Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Adria Quigley, Dalhousie University, Canada

REVIEWED BY Alessandro Giustini, Istituto di Riabilitazione Santo Stefano, Italy Md. Habibur Rahman, Yonsei University, South Korea

*CORRESPONDENCE Taslim Uddin ⊠ taslimpmr@gmail.com

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Strengthening Rehabilitation in Health Systems, a section of the journal Frontiers in Rehabilitation Sciences

RECEIVED 22 July 2022 ACCEPTED 02 January 2023 PUBLISHED 25 January 2023

CITATION

Uddin T, Ahmed B and Shoma FK (2023) Relations between indoor rehabilitation and basic health services in a developing country. Front. Rehabil. Sci. 4:1001084. doi: 10.3389/fresc.2023.1001084

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Uddin, Ahmed and Shoma. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Relations between indoor rehabilitation and basic health services in a developing country

Taslim Uddin^{*}, Badrunnessa Ahmed and Farzana Khan Shoma

Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU), Dhaka, Bangladesh

Background: and Introduction: Physical rehabilitation is vital for patients to regain maximum function. Approximately 80% of people with a disability live in developing countries, where they face multiple challenges in rehabilitation. The goal of the study was to conduct an analysis of indoor rehabilitation programs based on the demographics and medical conditions of the admitted patients and to relate to the available basic health and rehabilitation facilities.

Methods: This was a mixed method study conducted in an inpatient rehabilitation ward of a tertiary level academic university hospital in a developing country. All admitted patients who stayed for a period of minimum two weeks were included in the study. Demographic and clinical data were obtained by means of a retrospective medical record review utilizing a standardized data extraction form. The study was further strengthened by an online literature search for the available documents for analysis, relation, and discussion.

Results: Among the 1,309 admitted patients was male- female ratio was 10:7, with the majority (31.4%) cases falling between the ages of 46 and 60yrs. Rehabilitation outpatient department was the principal mode of admission (78%), and musculoskeletal and neurological conditions represented the maximum number (79.8%). Majority of patients (60.8%) were discharged home on completion of the rehabilitation program with a large number of patients who were absconded. Poor health budget allocation and lack of prioritization of the rehabilitation sector face multiple challenges, including the rehabilitation team functioning resources, space crisis for expansion which was further impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic.

Conclusions: The country's current health-related rehabilitation process and sociodemographic variables have a negative relationship. There was a large number of missing data in the medical records and many patients were lost prematurely from the indoor rehabilitation program. Musculoskeletal disorders were common, and the majority of patients were discharged home once the program was completed.

KEYWORDS

inpatient rehabilitation, developing country, demographic profile, medical conditions, functional outcome, duration of hospital stay, mode of disposal

Introduction

The World Health Organization (WHO) defines rehabilitation as a combination of interventions meant to improve functioning and decrease impairment in people with health complications (1). In some low and middle-income countries (LMIC), more than 50% of people do not receive the rehabilitation services they require (2). The need for rehabilitation worldwide is predicted to increase because of improvements in acute care services and because people are living longer. In recent years, there has been an increased demand for inpatient rehabilitation services, and rehabilitation services are also amongst the health services most severely disrupted by the COVID-19 pandemic, where expansion of services was advocated (3, 4).

Health-related rehabilitation is beneficial for all age groups to become independent of daily living activities. Physical rehabilitation is vital for patients to regain maximum function, and many of the attending patients, including stroke, spinal cord injury, traumatic brain injury, sports trauma, and postsurgical conditions, require a period of comprehensive rehabilitation in an indoor facility (5).

Health care professionals, health care facilities, medicine devices, and other technologies, including information systems and financing, are the five fundamental components for high-quality health services (6).

Following admission to a postacute rehabilitation care facility, where rehabilitation services are provided by a team of skilled professionals, it can help achieve the best possible outcome, preventing complications, facilitating recovery, optimizing medical therapy, and lowering healthcare costs (7). When patients with disabilities use more extensive rehabilitation services, including work hardening programs, in the post-acute care setting, they are more likely to be discharged to the community and make greater functional progress (8).

According to a recent survey in Bangladesh, 3.29% of men and 2.34% of women in the country have some form of disability, and most of them are physically challenged (9). Approximately 80% of the global population with disabilities lives in developing countries. Bangladesh is a lower-middle-income country with a dense population that faces numerous challenges in basic health and rehabilitation care (10).

