Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Christopher Williams, State University of New York, United States

REVIEWED BY Giorgia Varallo, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Italy Uddip Talukdar, Fakhruddin Ali Ahmed Medical College and Hospital, India

*CORRESPONDENCE Kenta Wakaizumi ⊠ kwaka@keio.jp

RECEIVED 17 August 2024 ACCEPTED 15 November 2024 PUBLISHED 12 December 2024

CITATION

Wakaizumi K, Tanaka C, Shinohara Y, Wu Y, Takaoka S, Kawate M, Oka H and Matsudaira K (2024) Geographical variation in high-impact chronic pain and psychological associations at the regional level: a multilevel analysis of a large-scale internet-based cross-sectional survey. *Front. Public Health* 12:1482177. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1482177

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Wakaizumi, Tanaka, Shinohara, Wu, Takaoka, Kawate, Oka and Matsudaira. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Geographical variation in high-impact chronic pain and psychological associations at the regional level: a multilevel analysis of a large-scale internet-based cross-sectional survey

Kenta Wakaizumi^{1,2}*, Chisato Tanaka^{1,2}, Yuta Shinohara^{1,2}, Yihuan Wu^{1,2}, Saki Takaoka^{1,2}, Morihiko Kawate^{1,2}, Hiroyuki Oka³ and Ko Matsudaira⁴

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan, ²Interdisciplinary Pain Center, Keio University Hospital, Tokyo, Japan, ³Division of Musculoskeletal Al System Development, Faculty of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan, ⁴Department of Pain Medicine, Fukushima Medical University School of Medicine, Fukushima, Japan

Background: A geographical analysis could be employed to uncover social risk factors and interventions linked to chronic pain. Nonetheless, geographical variation in chronic pain across different regions of Japan have not been well explored. This study aims to investigate geographical variation in high-impact chronic pain (HICP), defined as moderate to severe chronic pain, and examine the associated psychological factors at the prefecture level.

Methods: A cross-sectional Internet-based survey involving 52,353 participants was conducted to assess chronic pain conditions, stress levels, mood states, educational levels, living status, regions, sleep duration, and exercise habits. A geographical analysis evaluated the prevalence of HICP at the prefecture level, and a multilevel analysis explored the risk factors for HICP at both individual and prefecture levels.

Results: The geographical analysis revealed that Fukushima exhibited the highest HICP prevalence (23.2%; *z*-score = 2.11), Oita ranked second (23.0%; *z*-score = 2.00), and Okinawa showed the lowest prevalence (14.9%; *z*-score = -2.45). Geographical maps of Japan indicated that regional-level subjective stress, negative emotions, and short sleep were associated with higher HICP prevalence. In contrast, positive emotions, such as vigor, were associated with lower prevalence. Multilevel analysis revealed a significant improvement in model fit after incorporating psychological factors at the prefecture level (*p* < 0.001) and identified significant associations between high subjective stress and low vigor at the prefecture level with HICP prevalence (*p* < 0.001).

Conclusion: There are regional differences in HICP prevalence, and at the prefecture level, subjective stress and vigor are associated with HICP.

KEYWORDS

high impact chronic pain, geographical variation, subjective stress, profile of mood states, multilevel analysis

1 Introduction

Chronic pain, defined as persistent pain for at least 3 months (1), is a public health issue with a high prevalence rate and poses a large socioeconomic burden (2). Conditions such as lower back pain, neck and shoulder pain, fibromyalgia, headache, and arthritis are particularly common, leading to both physical and psychological impairment, and diminished quality of life (3). Importantly, chronic pain is a multifactorial experience, with increasing evidence supporting the role of psychosocial factors alongside physical contributors in its development, persistence, and severity (4, 5). Lower back pain, neck and shoulder pain, headache, and arthritis are common chronic pain conditions associated with physical and psychological disability and reduced quality of life. Importantly, chronic pain is multifactorial; therefore, a psychosocial approach, in addition to a physical one, is often necessary for effective treatment and management of pain (6). In fact, several studies have demonstrated that social support is associated with reduced pain severity and less pain-related disability in individuals with chronic pain (7, 8). However, guidelines on chronic pain published by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence in the United Kingdom (9) concluded that there is no evidence to support the use of social interventions in the management of chronic pain. The committee has recommended further research to obtain high-quality evidence on this topic.

