

OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY

Frontiers in Rehabilitation Sciences Editorial Office.

Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE

Matthew A. Roberts

⊠ robe0290@umn.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Disability, Rehabilitation, and Inclusion, a section of the journal Frontiers in Rehabilitation Sciences

RECEIVED 22 March 2023 ACCEPTED 23 March 2023 PUBLISHED 12 April 2023

CITATION

Roberts MA and Abery BH (2023) Corrigendum: A person-centered approach to home and community-based services outcome measurement.

Front. Rehabil. Sci. 4:1192058. doi: 10.3389/fresc.2023.1192058

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Roberts and Abery. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY).

The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: A person-centered approach to home and community-based services outcome measurement

Matthew A. Roberts* and Brian H. Abery

Institute on Community Integration, Rehabilitation Research and Training Center on HCBS Outcome Measurement, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, United States

KEYWORDS

HCBS, outcome measurement, measure development, person-centered analysis/approach, person-reported outcome, home and community-based services, person-centered measurement

A Corrigendum on

A person-centered approach to home and community-based services outcome measurement

In the published article, there was an error. The description of the CQL Personal Outcome Measures was inaccurate.

A correction has been made to **The need for new approaches to HCBS outcome measurement**, paragraph three. This paragraph previously stated:

"CQL's Personal Outcome Measures (12) although one of the better developed and validated HCBS Outcome tools is part of a commercially available system of assessment and quality improvement. It has been validated with a much wider variety of people with disabilities than the NCI and possesses good psychometric properties. However, the instrument is time-consuming with respect to administration (715 items; 12) limiting its feasibility for many providers. In addition, the CQL-POM, as part of a quality improvement package, is quite expensive to use with onsite administration training alone costing \$7,000."

The corrected paragraph appears below:

"CQL's Personal Outcome Measures (12) is one of the better-developed and validated HCBS Outcome tools. It is part of a commercially available system of assessment that can be used to support provider quality improvement efforts. It has been validated with a much wider variety of people with disabilities than the NCI and possesses good psychometric properties. The instrument is administered in a conversational manner to people with disabilities and includes 171 required items. A manual describing administrative procedures and items is accessible online with training available both in-person and online for organizations interested in using this tool."

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.