Earlier studies of an indoor rehabilitation service described the clinical profiles of disability conditions being managed from a developing country's perspective (11). Few studies have been conducted to evaluate the effects of long-term and comprehensive inpatient rehabilitation on returning to a meaningful occupation, work hardening, gaining employment, or achieving a degree of independence in daily living activities. And there was no study available stating the demographic and medical conditions on a large scale in this kind of setting. Acute and critical care services have been addressed by the government of Bangladesh and BSMMU. However, postacute services, especially rehabilitation, were not categorized as a priority specialty. PMR was described as a fast-growing and popular specialty in Bangladesh, with a dedicated indoor facility started at BSMMU and other institutes (12). Since then, there has been a need to know about the demographic profile, nature of the conditions managed, and the functional outcome of the admitted patients in this tertiary academic hospital rehabilitation facility. There were recommendations for more research on the role of the post-acute care indoor setting and its attributes in determining health outcomes (13). The goal of the present study was to conduct an analysis of indoor rehabilitation programs in a developing country based on the demographics and medical conditions of admitted patients. It was also aimed at relating the rehabilitation process to the basic health and rehabilitation perspectives of the entity. We expect that this study will strengthen the medical information system and will assist policymakers in enacting policies to track and enhance functional results.

Methods

Objectives: To present an analysis of the indoor rehabilitation programme and to relate the findings to the basic sociodemographic and rehabilitation status of Bangladesh.

Study design: a mixed-method study comprising a cross-sectional study and a literature review. The online literature search was conducted using PubMed, Google scholar, and Banglajol with the key words "Inpatient Rehabilitation", "Bangladesh", "Rehabilitation", and "Developing Country" for the available documents for analysis and discussion.

Setting: The cross-sectional study was done in the PMR inpatient rehabilitation wards of BSMMU—a tertiary-level academic university hospital in Dhaka city during the period of June 2015 to December 2019.

Demographic and clinical data were obtained by means of a retrospective medical record review utilizing a standardized data extraction form with institutional ethical board permission. All admitted patients, both male and female, who stayed for a period of a minimum of two weeks were included in the study. Subjects who expired, could not complete the 2-week rehabilitation program, or who had an incomplete data set were excluded from the study.

Statistical methods

Data was compiled and coded in SPSS Version 26 and analysis was done through quantitative approaches. Descriptive statistics were calculated. Categorical variables were tabulated asfrequencies and percentages and continuous variables as mean, medians, and standard deviations (SD). Prior to the main analysis, the dataset was assessed for non-normality. The results were expressed as frequency, mean, and percentage in tables, graphs, etc.

Results

A total of 1,309 patients were admitted to the rehabilitation ward between June 2015 and December 2019. There were 770 males and 539 females with a male-to-female ratio of 10:7.

Figure 1 depicts the age distribution of hospitalized patients over a five-year period, with the majority of 411 (31.4%) cases falling between the ages of 46 and 60 yrs.

The rehabilitation outpatient department (OPD) was the most common mode of admission (78%), and the majority of patients (60.8%) were discharged home following a period of rehabilitation. **Table 1** depicts the modes of admission and discharge or disposal of the rehabilitation facility. Information on the duration of hospital stay was available in 565 (43.1%) subjects, of which a maximum number (34.4%) of patients stayed for 15–21 days.

Figure 2 shows the clinical characteristics and diagnoses of admitted patients. Musculoskeletal conditions, neurological conditions, and rheumatological conditions constituted the



maximum number (79.8%) of admissions, and there was a lower number of admissions with posttraumatic and traumatic conditions.

There is a wide gap in information about the health and rehabilitation sector in general. Poor health sector budget al.location and lack of prioritization of the rehabilitation sector face multiple challenges, including the rehabilitation team's functioning resources, infrastructure, and settings, which were further impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. Basic health and rehabilitation indicators are placed in Box 1.

Discussions

The WHO Rehabilitation 2030 initiative expects rehabilitation should be available at all stages of life and builds a comprehensive rehabilitation service delivery model to achieve equitable access to quality services (17). However, the speed and volume of development were slower in this country than the rehabilitation in other comparable regions of the world (18, 19).

Mode of admission in the inpatient rehabilitation facility

Out of 1,309 patients, 1,023 (78.1%) patients were admitted through PMR OPD (Table 1), primarily screened for eligibility for admission by an associate professor or consultant assisted by a senior medical officer or resident. Most of these patients had multiple complications at admission. Many of the subjects were received with a discharge certificate from acute care facilities without referral to rehabilitation. This was in contrast to other facilities where most of the admissions for inpatient rehabilitation are from indoor transfers (20) and many of the chronic patients, including stroke survivors, were not assessed by any type of rehabilitation even in the developed world (21). This could be multifactorial, including misconceptions about physical therapy (PT) and physical rehabilitation medicine (PMR) being interchangeable terms, and many of the referring consultants are unaware of the distinctions or lack knowledge of the benefits of early rehabilitation (22).

