Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Jian Chen, Guilin Medical University, China

REVIEWED BY Morteza Arab-Zozani, Birjand University of Medical Sciences, Iran Harapan Harapan, Syiah Kuala University, Indonesia

*CORRESPONDENCE Xingming Ma ⊠ ming2020xm@163.com

RECEIVED 20 May 2024 ACCEPTED 03 December 2024 PUBLISHED 17 December 2024

CITATION

Ma X, Bo L and Zhou X (2024) Knowledge, attitude, and practice toward foodborne disease among Chinese college students: a cross-sectional survey. *Front. Public Health* 12:1435486. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1435486

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Ma, Bo and Zhou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Knowledge, attitude, and practice toward foodborne disease among Chinese college students: a cross-sectional survey

Xingming Ma¹*, Li Bo² and Xinmiao Zhou²

¹School of Health Management, Xihua University, Chengdu, China, ²School of Food and Biological Engineering, Xihua University, Chengdu, China

Background: More than 200 diseases are caused by eating food contaminated and the burden of foodborne disease is considered to be high worldwide. Foodborne diseases are an important public health issue, and the knowledge, attitudes and practices among college students are crucial in preventing outbreaks.

Objective: This study aimed to evaluate the current knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) toward foodborne diseases among Chinese college students and to identify the factors influencing practice actions.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted from April to November in 2023. A cluster random sampling method was used to enroll participants at Xihua University. The questionnaire including 47 items was used to collect the foodborne diseases information. An offline questionnaire was used to generate the surveys. Multiple linear regression was used to analyze the factors associated with foodborne disease knowledge, attitudes and practices.

Results: A total of 445 college students with a mean age of 19.76 \pm 1.24 years were included in the study. The majority of participants were female (59.1%), of Han Chinese (95.1%), and nearly two-thirds were lower-year undergraduates (66.7%). Most participants (78.9%) were non-medical students, and 64.7% of participants were from rural areas. The KAP score of foodborne diseases was 79.21 \pm 6.84. The knowledge, attitudes, and practices scores toward foodborne diseases were 8.76 ± 0.95 , 10.50 ± 1.63 , and 59.94 ± 6.48 , respectively. In particular, there was a notable decrease in practice scores toward foodborne diseases among male students, ethnic minority students, and non-medical students. The significant predictors of practices were included gender ($\beta = 0.11$, p < 0.05), nationality ($\beta = -0.12$, p < 0.05), specialization type ($\beta = -0.13$, p < 0.05).

Conclusion: These results revealed gaps in knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding foodborne diseases, and the knowledge was at an insufficient level, the attitude was positive and practice was at a moderate and acceptable level. In general, the level of KAP was at a moderate and acceptable level. Gender, nationality, education level, specialization type, and residence were identified as crucial influencers on practices toward foodborne diseases. It is necessary to provide foodborne disease health education targeting this population in western areas, which can help improve students' knowledge, attitudes, and practices.

KEYWORDS

foodborne diseases, China, knowledge, attitudes, practices, students

Introduction

Foodborne diseases (FBDs) are infections of the digestive system caused by foodborne pathogens such as parasites, viruses, bacteria, and fungi, which are a public health threat, leading to loss of lives and hindering socioeconomic development (1, 2). Over 250 different types of microbial agents and unconventional agents such as prions are linked to foodborne illnesses in humans (3). Microbial infections were the most common cause of outbreaks worldwide. In particular, bacteria such as Salmonella, Shigella species, pathogenic *Escherichia coli*, and *Listeria monocytogenes* are the most commonly infection sources of foodborne disease (4). Those agents of foodborne disease are from cross-contamination or contaminated food including poultry, eggs, meat, vegetables and ready-to-eat foods, and can affect individuals of all ages (5, 6).

It was estimated that unsafe foods led to 600 million cases of foodborne illness, 420,000 deaths, and the loss of 33 million years of healthy life globally (7). In the United States, approximately100,939 cases of foodborne illnesses, 5,699 hospitalizations, and 145 deaths were reported between 2009 and 2015, and the proportion of foodborne illnesses attributed to food-producing animals was 10.4– 14.1% from 1999 to 2017 (8, 9). In Europe, 23 million people are affected by foodborne illnesses, leading to approximately 5,000 deaths annually (8). According to the data from the China National Foodborne Disease Outbreaks Surveillance System, a total of 5,493 outbreaks of foodborne disease were reported in 2021, resulting in 117 deaths and 32,334 illnesses from 30 provinces, and the incidence rate of foodborne diseases is 2.43 per one hundred thousand (10).

The location where food raw materials and its products were contaminated, allowing pathogens to survive and multiply, varied in each outbreak. Food raw materials and their products are contaminated during processing, preparation, preservation, and consumption, resulting in an outbreak of foodborne diseases. A study showed that foodborne disease outbreaks in China occurred mainly in food service establishments, homes and schools in 2021 (10). Although the majority outbreaks of foodborne-disease are caused by various food service facility providers, schools and homes are important sites where foodborne diseases have been reported to occur frequently and can affect students of all ages. In the post-COVID-19 pandemic era, takeaway food services are an emerging business in China and have become one of the potential options for college students' campus foods (11). Notably, the takeaway ordering services and food delivery around the school are gaining popularity among students due to their affordability, convenience, and easy accessibility from takeaway platforms, attracting them as a preferred meal option for college students (12). For college students, while it is convenient to eat takeaway foods, there is also a potential impact on both college student health and campus food safety (13, 14).

The majority of foodborne diseases reported cases occur in commercial food service institutions, so food consumers and handlers play a fundamental role in preventing foodborne diseases. Most instances of foodborne disease can be prevented if food consumers and handlers follow the principles of food safety (15, 16). Numerous studies

have been focused on the impact assessment of various education and training interventions on the food safety knowledge, attitudes, and actions of food handlers in food service establishments. A metaanalysis of 18 randomized controlled trials and 29 nonrandomized trials has shown that food safety education and training interventions are effective strategies for improving the behaviors and behavioral precursors (e.g., knowledge and attitudes) of food handlers (17).

However, consumer surveys revealed different levels of understanding of foodborne diseases, and the application of this knowledge was also found to be inconsistent. Studies on consumers have shown that foodborne diseases outbroke, attributing to improper food preparation practices, decreased personal hygiene, and a lack of food safety knowledge among consumers (18, 19). In China, college students are a special group of people who are usual both food preparation practices and consumers at school. Common improper practices include long-term storage at room temperature for consumption, cross-contamination of raw ingredients, inadequate cold-holding temperatures, insufficient time and temperature during reheating, inadequate cleaning of utensils, and using of undercooked foods etc. (9, 20, 21).

Student knowledge and practices related to food safety can play a fundamental role in the prevention of foodborne diseases (22). In order to enhance the oversight and control of foodborne disease, the Chinese government enacted the food safety law in 2009, with the second amendment taking place in 2021 (23). Subsequently, the Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China, the State Administration for Market Regulation of the People's Republic of China, and the National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China all together promulgated regulations on the management of food safety and nutrition and health in schools in 2019 (24).

It is stipulated that the school food processing practices must comply with the school's food safety and nutrition health management regulations. It should guide college students in developing healthy eating habits and good personal hygiene, as well as to improve their awareness and knowledge of food safety, with which is to reduce the risk of food contamination and reduce the occurrence of foodborne illness for themselves (23, 24). Despite a series of action plans in the food safety field including school-food safety in China, the knowledge and practices of students regarding foodborne diseases and food safety were found unsatisfactory. Especially in western China, there was no significant decrease in the overall reporting of foodborne illness among school students (25).

Therefore, it is crucial to evaluate college students' knowledge, attitudes, and practices toward foodborne diseases in order to find ways to reduce the risk of foodborne diseases. However, most foodborne illness studies in China have focused on epidemiology (26, 27), public food establishments (28, 29), investigation of middle schools in rural areas (30), without addressing the knowledge, attitudes, and practices among students in higher education in recent years. In China, the article on foodborne illness studies among the college students was limited to the Henan in 2015 and Chongqing in 2019. In the post-COVID-19 pandemic era, the eating habits of young people have changed significantly, and they are paying more attention to healthy living and food safety. While this study did not obtain a nationally representative sample, it still offered insight into the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of college students in western China, and contributed important data to regional studies in the area in the post-pandemic. This study used the knowledge, attitudes, and

Abbreviations: KAP, Knowledge, attitude and practice; FBDs, Foodborne diseases; SD, Standard Deviations; ANOVA, Analysis of Variance; CI, Confidence Interval; RC, Reference Category.

practices (KAP) tool to assess the KAP level toward foodborne diseases among college students in the western of China, and to identify the factors that influence practice actions.

