Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Hassane Zouhal, University of Rennes 2—Upper Brittany, France

REVIEWED BY Neslihan Akçay, Karabük University, Türkiye Joshua Berger, Deutsche Hochschule für Prävention und Gesundheitsmanagement. Germany

*CORRESPONDENCE Dejan Reljic 🖾 dejan.reljic@uk-erlangen.de

RECEIVED 29 April 2024 ACCEPTED 03 July 2024 PUBLISHED 22 July 2024

CITATION

Reljic D, Herrmann HJ and Zopf Y (2024) Commentary: Revised contraindications for the use of non-medical WB-electromyostimulation. Evidence-based German consensus recommendations. Front. Sports Act. Living 6:1425233. doi: 10.3389/fspor.2024.1425233

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Reljic, Herrmann and Zopf. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Commentary: Revised contraindications for the use of non-medical WB-electromyostimulation. Evidence-based German consensus recommendations

Dejan Reljic^{1,2*}, Hans Joachim Herrmann^{1,2} and Yurdagül Zopf^{1,2}

¹Hector-Center for Nutrition, Exercise and Sports, Department of Medicine 1—Gastroenterology, Pneumonology and Endocrinology, University Hospital Erlangen, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen, Germany, ²Department of Medicine 1—Gastroenterology, Pneumonology and Endocrinology, University Hospital Erlangen, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nürnberg, Erlangen, Germany

KEYWORDS

whole-body electromyostimulation, exercise oncology, cancer, tumor diseases, exercise safety, contraindications

A Commentary on

Revised contraindications for the use of non-medical WBelectromyostimulation. Evidence-based German consensus recommendations

By von Stengel S, Fröhlich M, Ludwig O, Eifler C, Berger J, Kleinöder H, et al. (2024). Front Sports Act Living. 6:1371723. doi: 10.3389/fspor.2024.1371723.

With great interest, we read the article by von Stengel et al. (1). This updated review provides valuable information on the safe and effective use of non-medical whole-body electromyostimulation (WB-EMS). As one of the leading groups in applying WB-EMS in chronic disease cohorts, we would like to comment on a few issues. Due to the limited possible scope, we focus our remarks on the use of WB-EMS in cancer patients.

The authors undertook a comprehensive revision of their previously published guidelines (2) and one of the significant modifications they made was the reclassification of cancer/tumor diseases from absolute to relative contraindications. Although the authors noted that there was intense discussion about completely removing cancer/tumor diseases from the contraindications catalog, these disorders remained in the list due to "*an ongoing disagreement*". In this regard, we acknowledge the authors' cautiousness when it comes to assessing the risk/benefit-ratio of using WB-EMS in oncology settings. However, based on current evidence and our extensive clinical experience with the application of WB-EMS in cancer patients, we strongly suggest that some aspects need to be reconsidered before adopting this conclusion and that the consensus recommendations would benefit from some revisions.

In general, exercise recommendations for cancer patients have evolved significantly in the last decades. While until the late 1990s, it was typically advised to rest and avoid physical activity during cancer disease, targeted exercise is now recognized as an important cornerstone in multimodal oncological treatment (3). This paradigm shift is based on a steadily growing body of research documenting the multiple benefits of physical exercise during cancer, including, for example, reduced fatigue (4–6) improved physical function (4–6), quality of life (4–6) and side effects management (4–6) as well as decreased risk of disease recurrence (5, 6) and death (5, 6). In recent years, a number of studies have expanded the knowledge in this field by evaluating the feasibility and efficacy of novel exercise interventions with different intensities, durations, and modes in cancer populations. As a result, exercise types, such as eccentric resistance training (7), blood flow restriction training (7) or high-intensity interval training (8) have been started to be considered to be safe and effective for application in oncology settings.

As part of this process, our research group has played a pioneering role in investigating the use of WB-EMS in cancer patients. Our studies have demonstrated that WB-EMS can be regarded a valuable exercise method to counteract muscle wasting and improve measures of physical functioning in patients with different types and stages of cancer (9-11). For instance, we found that 12 weeks of WB-EMS, performed twice weekly for 12 weeks, resulted in an average 0.53 kg higher skeletal muscle mass in advanced cancer patients compared to inactive control patients (10), which can be considered clinically meaningful. Moreover, WB-EMS exercise translated into improved gait velocity and 6min walking distance in a clinical trial involving patients with advanced cancer (9). From a practical point of view, it should be noted that particularly physically weak patients who are unable to perform adequate exercise training and those with certain physical impairments, such as fracture risk bone metastases, may benefit from WB-EMS as it offers a time-efficient and joint-friendly option to conventional resistance training with free weights or weight machines. In this context, we would like to highlight that WB-EMS has now been successfully used at our Center for almost 10 years in different studies and exercise programs for cancer patients without any recorded serious adverse events. Additionally, it is noteworthy that the literature (12-15) has not defined any age restrictions for the use of WB-EMS in adults, rendering it a viable exercise option for older patients.