Regional variation in pain have not been well studied, although geographical comparisons could help identify social determinants that influence pain levels. Prefecture is the term for an administrative division in Japan, commonly referring to the 47 regional administrative areas that include Tokyo, Hokkaido, Osaka, Kyoto, and the other 43 prefectures. Prefectures are characterized by different climates, lifestyles, economies, and other factors. Using data from 12,883 individuals aged 65 years and older living in 58 municipalities, Yamada et al. showed that there was a 1.89-fold difference in the prevalence of chronic musculoskeletal pain (10). However, while the municipalities included in this study were distributed across Japan, they did not include all prefectures. Moreover, regional variation in the working-age population was still unclear. Zajacova et al. also identified geographical variation in pain using data from an Internetbased survey in the United States and Canada (11). The difference is partly related to the poorer economic conditions of North Americans. Although the total number of respondents in the above study was relatively small and some states and provinces had only a few respondents, which was not sufficient to fully represent the regions, geographical variation may still indicate social determinants associated with chronic pain.

Thus, studies of regional variation in chronic pain are important to identify social risk factors and clues for social interventions. However, homogeneous, large-scale epidemiological data are required to provide a large enough sample size to calculate the average scores in each region. Japan has a landmass that stretches from east to west and from north to south, a sufficient population, and the necessary infrastructure to collect research data is available throughout the country. In addition, the basic level of education of the population is high enough that questionnaire surveys are feasible for the majority of the population. Therefore, it is possible to investigate regional variation in Japan if large-scale data can be collected using the Internet. This study used previously obtained cross-sectional Internet-based data that included a sufficient number of participants from each prefecture in Japan (12). This study aimed to detect regional differences in moderate-to-severe chronic pain and to examine the psychosocial factors associated with such pain at the prefecture level.

2 Methods

2.1 Ethical concerns

The present study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of Tokyo (approval number: 2018132NI) and was conducted following the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki of 1964 and its later amendments, and an ethical guideline for medical and health research involving human subjects issued by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare.

2.2 Study population

A web-based survey was conducted involving the general population of Japan aged 20-64 years in February 2015. Participants were recruited from approximately 1.37 million individuals across Japan, who have voluntarily registered, through an Internet research company, United Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). Out of the eligible participants, 270,000 individuals were selected for each sex, age, and prefecture category using simple random sampling. They were invited to complete a series of questionnaires online, and the distribution of the questionnaire was completed when the number of respondents met the predetermined target for each category of sex, age, and prefecture, which was established in advance according to the population distribution. After providing their consent, 52,353 people voluntarily responded to the survey without any missing values or personally identifiable information. The mean age of the participants was 42.7 years, with a standard deviation (SD) of 12.1 years, and the proportion of women in the sample was 49.9%.

2.3 Measures

Participants reported average pain intensity over the previous 4 weeks on the Numerical Rating Scale (NRS), where "0" corresponds to no pain and "10" indicates the worst possible pain, pain duration (<3 or \geq 3 months), and painful sites (multiple answers allowed out of three major pain sites: lower back, neck, and knees). High-impact chronic pain (HICP) was defined as moderate-to-severe chronic pain with a pain intensity of $\geq 4/10$ on the NRS and a pain duration of \geq 3 months. The remaining individuals with pain were categorized as having low-impact pain (LIP), which is not as severe a pain condition as HICP. All individuals completed the 11-scale subjective stress questionnaire (0: no stress, to 10: worst imaginable) over the past 4 weeks and the Profile of Mood States (POMS)-Brief Form, Japanese version (13). The POMS is a 30-item questionnaire assessing the mood of individuals based on the following six mood construct domains: Tension-Anxiety, Depression-Dejection, Anger-Hostility, Fatigue, Confusion, and Vigor. Each item is rated on a five-point scale, and the score for each domain ranges from 0 to 20; higher scores indicate

more disturbances, except for the Vigor domain. Individuals who reported an educational level less than a high-school degree were categorized into a low-education group. The following characteristics were also investigated: body mass index (BMI), smoking status (current smoker or non-smoker), marital status (married, never married, divorced, or widowed), living status (alone or with family), living area (47 Japanese prefectures), sleep duration (<5 h; \geq 5, <6 h; \geq 6 h; <7 h, <8 h; \geq 8 h; <9 h; or \geq 9 h), and levels of frequency of at least 30-min of exercise habits in the previous year (at least twice per week; once per week; a few times per month; no exercise habits).

