (τ 2) and Knapp-Hartung adjustment was used for small numbers of studies with considerable heterogeneity (22, 23). The I2 index was used to determine the heterogeneity, an $I2 \ge 75\%$ indicated high variation. For all MDs, the reference group was the control group. The publication bias for a few studies was examined qualitatively via visual inspection of the Doi plot, and quantitatively using the Luis Furuya-Kanamori (LFK) index. LFK indices <1, between 1 and 2, and >2, represent no, minor, and major asymmetry, respectively (24). To evaluate the robustness of the results, we performed a sensitivity analysis by excluded one study at a time (Leave-one-out analysis). Additionally, subgroup analysis was conducted according to the type of control group. For outcomes with significant effects we performed a metaregression, to know if some factors (age, outcome at baseline, duration of intervention) influenced the effects. The results were considered statistically significant if $p \le 0.05$. The statistical analyses were conducted with the statistical software R (version 4.0.3), and the packages meta (Version 6.5.0) and metasens (Version 1.5.2) (25, 26).

3 Results

In this systematic search, we obtained a total of 2016 reports. After eliminating 1,212 duplicates, 804 articles were assessed based on titles and abstracts, of which 755 were excluded. Subsequently, 49 full-text articles were reviewed, and 36 studies were excluded either because they did not meet the inclusion criteria or because they had one or more exclusion criteria. A total of 13 articles were selected for the meta-analysis (Figure 1).

3.1 Characteristics of the studies

Of the 13 studies selected, 54% (n = 7) were found in Pubmed and 42% (n = 4) in Google Scholar. According to design, 11 studies were controlled clinical trials (CCT) and 2 studies were prospective longitudinal studies (PLS). Considering a geographical region, 54% (n = 7) were from Europe, 23% (n = 3) from Asia, 23% (n = 3) from America, 92% (n = 12) of the articles were written in the English language (Table 1).

The study included a total of 277 subjects aged between 11 and 21 years, with 61.4% (n = 170) being male. Four studies exclusively included male subjects, while 9 studies included both sexes. The height of the subjects ranged from 153 ± 0.18 to 179.42 ± 0.74 , and their weight ranged from 47.4 ± 10.5 to 75.2 ± 9.05 kg (Table 2). The participants were predominantly elite swimmers, comprising 84.6% (n = 11), with 1–10 years of experience and a training time of 6–35 h per week. Two studies (15.4%; n = 2) were conducted on non-elite swimmers who trained three times per week.

3.2 Characteristics of interventions

The IMT training was conducted using the PowerBreathe[®] device in 92.3% (n = 12) of the studies (Table 3). The initial intensity of IMT ranged from 15% to 80% of the MIP, with a progression in training intensity and loads from 5% to 50% per week; in two studies, no progression protocol was specified (30, 31). Concerning the frequency and duration of IMT application, the majority of the reports (27–33, 37–39) implemented 2 sessions/day, 6 times/week over 4–12 weeks, with the duration of the session varying between 10 and 30 inspiratory efforts. One study reported a 15-min duration with the training device (27).

3.3 Evaluation of the effect of respiratory muscle training on performance in swimming athletes

Regarding the evaluation of the effect of IMT on performance in swimming athletes, we found that they used crawl tests at distances of 50, 100, and 200 m (28–30, 34, 35, 37). Kapus (28) showed that he performed two additional tests in butterfly and breaststroked 50 m, Vašíčková et al. (27), who performed apnea test and Gómez-Albareda et al. (39) carry out 3,000 m crawl test. Only 3 studies with measurements preand post-IMT found statistically significant changes in test performance (28, 29, 37) (Table 4).

3.4 Evaluation of the effect of respiratory muscle training on strength in swimming athletes

In the experimental group the baseline values of MIP ranged from -73.4 CmH2O (28) to -154.2 CmH2O (37), after IMT, the



values ranged from -93.0 CmH2O (32) to $-205.6 \pm 23.80 \text{ CmH2O}$ (37). For the control group were reported baseline values between -81.2 CmH2O (32) and -149.25 CmH2O (39), and post-IMT the range was between -99.1 CmH2O (29) and -171.5 CmH2O (39). Three studies did not report results on MIP (34, 35, 38).

Only three studies reported MEP values (27, 28, 30). In the IMT group, initial values were reported from 99.48 CmH2O (27)

to 135 ± 42 CmH2O (30) and post-IMT 110.86 CmH2O (27) to 129 ± 15 CmH2O (28). One study did not report final values after training (30). While in the control group, basal values ranged from 100.78 CmH2O (27) to 133 ± 28 CmH2O (30) and subsequent values ranged from 99.63 CmH2O (27) to 151 ± 36 CmH2O (28). Ten articles (29, 31–39) did not report MEP results (Table 4).

TABLE 1 Characteristics of the studies included.

#	Authors	Title	Database	Journal	Type of study	Country	Continent	Language	Year	Objective
1	Vašíčková et al. (27)	The effect of respiratory muscle training on the performance of finswimmers	Pubmed	Journal of Sports Science and Medicine	CCT	Czech Republic	Europe	English	2017	To evaluate the response to IMT in fin swimmers on their maximal apnea performance
2	Kapus (28)	Effects of IMT on inspiratory muscle strength and sprint swimming performance in young male and female swimmers	Academic Google	Kinesiologia Slovenica	CCT	Slovenia	Europe	English	2013	To explore the effects of IMT on inspiratory muscle strength measured on land in an upright position and sprint swimming performance (50 m and 100 m) in different swimming techniques (crawl, breaststroke and butterfly) in young swimmers
3	Yañez- Sepulveda et al. (29)	IMT improves swimming performance in young competitive male sprint swimmers	Pubmed	The Journal of sports medicine and physical fitness	CCT	Chile	America	English	2021	To observe the effect of IMT on swimming performance and its relationship with inspiratory force and lung function
4	Lomax et al. (30)	Impact of weekly swim training distance on the ergogenicity of IMT in well-trained young swimmers	Pubmed	J Strength Cond Res	CCT	London	Europe	English	2019	To examine the impact of weekly swim training distance on IMT ergogenicity
5	Ando et al. (31)	Effect of inspiratory resistance training on diaphragm shear modulus and accessory inspiration	Academic Google	Journal of the Japanese Society of Respiratory Care and Rehabilitation	CCT	Japan	Asia	English	2020	To elucidate changes in the diaphragm and inspiratory accessory muscles (sternocleidomastoid muscle and intercostal muscle) as they function after a 29-week training program
6	Cunha et al. (32)	The effect of IMT on swimming performance, inspiratory muscle strength, lung function and perceived dyspnea in elite swimmers: a randomized controlled trial.	Pubmed	Porto Biomedical Journal	CCT	Portugal	Europe	English	2019	To evaluate the effect of 12-week IMT on swimming performance, inspiratory muscle strength, lung function, and perceived dyspnea in elite swimmers
7	Ohya et al. (33)	Effect of moderate to high intensity IMT on mouth-measured PIM and swimming performance in highly trained competitive swimmers	Pubmed	Human Kinetic Journal	CCT	Tokio	Asia	English	2021	To identify the effect of high-intensity IMT for 6 weeks on MIP and swimming performance in highly trained competitive swimmers
8	Wilson et al. (34)	Specific respiratory muscle warm-up and elite swimming performance	Pubmed	British Journal of Sport Medicine	PLS	Nottingham	Europe	English	2014	To determine the influence of inspiratory muscle exercise as a warm-up for the respiratory muscles
9	Mackała et al. (35)	Effects of individualized training and IMT on lung function in collegiate swimmers: an experimental study	Academic Google	Physical Education Theory and Methodology	CCT	Malasia	Asia	English	2022	To examine the effect of individualized training and respiratory muscle training on lung function among collegiate swimmers
10	Shei et al. (36)	Effect of flow-resistant inspiratory loading on lung and respiratory muscle function in sub-elite swimmers.	Scopus	Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness	CCT	Indiana	America	English	2016	To evaluate the effects of a 12-week swimming and IMT training program on respiratory muscles and lung function in competitively trained sub-elite swimmers
11	Troncoso et al. (37)	Effect of IMT on swim time and lung function in young high performance swimmers	Academic Google	Revista Chilena de Rehabilitación y Actividad Física	PLS	Chile	America	Spanish	2021	To examine the effect of IMT on swim time and lung function in young high performance swimmers
12	Bağıran et al. (38)	The effect of IMT on aerobic power and respiratory parameters in swimmers	Academic Google	International Journal of Sport Exercise and Training Sciences— IJSETS	CCT	Turkey	Europe	English	2019	To investigate the effect of 6 weeks of IMT on VO2 max and respiratory parameters in swimmers
13	Gómez- Albareda et al. (39)	IMT improves PIM without increasing performance in elite swimmers	Pubmed	International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance	CCT	Spain	Europe	English	2023	To analyze the effect of IMT on PIM and performance of elite swimmers

Carvajal-Tello et al.