Mode of discharge and disposal, including absconded patients

The main goal of a course of inpatient rehabilitation is to discharge the patient back home or to the community. In this study, most of the patients (68.8%) were discharged home (Table 1) and 14 patients (1.06%) were absconded while doing inpatient rehabilitation. Discharge on request and discharge on the risk bond accounted for approximately 7.63% of the subjects, indicating that a significant number of patients left the inpatient rehabilitation program prematurely. The number of absconded patients seems to be higher in this study. The patient absconding from the hospital while on a course of treatment was described as one of the important health and security problems (23). However, the earlier studies stated absconding issues were in general hospitals and in psychiatric facilities (23, 24). We assume no health insurance and difficulty in bearing treatment costs, prolonged hospital stays without family earnings, and feeling the load of the number of routine therapy modalities and sessions while staying at this inpatient facility.

Clinical characteristics and diagnosis of the admitted patients

Of the 727 patients examined for the broad heading diagnosis and clinical conditions, more than one-third (37.3%) of the

TABLE 1 Mode of Admission and Discharge ($n = 1309$).	
---	--

Mode of admission			Mode of discharge and disposal				
Parameter	Number	Percentage	Parameter	Number	Percentage		
Through OPD	1023	78.15%	Discharge home	796	60.80%		
Indoor Transfer	32	2.44%	Discharge on request	78	5.95%		
Through emergency	18	1.37%	Discharge with risk bond	22	1.68%		
Data Missing**	236	18.02	Absconded*	14	1.06%		
			Data missing**	270	20.62%		
			Transfer out	117	8.93%		
			Expired	12	0.91%		



BOX 1 Basic sociodemographic, health, and Rehabilitation indicators of Bangladesh (10, 14–16).

- *Bangladesh LMIC in South Asia
- population- 168,500,000, population density –1265/km²
- median age 27.6 years and life expectancy 72.59 years
- Per capita health care spending -42 US Dollar with 70% out of pocket expenditure
- Hospital Beds -08 hospital beds per 10,000 population
- Doctors and Nurses 0.5 doctors and 0.2 nurses/1,000 people
- 6.8 rehabilitation unit/million people
- Rehabilitation Physicians- Total 250
- *Premedical staff including rehabilitation therapists -9.4 PT,
 1.3 OT, 0.9 SLT, 0.2 P&O/million people

*LMIC-Lower-Middle -Income Country, PT-Physiotherapist, OT-Occupational Therapist, SLT-Speech language therapist and P&O-Prosthetics and orthotics

subjects were admitted with a diagnosis of musculoskeletal (MSK) disorder (Figure 2), although many of the patients had multiple comorbidities and diagnoses. This could be due to the major MSK practice patterns of our physiatrists and less attention to other disabling conditions, including SCI and TBI (25). In some other studies, mobility was the commonest form of disability (23). However, many advanced centers in the world may not provide all categories of rehabilitation services under one roof. Some of the rehabilitation centers concentrate mostly on the regional priority conditions, for example, dedicated spinal cord injury rehabilitation, stroke rehabilitation, or orthopedic and trauma rehabilitation (26). One earlier study described diagnosis at admission as a determinant factor for better rehabilitation outcomes (27). Another important issue was that 11.5% of the patient's clinical information was missing in our study. Inadequate medical record keeping and missing clinical information are a real problem in developing countries. This was also a problem in the advanced centers, including the National Health Services in the United Kingdom (28). BOX 2 Challenges and barriers identified for inpatient rehabilitation.

- timely referrals from acute care facilities
- rehabilitation team member constraints and team management difficulties
- a massive workload
- a lack of adequate time allocation for individualized or group therapy
- no insurance and universal health coverage.

Duration of hospital stay

The length of stay and rehabilitation outcomes at a rehabilitation facility are largely governed by multiple factors (29, 30). In our study, the maximum number of patients stayed at the indoor facility for about 18 days, and this facility admitted multiple and varied conditions as stated above. A study described by the Center for Medicare Advocacy showed that the average length of stay in postacute care for all clinical categories of rehabilitation was 12.4 days (31). They admitted mostly the three clinical categories of patients, including lower extremity joint replacement (hip/knee), stroke, and hip fracture, which were infrequent in our study.

Challenges and barriers identified for inpatient rehabilitation

The barriers and challenges for inpatient rehabilitation of this LMIC rehabilitation facility were summarized in Box 2.