2.4 Geographical assessment of participant characteristics and measures

Region-based averages were calculated as an age- and sex-adjusted mean value since there was significant regional variation in age and sex across prefectures. The averages were plotted on a Japanese heat map corresponding to 47 prefectures with respect to the prevalence of HICP and pain-related characteristics, including Subjective Stress, Fatigue, Vigor, Prevalence of High-Frequency Exercise, Tension-Anxiety, Depression-Rejection, Anger-Hostility, Confusion, and BMI, in addition to the proportion of individuals with short sleep, current smoking status, and exercise habits. Each region-based value was standardized to a *z*-score, for which values >2 or <-2 were considered significant. Although educational level, marital status, and living status showed regional variation, we did not perform a geographical assessment on them because they were only a part of the regional characteristics across prefectures.

2.5 Multilevel analysis using regional psychological factors

A multilevel analysis was performed to identify an association between risk factors at a prefecture level and the prevalence of HICP at an individual level for the specific factors that were significant (absolute *z*-score ≥ 2) in the geographical analysis at a prefecture level. We used mean values for the psychological factors, which were continuous variables, and prevalence rates for categorical variables as independent variables at the prefecture level.

First, we generated a model of HICP using dependent variables on the individual level (model 1). Age, sex, body mass index, low education, marital status, smoking status, and exercise habits were included in the model as confounding factors. Model fit was assessed by a log-likelihood ratio and Akaike information criterion (AIC). Second, we added the risk factors at the prefecture level to model 1 and compared the model fits using a likelihood ratio test. Finally, we generated model 3 by eliminating a few independent variables that were not significant ($p \ge 0.05$), from model 2.

2.6 Statistical software and map visualization

All statistical tests were two-sided. MATLAB 2016a (MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA) was used for a multilevel analysis and likelihood ratio test. The other statistical analyses and Japanese color map generation were performed using JMP Pro version 13.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

3 Results

Of the 52,353 participants, there were 10,020 (19.1%) with HICP, 30,640 (58.5%) with LIP, and 11,693 (22.3%) without pain. Table 1 shows a higher proportion of people aged older than 40 years, women, low education, current smokers, high BMI (\geq 25) and short sleep duration (<6 h), corresponding to the severity of pain. Regarding psychological factors, severe pain conditions showed greater subjective stress, Tension-Anxiety, Depression-Rejection, Anger-Hostility, Fatigue, and Confusion. Instead, people with HICP exhibited a lower proportion of exercise habits (more than twice per week) and Vigor.

The geographical analysis revealed that the highest prevalence of HICP (23.2%; *z*-score = 2.11) was recorded in the Fukushima Prefecture with the highest levels of subjective stress and significantly high levels of Depression-Dejection, Fatigue, and Anger-Hostility (6.05, 4.14, 5.85, and 4.44, respectively; *z*-score = 2.21, 2.10, 2.06, and 2.01, respectively; Figures 1, 2 and Supplementary Table S1). Oita Prefecture showed the second highest prevalence of HICP (23.0%; *z*-score = 2.00) with the highest prevalence of people with short sleep duration (16.4%; *z*-score = 2.79). In contrast, Okinawa, the southernmost prefecture in Japan, showed the lowest prevalence of HICP at 14.9% with *z*-score = 2.24), along with significantly low Stress and Fatigue levels (4.13 and 4.68, respectively; *z*-score = -2.12 and -2.08, respectively).

Multilevel analysis identified risk factors of HICP at both individual and prefecture levels. Model 1 showed significant associations of subjective stress, Depression-Dejection, Fatigue, Vigor, and short sleep with HICP. Model 2, including risk factors at the prefecture level, showed a significant improvement in model fit (p < 0.001) compared to model 1, with the significance of subjective stress and Vigor at the prefecture level. Finally, we eliminated a few variables that were not significant ($p \ge 0.05$) in model 2, and model 3 showed improved Akaike information criterion (AIC), although the model fit did not significantly improve on the likelihood ratio test (Table 2).

4 Discussion

This study revealed that there is a regional difference in the prevalence rate of HICP, and the individual prevalence of HICP was associated with a sociopsychological condition at the prefecture level. The prevalence in the Fukushima prefecture was 1.6 times higher than that in Okinawa, and the largest difference in HICP prevalence was 8.3%. The geographical prevalence using Japanese maps suggests that subjective stress, negative emotion, and short sleep at the regional level may contribute to the prevalence of HICP, whereas positive emotion, such as vigor, may be associated with a low prevalence rate of HICP. However, the multilevel analysis identified only high subjective stress and low vigor at the prefecture level as risk factors for HICP.

Subjective stress is a measure representative of negative emotions and is known to be associated with other negative emotions such as depression, anxiety, anger, fatigue, and short sleep (14–18). Due to the

TABLE 1 Demographic characteristics and behavioral measures.