10.3389/fspor.2024.1429902

Frontiers in Sports and Active Living

IMT, inspiratory muscle training; VO2 max, maximal oxygen consumption; CCT, controlled clinical trials; PLS, prospective longitudinal studies; PIM, peak inspiratory pressure.

TABLE 2 Characteristics of the participants of the studies included.

	_								
First author, year	n	F/M	EG/CG	Age (years)	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)	CL	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Vašíčková et al. (27)	20	N/S	EG = 12	$EG = 12.0 \pm 1.7$	EG = 158 ± 0.11	$EG = 47.4 \pm 10.5$	Elite	Participate in fin swimming training at a swim club twice a week for at least 2 years	Chronic or acute illness
			CG = 8	$CG = 11.5 \pm 2.4$	CG = 153 ± 0.18	CG = 49.6 ± 17.0	_		
Kapus (28)	12	F = 7	EG = 7	$EG = 14 \pm 1$	$EG = 169 \pm 4$	$EG = 57 \pm 6$	Elite	Competitive swimmers for at least 6 years and	Respiratory disease
		M = 5	CG = 5	$CG = 14 \pm 1$	$CG = 172 \pm 10$	$CG = 59 \pm 12$		recruited from two swimming clubs, mostly national level sprint and middle distance specialists	
Yañez-	15	M = 15	EG = 9	$\mathrm{EG}=15.1\pm1.1$	EG: 174 ± 5.16	$EG = 62.8 \pm 7.31$	Elite	Have a minimum of 3 years of systematic training	Restrictions or obstructive respiratory disorders, those who did not
Sepulveda et al. (29)			CG = 6	CG = 14.7 ± 1.09	CG = 173 ± 8.14	CG = 61.3 ± 6.57		with more than 10 h of training per week and no previous experience with IMT	perform more than 90% of the IMT sessions, or those who had suffered a serious injury in the previous 6 months
Lomax et al.	33	F = 15	EG = 17	LOWIMT =	LOWIMT =	LOWIMT =	Elite	Years of competitive experience: 3-5, frequency of	If asthma is present, no medication is required during the study
(30)			LOWIMT = 9	16±1 HIGHIMT =	175 ± 0.11 HIGHIMT =	65.2 ± 8.3 HIGHIMT =	_	weekly sessions: 6–9, duration in hours of weekly training: 10.5–19, weekly training distance in km:	
			HIGHIMT = 8	16 ± 3	176 ± 0.12	65.9 ± 13.7		15-56	
		M = 18	CG = 16	-					
			LOWIMT = 9	-					
			HIGHIMT = 7	-					
Ando et al. (31)	19	M = 19	EG = 10	$EG = 19.3 \pm 0.1$	$EG = 173.5 \pm 0.6$	$EG = 68.9 \pm 0.8$	Elite	Elite swimmers	N/S
			CG = 9	$CG = 19.3 \pm 0.1$	$CG = 174.4 \pm 0.5$	CG = 69.0 ± 0.9	-		
Cunha et al.	32	F = 22	EG = 17	EG = 15[14;16]	EG = 171 (9)	EG = 60.9 (9.3)			
(32)		M = 10	CG = 15	CG = 14 [13;16]	CG = 164 (11)	CG = 56.7 (11.6)	Elite	Elite swimmers from the FC Porto main swimming team, with competitive training for a minimum period of 3 years	Diagnosed lung disease, heart disease, musculoskeletal disease, cognitive disorders, or being on a regular respiratory muscle training program
Ohya et al. (33)	30	M = 30	EG (HI) = 10	EG (HI) = 20 (1)	EG (HI) = 175.4 (4.4)	EG (AI) = 69.1 (4.6)	Elite	All participants were required to be a member of the college swim club and have participated at least once	N/S
			EG (MI) = 10	EG (MI) = 19 (1)	EG (MI) = 173.5 (6.4)	EG (MI) = 68.9 (7.5)	-	in interscholastic and intercollegiate athletic competition	
			CG = 10	CG = 19 (1)	CG = 174.4 (4.0)	CG = 69.0 (7.8)	-		
Wilson et al.	15	F = 6	EG = 15	21.2 ± 1.6	180.17 ± 7.84	75.2 ± 9.05	Elite	Have been selected to represent British swimming in	Not completing 100% of IMT sessions and physiological
(34)		M = 9	1					international competitions	measurements
Mackała et al. (35)	43	F = 21	EG EMI = 14	EG EMI = 19.50 ± 1.225					
		M = 22	EG EMI + IT = 15	EG EMI + IT = 19.60 ± 1.121	N/E	N/E	Non elite	Healthy swimmers 18-25 years of age, non-elite freestyle swimmers, swimming at least three days a	Recent lung infections, congenital lung problems, known history of respiratory problems such as asthma, COPD, recent injuries to lower
			CG = 14	CG = 19.50 ± 1.092				week	or upper limbs, BMI greater than 24 $\mbox{kg/m}^2$, and those participating in any other research

(Continued)

10.3389/fspor.2024.1429902

Inclusion criteria Exclusion criteria		nmers (50–100 m), 14 middle distance Abnormal spirometry or history of respiratory disease	n) and 2 long distance (800–1500 m).		an 15 and 17 years old, belonging to the Respiratory or musculoskeletal disease in the study peri-	team of the Maule region for one year, 18 h of training in 9 weekly sessions	mers between the ages of 18 and 23. N/S	/ participate in a training program			č experience in swimming training, N/S	t more than 35 h of training per week and	olume of swimming training greater than	
5		on Sprint swim	ite (200–400 m		ite Age betweer	swimming t completing	ite Male swimr	Voluntarily			ite 10 years of	performing	a weekly vo	
Weight	(kg)	67.6 ± 10.4 N	el		60.3 ± 7.8 El		EG = 71.32 ± El	4.12	$CG = 72.24 \pm$	5.11	EG = 64.5 [6.3] El	CG = 66.5 [3.9]		
Height	(cm)	173 ± 3.8			167.3 ± 5.5		EG =	179.42 ± 0.74	$CG = 178 \pm$	0.32	EG = 173.9	[8.8]	CG = 179.4	[7.0]
Age (years)		19.9 ± 2.6			15.7 ± 1.0		$EG = 20.05 \pm$	2.62	CG = 20.56 ±	1.16	EG = 21.0 [2.6]	CG = 18.3 [1.3]		
EG/CG		EG = 8	PG = 8	CG = 8	EG = 6		EG = 10		CG = 10		EG = 4		CG = 4	
F/M		F = 12	M = 12		$\mathbf{F} = 1$	M = 5	M = 20				F = 3		M = 5	
		24			9		20				8			
te z Con	thor, ar	ei et al. (36,			oncoso et al	~	ğıran et al.	~			mez-	oareda et al	~	

3.5 Evaluation of respiratory muscle training on pulmonary function in swimming athletes

Table 4 shows the results of FVC, FEV1, and FEV1/FVC ratios. The FVC baseline in the IMT group had a range of 4.23 ± 0.24 L (35) to 5.92 L (33), and post-IMT a range of 4.44 ± 0.28 L (35) to 5.81 L (33). In the control group, the initial values ranged from 4.22 ± 0.27 L (35) to 4.94 ± 0.28 L (36) and later from 4.25 ± 0.26 L (35) to 5.04 ± 0.10 L (36). One study reported no subsequent values (30) and 6 studies reported no baseline or subsequent values (27, 28, 31, 34, 37, 39).