Materials and methods

Study design and setting

In order to evaluate students' knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) concerning foodborne diseases, a cross-sectional survey was conducted at Xihua University in China from the spring semester to the fall semester, which took place between April and November 2023. The report of this study followed the STROBE checklist for observational studies (Supplementary Table S1).

A cluster random sampling method was used to enroll participants at our university, a public research university with a population of \sim 41,000 undergraduate students located in Chengdu. Three classes of students from general education courses were randomly selected and included in the study. Then all students in each selected class from freshmen to seniors were included to participate in the study.

Seniors are fourth-year students, juniors are third-year students, sophomores are second-year students, and freshmen are first-year students in university. Freshmen and sophomores are the lower-year undergraduates; juniors and seniors are the upper-year undergraduates. An offline questionnaire was used to generate the surveys. The survey took about 5–8 min to complete, and students who answered fewer than 95% of the questions were excluded from the study.

The inclusion and exclusion criteria of participants

The inclusion criteria for the study were as follows: (a) voluntary participation of all students (b) un-occurred of foodborne diseases in the past 2 months, (c) and no limited to grades and majors including medical students and non-medical students. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (a) unwillingness to participate in the study and (b) the occurrence of foodborne diseases in the past 2 months.

Sample size calculation

A sample size of participants was calculated using Cochran's formula (31), N = Z^2pq/e^2 . Test significance level was set $\alpha = 0.05$, *e* is the margin of error at 5% (standard deviation of 0.05), Z is 1.96 at 95% confidence interval, and q = 1 – *p*. According to previous studies on this topic have been conducted in China, the anticipated frequency *p* has been established at 50% (32). In this study, a minimum sample size of participants was 384. Considering potential dropouts, 450 students were selected for the study.

Ethics

The current study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. We provided assurances

regarding respondents' confidentiality and emphasized the study's voluntary nature. Those participants were assured that the data would be used only for research purposes and informed consent was obtained from all participants (Supplementary Table S2).

Questionnaire design

A questionnaire used to gather data on KAP was based on a literature review of previous studies (33–35). The questionnaire was presented in a Chinese version and then the content validity was evaluated by a microbiologist and two public health experts from the university. The questionnaire included all 47 items, which were divided into four domains (36) (Supplementary Table S3).

The first domain with 9 questions collected sociodemographic data from participants. The sociodemographic variables included gender, age, nationality, educational level, monthly living expenses, specialization type, residence, training on foodborne diseases, and onset within 2 months. The second domain with 10 items assessed participants' knowledges toward foodborne diseases, which included the knowledges of foodborne diseases, food contamination pathways and control. The knowledge section of the questionnaire consisted of "true or false" questions for each item, and a correct response got 1 point for a total of 10 points. The scores of participants who correctly answered the questions were calculated in the knowledge domain. The third domain with 13 items evaluated participants' attitudes toward foodborne diseases in the three-point scale pattern, ranging from agree (positive) to disagree (negative), and a positive attitude got 1 point for a total of 13 points. The scores of participants who positively answered the questions were calculated in this domain. In the fourth domain, a five-point scales with 15 questions was used to assess participants' practices toward foodborne diseases. This section required respondents to indicate whether they never, sometimes, neutral, often, or always engaged in specific behaviors. The score ranged from one (never), two (sometimes), three (neutral), four (often) to five (always) for items of 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, while the score assigned 5 to never, 4 to sometimes, 3 to neutral, 2 to often, and 1 to always for items of 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, and then total practices scores were calculated.

Data analysis

IBM SPSS Statistics version 22 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, United States) was used to analyze the data. All data of students' KAP (knowledge, attitudes, and practices) scores were presented as means and standard deviations (SD). The knowledges content and validity were confirmed by experts in their corresponding fields. Cronbach's α was utilized to assess the internal consistency (i.e., reliability), the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin coefficient and Bartlett's test of sphericity were used to assess the construct validity of the questionnaires (attitudes and practices domains), $0.6 \sim 0.7$ or higher is acceptable level (37, 38) and statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. The Chi-square test was used to analyze the differences in categorical variables, and the independent sample *T*-test and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to analyze the differences in continuous variables among demographic characteristics. Multiple linear regression was utilized to determine the factors linked to knowledge, attitudes, and

practices scores regarding foodborne disease. Regression coefficients (β) with 95% CI were employed to measure these associations.

Results

Participants demographic characteristics

A total of 448 (the response rate of 97%) students participated in the study (Table 1). After excluding 3 incomplete questionnaires, 445 questionnaires were included, resulting in an effective recovery rate of 99.3%. The majority of the participants were female (59.1%) and Han Chinese (95.1%). The age of the participants was between 18 and 24 years. Almost two-thirds of the participants (66.7%) were the lower-year undergraduates (freshman and sophomore), and 33.3% were the upper-year undergraduates (junior and senior). Almost four-fives of participants' (87%) monthly living expenses were ranging from 1,000 Yuan to 2,000 Yuan. Most of participants (78.9%) were non-medical students and only 21.1% were medical students. Around 35.3% of the participants were from cities or towns and 64.7% of the participants were from rural area. A majority (91.8%) of participants did not receive any training on foodborne diseases and/or food safety, and only 9.2% of the participants completed any food safety training or courses. All students did not have a foodborne illness in 2 months (Table 1).

Cronbach's alpha coefficient in this study was 0.61 and 0.65 for students' attitudes and practices toward foodborne diseases respectively, which was acceptable level. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin coefficient was 0.67 for students' attitudes and Bartlett's test of sphericity was statistically significant (p < 0.001, $\chi^2 = 646.39$, and df. = 78), and the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin coefficient was 0.70 for students' practices and Bartlett's test of sphericity was statistically

TABLE 1 Demographic characteristics and KAP score analysis of the participants.

Variables	Proportion (N = 445)	Frequency (%)	Scores of KAP (mean <u>+</u> SD)	Statistical value					
Gender									
Male	182	40.9%	78.47 ± 7.41	t = 1.32, p = 0.18					
Female	263	59.1%	79.72 ± 6.37						
Age, years									
18 ~ 20 years	313	70.3%	79.38 ± 6.58	t = 0.01, p = 0.99					
\geq 20 years	132	29.7%	78.81 ± 7.41						
Nationality									
Ethnic minority	22	4.9%	75.63 ± 6.09	t = -2.53, p = 0.01					
Han Chinese	423	95.1%	79.39 ± 6.83						
Educational level									
Lower-year undergraduate	297	66.7%	78.75 ± 6.80	t = -2.01, p = 0.04					
Upper-year undergraduates	148	33.3%	80.13 ± 6.83						
Monthly living expenses									
<1,000 yuan	16	3.6%	77.50 ± 4.95	F = 6.90, p = 0.001					
1,000 ~ 2000 yuan	387	87.0%	78.89 ± 6.71						
>2000 yuan	42	9.4%	82.80 ± 7.57						
Type of specializations									
Non-medical field	351	78.9%	78.64 ± 6.86	t = -3.43, p = 0.001					
Medical field	94	21.1%	81.34 ± 6.34						
Residence									
Cities and towns	157	35.3%	80.62 ± 7.10	t = 3.24, p = 0.001					
Rural area	288	64.7%	78.44 ± 6.57						
Training on foodborne diseases									
Yes	41	9.2%	82.48 ± 6.04	t = 3.25, p = 0.001					
No	404	90.8%	78.88 ± 6.83						
Onset within 2 months									
Yes	0	0	_						
No	445	100%	78.79 ± 6.63	-					

Scores of knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) were calculated. Independent sample *t*-test and one-way ANOVA test used for KAP scores toward foodborne diseases. Lower-year undergraduate (freshman + sophomore) and upper-year undergraduate (junior and senior).

significant (p < 0.001, $\chi^2 = 1403.76$, and df. = 105), indicating the acceptable validity of the scale section. The mean score of foodborne diseases KAP was 79.21 ± 6.84 out of 98 (range = 60 to 96). Of the 445 students, 234 students (52.6%) had a high KAP level and scored over 80 points toward foodborne diseases. The study findings showed a significant difference in foodborne diseases KAP score based on the nationality, educational level, monthly living expenses, specialization type, residence, and training on food safety (all, p < 0.05) (Table 1).