	No Pain		LIP		HICP		<i>p</i> -value			
Participants, n (%)	11,693	(22.3)	30,640	(58.5)	10,020	(19.1)				
Age (years), <i>n</i> (%)							< 0.001			
20-29	2,879	(24.6)	5,728	(18.7)	1,212	(12.1)				
30-39	3,187	(27.3)	7,651	(25.0)	2,227	(22.2)				
40-49	2,405	(20.6)	6,875	(22.4)	2,695	(26.9)				
50-59	2083	(17.8)	7,069	(23.1)	2,836	(28.3)				
60-64	1,139	(9.7)	3,317	(10.8)	1,050	(10.5)				
Women, <i>n</i> (%)	5,376	(46.0)	15,231	(49.7)	5,555	(55.4)	< 0.001			
Low Education, <i>n</i> (%)	6,454	(55.7)	17,810	(58.5)	6,396	(64.3)	< 0.001			
Living Alone, n (%)	2,215	(18.9)	5,585	(18.2)	1817	(18.1)	0.189			
Marital Status, n (%)							< 0.001			
Never married	4,858	(41.6)	11,411	(37.2)	3,352	(33.5)				
Married	6,106	(52.2)	16,983	(55.4)	5,662	(56.5)				
Divorced	605	(5.2)	1889	(6.2)	856	(8.5)				
Widowed	124	(1.1)	357	(1.2)	150	(1.5)				
Health-related characteris	stics									
Current smoker, n (%)	2,695	(23.1)	7,297	(23.8)	2,897	(28.9)	< 0.001			
Exercise habits, <i>n</i> (%)	2,333	(20.0)	5,835	(19.0)	1768	(17.6)	< 0.001			
Body mass index (kg/m ²), n (%)							< 0.001			
<18.5	1,596	(13.7)	3,656	(11.9)	1,211	(12.1)				
≥18.5, <25	8,152	(69.7)	21,088	(68.8)	6,444	(64.3)				
≥25	1945	(16.6)	5,896	(19.2)	2,365	(23.6)				
Sleep duration, <i>n</i> (%)							< 0.001			
<5 h	1,124	(9.6)	3,447	(11.3)	1845	(18.4)				
≥5, <6 h	3,546	(30.3)	10,485	(34.2)	3,655	(36.5)				
≥6, <7 h	3,905	(33.4)	10,265	(33.5)	2,788	(27.8)				
≥7, <8 h	2,198	(18.8)	4,727	(15.4)	1,173	(11.7)				
≥8, <9 h	639	(5.5)	1,219	(4.0)	357	(3.6)				
≥9 h	281	(2.4)	497	(1.6)	202	(2.0)				
Psychological measures										
Subjective Stress, mean (SD)	3.3	(2.5)	4.3	(2.1)	5.9	(2.1)	< 0.001			
Profile of mood States, mean (SD)										
Tension-anxiety	3.0	(4.0)	4.5	(4.2)	6.9	(5.0)	< 0.001			
Depression-dejection	2.3	(3.7)	3.4	(4.1)	5.6	(5.0)	< 0.001			
Anger-hostility	2.5	(3.6)	3.8	(3.9)	5.8	(4.8)	< 0.001			
Fatigue	3.3	(4.2)	5.0	(4.5)	8.2	(5.5)	< 0.001			
Confusion	4.8	(2.8)	5.7	(3.1)	7.4	(3.9)	< 0.001			
Vigor	4.7	(4.7)	4.9	(4.1)	4.3	(3.7)	< 0.001			

High-impact chronic pain (HICP) was defined as pain intensity \geq 4/10 and pain duration \geq 3 months. The other people with pain were categorized into a group of low-impact pain (LIP). Chi-square test and Kruskal-Wallis test were performed for demographic characteristics, which were categorized data, and psychological measures, which were continuous data, respectively. SD = standard deviation.

presence of multicollinearity, we considered that only subjective stress was relevant in this study and that other negative emotions at the prefecture level were not detected as a factor of HICP. Therefore, subjective stress may be an indicator for policy measures addressing chronic pain at the regional level. Fukushima showed the highest prevalence rate of HICP with the highest subjective stress. We can still remember the largest natural disaster, the Great East Japan Earthquake and tsunami, which occurred in Fukushima and the subsequent nuclear accident in 2011. Although the Japanese people have historically experienced various



FIGURE 1

Japanese heat maps representing regional variation in high-impact chronic pain (HICP) and pain-related factors among 47 prefectures. Values are adjusted for age and sex. N, north.