The FEV1 results for the IMT group showed baseline values ranging from 3.36 ± 0.16 L (35) to 4.84 ± 0.81 L (33). On the other hand, the control group had baseline values ranging from 3.45 ± 0.23 L (35) to 4.31 ± 0.21 L (36), and post-measurement values ranging from 3.47 ± 0.23 L (35) to 4.24 ± 0.45 L (36). Post-intentions values were not reported in two studies (30, 34) while five studies did not report either baseline or post-values (27, 31, 33, 37, 39).

The FEV1/FVC ratio in the IMT group had a baseline range of 79.49 \pm 2.67 L (27) to 90.51 \pm 2.12 L (38), and post-IMT values ranged from 79.820 \pm 3.74 L (35) to 92.81 \pm 3.27 L (38). Meanwhile, the control group had a baseline range of 81.82 \pm 3.24 L (35) to 89.37 \pm 2.16 L (38), and post-measurement values ranged from 81.93 \pm 3.05 L (35) to 90.11 \pm 1.47 L (38). However, it is worth noting that nine studies did not report these results (27, 28, 31, 32, 36, 37, 39).

3.6 Quality of the studies

The mean PEDro score for the CCTs described in Table 5 was High for two studies (27–32) and Intermediate for 9 studies (28–33, 35, 36, 38, 39). The Minor mean score for the PLS for these two studies was 13 and 17, respectively (Table 5), where the ideal score would be 16 for non-comparative studies. The most frequent omissions in the study design or its reporting were the following: the randomization process was not concealed and the non-blinding of subjects and evaluators.

3.7 Association of IMT with MIP, FEV1, and FVC

For the quantitative synthesis of MIP, we selected 9 articles (28–33, 35, 36, 38, 39) (IMT group n = 104 and control group n = 78). The results showed that IMT in swimmers increased the MIP 29.35 cmH2O (CI-95%: 13.04–45.65 cmH2O, p < 0.01), the heterogeneity of this result was high (I2 = 78%) (Figure 2A).

The effect of IMT on FEV1 was assessed in six studies (28, 29, 32, 35, 36, 38) (IMT group n = 65 and control group n = 55), the analysis showed that the intervention increased by 0.26 L, but did not reach to be significant and showed a substantial heterogeneity (CI-95%: -0.02 to 0.54, p = 0.06, I2 = 95%) (Figure 2B).

TABLE 3 Description of the interventions included in the stud	lies.
---	-------

First author, year	Training type	Start intensity	Training intensity progression	Sessions per day/times per week	Number of weeks	Session duration	EG/CG
Vašíčková et al. (27)	Threshold PEP [®] Threshold IMT [®]	EG = 30% MIP CG = RST	Each week the initial resistance value was increased by 2 CmH2O. When the participants reached the maximum resistance possible on the devices, they trained with this maximum resistance on the devices for the remainder of the training period	2 s/N/S	4	EG = RMST = 10 repetitions of maximum inspiration (Threshold IMT) and 10 repetitions of maximum expiration (Threshold PEP). CG = RMET = 15 min with each device (IMT Threshold) (PEP Threshold)	EG = IMT CG = RST
Kapus (28)	POWER breathe [®]	EG = 50% MIP CG = 15% MIP	EG = Load increase periodically once a week up to 30 manoeuvres. CG = Training loads did not change throughout the training period	2 s/N/S	6	EG = 30 dynamic inspiratory efforts 50% MIP CG = 30 breaths slowly held 15% MIP	EG = IMT 50% MIP + RST CG = IMT 15% MIP + RST
Yañez- Sepulveda et al. (29)	POWER breathe [®]	EG = 50% MIP CG = 15% MIP	$\rm EG$ = Only this group increased the initial load by 5% each week	2 s/N/S	4	EG = 30 dynamic inspiratory efforts 50% MIP CG = 30 slowly held breaths 15% MIP	EG = IMT 50% MIP + RST CG = IMT 15% MIP + RST
Lomax et al. (30)	POWER breathe [®]	LOW IMT—HIGH IMT = 50% MIP	For both groups the same MIP intensity was maintained, the RST distance was low and high	2 s/6 t	6	LOW IMT y HIGH IMT = 30 maximum inhalations at 50% MIP	HIGH IMT = RST High distance 42–56 Km + IMT LOW IMT = RST Low distance 15–31 Km + IMT
Ando et al. (31)	POWER breathe [®]	EG = 50% MIP CG = RST	The same MIP load was maintained in the EG and only the RST was performed in the CG	2 s/6 t	6	EG = RMST = 30 maximum inhalations at 50% MIP	EG = IMT + RST $CG = RST$
Cunha et al. (32)	POWER breathe [®]	EG = 50% MIP CG = 15% MIP	EG = Increase the load up to 30 inspiratory maneuvers. CG = Maintain the inspiratory load throughout the intervention	2 s/5 t	12	EG = RMST = 2 cycles of 30 inspiratory efforts at an intensity of 50% MIP CG = RMST = 2 cycles of 30 inspiratory efforts at an intensity of 15% MIP	EG = IMT 50% + RST CG = IMT 15% + RST
Ohya et al. (33)	POWER breathe [®]	EG (HI) = 75% MIP EG (MI) = 50% MIP CG = RST	EG (HI) = Week 1–2: 50% MIP, week 3–6: increases to 75% MIP EG (MI) = week 1–2: 50% MIP, week 3– 6 maintains MIP 50% CG = RST	2 s/6 t	6	EG = (HI) and (MI) = 30 maximum inhalations with intensity of 75% MIP (HI) and 50% (MI)	EG (HI) y (MI) = IMT, RST, GT CG = RST, GT
Wilson et al. (34)	POWER breathe [®]	EG = P1 = RST 2,500 m (butterfly, backstroke, breaststroke and freestyle), P2 = RST + IMT 2 series 30 repetitions 40% MIP, P3 = RST + IMT 2 series 30 repetitions 15% MIP, P4 = warm-up with IMT	Each swimmer completed all 4 protocols	1 s/N/S	4	Each swimmer was randomized to 1 of the 4 protocols each week, until completing the period of 4 consecutive weeks	EG = Complete the 4 protocols described
Mackała et al. (35)	POWER breathe [®]	EG1 = IMT EG2 = IMT + IT CG = RST	The intensity was prescribed for the different groups, with different exercise sessions between levels 2–8 of the device, increasing from low to moderate load. The intensity in the RST increased to 75% depending on the capacity of each swimmer	1 s/5 t	4	N/S	EG1 = IMT EG2 = IMT + IT CG = RST
Shei et al. (36)	POWER breathe [®]	EG = 80% MIP PG = Placebo loading IMT CG = RST	In the EG it increased progressively until the task failed	1 s/3 t	12	N/S	EG = IMT + RST PG = IMT Placebo + RST CG = RST
Troncoso et al. (37)	POWER breathe [®]	EG = 70% MIP	In the second stage, after the initial measurements, the IMT was incorporated at a load of 70% MIP	2 s/6 t	3	EG = 30 inspiratory efforts 70% MIP	EG = IMT + RST

(Continued)

10.3389/fspor.2024.1429902

First author, Jyear	Training type	Start intensity	Training intensity progression	Sessions per day/times per week	Number of weeks	Session duration	EG/CG
Bağıran et al. (38)	POWER breathe [®]	EG = 50% MIP CG = RST	MIP intensity started at 30% and increased to 50%	2 s/5 t	6	EG = 30 inspiratory efforts 50% MIP	EG = IMT + RST CG = RST
Gómez- Albareda et al. (39)	POWER breathe [®]	EG = 60% MIP up to 80% CG = 60% MIP	EG = increased the load by 10% every 2 weeks to finish the study at an intensity of 80% MIP during his twice-daily sessions	2 s/6 t	Q	EG = 3 series of 10 inspiratory efforts 60– 80 MIP	EG = IMT 60% up to 80% MIP + RST CG = IMT 60% MIP + RST
3G. experimental	Proline CG. control	group: IMT. inspiratory muscle training: MIP. r	maximal inspiratory pressure: RMST, respiratory muscle str	renoth training: RMET	respiratory muse	e endurance training: RMS. respiratory muscle ti	raining: RST, regular swimming

raining, GT, ground training. HI, high intensity, MI, moderate intensity, IT, individualized training, N/S, not specific.