Knowledge toward foodborne diseases

An assessment of foodborne diseases knowledge of students is summarized in Table 2. 80% ~ 89% of the students were able to accurately comprehend foodborne diseases-related knowledge (Supplementary Table S4). Out of 10 foodborne diseases-related questions, a large proportion (89.3%) of the college students can answer correctly about 7 questions. The mean score of foodborne diseases knowledge was 8.76 ± 0.95 ranging 6 to 10. Of the 455 participants, almost two-thirds of students (65.2%, n = 290) had a moderate knowledge level with scores >80%. Most of the participants showed moderate knowledge of foodborne diseases including food contamination pathways and control ways, personal hygiene and habits. But poor knowledge was mainly related to crosscontaminating food with the same knife to cut raw meat and vegetables (Figure 1).

In the demographic characteristics variable comparison, the mean score of foodborne diseases knowledge in the upperclassman (junior/senior) (8.95 \pm 0.94) was visible higher than that of the underclassmen (freshman/sophomore) (8.67 \pm 0.95) (p < 0.05). The mean score of foodborne diseases knowledge in students from the rural areas (8.84 \pm 0.90) was noticeable higher than that of students form the cities and towns (8.62 \pm 1.04) (p < 0.05).

TABLE 2 The scores of foodborne diseases-related knowledge, attitudes and practices among participants.

Variables	Proportion (<i>N</i> = 445)	Knowledge scores (mean <u>+</u> SD)	<i>p</i> -value	Attitudes scores (mean <u>+</u> SD)	<i>p</i> -value	Practices scores (mean <u>+</u> SD)	<i>p</i> -value		
Gender									
Male	182	8.84 ± 0.89	0.19	10.56 ± 1.71	0.54	59.08 ± 6.93	0.02		
Female	263	8.71 ± 0.99		10.46 ± 1.58		60.54 ± 6.09			
Age, year									
<20	313	8.73 ± 0.96	0.32	10.44 ± 1.73	0.17	59.61 ± 6.05	0.19		
≥20	132	8.83 ± 0.95		10.65 ± 1.37		59.30 ± 6.85			
Nationality									
Ethnic minority	22	8.91 ± 0.81	0.40	10.81 ± 1.13	0.35	55.91 ± 7.00	0.003		
Han nationality	423	8.76 ± 0.96		10.48 ± 1.66		60.15 ± 6.83			
Educational level									
Freshman+ Sophomore	297	8.67 ± 0.95	0.005	10.40 ± 1.77	0.046	59.27 ± 6.35	0.21		
Junior+ Senior	148	8.95 ± 0.94		10.70 ± 1.31		60.02 ± 6.17			
Monthly living expen	ses								
<1,000 yuan	16	8.75 ± 1.00	0.12	10.37 ± 1.45	0.009	58.37 ± 5.41	0.003		
1,000 ~ 2000 yuan	387	8.80 ± 0.96		10.42 ± 1.67		59.66 ± 6.35			
>2000 yuan	42	8.48 ± 0.86		10.23 ± 1.12		63.09 ± 7.24			
Type of specializations									
Non-medical field	351	8.73 ± 0.94	0.14	10.42 ± 1.72	0.015	59.49 ± 6.86	0.004		
Medical field	94	8.89 ± 1.02		10.80 ± 1.23		61.63 ± 6.34			
Residence									
Cities and towns	157	8.62 ± 1.04	0.029	10.62 ± 1.51	0.25	61.37 ± 6.74	0.001		
Rural area	288	8.84 ± 0.90		10.43 ± 1.70		59.16 ± 6.24			
Training on foodborne diseases									
Yes	41	8.88 ± 0.90	0.42	10.82 ± 1.33	0.18	62.78 ± 5.59	0.003		
No	404	8.75 ± 0.96		10.47 ± 1.66		59.65 ± 6.50			

Bold indicates significance at p < 0.05, Scores of knowledge, attitudes and practices were calculated, respectively. Independent sample *t*-test and one-way ANOVA test used for knowledge, attitudes and practices scores toward foodborne diseases. For knowledge toward foodborne diseases, each correct answer that was addressed as "True" was marked as 1 point, while "False" responses were given 0 points in this section. For attitudes toward foodborne diseases, each positive response that was addressed as "agree" was marked as 1 point, while "neutral" and "disagree" responses were given 0 points in this section. For practices toward foodborne diseases, 1 = never, 2 = sometimes, 3 = neutral, 4 = often, 5 = always for items 1,2,3,4,9,12,13,14,15 and 5 = never, 4 = sometimes, 3 = neutral, 2 = often, 1 = always for item 5,6,7,8,10,11.