FIGURE 2

Standardized prevalence rate of high-impact chronic pain (HICP) and short sleep, and scores of subjective stress, depression-dejection, fatigue, angerhostility, and vigor of each prefecture in Japan. Prefectures with the top and bottom 10 prevalence rates of HICP are presented, with the order sorted by the rate. The z-scores in this figure were computed to compare how much the prevalence differed from the average in each region. A score of 0 represents the average, positive scores represent higher-than-average prevalence, and negative ones indicate lower-than-average prevalence. Values greater than 2 or less than -2 were considered significant, and we shaded the z-score area from -2 to 2 as a non-significant value.

TABLE 2 Multilevel analysis of risk factors for high-impact chronic pain (HICP).

	Model 1		Мо	del 2	Model 3						
	β	(SEM)	β	(SEM)	β	(SEM)					
Individual level											
Interception	-1.947	(0.081)***	-4.941	(1.185)***	-4.269	(0.431)***					
Subjective stress	0.630	(0.017)***	0.267	(0.007)***	0.267	(0.007)***					
Depression-dejection	-0.107	(0.019)***	-0.025	(0.004)***	-0.024	(0.004)***					
Anger-hostility	0.014	(0.017)	0.003	(0.004)							
Fatigue	0.473	(0.020)***	0.097	(0.004)***	0.098	(0.004)***					
Vigor	-0.063	(0.014)***	-0.015	(0.003)***	-0.014	(0.003)***					
Short sleep	0.049	(0.011)***	0.149	(0.034)***	0.148	(0.034)***					
Prefecture level											
Mean of the subjective stress			0.780	(0.178)***	0.793	(0.164)***					
Mean of the depression-dejection			-0.004	(0.124)							
Mean of the anger-hostility			0.062	(0.082)							
Mean of the fatigue			0.303	(0.202)							
Mean of the vigor			-0.351	(0.107)**	-0.251	(0.066)***					
Prevalence of the short sleep			-0.182	(0.201)							
Log likelihood ratio	-21,753		-21,737		-21,740						
Akaike Information Criterion	43,543		43	,527	43,523						
Likelihood ratio test			32.08***	(vs. Model 1)	5.83	(vs. Model 2)					

Adjustment variables: age, sex, body mass index, low education, marital status, smoking status, and exercise habits. SEM, standard error of the mean. ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001.

types of natural disasters, such as earthquakes, typhoons, and floods, and have faced many difficulties, the Fukushima disaster has finally overwhelmed them in terms of overall impact. According to population-based mental health surveys involving approximately 210,000 people who had previously lived in the evacuation area in Fukushima (19), the prevalence of psychological distress among adult evacuees, based on the six-item Kessler scale, was quite high compared to the general population of Japan (20). Thus, chronic psychological problems induced by the disaster possibly increased stress levels in Fukushima, resulting in the highest prevalence of HICP as of this survey performed in 2015.

On the other hand, our finding that Okinawa had the lowest prevalence rate of chronic pain was consistent with an Internet-based survey previously performed in Japan (21). Okinawa is composed of geographically isolated islands with a subtropical climate distinct from other Japanese prefectures and has developed its own unique history and culture. These distinctions possibly contributed to the developing of healthier lifestyles and personalities in individuals residing on these islands (22). Consequently, the difference in these backgrounds may have influenced the development of HICP and negative emotions in the residents.

Regional climate and sunlight exposure may influence the prevalence of HICP and are linked to seasonal affective disorder (SAD), a subtype of major depressive disorder or bipolar disorder. SAD is characterized by depressive symptoms that generally occur in the fall and winter and improve in the spring and summer. Living at higher latitudes increases SAD risk due to reduced sunlight (23). Additionally, cold and humid climates may worsen joint pain. A lack of sunlight can lead to vitamin D deficiency, which is associated with musculoskeletal pain. Studies show that patients with fibromyalgia and chronic musculoskeletal pain often have low vitamin D levels, and supplementation may help alleviate pain and improve quality of life (24). Cold seasons also restrict outdoor activities, reducing physical activity and potentially exacerbating chronic pain. The Tohoku region, where angerhostility was high, including Fukushima as shown in Figure 1, is a cold region, and the northwestern region facing the Sea of Japan is known to have short periods of sunshine. On the other hand, vigor was high in Okinawa, a warmer region, and regional-level vigor was associated with HICP in the multi-level analysis. Thus, as climate and sunshine duration are associated with health problems such as mental illness and lifestyle-related diseases (25, 26), they may be more or less associated with the prevalence of HICP.