For FVC we pooled five studies (27, 29, 30, 37, 38) (IMT group = 58 and control group n = 50), and quantitative synthesis showed a not significant increase of 0.27 L (CI-95%: -0.03 to 0.58, p = 0.07) with high variation (I2 = 97%) (Figure 2C).

3.8 Sensitivity and meta-regression analysis

The meta-analysis showed a high heterogeneity (I2 > 75%) in all the outcomes studied. The sensitivity analysis suggests that the results for MIP are robust, and the removal of studies did not affect considerably the effect and the heterogeneity of the meta-analysis (Supplementary Material 1). In the case of FEV1, the omission of Mackala et al. (35) reduced the heterogeneity (I2 = 42%) but without a significant effect (MD = 0.08 L, CI-95%: -0.05 L to 0.20 L, p = 0.16) (Supplementary Material 1). The sensitivity analysis for FVC after removing the study of Bağiran et al. (38) showed a significant effect (MD = 0.15l, CI-95%: 0.05–0.25l, p = 0.02) and a substantial reduction of the heterogeneity (I2 = 31%) (Supplementary Material 1). We performed a subgroup analysis considering the type of control group; control group with IMT sham or control group with regular swimming training. We found that the pooled effect for MIP in studies with an IMT sham group was not significant (MD = 16.35, CI-95%: -14.32 to 47.02, I2 = 78%) (Supplementary Material 2), while for FEV we found a significant effect in the studies with a control group with regular swimming training (MD = 0.52, CI-95%: 0.33-0.71, *I*2 = 52%) (Supplementary Material 3).

Meta-regression analyses for potential factors related to the effect of IMT on MIP revealed no significant relationship with MIP basal (P = 0.88), age (P = 0.90), and duration of intervention (P = 0.76) (Supplementary Material 4).

3.9 Publication bias

The LFK index showed minor asymmetry for MIP (LFK = 1.26), no asymmetry for FEV (LFK = -0.92), and FVC (LFK = -0.93) (Supplementary Material 5), indicating no obvious publication bias.

4 Discussion

This systematic review and meta-analysis found that training with IMT in elite and non-elite swimmers significantly increased MIP, this effect was not related to age, duration of intervention, or basal MIP of the participants. We also found that IMT was not associated with changes in MEP, FEV1, and FVC.

Schoenfeld et al. (40), suggested that different training regimens can maximize performance or hypertrophy in athletes. Evidence shows that different exercise volumes, intensity, and timing, induce different degrees of hypertrophy and muscular strength (41). In our study, we found a significant increase in the MIP post-IMT, when training was carried out with intensity progression. This finding is similar to those reported by Kilding et al. (8) (a study not included in the meta-analysis because its

FABLE 3 Continued

TABLE 4 Evaluation of the effect of IMT on performance, muscle strength and lung function in athlete swimmers.

First author, year		Perforr	nance—time trials (s	5)		Test
	EG			CG		
	Before	After	Befo	re	After	
Vašíčková et al. (27)	35	50	28.5		45	Apnea
Kapus (28)	30.89 ± 1.26	30.34 ± 1.78	32.26 ±	2.70	31.72 ± 2.60	Crawl 50 M
	34.93 ± 2.00	34.17 ± 2.43	35.23 ±	4.81	34.83 ± 4.99	Butterfly 50 M
	40.57 ± 2.50	39.78 ± 2.64	41.00 ±	2.72	40.23 ± 2.92	Breaststroke 50 M
	67.53 ± 3.08	66.03 ± 3.23	69.64 ±	7.09	68.10 ± 5.83	Crawl 100 M
Yañez-Sepulveda et al. (29)	29.4 ± 0.9	28.1 ± 0.8	30.0 ± 1	.6	29.9 ± 1.7	Crawl 50 M
	64.8 ± 2.1	61.9 ± 2.2	69.1 ± 4	1.5	68.3 ± 4.4	Crawl 100 M
	144.1 ± 5.2	136.8 ± 5.3	156.4 ±	8	154.4 ± 8.7	Crawl 200 M
Lomax et al. (30)	$Low = 66.6 \pm 7.2$	Low = 64.5 ±	6.0 Low = 6	56.2 ± 6.4	$Low = 65.7 \pm 6.5$	Crawl 100 M
	High = 57.3 ± 3.8	High = 59.2 ±	5.5 High =	61.7 ± 1.0	High = 62.5 ± 5.0	
	$Low = 146.9 \pm 15.0$	Low = 136.7 ±	16.6 Low = 1	146.0 ± 13.5	Low = 137.3 ± 14.8	Crawl 200 M
	High = 125.3 ± 9.6	High = 125.1	± 6.8 High =	126.1 ± 6.6	High = 130.3 ± 7.5	
Troncoso et al. (37)	31.38	32.12	N/E		N/E	Crawl 50 M
	67.11	68.52	N/E		N/E	Crawl 100 M
	141.65	142.57	N/E		N/E	Crawl 200 M
Gómez-Albareda et al. (39)	32:34.50 (01:09.26)	32:17.50 (01:2	28.49) 33:26.0	0 (00:49.41)	32:42.25 (01:21.34)	Crawl 3000 M
First author, year		Muscle	e strength—maximu	m inspiratory pr	essure (PImax) (CmH20))
		FG				CG
	Before		After		Before	After
Vašíčková et al. (27)	-124, 13	-167, 47		-147, 46		-145, 13
Kapus (28)	-110 ± 21	-173 ± 25		-114 ± 25		-133 ± 11
Yañez-Sepulveda et al. (29)	-124.8 ± 28.7	-142.8 ± 31.4		-124.5 ± 21.5	8	-123.7 ± 15.7
Lomax et al. (30)	$Low = 127.4 \pm 25.6$	$Low = 160 \pm 2$	25.3	Low = 121.3	± 24.5	$Low = 127.1 \pm 28.02$
	$High = 114 \pm 19.9$	High = 168.4	± 19.08	High = 131.2	± 23.5	$High = 147.3 \pm 24$
Ando et al. (31)	-129 ± 6	-163 ± 7		-139 ± 9		-155 ± 7
Cunha et al. (32)	-73.4	-93,0		-81.2		-99.1
Ohya et al. (33)	GE (HI) -150	-175		-158		-150
	GE (MI)-155	-178				
Shei et al. (36)	-108.2 ± 25.0	-205.6 ± 23.8		-114.0 ± 34.1	2	-119.1 ± 24.8
Troncoso et al. (37)	-154.2	-175.5		-		-
Gómez-Albareda et al. (39)	-132.75 (27.42)	-156.75 (21.8	38)	149.25 (22.8	2)	171.50 (23.74)

(Continued)