Frequent surf	face cleaning can prevent food cor	ntamination		9	02.4		7.6
Foc	od contamination risk zone from 5	5°C to 60°C		76.4			23.6
Contaminated f	foodstuffs always change their cha	aracteristics		87.	9		12.1
Used the same knife to	cut foodstuffs increasing food co	ntamination	42.7			57.3	
	Correct method for washing food	equipment.			96.9		<mark>3.1</mark>
Contamination foo	dstuffs cannot be detected using s	ense organs			97.3		2.7
We	earing gloves reduced the food cor	ntamination			99.0		1 <mark>.</mark> (
Cooked and	l uncooked foods caused cross-co	ntamination		9	1.0		9.0
Raw m	eat stored in the lower part of the	refrigerator			96.0		4.0
Foodborne	diseases are caused by contaminat	tion of food			96.9		<mark>3.1</mark>
		0% 10	% 20%	30% 40%	50% 60%	70% 80%	6 90% 100
	B: Attitudes towards for	odborne illnesses		Agree Neutral	■ Disagree		
Left cooked food for more than 2 hour	rs from the refrigerator is unsafe		49.2		40	.4	10.3
Hands are where most bacte	ria and microorganism originate			87.4			6.7 5.9
It is necessary to maintain personal hygier	ne to control foodborne diseases			98.7			1 <mark>0</mark> 3
The health condition of f	food handlers should be assessed			92.4			7.2 0.
You do not treat for	od ready with abrasions on hand			85.6			13.0 1.4
Pathogens ca	an be sourced from food utensils			82.9			10.3 6.8
Proper hygiene of the hand	can prevent foodborne illnesses			95.0			4.01.0
Long fingernails contam	ninate foodstuffs with pathogens			77.5			18.0 4.5
Raw food should be process	sed separately from cooked food			98.0			1061
You should wash hands after to	uching some parts of your body			96.9			3.0.
Loughing tood monually without alg	oves induces food contamination						20
Fourning root manually without gre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	27.0		78.9			24.5
Do you prefer wearing a r	ring or watch inside the cafeteria	27.9		4	7.6		24.5
Do you prefer wearing a r Covering the mouth of	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food	27.9	% 3 0%	4 79.3	7.6)% <u>80</u> %	24.5 15.7 5.0 90% 100
C: Practices recording food home	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food 0	27.9	% 30%	79.3 40% 50%	7.6 % 60% 70	0% 80%	24.5 15.7 5.0 90% 100
C: Practices regarding foodborne	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food 0 e illnesses N	27.9 9% 10% 209 ever Sometimes	% 30% = Neutral	4 79,3 40% 50% ■ Often	7.6 % 60% 70 Always	9% 80%	24.5 15.7 5.0 90% 100
C: Practices regarding foodborn washing your hands after handling dirty things	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food 0 e illnesses N 33.1 12.7	27.9 10% 209 ever Sometimes	% 30% ■Neutral	79.3 40% 50% ■ Often ■ 82.9	7.6 % 60% 7(Always	9% 80%	24.5 15.7 5.0 90% 100
C: Practices regarding foodborn washing your hands after handling dirty things	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food c illnesses No 233.1 12.7 13.1 12.7 15.1	27.9 9% 10% 20% ever • Sometimes 23.4	% 30% ≡Ncutral	79,3 40% 50% ■ Often ■ 82.9	7.6 6 60% 7(Always 55.3	9% 80%	24.5 15.7 5.0 90% 100
C: Practices regarding foodborne washing your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands before cooking foodstuffs Checking the production and expiration date of foodstuffs	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food e illnesses N .33.1 12.7 .7 4.5 15.1 .3 4.0 9.4	27.9 0% 10% 20% ever • Sometimes 23.4 31.9	% 30% = Neutral	79.3 40% 50% ■ Often ■ 82.9	7.6 6 60% 7(Always 55.3 53.4	9% 80%	24.5 15.7 5.0 90% 100
C: Practices regarding food borne washing your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands before cooking foodstuffs Checking the production and expiration date of foodstuffs Discarding refrigerated for 3-4 days leftovers	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food e illnesses No .33.1 12.7 No .34.0 9.4 9.0 5.4 11.2	27.9 0% 10% 20% ever Sometimes 23.4 31.9 24.7	% 30% = Neutral	79.3 40% 50% • Often • 82.9	7.6 6 60% 7(Always 55.3 53.4 49.7	9% 80%	24.5 15.7 5.0 90% 100
C: Practices regarding food manuary withou gr C: Practices regarding foodborne washing your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands before cooking foodstuffs Checking the production and expiration date of foodstuffs Discarding refrigerated for 3-4 days leftovers Eating runny yolk eggs or items containing crude eggs	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food 0 e illnesses Na 33.1 12.7 1 .7 4.5 15.1 .3 4.0 9.4 9.0 5.4 11.2	27.9 27.9 27.9 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20%	% 30% = Neutral	79.3 40% 50% • Often • 82.9 25.2	7.6 6 60% 7(Always 55.3 53.4 49.7	13.9	24.5 15.7 5.0 90% 100
C: Practices regarding foodborne washing your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands before cooking foodstuffs Checking the production and expiration date of foodstuffs Discarding refrigerated for 3-4 days leftovers Eating runny yolk eggs or items containing crude eggs Using a thermometer to assess if the food is fully cooked	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food 0 c illnesses No .33.1 12.7 	27.9 27.9 27.9 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20% 20%	% 30%	79.3 40% 50% • Often 82.9 25.2	7.6 6 60% 7(Always 55.3 53.4 49.7	13.9 15.7	24.5 15.7 5.0 90% 100 7.4 6.7 4.9 1.8 4.9
C: Practices regarding foodborne washing your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands before cooking foodstuffs Checking the production and expiration date of foodstuffs Discarding refrigerated for 3-4 days leftovers Eating runny yolk eggs or items containing crude eggs Using a thermometer to assess if the food is fully cooked Using hot and soapy water to disinfect countertops	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food 0 e illnesses N 33.1 12.7 N 4.0 9.4 1 4.0 9.4 1 4.4	27.9 27.9 27.9 27.9 20 ever • Sometimes 23.4 31.9 24.7 66.8 72.7	% 30% = Neutral 34.8	79.3 40% 50% • Often 82.9 25.2	7.6 6 60% 7(Always 55.3 53.4 49.7	13.9 15.7 37.4	24.5 5.0 90% 100 7.4 6.7 4.9 1.8 4.9
C: Practices regarding foodborne washing your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands before cooking foodstuffs Checking the production and expiration date of foodstuffs Discarding refrigerated for 3-4 days leftovers Eating runny yolk eggs or items containing crude eggs Using a thermometer to assess if the food is fully cooked Using hot and soapy water to disinfect countertops Storing eggs at room temperature	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food e illnesses N .33.1 12.7 N .34.0 9.4 9.0 5.4 11.2 4 4.0 9.4 14.4 20.9	27.9 10% 20% ever • Sometimes 23.4 31.9 24.7 66.8 72.7 27.0	% 30% Neutral	79.3 40% 50% • Often 82.9 25.2 17.5	7.6 6 60% 7(Always 55.3 53.4 49.7 21	13.9 15.7 37.4 .6	7.4 6.7 13.0 13.0
C: Practices regarding food manually withou gr C: Practices regarding foodborned washing your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands before cooking foodstuffs Cleaning your hands before cooking foodstuffs Discarding refrigerated for 3-4 days leftovers Eating runny yolk eggs or items containing crude eggs Using a thermometer to assess if the food is fully cooked Using hot and soapy water to disinfect countertops Storing eggs at room temperature Left cooked food near the counter to be used the next day	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food e illnesses P N (33.1 12.7 1 (7 4.5 15.1 (3 4.0 9.4 11.2 (4 4,0 9.4 14.4 (20.9 3 (3 2.4 14.4)	27.9 10% 20% ever Sometimes 23.4 31.9 24.7 16.8 72.7 27.0	% 30%	79.3 40% 50% • Often 82.9 25.2 17.5 38.7	7.6 6 60% 7(Always 55.3 53.4 49.7 211	13.9 15.7 37.4 .6 17.5	7.4 6.7 13.0 9.7
C: Practices regarding foodborner C: Practices regarding foodborner washing your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands before cooking foodstuffs Cleaning your hands before cooking foodstuffs Discarding refrigerated for 3-4 days leftovers Eating runny yolk eggs or items containing crude eggs Using a thermometer to assess if the food is fully cooked Using hot and soapy water to disinfect countertops Storing eggs at room temperature Left cooked food near the counter to be used the next day Meat thawed in the morning and cook it in the evening	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food e illnesses • No .33.1 12.7 • .7 4.5 15.1 .3 4.0 9.4 • 9.0 5.4 11.2 4.0 9.4 14.4 20.9 • 32.4 18.1	27.9 10% 20' ever • Sometimes 23.4 31.9 24.7 27.0 24.7	% 30% = Neutral	179.3 40% 50% • Often 82.9 25.2 17.5 38.7 24.7	7.6 6 60% 7(Always 55.3 53.4 49.7 211	13.9 15.7 37.4 .6 17.5 24.9	7.4 6.7 13.0 9.7 1.3.0 9.7
C: Practices regarding foodborner C: Practices regarding foodborner washing your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands before cooking foodstuffs Cleaning your hands before cooking foodstuffs Discarding refrigerated for 3-4 days leftovers Eating runny yolk eggs or items containing crude eggs Using a thermometer to assess if the food is fully cooked Using hot and soapy water to disinfect countertops Storing eggs at room temperature Left cooked food near the counter to be used the next day Meat thawed in the morning and cook it in the evening Using the same cutting board for all foodstuffs	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food e illnesses N (33.1 12.7 N (7 4.5 15.1 (7 4.5 15.1 (7 4.5 15.1 (7 4.6 14.4 (20.9 32.4 (14.4 (20.9 32.4) (14.4 (20.9 32.4) (14.4 (20.9 32.4) (14.4) (14.6) (17.5) (27.9 10% 20% ever • Sometimes 23.4 31.9 24.7 27.0 24.7 5	% 30% Neutral 34.8 20.7	179.3 40% 50% • Often • 82.9 25.2 17.5 38.7 24.7 24.7	7.6 6 60% 7(Always 55.3 53.4 49.7 21 3.8	13.9 15.7 37.4 .6 17.5 24.9 25	7.4 6.7 13.0 9.7 1.3.0 9.7 9.7 1.7 5.4 7.6
C: Practices regarding foodborn C: Practices regarding foodborn washing your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands before cooking foodstuffs Cleaning your hands before cooking foodstuffs Discarding refrigerated for 3-4 days leftovers Eating runny yolk eggs or items containing crude eggs Using a thermometer to assess if the food is fully cooked Using hot and scapy water to disinfect countertops Storing cggs at room temperature Left cooked food near the counter to be used the next day Meat thawed in the morning and cook it in the evening Using the same cutting board for all foodstuffs Washing plates before using cooked food	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food e illnesses N (33.1 12.7 (7 4.5 15.1 (7 4.5 15.1) (7 4.5 15.1 (7 4.5 15.1) (7 4.5 15.1 (7 4.5 15.1) (7 5.1) (7 5	27.9 10% 20% ever Sometimes 23.4 31.9 24.7 27.0 24.7 3	% 30% = Neutral 34,8 20.7	79.3 40% 50% • Often • 82.9 25.2 17.5 38.7 24.7 24.7	7.6 6 60% 7(Always 55.3 53.4 49.7 21 3.8 70.3	13.9 15.7 37.4 6 17.5 24.9 25	7.4 6.7 13.0 9.0% 9.0% 1.00 13.0 9.7 1.3.0 9.7 9.7 1.7 5.4 7.6
C: Practices regarding foodborn C: Practices regarding foodborn washing your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands before cooking foodstuffs Discarding refrigerated for 3-4 days leftovers Eating runny yolk eggs or items containing crude eggs Using a thermometer to assess if the food is fully cooked Using hot and scapy water to disinfect countertops Storing eggs at room temperature Left cooked food near the counter to be used the next day Meat thawed in the morning and cook it in the evening Using the same cutting board for all foodstuffs Washing plates before using cooked food	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food e illnesses N (33.1 12.7 N (7 4.5 15.1 (7 4.5 15.1) (7 4.5 15.1 (7 4.5 15.1) (7 5.4 11.2) (7 5.8 22.9) (7 5.8 22.9) (7 5.8 22.9) (7 5.8 22.9)	27.9 10% 20% ever Sometimes 23.4 31.9 24.7 27.0 24.7 28.8	% 30% = Neutral 34.8 20.7	79.3 40% 50% • Often • 82.9 25.2 17.5 38.7 24.7 24.7	7.6 6 60% 7(Always 55.3 53.4 49.7 21 3.8 70.3 54.5	13.9 15.7 37.4 6 17.5 24.9 25	7.4 6.7 13.0 9.0% 100 100 7.4 6.7 4.9 1.8 13.0 9.7 9.7 1.7 5.4 7.6
Cleaning your hands after you touch the raw meat et 0 Covering your hands after you touch the raw meat et 0 Covering your hands after you touch the raw meat et 0 Cleaning your hands after you touch the raw meat et 0 Cleaning your hands after you touch the raw meat et 0 Cleaning your hands after you touch the raw meat et 0 Covering your hands after you touch the raw meat et 0	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food e illnesses N (33.1 12.7 N (34.0 9.4 11.2 9.0 5.4 11.2 4.0 9.4 14.4 20.9 32.4 18.1 12.6 7.5 0 5.8 22.9 44.6 11.7 5.5 11.5	27.9 10% 20% ever Sometimes 23.4 31.9 24.7 27.0 24.7 27.0 24.7 5 28.8 31.5	% 30% = Neutral 34.8 0.7	179.3 40% 50% • Often • 82.9 25.2 17.5 38.7 24.7 24.7	7.6 6 60% 7(Always 55.3 53.4 49.7 21 3.8 70.3 54.5 51.5	13.9 15.7 37.4 .6 17.5 24.9 25	7.4 6.7 13.0 9.7 13.0 9.7 13.0 9.7 1.7 7.6
Cleaning your hands after you couch the next day Do you prefer wearing a r Covering the mouth C: Practices regarding foodborn washing your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands after handling dirty things Cleaning your hands before cooking foodstuffs Discarding refrigerated for 3-4 days leftovers Eating runny yolk eggs or items containing crude eggs Using a thermometer to assess if the food is fully cooked Using hot and soapy water to disinfect countertops Storing eggs at room temperature Left cooked food near the counter to be used the next day Meat thawed in the morning and cook it in the evening Using the same cutting board for all foodstuffs Washing plates before using cooked food Washing your hands after you touch the raw meat etc 0 Covering your hands with cut/sore before preparing food Washing your hands before handling and cooking food	ring or watch inside the cafeteria can avoid contamination of food e illnesses N (33.1 12.7 1 (7 4.5 15.1 (7 4.5 15.1) (7 5.4 11.2 (7 5.5 11.5 (7 5.5 11.5 (7 5.5 11.5) (7 5.8 19.8	27.9 10% 20% ever = Sometimes 23.4 31.9 24.7 27.0 24.7 28.8 31.5	% 30% = Neutral 34.8	179.3 40% 50% • Often • 82.9 25.2 17.5 38.7 24.7 24.7	7.6 6 60% 7(Always 55.3 53.4 49.7 21 3.8 70.3 54.5 51.5	13.9 15.7 37.4 .6 17.5 24.9 25 36.5	7.4 6.7 4.9 1.8 4.9 13.0 9.7 1.7 5.4 7.6 5.4