Regional differences in the prevalence of HICP can be considered as one aspect of health inequalities. As previous social epidemiological studies have shown that social capital is associated with health inequalities, regional differences in social capital may be a factor contributing to regional differences in HICP. Although there are likely to be large differences in social capital depending on whether one lives in an urban or rural area, Yamada et al. concluded from a study using population density that simple differences such as whether one lives in an urban or rural area cannot explain the prevalence of chronic pain (10). On the other hand, referring to previous literature on mental health, social capital, such as family structure and work relationships, is associated with depression and anxiety disorders (27–29), and regional differences in family and work environments may have influenced regional differences in the prevalence of HICP. Since desk work and heavy work may be risk factors for chronic musculoskeletal pain, differences in industrial structure may also be a factor in generating regional differences in HICP.

Income inequality and economic hardship can directly influence health outcomes, and they have been reported to be a target for social interventions to improve health problems (30). Indeed, daily pain intensity was associated with economic hardship and daily financial worry among 250 women with a chronic musculoskeletal condition (31). Therefore, regional economic inequalities may have detrimental effects on the prevalence of HICP. Given that chronic pain is a social issue, the association between social capital and chronic pain is a topic for further research.

The present study has several limitations, although the findings showed that the prevalence of HICP was associated with psychological status at the community level using large-scale epidemiological data. First, since this is a cross-sectional study, it was challenging to identify causal relationships. As previous studies have shown that pain and negative emotions are interconnected in a vicious cycle (32-34), a bidirectional causal relationship between regional stress levels and the prevalence of HICP must also be considered. Future large-scale longitudinal epidemiological studies are required to identify causality. Second, we had no data on economic status at the regional or individual level. As mentioned previously, economic status, inequalities, and social capital, which are associated with both mental health and pain, should be an important issue for policy measures at the regional level. In areas with lower economic resources, access to timely treatment and pain management may be limited, leading to prolonged pain. Additionally, the accessibility of medical resources and the quality of healthcare systems can also impact whether patients receive appropriate treatment. Future studies may include these factors to better understand the role of socioeconomic and healthcare resources in the prevalence of chronic pain. Third, the present study does not include data on individuals aged 65 years and older. As the prevalence of chronic pain is higher in the older adults, it remains possible that regional disparities may be further increased if the population distribution is considered (10). Consistent with the findings of previous individual-level studies, the trend in the relationship between psychological status and prevalence of chronic pain is unlikely to change even in a survey including the older adults. On the other hand, we may identify novel issues of social capital specific to older adults. However, selection bias due to individual accessibility to an epidemiological survey of older adults should be taken into account when interpreting the results. Finally, regional differences in HICP may be influenced by the quality of healthcare, which was not considered in this survey. Complex chronic pain conditions are often difficult to treat in a single department, and there are cases where interdisciplinary cooperation is required. Medical facilities capable of providing advanced pain treatment are unevenly distributed in urban areas across Japan. Thus, there is much room for further research on the issue of regional differences in chronic pain. Further social epidemiological studies are required to identify them in the future.

In conclusion, the average levels of stress and vigor at the prefecture level may be associated factors for moderate or severe

chronic pain. It is suggested that social interventions at the prefecture level could improve the prevalence of chronic pain.

Data availability statement

The data analyzed in this study is subject to the following licenses/ restrictions: Ethical approval was obtained under the following statement in the research protocol; data are available upon reasonable request and analyzed data in this study are considered to be available under the permission of the corresponding author and data manager. Requests to access these datasets should be directed to Kenta Wakaizumi, kwaka@keio.jp.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the University of Tokyo. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

KW: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. CT: Writing – review & editing. YS: Resources, Writing – original draft. YW: Writing – review & editing. ST: Validation, Writing – review & editing. MK: Validation, Writing – review & editing. HO: Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. KM: Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was partially supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (21K16564, 24K02541, and 24 K00498), the Health Labour Sciences Research Grant (19FG1001), and the Foundation for Total Health Promotion.

