



Corrigendum: Optical See-Through Head-Mounted Displays With Short Focal Distance: Conditions for Mitigating Parallax-Related Registration Error

Fabrizio Cutolo 1,2*, Nadia Cattari², Umberto Fontana 1,2 and Vincenzo Ferrari 1,2

¹Information Engineering Department, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy, ²Department of Translational Research and New Technologies in Medicine and Surgery, EndoCAS Center, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

Keywords: augmented reality, optical see-through displays, registration, calibration, parallax related error

A Corrigendum on

Optical See-Through Head-Mounted Displays With Short Focal Distance: Conditions for Mitigating Parallax-Related Registration Error

by Cutolo, F., Cattari, N., Fontana, U. and Ferrari, V. (2020). Front. Robot. AI. 7:572001. doi: 10.3389/ frobt.2020.572001

OPEN ACCESS

Edited and reviewed by:

David Fofi. Université de Bourgogne, France

*Correspondence:

Fabrizio Cutolo fabrizio.cutolo@unipi.it

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Robot and Machine Vision, a section of the journal Frontiers in Robotics and Al

Received: 27 April 2022 Accepted: 28 April 2022 Published: 07 June 2022

Citation:

Cutolo F, Cattari N, Fontana U and Ferrari V (2022) Corrigendum: Optical See-Through Head-Mounted Displays With Short Focal Distance: Conditions for Mitigating Parallax-Related Registration Error. Front. Robot. Al 9:930382. doi: 10.3389/frobt.2022.930382 In the original article, there was a mistake in the content of Tables 2 and 3 as published. The values of the mean and standard deviation of the virtual-to-real overlay error in visual angles, which are reported in the third and fourth rows of Tables 2 and 3, are to be corrected. Due to a typing error within the data analysis code, we mistakenly considered an erroneous value of the average angular resolution for the eye-replacement camera. This scale factor is used to pass from the original registration errors (expressed in pixel) to the angular registration errors (in arcmin). The value of the average angular resolution is ≈ 2.67 arcmin/pixel. The corrected **Tables 2** and **3** appear below.

For the same reason, in the original article, there was an error at page 10 in the text where we reported the overall mean angular registration error. As previously stated, we considered an erroneous scale factor to convert the image registration error in pixel to the angular registration error. A correction has been made to Section 5 "Experiments and Results," Section 5.2 "Results":

"Overall, the mean image registration error, angular registration error, and absolute registration for the calibration position ||E_c|| were 5.87 px, 15.7 arcmin, and 1.57 mm."

The authors apologize for these errors and state that these do not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Cutolo, Cattari, Fontana and Ferrari. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

1

TABLE 2 | Registration error measured at different viewpoint-to-checkerboard distances for the calibration position.

Mean (σ)	Checkerboard distances (cm)															
	18–20	21-23	24–26	27-29	30-32	33–35	36–38	39–41	42-44	45–47	48–50	51-53	54–56	57–59	60-62	63–65
Image	16.7	11.9	7.1	6.4	6.6	4.9	4.4	3.7	3.6	3.8	4	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.3
Registration error (px)	(11.9)	(7.9)	(3.9)	(2.7)	(2.8)	(1.9)	(1.9)	(1.5)	(1.2)	(1)	(0.7)	(8.0)	(0.8)	(8.0)	(0.7)	(0.6)
Angular	44.5	31.7	18.9	17.0	17.7	13.1	11.9	9.8	9.8	10.3	10.6	10.9	11.3	11.0	11.4	11.6
Registration error (arcmin)	(31.5)	(21.0)	(10.4)	(7.2)	(7.5)	(5.0)	(4.9)	(3.9)	(3.2)	(2.5)	(1.9)	(2.1)	(2.1)	(2.2)	(2.0)	(1.6)
Absolute	2.8	2	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.2	1.2	1	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.9	2
Registration error (mm)	(1.9)	(1.3)	(0.7)	(0.5)	(0.6)	(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.4)	(0.4)	(0.3)

TABLE 3 | Registration error measured at different viewpoint-to-checkerboard distances for all the positions of the mounting template.

<u>Mean</u> (σ)	Checkerboard distances (cm)															
	18–20	21-23	24–26	27-29	30-32	33–35	36–38	39–41	42-44	45–47	48–50	51–53	54–56	57–59	60-62	63–65
Image	17.4	13.1	11.5	8.9	7.3	7	7.3	7	7.9	9.3	8.5	8.9	9	10.6	11.3	10.7
Registration error (px)	(8.3)	(7.3)	(5.9)	(3.7)	(3.3)	(2.2)	(2.6)	(3.2)	(3.3)	(2.3)	(4.2)	(4.4)	(5)	(4.7)	(4.8)	(5.1)
Angular	46.5	34.9	30.7	23.9	19.4	17.9	19.4	18.6	21.0	24.9	22.7	23.8	23.9	28.3	30.2	28.6
Registration error (arcmin)	(22.0)	(19.5)	(15.7)	(9.9)	(8.8)	(5.8)	(6.9)	(8.6)	(8.9)	(6.2)	(11.2)	(11.8)	(13.3)	(12.5)	(12.9)	(13.6)
Absolute	2.5	2.1	2.1	1.8	1.6	1.7	2	2	2.5	3.1	3	3.4	3.6	4.5	5	4.9
Registration error (mm)	(1.2)	(1.1)	(1.1)	(0.7)	(0.7)	(0.6)	(0.7)	(0.9)	(1)	(0.8)	(1.5)	(1.7)	(1.9)	(2)	(2.1)	(2.4)