10.3389/fspor.2024.1429902

臣

TABLE 4 Continued

First author, year						Maximum	expiratory p	oressure (Pe	max) (CmH2	O)		
					E	G				С	G	
				Before			After			Before		After
Vašíčková et al. (27)	99, 48		1	110, 86		1	100, 78		1	99, 63		1
Kapus (28)	111±11			129 ± 15			123 ± 20			151 ± 36		
Lomax et al. (30)	135 ± 42			-			133 ± 28			-		
					Lung	g function						
First author, year	For	ced vital cap	oacity (FVC)	bpm	Forced	expiratory vo (FEV1	olume in on) bpm	e second		FEV1	/FVC	
	E	G	C	G	E	G	C	G	i	EG	C	G
	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After	Before	After
Kapus (28)	-	-	-	-	3.79 ± 0.43	4.09 ± 0.39	3.94 ± 1.11	3.96 ± 0.95	-	-	-	-
Yañez-Sepulveda et al. (29)	5.2 ± 0.7	5.4 ± 0.8	4.7 ± 0.7	4.8 ± 0.7	4.4 ± 0.7	4.6 ± 0.7	4.2 ± 0.6	4.2 ± 0.6	84.6 ± 7.7	84.0 ± 7.3	88.8 ± 5	88.0 ± 4.6
Lomax et al. (30)	5.23 ± 1.22	-	4.48 ± 1.25	-	4.40 ± 1.14	-	3.94 ± 1.08	N/E	84 ± 8	_	88±6	-
Cunha et al. (32)	5	5.1	4.3	4.3	4.4	4.5	-	3.7	-	_	-	-
Ohya et al. (33)	HI = 5.79	HI = 5.70	5.76	5.71	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
	MI = 5.92	MI = 5.81	-		-	-	-		-	_	_	
Wilson et al. (34)	5.90 ± 0.95	-	-	-	4.84 ± 0.81	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Mackała et al. (35)	4.23 ± 0.24	4.44 ± 0.28	4.22 ± 0.27	4.25 ± 0.26	3.36 ± 0.16	3.90 ± 0.22	3.45 ± 0.23	3.47 ± 0.23	79.49 ± 2.67	79.820 ± 3.74	81.82 ± 3.24	81.93 ± 3.05
Shei et al. (36)	5.02 ± 0.24	4.93 ± 0.27	4.94 ± 0.28	5.04 ± 0.10	4.21 ± 0.42	4.13 ± 0.34	4.31 ± 0.21	4.24 ± 0.45	-	-	-	-
Bağıran et al. (38)	4.23 ± 1.52	4.91 ± 1.12	4.29 ± 1.52	4.32 ± 1.12	3.52 ± 0.97	3.69 ± 1.32	3.52 ± 0.97	3.69 ± 1.32	90.51 ± 2.12	92.81 ± 3.27	89.37 ± 2.16	90.11 ± 1.47

EG, experimental group; CG, control group; IMT, inspiratory muscle training; HI, high intensity; MI, moderate intensity; M, meters.

	Metho	odolo	gical d	quality	y of tl	ne stu	idies o	contro	olled o	clinical	trials	(PEDro sc	ore)
First author, year	1 ^a	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	Total	Methodological quality
Vašíčková et al. (27)	-	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10	High
Kapus (28)	-	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6	Intermediate
Yañez-Sepulveda et al. (29)	-	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6	Intermediate
Lomax et al. (30)	-	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6	Intermediate
Ando et al. (31)	-	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6	Intermediate
Cunha et al. (32)	-	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	High
Ohya et al. (33)	-	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6	Intermediate
Mackała et al. (35)	-	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6	Intermediate
Shei et al. (36)	-	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6	Intermediate
Bağıran et al. (38)	-	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6	Intermediate
Gómez-Albareda et al. (39)	-	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6	Intermediate
Meth	nodolo	gical	qualit	y of p	orospe	ective	long	tudin	al obs	servatio	onal st	udies min	ors scale
First author, year	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Total
Wilson et al. (34)	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	2	17
Troncoso et al. (37)	2	1	2	2	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	2	13

TABLE 5 Methodological quality of the studies controlled clinical trials (PEDro score) and prospective longitudinal observational studies (minors scale).

PEDro scale criteria: (1) choice criteria were specified (^a- this item is not used to calculate the PEDro score), (2) subjects were randomly assigned to groups (in a crossover study, subjects were randomized as they received treatments), (3) allocation was concealed, (4) groups were similar at baseline with respect to major prognostic indicators, (5) all subjects were blinded, (6) all therapists who administered the therapy were blinded, (7) all raters who measured at least one key outcome were blinded, (8) measurements of at least one of the key outcomes were obtained from more than 85% of subjects initially assigned to groups, (9) results were presented for all subjects who received treatment or were assigned to the control group, or where this could not be, data for at least one key outcome were analyzed by "intention to treat", (10) the results of statistical comparisons between groups were reported for at least one key outcome. 1= item met, 0= item not met. Quality criteria: ≥ 7 high quality, 5-6 intermediate quality, ≤ 4 low quality.

Minors scale criteria: (1) clearly defined objective, (2) inclusion of patients consecutively, (3) prospective data collection, (4) results appropriate for the study objective according to the intention to treat, (5) unbiased outcome assessment (blinding), (6) follow-up period appropriate for study objective, (7) loss to follow-up less than 5%, (8) calculation of study sample size, 95% confidence interval, (9) an adequate control group, (10) groups managed at the same time both control and study, (11) baseline equivalence of groups, (12) adequate statistical analysis. 0 = not reported, 1 = reported but inadequate, 2 = reported and adequate. The ideal score would be 16 for non-comparative studies and 24 for comparative studies.

publication date was outside the inclusion criteria) who evaluated the effect of IMT on the performance in the 100 and 200-m free events and found an increase in both the performance and the strength of the inspiratory muscles in swimmers. Respiratory muscle training is linked to enhanced endurance performance in intermittent incremental tests, constant load tests, and time trials. It also boosts respiratory muscle endurance and strength, decreases the perceived exertion or breathlessness, and lessens respiratory fatigue during exercise in hypoxic conditions (42).

The increase in respiratory muscle strength can improve the ventilatory function of the rib cage, allowing for greater and faster thoracic excursion. It is well known that there is greater lung ventilation to higher the thoracic excursion. The thoracic wall excursion is determined by several factors, such as compliance of soft tissue structures surrounding the thorax, chest form, and respiratory muscle strength (43). The most important component of the increase in lung volume is the rise in the chest cavity's rostral-caudal diameter and the anterior-posterior and transverse diameter, as a result of the action of the diaphragm and the external and internal intercostal muscles respectively (5). The increase in lung volume has two direct effects on the alveolar-capillary membrane: it increases the area and decreases the thickness of the alveolar-capillary membrane, both processes favoring gas diffusion capacity. Stronger inspiratory muscles, reflected by increased MIP, can influence the athlete's performance associated with increased gas exchange and tissue oxygen bioavailability (44).

Although the increase in the strength of the respiratory muscles is associated with an increase in lung volumes and capacities (45), this was not reflected in our study. We did not find an increase in pulmonary function assessed by FVC and FEV1 after IMT. The fact that training mainly affects the respiratory musculature and not the resistance and distensibility of the air conduction zones of the lung could explain the no effect on the FVC and FEV, despite exerting more power and having a higher thoracic excursion (46). However, other studies in other sports disciplines have shown significant differences in lung volumes and capacities after IMT. Vasconcelos et al. (47), on basketball athletes, using a Threshold IMT® device, 5 times a week, for 4 weeks, 30 repetitions at 50% of the MIP, reported a significant change in FEV1, FVC, and peak expiratory flow. Koç et al. (48), in taekwondo athletes, showed significant changes in FVC, slow FVC, and maximal voluntary ventilation. On the other hand, during the research process of the systematic review, other forms of IMT were found, such as the use of a Respiratory Dead Space Addition Device (ARDS[®]). Szczepan et al. (49) used ARDS in recreational swimmers, for 6 weeks, twice a week, for 50 min in freestyle, however, the study reports no differences in muscle strength or spirometric parameters, and only one of the groups showed an increase in peak tidal volume.