References

1. Kennedy R, Abd-Elsayed A. The International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) classification of chronic pain syndromes. *Pain.* (2019):1101–3. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-99124-5_234

2. Cohen SP, Vase L, Hooten WM. Chronic pain: an update on burden, best practices, and new advances. *Lancet*. (2021) 397:2082–97. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00393-7

3. Hadi MA, McHugh GA, Closs SJ. Impact of chronic pain on patients' quality of life: a comparative mixed-methods study. *J Patient Exp.* (2019) 6:133–41. doi: 10.1177/2374373518786013

4. D'Souza RS, Her YF, Hussain N, Karri J, Schatman ME, Calodney AK, et al. Evidence-based clinical practice guidelines on regenerative medicine treatment for chronic pain: a consensus report from a multispecialty working group. *J Pain Res.* (2024) 17:2951–3001. doi: 10.2147/JPR.S480559

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to all the participants in this survey. We also thank Tomoko Fujii, Department of Medical Research and Management for Musculoskeletal Pain, 22nd Century Medical and Research Center, the University of Tokyo, for providing data and supporting the ethical process.

Conflict of interest

KM reports receiving grants and personal fees from AYUMI Pharmaceutical Corporation, Nippon Zoki Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd, Shionogi Co., Ltd., Eli Lilly Japan, Astellas Pharma Inc., Toto Ltd., Eisai Co., Ltd., Teijin Pharma Limited, Japan Inc., and Hisamitsu Pharmaceutical Co., Inc.; personal fees from Pfizer Inc., Janssen Pharmaceutical K.K., Kaken Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Mochida Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., and Daiichi Sankyo Company, Limited; grants from Sompo Holdings, Inc., MTG, NuVasive Japan, and Murata Manufacturing Co., Ltd.; grants from Okamura Corporation; and non-financial support from Trunk Solution Co., Ltd. outside the submitted work. HO received grants from Teijin Pharma Limited, grants from Pfizer Inc., grants from Fujifilm Medical Co., Ltd., grants and personal fees from AYUMI Pharmaceutical Corporation, Nippon Zoki Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Ono Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Sompo Holdings, Inc., NuVasive Japan, and grants from Eli Lilly Japan.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1482177/ full#supplementary-material

5. Linton SJ, Shaw WS. Impact of psychological factors in the experience of pain. *Phys Ther.* (2011) 91:700–11. doi: 10.2522/PTJ.20100330

6. Gatchel RJ, Peng YB, Peters ML, Fuchs PN, Turk DC. The biopsychosocial approach to chronic pain: scientific advances and future directions. *Psychol Bull.* (2007) 133:581–624. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.133.4.581

7. Jensen MP, Moore MR, Bockow TB, Ehde DM, Engel JM. Psychosocial factors and adjustment to chronic pain in persons with physical disabilities: a systematic review. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* (2011) 92:146–60. doi: 10.1016/J. APMR.2010.09.021

8. Edwards RR, Dworkin RH, Sullivan MD, Turk DC, Wasan AD. The role of psychosocial processes in the development and maintenance of chronic pain. *J Pain.* (2016) 17:T70–92. doi: 10.1016/J.JPAIN.2016.01.001

9. National Guideline Centre (UK). Evidence review for social interventions for chronic pain (chronic primary pain and chronic secondary pain): Chronic pain (primary and secondary) in over 16s: Assessment of all chronic pain and management of chronic primary pain: Evidence review D (2021) London: National Institute for health and care excellence (NICE); (NICE guideline, no. 193.) Available at: https://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK569981/

10. Yamada K, Fujii T, Kubota Y, Ikeda T, Hanazato M, Kondo N, et al. Prevalence and municipal variation in chronic musculoskeletal pain among independent older people: data from the Japan Gerontological evaluation study (JAGES). *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. (2022) 23:755. doi: 10.1186/s12891-022-05694-y

11. Zajacova A, Lee J, Grol-Prokopczyk H. The geography of pain in the United States and Canada. J Pain. (2022) 23:2155–66. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2022.08.002

12. Fujii T, Oka H, Katsuhira J, Tonosu J, Kasahara S, Tanaka S, et al. Association between somatic symptom burden and health-related quality of life in people with chronic low back pain. *PLoS One.* (2018) 13:e0193208. doi: 10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0193208

13. Yokoyama K. POMS tanshukuban tebiki to jirei kaisetsu Kaneko Shobo (2005).

14. Hammen C. Stress and depression. Annu Rev Clin Psychol. (2005) 1:293–319. doi: 10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.1.102803.143938

15. Kuroda Y. Dysfunctional attitudes lead to depressive symptoms by generating subjective stress. *J Psychol.* (2016) 150:358–70. doi: 10.1080/00223980.2015.1054335

16. Jun WH, Lee G. Comparing anger, anger expression, life stress and social support between Korean female nursing and general university students. *J Adv Nurs.* (2017) 73:2914–22. doi: 10.1111/JAN.13354