Importantly, to date there is not a single indication that WB-EMS exercise is associated with any specific harmful effects on cancer disease progression, such as, for example, accelerated tumor growth, or general disease exacerbation. In contrast, two *in vitro* studies from our group provided promising preliminary results indicating that muscle building through WB-EMS may have an inhibitory effect on human cancer cell proliferation and viability (16, 17). In these studies, the serum from advanced-stage cancer patients with prostate or colorectal (16) and pancreatic cancer (17) was used to incubate cancer cell cultures and it was a remarkable finding that cell growth was significantly hampered, pointing to an anti-tumor effect of myokines released from the muscles stimulated by WB-EMS. Therefore, taking into account the available scientific evidence, we would like to point on the following three issues.

First, it is important to recognize that the physical abilities and limitations of cancer patients can vary widely depending on the type and stage of cancer, their overall health status, and their specific treatment. In daily clinical practice, we observe a broad spectrum of exercise tolerance among cancer patients, ranging from individuals with significant physical impairments to those without limitations and restrictions for any type of training. Consequently, listing cancer/tumor disease as a general relative contraindication for WB-EMS is too unspecific and implies a cancer-specific risk associated with this type of exercise, which is not supported by current evidence. Instead, we recommend that the present consensus guidelines align with the general safety protocols for exercise in cancer patients and adopt the contraindications defined therein (18, 19). More specifically, the absolute contraindications for exercise in cancer patients are similar to those for other chronic diseases and the cancer-related relative contraindications include anemia, thrombocytopenia, bone metastases, accompanying cardiovascular diseases or symptoms, administration of cytostatic agents on the same day, mediastinal/cardiac radiation therapy, and flu-like symptoms during immunotherapy (18, 19). Based on our practical experience, we would add two more conditions where WB-EMS should be carefully monitored and/or adjusted in oncology settings: an increased risk of lymphedema following lymph node removal and unhealed scars after surgery. However, these conditions do not represent contraindications per se, as WB-EMS can be adapted by omitting electrical stimulation on certain body parts (e.g., the abdomen).

Second, we acknowledge that the current consensus recommendations specifically address non-medical (commercial) WB-EMS, which differs from medical WB-EMS. By definition, medical WB-EMS training is "(1) a primarily therapeutic intervention, (2) based on an existing diagnosis, (3) provided by qualified therapeutic personnel, (4) in compliance with current guidelines and, (5) using medical devices" (13). In this context, we strongly advocate that cancer patients with relative contraindications for exercise (18, 19) should exclusively perform WB-EMS (as well as other types of exercise) in well-controlled medical settings. For these patients, we additionally recommend close monitoring of muscle damage markers, such as creatine kinase (CK) blood concentrations, especially during the initial weeks of exercise, to avoid muscular overloading. However, for patients without established contraindications for exercise (18, 19), we propose that WB-EMS training can be considered under less stringent conditions, provided that two criteria are met: (1) as with any other exercise intervention in chronic disease cohorts, a thorough medical examination should be conducted beforehand to rule out any general contraindications for exercise and to assess the patient's individual physical capacity; and (2) WB-EMS should only be administered by qualified sports- or physiotherapists who are trained in the use of this exercise method.

Third, we note that our two aforementioned recommended prerequisites for using WB-EMS in non-medical settings (i.e., medical clearance and qualified supervision) were used by the authors as criteria to define relative contraindications for WB-EMS. However, this approach is somewhat misleading as it suggests that WB-EMS generally constitutes a relative contraindication for all individuals who are advised to undergo a medical examination before engaging in exercise according to current guidelines (20). This includes, for example, apparently healthy adults who wish to start exercising for the first time or who want to return to sport after a prolonged period of physical inactivity.

In conclusion, we recommend the authors to reconsider their definition of relative contraindications for the use of WB-EMS. For cancer patients specifically, we suggest that WB-EMS should not be broadly categorized as a relative contraindication but rather tailored to the individual health status based on general exercise oncology guidelines. Finally, as a practical message, we encourage health professionals working with cancer patients to incorporate WB-EMS into oncology exercise programs—provided that patients have undergone proper medical clarification and that training is supervised by qualified personnel. WB-EMS is certainly not a "magic exercise bullet" but it can be a useful and safe tool for clinical exercise programs, including oncology settings.

Author contributions

DR: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Conceptualization. HH: Writing – review & editing. YZ: Writing – review & editing.