We found that the protocols of IMT in swimmers included durations between 3 and 12 weeks, 1–2 sessions per day, 3–6 times per week, and 10–30 dynamic respiratory efforts, starting with 50% of MIP with progression up to 80% and the most

A. Study	Experimental Mean + SD	Control	МП	95%-01	Woight	MD [95% CI]
olddy	Weart SD			95%-CI	weight	
Kapus (2013)	63.0 ± 16.0	7 19.0 ± 16.9 5	44.00	[25.04; 62.96]	9.6%	
Shei (2016)	97.4 ± 34.2	3 4.8 ± 37.0 8	92.60	[57.69; 127.51]	6.1%	
Vašícková (2017) 43.3 ± 30.6 1	2 -2.3 ± 19.4 8	45.67	[23.72; 67.62]	8.9%	
Lomax (2017) ^L	32.6 ± 17.3) 5.8 ± 15.2 9	26.80	[11.76; 41.84]	10.5%	
Lomax (2017) ^H	54.4 ± 13.2	3 16.1 ± 13.4 7	38.30	[24,76: 51,84]	10.9%	
Cunha (2019)	196 + 165 1	7 178 + 261 12	2 1.80	[-14.92: 18.52]	10.1%	
Ando (2020)	340 + 142	0 120 + 148 9	22.00	[8 93; 35 07]	11.0%	T
Yañez (2021)	180 + 88	-0.8 + 12.1 = 6	18.80	[7 53: 30 07]	11.0%	
Ohva (2021) °	24.4 ± 15.3	0 -59 + 111 10	30.25	[20.65: 30.85]	11.7%	
Gomez-Arboled	$24.4 \pm 10.0 2$		1 75	[20.03, 39.03]	0.00/	
Comez Arboleo	$a(2023) 24.40 \pm 10.4$	+ 22.0 ± 0.3 4	1.75	[-10.27, 19.77]	9.0%	
Random effects	s model 1)4 78	3 29.35	[13.04; 45.65]	100.0%	
Heterogeneity: I ²	= 78%, τ ² = 293.5180, <i>p</i> < 0	.01				
Test for overall eff	ect: t ₉ = 4.07 (p < 0.01)					-100 -50 0 50 100
	Experimental	Control				
Study	Mean ± SD n	Mean ± SD n	MD	95%-CI	Weight	MD [95% CI]
Shei (2016)	0.1 ± 0.7 8	0.1 ± 0.8 8	-0.01	[-0.70: 0.68]	6.4%	
Cunha (2019)	0.1 + 0.1 17	0.0 + 0.1 + 12	0.10	[0.03:0.17]	24.2%	
Bağıran(2019)	$0.7 \pm 0.1 10$	$0.0 \pm 0.1 12$	0.65	[0.58:0.72]	24.0%	
Vañez(2021)	0.7 ± 0.1	0.0 ± 0.0 10	0.00	[0.05; 0.35]	24.0%	
Mackala (2022)	$0.0 \pm 0.2 = 0.2$	$0.1 \pm 0.1 = 0$	0.20	[0.00, 0.00]	23.6%	
	0.2 ± 0.2 14	0.0 ± 0.0 14	0.21	[0.12, 0.30]	20.070	
Random effects	s model 58	50	0.27	[-0.03; 0.58]	100.0%	
Heterogeneity: / ² Test for overall eff	= 97%, τ^2 = 0.0435, $p < 0.0^{\circ}$ ect: t_4 = 2.47 (p = 0.07)					
						-0.0-0.4-0.2 0 0.2 0.4 0.
	Experimental	Control				
Study	Mean ± SD n	Mean SD n	MD	95%-CI	Weight	MD [95% CI]
		$0.0 \pm 0.4 5$	0.28	[-0.12; 0.68]	12.9%	
Kapus (2013)	$0.3 \pm 0.1 7$	5.0 = 5 0	-0.15	[-0.82: 0.52]	6.6%	
Kapus (2013) Shei (2016)	0.3 ± 0.1 7 -0.1 + 0.6 8	0.1 ± 0.8 8	-0.15			
Kapus (2013) Shei (2016) Cunha (2019)	$0.3 \pm 0.1 7$ -0.1 ± 0.6 8 0.1 ± 0.2 17	$0.1 \pm 0.8 8$ 0.0 + 0.4 12	0.10	[-0.14: 0.34]	19.3%	
Kapus (2013) Shei (2016) Cunha (2019) Bağıran (2019)	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$0.1 \pm 0.8 8$ $0.0 \pm 0.4 12$ $0.2 \pm 0.8 10$	0.10	[-0.14; 0.34] [0.16: 1.20]	19.3% 9.5%	
Kapus (2013) Shei (2016) Cunha (2019) Bağıran (2019) Yañez(2021)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \pm 0.1 & 7 \\ -0.1 \pm 0.6 & 8 \\ 0.1 \pm 0.2 & 17 \\ 0.8 \pm 0.4 & 10 \\ 0.1 \pm 0.1 & 9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1 \pm 0.8 & 8 \\ 0.0 \pm 0.4 & 12 \\ 0.2 \pm 0.8 & 10 \\ 0.0 \pm 0.0 & 6 \end{array}$	0.10 0.68 0.06	[-0.14; 0.34] [0.16; 1.20] [-0.01; 0.13]	19.3% 9.5% 25.7%	
Kapus (2013) Shei (2016) Cunha (2019) Bağıran (2019) Yañez(2021) Mackala(2022)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \pm 0.1 & 7 \\ -0.1 \pm 0.6 & 8 \\ 0.1 \pm 0.2 & 17 \\ 0.8 \pm 0.4 & 10 \\ 0.1 \pm 0.1 & 9 \\ 0.5 \pm 0.4 & 14 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.10 0.68 0.06 0.52	[-0.14; 0.34] [0.16; 1.20] [-0.01; 0.13] [0.46: 0.58]	19.3% 9.5% 25.7% 26.0%	
Kapus (2013) Shei (2016) Cunha (2019) Bağıran (2019) Yañez(2021) Mackala(2022)	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0.3 \pm 0.1 & 7 \\ -0.1 \pm 0.6 & 8 \\ 0.1 \pm 0.2 & 17 \\ 0.8 \pm 0.4 & 10 \\ 0.1 \pm 0.1 & 9 \\ 0.5 \pm 0.1 & 14 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	0.13 0.10 0.68 0.06 0.52	[-0.14; 0.34] [0.16; 1.20] [-0.01; 0.13] [0.46; 0.58]	19.3% 9.5% 25.7% 26.0%	
Kapus (2013) Shei (2016) Cunha (2019) Bağıran (2019) Yañez(2021) Mackala(2022) Random effect:	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \pm 0.1 & 7 \\ -0.1 \pm 0.6 & 8 \\ 0.1 \pm 0.2 & 17 \\ 0.8 \pm 0.4 & 10 \\ 0.1 \pm 0.1 & 9 \\ 0.5 \pm 0.1 & 14 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	0.10 0.68 0.06 0.52 0.26	[-0.14; 0.34] [0.16; 1.20] [-0.01; 0.13] [0.46; 0.58] [-0.02; 0.54]	19.3% 9.5% 25.7% 26.0%	
Kapus (2013) Shei (2016) Cunha (2019) Bağıran (2019) Yañez(2021) Mackala(2022) Random effect: Heterogeneity: / ²	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3 \pm 0.1 & 7 \\ -0.1 \pm 0.6 & 8 \\ 0.1 \pm 0.2 & 17 \\ 0.8 \pm 0.4 & 10 \\ 0.1 \pm 0.1 & 9 \\ 0.5 \pm 0.1 & 14 \end{array}$ s model 65 = 95%, τ^2 = 0.0392, ρ < 0.0	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	0.10 0.68 0.06 0.52 0.26	[-0.14; 0.34] [0.16; 1.20] [-0.01; 0.13] [0.46; 0.58] [-0.02; 0.54]	19.3% 9.5% 25.7% 26.0% 100.0%	