However, there was no statistical difference in students' knowledge toward foodborne diseases in the variables of gender, age, nationality, monthly living expenses, specialization type and training on food safety (all, p > 0.05) (Table 2).

Attitudes toward foodborne diseases

Most of the students $(78\% \sim 86\%)$ showed positive attitudes toward foodborne diseases (Supplementary Table S5). On a 3-point scale, the overall average score of the questions on attitudes was 10.50 \pm 1.63 out of 13, ranging 1 to 13. Among the study participants, 4.9% responded negatively, 14.3% were neutral, and 80.8% had positive attitudes toward foodborne diseases. Only 27.9% of participants believed that wearing a ring or watch would cause food contamination inside the cafeteria. Nearly half of the respondents (49.2%) agreed that leaving cooked food out of the refrigerator for more than 2 h was unsafe. Approximately 78.9% of participants believed that touching food manually without gloves would induce a cross-contamination of foods, and 77.5% of participants expressed that pathogens such as bacteria could contaminate food through long and painted fingernails (Figure 1).

In the demographic characteristics variable comparison, the mean score of attitudes toward foodborne diseases in the upperclassman (junior/senior) (10.7 ± 1.31) was significantly higher than that of the underclassmen (freshman/sophomore) (10.4 ± 1.77) (p < 0.05). The average score for attitudes toward foodborne diseases among medical students (10.80 ± 1.23) was significantly higher than that of non-medical students (10.42 ± 1.72) (p < 0.05). The attitudes of students with a monthly living expense of 1,000–2000 toward foodborne diseases are more positive, with the highest significant score (10.42 ± 1.67) (p < 0.05). There was no statistical difference in students' attitudes toward foodborne diseases in the variables of gender, age, nationality, residence and training on food safety (all, p > 0.05) (Table 3).

Practices toward foodborne diseases

Less than half of the students ($35\% \sim 54\%$, always) had varied adequate practice, and about one-thirds of the students had inadequate practice toward foodborne diseases (Supplementary Table S6). The mean score of the practices toward foodborne diseases was 59.94 ± 6.48 out of 75, ranging from 41 to 75. Of the 445 participants, slightly more than half (52%, n = 230) of students had a better level of practices toward foodborne diseases with scores >80%. As shown in Figure 1, almost 82.9% of the students wash hands after handling dirty things. Before serving cooked food, 70.3% of the students wash bowls and plates used for seafood, poultry and raw meat. Nearly half of the students never eat runny yolk eggs or items containing crude eggs (46.8%), and

TABLE 3 The multiple linear regression of knowledge, attitudes, and practices scores toward foodborne diseases.