References

1. Von Stengel S, Fröhlich M, Ludwig O, Eifler C, Berger J, Kleinöder H, et al. Revised contraindications for the use of non-medical WB-electromyostimulation. Evidence-based German consensus recommendations. *Front Sports Act Living*. (2024) 6:1371723. doi: 10.3389/fspor.2024.1371723

2. Kemmler W, Weissenfels A, Willert S, Fröhlich M, Ludwig O, Berger J, et al. Recommended contraindications for the use of non-medical WB-electromyostimulation. *Dtsch Z Sportmed.* (2019) 70:278–82. doi: 10.5960/dzsm. 2019.401

3. Stout NL, Santa Mina D, Lyons KD, Robb K, Silver JK. A systematic review of rehabilitation and exercise recommendations in oncology guidelines. *CA Cancer J Clin.* (2021) 71:149–75. doi: 10.3322/caac.21639

4. De Lazzari N, Niels T, Tewes M, Götte M. A systematic review of the safety, feasibility and benefits of exercise for patients with advanced cancer. *Cancers* (*Basel*). (2021) 13:4478. doi: 10.3390/cancers13174478

5. Misiąg W, Piszczyk A, Szymańska-Chabowska A, Chabowski M. Physical activity and cancer care—a review. *Cancers (Basel).* (2022) 14:4154. doi: 10.3390/ cancers14174154

6. Wang Q, Zhou W. Roles and molecular mechanisms of physical exercise in cancer prevention and treatment. *J Sport Health Sci.* (2021) 10:201–10. doi: 10.1016/j.jshs. 2020.07.008

7. Bettariga F, Bishop C, Taaffe DR, Galvão DA, Maestroni L, Newton RU. Time to consider the potential role of alternative resistance training methods in cancer management? J Sport Health Sci. (2023) 12:715–25. doi: 10.1016/j.jshs.2023.06.007

8. Herranz-Gómez A, Cuenca-Martínez F, Suso-Martí L, Varangot-Reille C, Calatayud J, Blanco-Díaz M, et al. Effectiveness of HIIT in patients with cancer or cancer survivors: an umbrella and mapping review with meta-meta-analysis. *Scand J Med Sci Sports.* (2022) 32:1522–49. doi: 10.1111/sms.14223

9. Schink K, Gaßner H, Reljic D, Herrmann HJ, Kemmler W, Schwappacher R, et al. Assessment of gait parameters and physical function in patients with advanced cancer participating in a 12-week exercise and nutrition programme: a controlled clinical trial. *Eur J Cancer Care (Engl).* (2020) 29:e13199. doi: 10.1111/ecc.13199

10. Schink K, Herrmann HJ, Schwappacher R, Meyer J, Orlemann T, Waldmann E, et al. Effects of whole-body electromyostimulation combined with individualized nutritional support on body composition in patients with advanced cancer: a controlled pilot trial. *BMC Cancer.* (2018) 18:886. doi: 10.1186/s12885-018-4790-y

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

11. Schink K, Reljic D, Herrmann HJ, Meyer J, Mackensen A, Neurath MF, et al. Whole-body electromyostimulation combined with individualized nutritional support improves body composition in patients with hematological malignancies—a pilot study. *Front Physiol.* (2018) 9:1808. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2018.01808

12. Beier M, Schoene D, Kohl M, von Stengel S, Uder M, Kemmler W. Non-athletic cohorts enrolled in longitudinal whole-body electromyostimulation trials-an evidence map. *Sensors (Basel).* (2024) 24:972. doi: 10.3390/s24030972

13. Berger J, Fröhlich M, Kemmler W. WB-EMS market development—perspectives and threats. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2022) 19:14211. doi: 10.3390/ ijerph19211421

14. de Oliveira TMD, Felício DC, Filho JE, Fonseca DS, Durigan JLQ, Malaguti C. Effects of whole-body electromyostimulation on health indicators of older people: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *J Bodyw Mov Ther.* (2022) 31:134–45. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2022.03.010

15. Kemmler W, Shojaa M, Steele J, Berger J, Fröhlich M, Schoene D, et al. Efficacy of whole-body electromyostimulation (WB-EMS) on body composition and muscle strength in non-athletic adults. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Physiol.* (2021) 12:640657. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.640657

 Schwappacher R, Schink K, Sologub S, Dieterich W, Reljic D, Friedrich O, et al. Physical activity and advanced cancer: evidence of exercise-sensitive genes regulating prostate cancer cell proliferation and apoptosis. *J Physiol.* (2020) 598:3871–89. doi: 10. 1113/JP279150

17. Schwappacher R, Dieterich W, Reljic D, Pilarsky C, Mukhopadhyay D, Chang DK, et al. Muscle-derived cytokines reduce growth, viability and migratory activity of pancreatic cancer cells. *Cancers (Basel)*. (2021) 13:3820. doi: 10.3390/cancers13153820

18. Horneber M, Fischer I, Dimeo F, Rüffer JU, Weis J. Cancer-related fatigue: epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and treatment. *Dtsch Arztebl Int.* (2012) 109:161–72. doi: 10.3238/arztebl.2012.0161

19. Mina DS, Langelier D, Adams SC, Alibhai SMH, Chasen M, Campbell KL, et al. Exercise as part of routine cancer care. *Lancet Oncol.* (2018) 19:e433–6. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30599-0

20. Deutscher Olympischer Sportbund e.V. (DOSB). *S2k-Leitlinie Sportmedizinische Vorsorgeuntersuchung*. Frankfurt: Deutscher Olympischer Sportbund e.V. (DOSB) (2021). Available online at: https://register.awmf.org/assets/guidelines/066-002l_S2k_Sportmedizinische-Vorsorgeuntersuchung_2024-04.pdf (accessed June 15, 2024).