Conclusions

The current national health-related rehabilitation process and socio-demographic variables have a negative relationship. OPD provided the main source of inpatient rehabilitation. Despite a large number of missing data, MSK conditions constituted the maximum number, and the majority of the patients completed two-week programs and were discharged home. The study provided an overview of inpatient rehabilitation in an LMIC setting, which might be useful for setting rehabilitation goals and administering rehabilitation therapy modalities. and facilitating discharge planning. Indoor rehabilitation should align with the national model of health care. Further research at a multicenter based in a cross-cultural rehabilitation setting is recommended.

Limitations of the study

The principal limitation of the study was a failure to retrieve a large number of participants' information on different

characteristics, including mode of admission and discharge, and clinical characteristics.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

1. Concept, Design, protocol development, Ethical issues, data gathering, and manuscript writing - TU, 2. Protocol Development, Data gathering, Quality control, manuscript development, and finalization for publication -BA. 3. Literature Review, supervision, data analysis, and manuscript writing - FKS. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

References

1. World Health Organization (WHO). Disability. Assessed April 2022. Available https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/rehabilitation

2. World Health Organization. Accessed 10 November 2021. Available https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/rehabilitation

3. Weisz G. Baltimore (MD): Johns Hopkins University Press; (2014). Chronic Disease in the Twentieth Century: A History. Available https://scholar.google.com/scholar? q=Weisz+G+Chronic+Disease+in+the+Twentieth+Century:+A + History+2014 + Baltimore +(MD)+Johns + Hopkins + University + Press+

4. Uddin T, Rahim HR, Khandaker MN. The impact of COVID-19 and the challenges of post- COVID rehabilitation in a developing country. *Front Rehabilit Sci.* (2021) 2022 (2):746061. doi: 10.3389/fresc.2021.746061

5. Wang CY, Chen YC, Wang CH. Early rehabilitation in acute care inpatient wards may be crucial to functional recovery 3 months after ischemic stroke. *Phys Ther.* (2021) 101(1):pzaa197. doi: 10.1093/ptj/pzaa197

6. World Health Organization. Accessed July 12th, 2022, available https://apps.who. int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/272465/9789241513906-eng.pdf

7. Wang YC, Chou MY, Liang CK, Peng LN, Chen LK, Loh CH. Post-Acute care as a key component in a healthcare system for older adults. *Ann Geriatr Med Res.* (2019) 23 (2):54–62. doi: 10.4235/agmr.19.0009

8. Zhang T, Jambhekar B, Kumar A, Rizvi SA, Resnik L, Shireman TI. Assessing the effects of postacute rehabilitation services on health care outcomes for people with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler Relat Disord.* (2019) 30:277–83. doi: 10.1016/j.msard.2019.03.003

9. Bangladesh Bureau of Statistics (BBS 2022). A national survey of persons with disabilities (NSPD) 2022. Chapter 3, page 19. Government of Bangladesh. Available. http://bbs.portal.gov.bd/sites/default/files/files/bbs.portal.gov.bd/page/b343a8b4_956b_45ca_872f_4cf9b2f1a6e0/2022-06-13-15-24-ca6f018ab83c88a4db8ff51386439794.pdf

10. Uddin T, Islam MT, Rathore FA, O'Connell C. Disability and rehabilitation medicine in Bangladesh: current scenario and future perspectives. *J Int Soc Phys Rehabil Med.* (2019) 2:168–77. Available at: http://www.jisprm.org/text.asp?2019/2/4/ 168/272897 doi: 10.4103/jisprm.jisprm_61_19

11. Khan AA, Chowdhury MZA, Moin Uddin M, Sultana N, Chowdhury AH. Clinical profile of indoor patients in the department of physical medicine and rehabilitation, chittagong medical college hospital. *Chattagram Maa-O-Shishu Hospital Medical College Journal*. (2014) 13(1):36–8. doi: 10.3329/cmoshmcj.v13i1.19416

12. Uddin T, Khasru MR, Islam MT, Emran MA, Rahman MS, Shakoor MA, et al. Rehabilitation in Bangladesh. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am.* (2019) 30(4):795–805. doi: 10.1016/j.pmr.2019.07.005

13. Downer B, Knox S, Chen Wong D, Faieta J, Krishnan S. Postacute care: a guide for people with dementia and their caregiver. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* (2021) 102(5):1041–4. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2021.01.065

Acknowledgements

AT, Department of Public Health and Informatics at Bangabandhu SM Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh performed the statistical analysis for this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