Variables	ables Knowledge level				Attitudes level				Practices level			
	β	р-	95%	%CI	β	р-	95%	%CI	β	p-	95%	%CI
		value	Lower	Upper		value	Lower	Upper		value	Lower	Upper
Gender									1			
Male	RC											
Female	-0.07	0.16	-0.31	0.05	0.01	0.99	-0.30	0.32	0.11	0.024	0.18	2.58
Age, year												
<20	RC											
≥20	-0.08	0.20	-0.43	0.09	0.01	0.90	-0.41	0.47	-0.14	0.26	-3.61	-0.24
Nationality												
Ethnic minority	0.04	0.40	-0.24	0.59	0.04	0.40	-0.41	1.00	-0.12	0.012	-6.15	-0.77
Han nationality	RC											
Educational level												
Freshman+ Sophomore	RC											
Junior+ Senior	0.19	0.008	0.10	0.67	0.01	0.85	-0.44	0.53	0.08	0.25	-0.79	2.94
Monthly living expense	s											
<1,000 yuan	RC											
1,000 ~ 2000 yuan	0.02	0.86	-0.44	0.53	-0.01	0.86	-0.90	0.76	0.02	0.86	-2.88	3.45
>2000 yuan	-0.09	0.29	-0.87	0.26	0.13	0.13	-0.22	1.70	0.17	0.05	0.05	7.43
Type of specializations												
Non-medical field	0.01	0.89	-0.25	0.29	-0.07	0.25	-0.73	0.19	-0.13	0.024	-3.82	-0.27
Medical field	RC											
Residence												
Cities and towns	RC											
Rural area	0.09	0.07	-0.02	0.36	-0.06	0.23	-0.52	0.13	-0.11	0.015	-2.80	-0.30
Training on foodborne diseases												
Yes	0.04	0.35	-0.16	0.45	0.06	0.20	-0.19	0.86	0.11	0.013	0.53	4.54
No	RC											
Knowledge score	-				0.15	0.002	0.10	0.42	-0.04	0.43	-0.87	0.37
Attitudes score	-				-				0.03	0.52	-0.24	0.48
Practices score	-				-				-			

Bold indicates significance at p < 0.05. "-"Not included. The R^2 for the model of knowledge score, attitudes score and practice score were 0.05 (F = 2.42, p = 0.011), 0.06 (F = 2.71, p = 0.003), and 0.12 (F = 5.45, p < 0.001), respectively. β , Regression coefficient; CI, confidence interval; RC, reference category.

always discard refrigerated leftovers after 3–4 days (49.7%). About 53.4% of the students always check the production date and expiration date and never ate food that deteriorated and expired. However, one quarter of students (25.4%) always use the same cutting board for raw meat, poultry, seafood and vegetables, and 13.0% of the students always long store eggs at room temperature.

In the demographic characteristics variable comparison, the average score of practices toward foodborne diseases was significantly varied by participants' gender, nationality, monthly living expenses, specialization type, residence and training on food safety (all, p < 0.05) (Table 2). Male students (59.08 ± 6.93), students from ethnic minorities (55.91 ± 7.00), students with low monthly living expenses (58.37 ± 5.41), non-medical students (59.49 ± 6.86), students from rural areas (59.16 ± 6.24), and students without food safety training, those scored lower in the practices toward foodborne diseases. However, there was no significant difference in students' practices toward foodborne diseases in the variables of age and educational level (all, p > 0.05) (Table 2).

Assessment of the variables associated

The multiple linear regression of knowledge, attitudes, and practices scores toward foodborne diseases was showed in Table 3. Data of Pearson correlation showed that students' attitudes regarding foodborne diseases improved as their knowledges of foodborne diseases increased (p < 0.05). The data of regression indicated that knowledge of participants was positively associated with their attitudes $(\beta = 0.15, p < 0.05)$, but not with their practices $(\beta = -0.04, p > 0.05)$. The regression models showed that upperclassmen (junior and senior) had high knowledge levels on foodborne diseases compared to the underclassmen (freshman and sophomore) ($\beta = 0.19, p < 0.05$), which showed that educational level was the significant predictor of knowledge on foodborne diseases. One meaningful factor of attitudes toward foodborne diseases was the knowledge ($\beta = 0.15$, p < 0.05). Students with high knowledge levels were appreciably more likely to have a positive attitude toward foodborne diseases. On the other hand, the significant predictors of practices were included gender ($\beta = 0.11$, p < 0.05), nationality ($\beta = -0.12$, p < 0.05), specialization type $(\beta = -0.13, p < 0.05)$, residence $(\beta = -0.11, p < 0.05)$, and training on foodborne diseases ($\beta = 0.11, p < 0.05$).

Discussion

Foodborne illnesses continue to be a major public health issue in developing countries (39), and understanding the knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) of individuals, particularly college students, is also crucial for preventing foodborne illnesses. The present study provided valuable insights into the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of foodborne diseases among college students. Overall, this study found a moderate KAP score level among college students in western areas.

The role of college student food consumers plays a crucial part in reducing foodborne diseases. Previous studies have indicated that health literacy levels could effectively assist individuals in preventing and controlling disease (40). Students with adequate health literacy may have higher KAP scores for foodborne diseases, and were more likely to be aware of their responsibility to prevent foodborne diseases and then to adopt beneficial health practices. Students with low health literacy may lack access to valuable health knowledge and struggle to adopt beneficial health practices (41). Although China has made considerable progress in improving health literacy in the last decades (42), foodborne disease health education for college students remains an important means for improving population health. In the study, the identification of only 47.4% of students with a low KAP level suggests that there is still a significant portion of the students that may benefit from targeted educational interventions to improve their understanding and practices related to foodborne diseases.

The study results revealed that participants with differences in nationality, educational level, specialization type, and residence had a substantial variation in overall KAP scores for foodborne diseases (p < 0.05). There was a meaningful decline in the KAP score toward foodborne diseases among ethnic minority students, freshman and sophomore students, non-medical students, rural students and students who were not trained in foodborne diseases. However, the findings for demographic characteristics variables are not fully consistent with previous studies in Jordan (43), Greek (44), Kuwait (45), Canadian (46), Bulgaria (47), Saudi Arabia (48), and Ethiopia (33), which may be attributed to differences in study design, questionnaire format, study period, social support, and demographic characteristics. Overall, this suggests that interventions and educational programs targeting foodborne diseases should be tailored to address the specific needs and characteristics of these younger cohorts. Efforts to enhance and promote foodborne diseases training programs are crucial in equipping college students with the necessary knowledge and skills to mitigate foodborne disease risks.

The study found that the participants displayed a moderate level of knowledge regarding foodborne diseases, with the majority answering the knowledge-related questions correctly. The average knowledge score of foodborne diseases varied significantly across different sociodemographic characteristics, consistent with findings in other studies (46–48) on food safety knowledge. However, there were specific areas of poor knowledge, particularly concerning practices such as cross-contaminating food with the same knife to cut raw meat and vegetables, and long-storing eggs at room temperature. Additionally, the study revealed that upperclassmen (junior and senior students) had a higher knowledge level on foodborne diseases compared to the underclassmen (freshmen and sophomore students), indicating that educational level was a significant predictor of knowledge on foodborne diseases.

Attitude is an important factor that can influence perceptions and practices related to foodborne diseases, ultimately leading to a decrease in the occurrence of foodborne illnesses (49, 50). The results showed that students with a high level of knowledge about foodborne diseases were appreciably more likely to have a positive attitude toward foodborne diseases practices. Based on the attitude of all questions, 81% of college students had a positive response toward foodborne diseases, higher than previously estimated levels from an evaluation of food safety education on college students in Henan Province, China, in 2014 (51). This difference might be due to the implementation of regulations on the management of food safety, and nutrition and health in schools in 2019 (24) and improvement in health literacy in the last decades in China (42). This finding underscores the importance of knowledge in shaping individuals' attitudes and perceptions toward foodborne diseases. It also highlights the potential for targeted educational interventions to improve attitudes and promote safer food practices among college students.

In the current study, there was a moderate level of practices toward foodborne diseases among college students, which aligns with several previous studies in Jordan (52) and Bangladesh (53). Additionally, the study also revealed that individuals with higher monthly living expenses were more inclined to adhere to positive attitudes and good food hygiene practices. Most students showed good habits of avoiding food kept at room temperature for a long time and refusing to eat expired food, which is in line with a prior survey conducted among students from China Chongqing's nursing, education, and medical colleges (32). However, there were areas for improvement, for example, one quarter of students reported practices such as using the same cutting board for vegetables, seafood, and raw meat, and long storing eggs at room temperature, which are known foodborne diseases risks. In particular, male students, ethnic minority students, non-medical students, and students from rural areas showed a lower score in the practices toward foodborne diseases, which suggests the need for targeted interventions to improve specific foodborne diseases practices among college students.