17. Kalmbach DA, Anderson JR, Drake CL. The impact of stress on sleep: pathogenic sleep reactivity as a vulnerability to insomnia and circadian disorders. *J Sleep Res.* (2018) 27:e12710. doi: 10.1111/JSR.12710

18. Pereira-Morales AJ, Adan A, Forero DA. Perceived stress as a mediator of the relationship between neuroticism and depression and anxiety symptoms. *Curr Psychol.* (2019) 38:66–74. doi: 10.1007/s12144-017-9587-7

19. Yasumura S, Hosoya M, Yamashita S, Kamiya K, Abe M, Akashi M, et al. Study protocol for the Fukushima health management survey. *J Epidemiol.* (2012) 22:375–83. doi: 10.2188/JEA.JE20120105

20. Maeda M, Oe M, Suzuki Y. Psychosocial effects of the Fukushima disaster and current tasks differences between natural and nuclear disasters. *J Natl Institute Public Health*. (2018) 67:50–8. doi: 10.20683/JNIPH.67.1_50

21. Torisaki T, Komatsu S, Yamamoto T. Difference in prevalence of chronic pain and neuropathic pain among four regions, Hokkaido, 23 cities in Tokyo metropolitan area, Kumamoto prefecture and Okinawa prefecture. *Japanese Journal of Anesthesiology*. (2018) 67:325–31.

22. Sakazo S. Climate and longevity in Okinawa. J Japan Assoc Cerebro Cardiovasc Disease Control. (2000) 35:44–51.

23. Galima SV, Sill F, Stephen Vogel OR, Hood F, Adam Kowalski TW, Stewart F. Seasonal affective disorder: common questions and answers. *Am Fam Physician*. (2020) 102:668–72.

24. Lombardo M, Feraco A, Ottaviani M, Rizzo G, Camajani E, Caprio M, et al. The efficacy of vitamin D supplementation in the treatment of fibromyalgia syndrome and chronic musculoskeletal pain. *Nutrients*. (2022) 14:3010. doi: 10.3390/NU14153010

25. O'hare C, O'sullivan V, Flood S, Kenny RA. Seasonal and meteorological associations with depressive symptoms in older adults: A geo-epidemiological study. (2015) 191: 172–179.

26. Beecher ME, Eggett D, Erekson D, Rees LB, Bingham J, Klundt J, et al. Sunshine on my shoulders: Weather, pollution, and emotional distress. *J Affect Disord.* (2016) 205:234–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2016.07.021

27. Lorant V, Deliège D, Eaton W, Robert A, Philippot P, Ansseau M. Socioeconomic inequalities in depression: a meta-analysis. *Am J Epidemiol.* (2003) 157:98–112. doi: 10.1093/AJE/KWF182

28. Sakurai K, Kawakami N, Yamaoka K, Ishikawa H, Hashimoto H. The impact of subjective and objective social status on psychological distress among men and women in Japan. *Soc Sci Med.* (2010) 70:1832–9. doi: 10.1016/J.SOCSCIMED.2010.01.019

29. Nakahori N, Sekine M, Tatsuse T, Yamada M. Effect modification by workplace social capital on the association between depression and workplace and family stress: the Japanese civil servant study. *BMC Public Health*. (2021) 21:1–13. doi: 10.1186/S12889-021-10767-Z/TABLES/5

30. Lynch J, Smith GD, Harper S, Hillemeier M, Ross N, Kaplan GA, et al. Is income inequality a determinant of population health? Part 1 A systematic review. *Milbank Q*. (2004) 82:5–99. doi: 10.1111/J.0887-378X.2004.00302.X

31. Rios R, Zautra AJ. Socioeconomic disparities in pain: the role of economic hardship and daily financial worry. *Health Psychol.* (2011) 30:58–66. doi: 10.1037/A0022025

32. Fishbain DA, Cutler R, Rosomoff HL, Rosomoff RS. Chronic pain-associated depression: antecedent or consequence of chronic pain? A review. *Clin J Pain.* (1997) 13:116–37. doi: 10.1097/00002508-199706000-00006

33. Vlaeyen JWS, Linton SJ. Fear-avoidance and its consequences in chronic musculoskeletal pain: a state of the art. *Pain*. (2000) 85:317–32. doi: 10.1016/S0304-3959(99)00242-0

34. Wiech K, Tracey I. The influence of negative emotions on pain: behavioral effects and neural mechanisms. *NeuroImage*. (2009) 47:987–94. doi: 10.1016/J. NEUROIMAGE.2009.05.059