14. Bangladesh Investment Development Authority (BIDA). Accessed September 05th 2022. Available https://bida.gov.bd/healthcare

15. Al Imam MH, Jahan I, Das MC, Muhit M, Akbar D, Badawi N, et al. Situation analysis of rehabilitation services for persons with disabilities in Bangladesh: identifying service gaps and scopes for improvement. *Disabil Rehabil.* (2022) 44 (19):5571–84. doi: 10.1080/09638288.2021.1939799

16. Chowdhury AMR, Bhuiya A, Chowdhury ME, Rasheed S, Hussain Z, Chen LC. The Bangladesh paradox: exceptional health achievement despite economic poverty. *Lancet.* (2013) 382(9906):1734–45. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62148-0

17. World Health Organization (WHO). Accessed 30th August 2022, available https://www.who.int/initiatives/rehabilitation-2030

18. Guzman JM, Salazar EG. Disability and rehabilitation in Mexico. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. (2014) 93(1 Suppl 1):S36–8. doi: 10.1097/PHM.0000000000000019 https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24356080/

19. da Silva DB, dos Santos Sixel TR, de Almeida Medeiros A, dos Santos Mota PH, Bousquat A, Basso Schmitt AC. Workforce for rehabilitation in primary health care in Brazil. *Hum Resour Health.* (2021) 19(127). doi: 10.1186/s12960-021-00669-x

20. Qureshi AZ, Jenkins RM, Williamson LF. The broken link: admission criteria for inpatient rehabilitation and some common misconceptions. *Saudi J Med Med Sci.* (2016) 4(3):233–7. doi: 10.4103/1658-631X.188257

21. Alcusky M, Ulbricht CM, Lapane KL. Postacute care setting, facility characteristics, and poststroke outcomes: a systematic Review. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. (2018) 99 (6):1124–1140.e9. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2017.09.005

22. American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. Accessed July 20,2022. https://www.aapmr.org/career-support/medical-student-resources/a-medical-students-guide-to-pm-r/what-is-the-difference-between-physical-therapy-and-physiatry

23. Moradpour M, Amiresmaili M, Nekoei-Moghadam M, Dehesh T. The reasons why patients abscond from public hospitals in southeastern Iran: a qualitative study. *Arch Public Health.* (2021) 79(106). doi: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13690-021-00634-z

24. Wilkie T, Penney SR, Fernane S, Simpson AI. Characteristics and motivations of absconders from forensic mental health services: a case-control study. *BMC Psychiatry*. (2014) 14:91. doi: 10.1186/1471-244X-14-91

25. Uddin T, Kamal T, Azad A, Islam M, Yousuf J, Moyeenuzzaman M. Fellowship trainee research activities of a faculty of Bangladesh college of physicians and surgeons: analysis of 30 years dissertation work and recommendations for further improvements. *J Bangladesh Coll Phys and Surg.* (2022) 40(40):51–6. doi: 10.3329/jbcps.v40i40.59908

26. Dhakal R, Grooves CC. Rehabilitation in Nepal. Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am. (2019) 30(4):787–94. doi: 10.1016/j.pmr.2019.07.004

27. Bejor M, Ramella FC, Toffola ED, Comelli M, Chiappedi M. Inpatient rehabilitation outcome: a matter of diagnosis? *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat.* (2013) 2013 (9):253–7. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S39922

28. Burnett SJ, Deelchand V, Franklin BD, Moorthy K, Vincent C. Missing clinical information in NHS hospital outpatient clinics: prevalence, causes and effects on patient care. *BMC Health Serv Res.* (2011) 11:114. doi: 10.1186/1472-6963-11-114

29. Kumar A, Rahman M, Trivedi AN, Resnik L, Gozalo P, Mor V. Comparing postacute rehabilitation use, length of stay, and outcomes experienced by medicare fee-for-service and medicare advantage beneficiaries with hip fractures in the United

States: a secondary analysis of administrative data. PLoS Med. (2018) 15(6):e1002592. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1002592

30. Everink IHJ, van Haastregt JCM, van Hoof SJM, Schols Jos MG, Kempen GIJM. Factors influencing home discharge after inpatient rehabilitation of older patients: a systematic review. *BMC Geriatr.* (2016) 16:5. doi: 10.1186/s12877-016-0187-4

31. Center for Medicare Advocacy. Inpatient Rehabilitation Facilities and Skilled Nursing facilities: Vive La Difference. Access May 12th 2022. Available https:// medicareadvocacy.org/inpatient-rehabilitation-facilities-and-skilled-nursing-facilities-vive-la-difference/#_edn2