Multiple linear regression analyses (Table 3) showed that gender, nationality, education level, specialization type, residence and training on foodborne diseases were identified as key influencers on foodborne diseases practices, which are consistent with previous studies in China (32), Saudi Arabia (48), Ethiopia (33) and Bangladesh (54). The study found that knowledge of foodborne diseases provokes positively attitudes toward foodborne diseases, consistent with a study on Bangladesh students (47). This underscores the importance of considering these factors when developing interventions to improve foodborne diseases practices among Chinese college students. Targeted educational programs that address specific knowledge gaps and promote positive attitudes toward foodborne diseases practices could be instrumental in improving overall food safety behaviors among this population.

In general, although the participants had a positive attitude, their practice was still sometimes lower than expected. Students should be aware of the importance of good practices in preventing foodborne illness. For example, when buying food, check the production date and shelf life. Wash hands before handling or cooking food and after contact with raw meat, poultry, seafood, or contaminated items. Discard leftovers after 3–4 days in the refrigerator. Use separate cutting boards for raw meat, poultry, seafood, and vegetables. Use clean plates for cooked foods and do not mix raw and cooked foods. Bandage any cuts on your hands before preparing ingredients, etc.

Nonetheless, this study has several limitations. Firstly, the selection of universities utilized a non-probability sampling technique, which could potentially bias the study's findings. Secondly, the sample was obtained from only one university, with a limited number of college students included in the study. Thirdly, the ethnic minority sample used in this study was significantly smaller compared to the Han nationality group, and a more diverse ethnic minority sample should be included in future research. Fourthly, it employed a cross-sectional design, and thereby could not claim causality among the variables studied. Furthermore, given the self-report nature of the measurements employed in this study, there is a risk of social desirability and reporting biases from the respondents. Due to these limitations, the findings of this study cannot be generalized to the entire student population in China.

Conclusion

The present study revealed the insufficient level of knowledge, moderate and acceptable level of practices, but high attitude toward foodborne diseases among these college students in western areas of China. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices varied based on demographic characteristics. To enhance knowledge, attitudes, and practices toward foodborne diseases, factors such as gender, nationality, education level, specialization type, and residence should be considered. Specifically, targeted courses or training on foodborne diseases should be increased for college students to improve their knowledge and practices for reducing foodborne diseases. Subsequent research could also employ qualitative methodologies to obtain a more profound insight into the factors that influence foodborne disease practices.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

Ethical approval was not required for the study involving humans in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

XM: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Writing – original draft. LB: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. XZ: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the Project of Sichuan University Student Ideological and Political Education Research Center (CSZ24049) and the Key Project for Education and Teaching Reform in Xihua University (XJJG2021040).

Acknowledgments

The authors are deeply grateful to the reviewers and editors for their insightful questions, which improved the manuscript, and to the students who participated in the study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations,

References

1. Vilne B, Meistere I, Grantiņa-Ieviņa L, Ķibilds J. Machine learning approaches for epidemiological investigations of food-borne disease outbreaks. *Front Microbiol.* (2019) 10:1722. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01722

2. Pattabhiramaiah M, Mallikarjunaiah S. Foodborne pathogens and food safety regulations. In: Food microbial and molecular biology. Eds. Islam S, Thangadurai D, Sangeetha J, Chowdhury ZZ. (Florida: Apple Academic Press) (2023).

3. Bari ML, Yeasmin S. Foodborne diseases and responsible agents. In: AM Grumezescu and AM Holban, editors. Food safety and preservation Academic Press (2018). 195–229. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-814956-0.00008-1

4. Chhetri V, Pokhrel HP, Dorji T. A review on foodborne disease outbreaks in Bhutan. WHO South East Asia J Public Health. (2021) 10:101–4. doi: 10.4103/WHO-SEAJPH.WHO-SEAJPH_117_21

5. EFSA. The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2014. *EFSA J.* (2015) 13:191. doi: 10.2903/j. efsa.2015.4329

6. Sekse C, Holst-Jensen A, Dobrindt U, Johannessen GS, Li W, Spilsberg B, et al. High throughput sequencing for detection of foodborne pathogens. *Front Microbiol.* (2017) 8:2029. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02029

7. World Health Organization. *Estimating the burden of foodborne diseases: A practical handbook for countries*, module 2 (2021). Available at: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240012264 (Accessed January 20, 2024).

8. Lee H, Yoon Y. Etiological agents implicated in foodborne illness world wide. *Food Sci Anim Resour.* (2021) 41:1–7. doi: 10.5851/kosfa.2020.e75

9. Chen Y, Wan G, Song J, Dai J, Shi W, Wang L. Food safety practices of food handlers in China and their correlation with self-reported foodborne illness. *J Food Prot.* (2023) 87:100202. doi: 10.1016/j.jfp.2023.100202

10. Li H, Jia H, Zhao S, Song J, Liu Z, Fu P, et al. Analysis of foodborne disease outbreaks in Chinese mainland in 2021. *Chin J Food Hyg.* (2022) 34:816–21. doi: 10.13590/j.cjfh.2022.04.029

11. Frederic MK, Guo X, Zhao X, Nzudie HLF, Tillotson MR, Zhou Y, et al. Evaluating the water footprint and nutritional quality of takeaway dishes for selected large cities in China. *Sci Total Environ.* (2024) 911:168632. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.168632

12. Jiang X, Dong M, He Y, Shen J, Jing W, Yang N, et al. Research on the design of and preference for collection modes of reusable takeaway containers to promote sustainable consumption. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2020) 17:4764. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17134764

13. Zhang H, Ye Y, Yang B, Gu Q, Zhu Y, Que F, et al. Characterization of an unusual foodborne illness including an outbreak and sporadic illness caused by three bacterial pathogens via a takeaway service. *Foodborne Pathog Dis.* (2019) 16:616–21. doi: 10.1089/ fpd.2018.2601

14. Dong S, Liu Q, Tian W, Ailing Q, Ma C. Analysis of the current situation and food safety problems of takeaway on university campuses. *Agric Prod Process.* (2021) 2021:69–71. doi: 10.16693/j.cnki.1671-9646(X).2021.08.018

15. Aljasir SF. Food safety knowledge and practices among food handlers and consumers in gulf countries: an integrative review. *Glob Public Health.* (2023) 18:2287584. doi: 10.1080/17441692.2023.2287584

16. Al-Makhroumi N, Al-Khusaibi M, Al-Subhi L, Al-Bulushi I, Al-Ruzeiqi M. Development and validation of a food safety knowledge, attitudes and self-reported practices (KAP) questionnaire in Omani consumers. *J Saudi Soc Agric Sci.* (2022) 21:485–92. doi: 10.1016/j.jssas.2022.02.001

17. Young I, Greig J, Wilhelm BJ, Waddell L. Effectiveness of food handler training and education interventions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Food Prot.* (2019) 82:1714–28. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-19-108

18. Gould LH, Rosenblum I, Nicholas D, Phan Q, Jones TF. Contributing factors in restaurant-associated foodborne disease outbreaks, foodnet sites, 2006 and 2007. *J Food Prot.* (2013) 76:1824–8. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-13-037

or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1435486/ full#supplementary-material

19. Kwol VS, Eluwole KK, Avcı T, Lasisi TT. Another look into the knowledge attitude practice (KAP) model for food control: an investigation of the mediating role of food handlers' attitudes. *Food Control.* (2020) 110:107025. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2019.107025

20. Mellou K, Kyritsi M, Chrysostomou A, Sideroglou T, Georgakopoulou T, Hadjichristodoulou C. *Clostridium perfringens* foodborne outbreak during an athletic event in northern Greece, June 2019. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2019) 16:3967. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16203967

21. Yu CP, Chou YC, Wu DC, Cheng CG, Cheng CA. Surveillance of foodborne diseases in Taiwan: a retrospective study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. (2021) 100:e24424. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000024424

22. Ripabelli G, Mastronardi L, Tamburro M, Forleo MB, Giacco V. Food consumption and eating habits: a segmentation of university students from central-South Italy. *New Medit.* (2017) 16:56–65. Available at: https://api.semanticscholar. org/CorpusID:52245060.

23. Standing Committee of the National People's congress. Food Safety Law of the People's Republic of China. (2021). Available at: https://flk.npc.gov.cn/detail2.html?ZmY4MDgxO DE3YWIyMmUwYzAxN2FiZDhkODVhMjA1ZjE (Accessed January 28, 2024).

24. Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China, the state Administration for Market Regulation of the People's Republic of China, and the National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. Regulations on the management of food safety and nutrition and health in schools. (2019). Available at: http://www.moe.gov.cn/srcsite/A02/s5911/moe_621/201903/t20190311_372925.html (Accessed January 28, 2024).

25. Huili Z, Yanzhe C, Songqiang J, Xiangdong X. Epidemiological characteristic of foodborne diseases among students in Zhengzhou, 2016-2021. *Mod Dis Control Prev.* (2019) 34:206–9. doi: 10.13515/j.cnki.hnjpm.1006-8414.2023.03.011

26. Lu D, Liu J, Liu H, Guo Y, Dai Y, Liang J, et al. Epidemiological features of foodborne disease outbreaks in catering service facilities – China, 2010–2020. *China CDC Wkly*. (2023) 5:479–84. doi: 10.46234/ccdcw2023.091

27. Sun L, Zhang H, Chen J, Chen L, Qi X, Zhang R. Epidemiology of foodborne disease outbreaks caused by nontyphoidal salmonella in Zhejiang province, China, 2010–2019. *Foodborne Pathog Dis.* (2021) 18:880–6. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2021.0006

28. Chen H, Feng Y. Moving forward to the future: a review of microbial food safety education in China. *Foodborne Pathog Dis.* (2021) 18:547–66. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2020.2889

29. Cheng H, Zhao J, Zhang J, Wang Z, Liu Z, Ma X, et al. Attribution analysis of household foodborne disease outbreaks in China, 2010–2020. *Foodborne Pathog Dis.* (2023) 20:358–67. doi: 10.1089/fpd.2022.0070

30. Li T, Zou Q, Chen C, Li Q, Luo S, Li Z, et al. A foodborne outbreak linked to *Bacillus cereus* at two middle schools in a rural area of Chongqing, China, 2021. *PLoS One*. (2023) 18:e0293114. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0293114

31. Al Banna MH, Disu TR, Kundu S, Ahinkorah BO, Brazendale K, Seidu AA, et al. Factors associated with food safety knowledge and practices among meat handlers in Bangladesh: a cross-sectional study. *Environ Health Prev Med.* (2021) 26:84. doi: 10.1186/s12199-021-01004-5

32. Luo X, Xu X, Chen H, Bai R, Zhang Y, Hou X, et al. Food safety related knowledge, attitudes, and practices (KAP) among the students from nursing, education and medical college in Chongqing, China. *Food Control.* (2019) 95:181–8. doi: 10.1016/j. foodcont.2018.07.042

33. Azanaw J, Dagne H, Andualem Z, Adane T. Food safety knowledge attitude, and practice of college students, Ethiopia, 2019: a cross-sectional study. *Biomed Res Int.* (2021) 2021:6686392. doi: 10.1155/2021/6686392

34. Cai Z, Luo X, Xu X, Shi Z, Reis C, Sharma M, et al. Effect of WeChat-based intervention on food safety knowledge, attitudes and practices among university students in Chongqing, China: a quasi-experimental study. *J Health Popul Nutr.* (2023) 42:28. doi: 10.1186/s41043-023-00360-y

35. Osaili TM, Saeed BQ, Taha S, Omar Adrees A, Hasan F. Knowledge, practices, and risk perception associated with foodborne illnesses among females living in

Dubai, United Arab Emirates. *Food Secur.* (2022) 11:290. doi: 10.3390/ foods11030290

36. Saadatjoo S, Miri M, Hassanipour S, Ameri H, Arab-Zozani M. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices of the general population about coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a systematic review and meta-analysis with policy recommendations. *Public Health.* (2021) 194:185–95. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2021.03.005

37. Wan Nawawi WNF, Ramoo V, Chong MC, Zaini NH, Chui PL, Abdul MZ. The food safety knowledge, attitude and practice of malaysian food truck vendors during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Healthcare (Basel).* (2022) 10:998. doi: 10.3390/ healthcare10060998

38. Mo Z, Li X, Zhai Y, Men Y, Tang Y, Qiao J, et al. Reliability and validity of a questionnaire measuring knowledge, attitude and practice regarding "oil, salt and sugar" among canteen staff. *Sci Rep.* (2023) 13:20442. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-47804-3

39. Sharif L, Al-Malki T. Knowledge, attitude and practice of Taif university students on food poisoning. *Food Control.* (2010) 21:55-60. doi: 10.1016/j. foodcont.2009.03.015

40. Ling J, Jiang H, Wang X, Rao H. Health literacy affected the residents' knowledge, attitude, practice for prevention and control of COVID-19 in Shanxi Province, China. *Sci Rep.* (2023) 13:3567. doi: 10.1038/s41598-023-30730-9

41. Sørensen K, Van den Broucke S, Fullam J, Doyle G, Pelikan J, Slonska Z, et al. (HLS-EU) consortium health literacy project European. Health literacy and public health: a systematic review and integration of definitions and models. *BMC Public Health*. (2012) 12:80. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-80

42. Li Y, Lv X, Liang J, Dong H, Chen C. The development and progress of health literacy in China. *Front Public Health.* (2022) 10:1034907. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.1034907

43. Osaili TM, Obeidat BA, Abu Jamous DO, Bawadi HA. Food safety knowledge and practices among college female students in north of Jordan. *Food Control.* (2011) 22:269–76. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2010.07.009

44. Lazou T, Georgiadis M, Pentieva K, McKevitt A, Iossifidou E. Food safety knowledge and food-handling practices of Greek university students: a questionnairebased survey. *Food Control.* (2012) 28:400–11. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.05.027 45. Husain W, Ashkanani F, Al Dwairji MA. Nutrition knowledge among college of basic education students in Kuwait: a cross-sectional study. *J Nutr Metab.* (2021) 2021:5560714. doi: 10.1155/2021/5560714

46. Courtney SM, Majowicz SE, Dubin JA. Food safety knowledge of undergraduate students at a Canadian university: results of an online survey. *BMC Public Health*. (2016) 16:1147–16. doi: 10.1186/s12889-016-3818-y

47. Stratev D, Odeyemi OA, Pavlov A, Kyuchukova R, Fatehi F, Bamidele FA. Food safety knowledge and hygiene practices among veterinary medicine students at Trakia university, Bulgaria. *J Infect Public Health*. (2017) 10:778–82. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2016.12.001

48. Al-Shabib NA, Husain FM, Khan JM. Study on food safety concerns, knowledge and practices among university students in Saudi Arabia. *Food Control.* (2017) 73:202–8. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2016.08.005

49. Abdullah Sani N, Siow ON. Knowledge, attitudes and practices of food handlers on food safety in food service operations at the Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia. *Food Control.* (2014) 37:210–7. doi: 10.1016/J.FOODCONT.2013.09.036

50. Abolhassani M, Eftekhari N, Basirinezhad MH, Karimi A, Shamsizadeh M. Knowledge and attitude of students in Shahroud university of medical sciences on health and food safety. *J Clin Basic Res.* (2018) 2:50–4. doi: 10.29252/jcbr.2.3.50

51. Sun J, Bo Y, Shi J, Wang P, Zhang J, Shi B, et al. Evaluation of a food safety education on knowledge, attitude and practice among 1300 college students of Henan province, China. International Conference on Mechanical Science and Engineering. Atlantis Press (ICMSE2015), (2016) 1–7. doi: 10.2991/mse-15.2016.64

52. Sharif L, Obaidat MM, Al-Dalalah M-R. Food hygiene knowledge, attitudes and practices of the food handlers in the military hospitals. *Food Nutr Sci.* (2013) 4:245–51. doi: 10.4236/fns.2013.43033

53. Das SS. Assessing food safety and associated food hygiene and sanitary practices in food industries: a cross-sectional study on biscuit industry of Jessore, Bangladesh. *Nutr Food Sci.* (2018) 48:111–24. doi: 10.1108/NFS-03-2017-0049

54. Ali MT, Mahmud S, Mohsin M, Mian AU, Islam A, Ahmed FF. Knowledge, attitude, and practices toward food safety among students in Bangladesh: a cross-sectional web-based study. *Heliyon*. (2023) 9:e14762. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e14762