Forest plots of the effect of IMT on maximal inspiratory pressure (A); forced expiratory volume (B) and forced vital capacity (C). Horizontal lines indicate confidence intervals for each study. Horizontal diamonds of blue color show overall confidence intervals and the midline in red color indicates the mean difference (MD).

common IMT device used was the Power Breathe[®]. This protocol is similar to reported in other studies as the Kilding et al. (8), in which the group of swimmers performed training for 6 weeks, 2 times a day, using Power Breathe[®], however, the progression of MIP was not described. De Asís-Fernández et al. (50), applied IMT in divers, 3 times a week for 4 weeks, once a day, with a PowerBreathe[®] device. We found that protocols of IMT sessions ranged from 10 to 30 dynamic inspiratory efforts, with an initial pressure threshold load in most studies at 50% of MIP, and a progression of intensity between 70% and 80%. Studies in other sports show similar patterns in starting intensity and progression. Cavalcante-Silva et al. (51) on soccer players used a protocol of 15–30 dynamic respiratory efforts, starting at 50% of the MIP, although the progression was not specified. In runners, Rozek-Piechura et al. (52) used a protocol of 30 repetitions, starting with 50% of the MIP and progressing to 60% of the MIP between weeks 4 and 6 and, reaching 70% in weeks 7 and 8. The above suggests that the IMT protocols in elite, and non-elite swimmers are very similar to those used in athletes' aquatic and land sports.

Our study had limitations such as not all included studies measured simultaneously performance, strength and pulmonary function tests. In addition, some studies did not perform measurements before and after the IMT intervention, which made comparative analysis challenging. A second difficulty was the classification of elite and non-elite athletes, due to different

10.3389/fspor.2024.1429902

ways of reporting details about the athlete's condition. Besides. some studies could not be included because used IMT devices other than Threshold IMT[®], or PowerBreathe[®], such as normocapnic hyperpnea or ARDS[®], or because were performed in swimmers with some disabilities (53).

The results of this meta-analysis can be valuable to designing training protocols for the respiratory muscles with IMT, especially in swimming to improve respiratory function in athletes. However, further studies are required to evaluate the effects of different IMT modalities, lung volumes, muscle strength, and their impact on technique and athletic performance.

5 Conclusions

Incorporating IMT in the training of elite and non-elite swimmers contributes to improvements in muscle strength, particularly MIP. This effect can be achieved by practicing IMT for 3–12 weeks, with 1–2 daily sessions, 3–6 times per week, performing 30 repetitions, and starting at 50% of MIP and gradually progressing up to 80% of MIP.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

MC-T: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. JO: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. AC-L: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. MD-Q: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision,

References

Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing review & editing. IG-C: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. DR-H: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. AS-O: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal Analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research has been funded by Dirección General de Investigaciones of the Universidad Santiago de Cali under call No. 01-2024.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fspor.2024. 1429902/full#supplementary-material

^{1.} Lavin KM, Guenette JA, Smoliga JM, Zavorsky GS. Controlled-frequency breath swimming improves swimming performance and running economy. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. (2015) 25(1):16–24. doi: 10.1111/sms.12140

^{2.} Shei RJ. Respiratory muscle training and aquatic sports performance. J Sports Sci Med. (2018) 17(1):161–62. Available online at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/ articles/PMC5844203/

^{3.} Illidi CR, Stang J, Melau J, Hisdal J, Stensrud T. Does cold-water endurance swimming affect pulmonary function in healthy adults? *Sports (Basel).* (2021) 9 (1):7. doi: 10.3390/sports9010007

^{4.} Yilmaz ÖF, Özdal M. Acute, chronic, and combined pulmonary responses to swimming in competitive swimmers. *Respir Physiol Neurobiol.* (2019) 259:129–35. doi: 10.1016/j.resp.2018.09.002

5. Aliverti A. The respiratory muscles during exercise. *Breathe (Sheff)*. (2016) 12 (2):165-8. doi: 10.1183/20734735.008116

6. Stickland MK, Lindinger MI, Olfert IM, Heigenhauser GJ, Hopkins SR. Pulmonary gas exchange and acid-base balance during exercise. *Compr Physiol.* (2013) 3(2):693-739. doi: 10.1002/cphy.c110048

7. Lemaitre F, Coquart JB, Chavallard F, Castres I, Mucci P, Costalat G, et al. Effect of additional respiratory muscle endurance training in young well-trained swimmers. *J Sports Sci Med.* (2013) 12(4):630–8.

8. Kilding AE, Brown S, McConnell AK. Inspiratory muscle training improves 100 and 200 m swimming performance. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* (2010) 108(3):505–11. doi: 10. 1007/s00421-009-1228-x

9. Päivinen M, Keskinen K, Tikkanen H. Swimming-induced changes in pulmonary function: special observations for clinical testing. *BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil.* (2021) 13(1):55. doi: 10.1186/s13102-021-00277-1

10. Hellyer NJ, Andreas NM, Bernstetter AS, Cieslak KR, Donahue GF, Steiner EA, et al. Comparison of diaphragm thickness measurements among postures via ultrasound imaging. *PM R.* (2017) 9(1):21–5. doi: 10.1016/j.pmrj.2016.06.001

11. Yoshida N, Ota H, Higuchi S, Sekiguchi Y, Kakihana T, Sato H, et al. Gliding performance is affected by cranial movement of abdominal organs. *Sci Rep.* (2020) 10(1):21430. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-78609-3

12. Rehder-Santos P, Minatel V, Milan-Mattos JC, Signini ÉDF, de Abreu RM, Dato CC, et al. Critical inspiratory pressure—a new methodology for evaluating and training the inspiratory musculature for recreational cyclists: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. *Trials.* (2019) 20(1):258. doi: 10.1186/s13063-019-3353-0

13. Hackett DA. Lung function and respiratory muscle adaptations of enduranceand strength-trained males. *Sports*. (2020) 8(12):160. doi: 10.3390/sports8120160

14. John LH, Bill E, Mary T, Janet S, Philip HQ. Use of forced vital capacity and forced expiratory volume in 1 s quality criteria for determining a valid test. *Eur Respir J.* (2015) 45(5):1283. doi: 10.1183/09031936.00116814

15. Moon SM, Lim JH, Hong YS, Shin KC, Lee CY, Kim DJ, et al. Clinical impact of forced vital capacity on exercise performance in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *J Thorac Dis.* (2021) 13(2):837–46. doi: 10.21037/jtd-20-1098a

16. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *Br Med J.* (2021) 372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71

17. Moseley AM, Elkins MR, Van der Wees PJ, Pinheiro MB. Using research to guide practice: the physiotherapy evidence database (PEDro). *Braz J Phys Ther.* (2020) 24 (5):384–91. doi: 10.1016/j.bjpt.2019.11.002

18. Slim K, Nini E, Forestier D, Kwiatkowski F, Panis Y, Chipponi J. Methodological index for non-randomized studies (minors): development and validation of a new instrument. *ANZ J Surg.* (2003) 73(9):712–6. doi: 10.1046/j.1445-2197.2003.02748.x

19. Jelicic KA, Vucic K, Dosenovic S, Sapunar D, Puljak L. Extracting data from figures with software was faster, with higher interrater reliability than manual extraction. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (2016) 74:119–23. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2016.01.002

20. Follmann D, Elliott P, Suh I, Cutler J. Variance imputation for overviews of clinical trials with continuous response. *J Clin Epidemiol.* (1992) 45:769–73. doi: 10. 1016/0895-4356(92)90054-q

21. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons (2019).

22. Hartung J, Knapp G. On tests of the overall treatment effect in meta-analysis with normally distributed responses. *Stat Med.* (2001) 20:1771–82. doi: 10.1002/sim.791

23. IntHout J, Ioannidis JPA, Borm GF. The Hartung-Knapp-Sidik-Jonkman method for random effects meta-analysis is straightforward and considerably outperforms the standard DerSimonian-Laird method. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* (2014) 14(25). doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-14-25

24. Furuya-Kanamori L, Barendregt JJ, Doi SAR. A new improved graphical and quantitative method for detecting bias in meta-analysis. *Int J Evid Based Healthc*. (2018) 16:195–203. doi: 10.1097/XEB.000000000000141

25. Team RC. A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available online at: https://www.r-project.org/ (Accessed February 15, 2023).

26. Balduzzi S, Rücker G, Schwarzer G. How to perform a meta-analysis with R: a practical tutorial. *Evid Based Ment Health*. (2019) 22:153–60. doi: 10.1136/ebmental-2019-300117

27. Vašíčková J, Neumannová K, Svozil Z. The effect of respiratory muscle training on fin-swimmers' performance. J Sports Sci Med. (2017) 16(4):521-6.

28. Kapus J. Effects of inspiratory muscle training on inspiratory muscle strength and sprint swimming performance in young female and male swimmers. *Kinesiol Slov.* (2013) 19(1):53–61.

29. Yañez-Sepulveda R, Alvear-Ordenes I, Tapia-Guajardo A, Verdugo-Marchese H, Cristi-Montero C, Tuesta M. Inspiratory muscle training improves the swimming performance of competitive young male sprint swimmers. J Sports Med Phys Fitness. (2021) 61(10):1348–53. doi: 10.23736/S0022-4707.21.11769-4 30. Lomax M, Kapus J, Brown PI, Faghy M. Impact of weekly swimming training distance on the ergogenicity of inspiratory muscle training in well-trained youth swimmers. *J Strength Cond Res.* (2019) 33(8):2185–93. doi: 10.1519/JSC.000000000002375

31. Ando R, Ohya T, Kusanagi K, Koizumi J, Ohnuma H, Katayama K, et al. Effect of inspiratory resistive training on diaphragm shear modulus and accessory inspiratory muscle activation. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.* (2020) 45(8):851–6. doi: 10. 1139/apnm-2019-0906

32. Cunha M, Mendes F, Paciência I, Rodolfo A, Carneiro-Leão L, Rama T, et al. The effect of inspiratory muscle training on swimming performance, inspiratory muscle strength, lung function, and perceived breathlessness in elite swimmers: a randomized controlled trial. *Porto Biomed J.* (2019) 4(6):e49. doi: 10.1097/j.pbj.0000000000000049

33. Ohya T, Kusanagi K, Koisumi J, Ando R, Katayama K, Suzuki Y. Effect of moderate- or high-intensity inspiratory muscle strength training on maximal inspiratory. *Hum Kinet J.* (2021) 17(3):343–9. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2021-0119

34. Wilson EE, McKeever TM, Lobb C, Sherriff T, Gupta L, Hearson G, et al. Respiratory muscle-specific warm-up and elite swimming performance. *Br J Sports Med.* (2014) 48(9):789–91. doi: 10.1136/bjsports-2013-092523

35. Mackała K, Kurzaj M, Okrzymowska P, Stodółka J, Coh M, Rożek-Piechura K. The effect of respiratory muscle training on the pulmonary function, lung ventilation, and endurance performance of young soccer players. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17(1):234. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17010234

36. Shei RJ, Lindley M, Chatham K, Mickleborough TD. Effect of flow-resistive inspiratory loading on pulmonary and respiratory muscle function in sub-elite swimmers. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. (2016) 56(4):392–8.

37. Troncoso G, Rojas G, González R, Vicuña M. Efecto del entrenamiento muscular inspiratorio sobre El Tiempo de nado y función pulmonar en jóvenes nadadores de alto rendimiento. *RevChiREAF*. (2021) 1(1):1–12. doi: 10.32457/reaf1.1731

38. Bağıran Y, Dağlıoğlu Ö, Bostancı Ö. The effect of respiratory muscle training on aerobic power and respiratory parameters in swimmers. *Int J Sport Exer Train Sci.* (2019) 5(4):214–20. doi: 10.18826/useeabd.647449

39. Gómez-Albareda E, Viscor G, García I. Inspiratory muscle training improves maximal inspiratory pressure without increasing performance in elite swimmers. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.* (2023) 18(3):320–5. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2022-0238

40. Schoenfeld BJ, Contreras B, Krieger J, Grgic J, Delcastillo K, Belliard R, et al. Resistance training volume enhances muscle hypertrophy but not strength in trained men. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* (2019) 51(1):94–103. doi: 10.1249/MSS.00000000001764

41. Reggiani C, Schiaffino S. Muscle hypertrophy and muscle strength: dependent or independent variables? A provocative review. *Eur J Transl Myol.* (2020) 30(3):9311. doi: 10.4081/ejtm.2020.9311

42. Kowalski T, Granda D, Klusiewicz A. Practical application of respiratory muscle training in endurance sports. *Strength Cond J.* (2024). doi: 10.1519/SSC.00000000000842

43. Padkao T, Boonla O. Relationships between respiratory muscle strength, chest wall expansion, and functional capacity in healthy nonsmokers. *J Exerc Rehabil.* (2020) 16(2):189–96. doi: 10.12965/jer.2040080.040

44. Matuszak J, Tabuchi A, Kuebler WM. Ventilation and perfusion at the alveolar level: insights from lung intravital microscopy. *Front Physiol.* (2020) 11:291. doi: 10. 3389/fphys.2020.00291

45. Shadmehri S, Kazemi N, Heydari FZ. Comparison of effect of high-intensity interval training and aerobic training on respiratory volumes in female students. *Tanaffos.* (2021) 20(4):337-44.

46. Stanojevic S, Kaminsky DA, Miller MR, Thompson B, Aliverti A, Barjaktarevic I, et al. ERS/ATS technical standard on interpretive strategies for routine lung function tests. *Eur Respir J.* (2022) 60(1):2101499. doi: 10.1183/13993003.01499-2021

47. Vasconcelos T, Hall A, Viana R. The influence of inspiratory muscle training on lung function in female basketball players—a randomized controlled trial. *Porto Biomed J.* (2017) 2(3):86–9. doi: 10.1016/j.pbj.2016.12.003

48. Koç M, Saritaş N. The effect of respiratory muscle training on aerobic and anaerobic strength in adolescent taekwondo athletes. *J Educ Train Stud.* (2019) 7 (2):103–10. doi: 10.11114/jets.v7i2.3764

49. Szczepan S, Danek N, Michalik K, Wróblewska Z, Zatoń K. Influence of a sixweek swimming training with added respiratory dead space on respiratory muscle strength and pulmonary function in recreational swimmers. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17(16):5743. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17165743

50. de Asís-Fernández F, Del Corral T, López-de-Uralde-Villanueva I. Effects of inspiratory muscle training versus high-intensity interval training on the recovery capacity after a maximal dynamic apnoea in breath-hold divers. A randomised crossover trial. *Diving Hyperb Med.* (2020) 50(4):318–24. doi: 10.28920/dhm50.4.318-324

51. Silva RLC, Hall E, Maior AS. Inspiratory muscle training improves performance of a repeated sprints ability test in professional soccer players. *J Bodyw Mov Ther.* (2019) 23(3):452–5. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2019.01.016

52. Rożek-Piechura K, Kurzaj M, Okrzymowska P, Kucharski W, Stodółka J, Maćkała K. Influence of inspiratory muscle training of various intensities on the physical performance of long-distance runners. *J Hum Kinet.* (2020) 75(1):127–37. doi: 10.2478/hukin-2020-0031

53. Okrzymowska P, Kurzaj M, Seidel W, Rożek-Piechura K. Eight weeks of inspiratory muscle training improves pulmonary function in disabled swimmers-a randomized trial. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2019) 16(10):1747. doi: 10.3390/ ijerph16101747