#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Eron Grant Manusov, The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, United States

### REVIEWED BY

Eleuterio A. Sánchez Romero, European University of Madrid, Spain Vladimir Parfenov, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Russia

\*CORRESPONDENCE Chenguang Zhao ⊠ zhao\_chenguang@outlook.com

RECEIVED 08 May 2024 ACCEPTED 03 September 2024 PUBLISHED 20 September 2024

### CITATION

Hu D, Zhang Y, Liu X, Yang X, Liang X, Hu X, Yuan H and Zhao C (2024) Sleeping <6.55 h per day was associated with a higher risk of low back pain in adults aged over 50 years: a Korean nationwide cross-sectional study. *Front. Public Health* 12:1429495. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1429495

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Hu, Zhang, Liu, Yang, Liang, Hu, Yuan and Zhao. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Sleeping <6.55 h per day was associated with a higher risk of low back pain in adults aged over 50 years: a Korean nationwide cross-sectional study

Dexin Hu<sup>1</sup>, Yihui Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Xingkai Liu<sup>1</sup>, Xin Yang<sup>1</sup>, Xichao Liang<sup>1</sup>, Xu Hu<sup>1</sup>, Hua Yuan<sup>1</sup> and Chenguang Zhao<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Xijing Hospital, Fourth Military Medical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China, <sup>2</sup>School of Sports Medicine and Rehabilitation, Beijing Sports University, Beijing, China

**Background:** Patients with low back pain (LBP) often suffer from sleep disorder, and insufficient sleep duration was recognized as a potential risk factor for LBP. Our aim was to explore the exact effect of sleep duration on LBP and the optimal sleep duration to reduce the risk of LBP.

**Methods:** Analyzing data from the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES), we investigated the association between sleep duration and LBP in individuals aged 50 years and older. We used logistic regression models, interaction stratification analysis, and threshold effect assessment to analyze the relationship between sleep duration and LBP.

**Results:** A total of 6,285 participants, comprising 3,056 males and 3,229 females with a median age of 63.1 years, were enrolled in the study. The association between sleep duration and LBP risk exhibited an L-shaped curve (p < 0.015) in RCS analysis. In the threshold analysis, the OR of developing risk of LBP was 0.864 (95% CI:0.78–0.957, p = 0.005) in participants with sleep duration <6.55 h. Each additional hour of sleep was associated with a 13.6% decrease in the risk of LBP. No significant association was observed between sleep duration  $\geq$ 6.55 h and the risk of LBP. The risk of LBP did not decrease further with increasing sleep duration. Results remain robust across subgroups.

**Conclusion:** Our findings indicate that shorter sleep duration is a risk factor for LBP in adults aged over 50 years. We revealed an L-shaped association between sleep duration and LBP, with an inflection point at approximately 6.55 h per day. These results underscore the significance of sleep duration as a factor in the risk assessment for LBP.

KEYWORDS

low back pain, sleep duration, cross-sectional analysis, KNHANES, Korean older adult

# **1** Introduction

Low back pain (LBP) is a significant contributor to the global burden of disability (1). Based on data from the most recent Global Burden of Diseases, Injuries, and Risk Factors Study (GBD), the estimated global prevalence of individuals with LBP in 2020 was 619 million people (2). It is projected that by 2050, the number of affected individuals will increase to 843 million. Meanwhile the GBD data has revealed a marked rise in the

occurrence of LBP within the Asian population. It is to be expected that both the burden of disability and the costs associated with LBP will increase further (3).

Previous research on LBP has largely focused on exploring the pathophysiological causes at the biomedical level, such as herniated discs, degenerative spinal deformity, sprain, and spinal stenosis (4, 5). However, the etiology of LBP involves multidimensional factors including biological, sociological, and psychological aspects (6, 7). Evidence suggests that gender, type of strength training, body mass index (BMI), affective states of depression, and the presence of social support are hidden risk factors for LBP (8, 9). To optimize the management and prevention of LBP, a detailed comprehension of the relationship between key factors and LBP is necessary (10).

Sleep is essential for survival (11). While asleep, the body orchestrates a multitude of vital functions, including the regulation of blood pressure and heart rate, hormonal secretion, immune system efficacy, cellular repair, thermoregulatory balance, the recovery of memory capabilities, and the enhancement of cognitive processes (12). However, sleep can also be marred by a variety of sleep disorders or abnormal behaviors, including insomnia, obstructive sleep apnea, circadian rhythm sleep-wake disorders, and sleep bruxism, which can significantly impact overall health and wellbeing (13, 14). Previous studies revealed a significant correlation between sleep and pain (15). Sleep disorders can lead to increased perception of pain severity and may negatively influence the patient's return to functional capabilities (16). Epidemiological studies have revealed a high prevalence of sleep disorders among LBP patients, with more than 50% affected, and have demonstrated a substantial negative correlation between sleep disorders and LBP (17, 18). The incidence of LBP is significantly associated with decreased sleep duration, deterioration in sleep quality, intensified difficulty initiating sleep, decline in daytime functionality, and an increase in sleep dissatisfaction and distress (19). The predominant focus in LBP management is on pain intensity and disability (20). However, the latest review indicates that the evaluation of sleep conditions has been neglected in many clinical research studies of LBP, an oversight that limits our understanding of the interplay between sleep-related factors and the occurrence of LBP (21).

Considering the relevant background, our study utilizes a nationally representative sample of Korean adults to achieve the following aims: (1) determine whether sleep duration is an independently associated risk factor for LBP; (2) investigate the dose-response relationship between sleep duration and LBP. The findings may help to clarify the role of sleep duration as a risk factor for LBP and provide information for future LBP management strategies in the general population.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Study design and participants

This is a population-based cross-sectional study conducted using nationally representative survey data, all of which were publicly obtained from The Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES) website (https://knhanes. kdca.go.kr/knhanes/eng/index.do). The KNHANES is an annual nationwide survey organized and executed by the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (KCDC) since 1998. With the assistance of experienced interviewers, healthcare personnel, and laboratory professionals, the KNHANES systematically collects a comprehensive array of health-related data through the administration of health interviews, health examinations, and nutritional assessments on participants. This approach allows for the evaluation of health and nutritional conditions and trends among South Korean residents. In the survey, participants were selected utilizing probability-sampling techniques, employing a multistage clustering approach that considered age group, region, and gender extracted from household registries (22).

The study sample consisted of 48,652 participants from the KNHANES-V and VI surveys conducted from 2010 to 2015. The study focused on individuals aged 50 years and older, as participants below the age of 50 were not examined for LBP in the survey. Furthermore, individuals with missing data on LBP, sleep duration, and relevant covariates were excluded from this study. In total, 6,285 participants with complete data were enrolled in this study. The participant inclusion and exclusion process was illustrated in Figure 1.

# 2.2 Exposed variables and outcome variables

Participants were considered to experience LBP if they responded affirmatively to the following query during survey: "Have you reported experiencing LBP persisting for a duration of 30 days or longer within the most recent 3-month period (22)."

In the KNHANES, the evaluation of habitual total sleep duration, which includes daytime napping, was obtained through the following inquiry: "How many hours do you typically sleep per day?" Participants' responses were recorded using an integer scale. The International Classification of Sleep Disorders classifies a "short sleeper" as an individual who experiences a sleep duration of under 5 h, and a "long sleeper" as an individual who experiences a sleep duration exceeding 9 h (13). Consequently, study participants were divided into five categories:  $\leq$  5, 6, 7, 8, and  $\geq$ 9 h.

## 2.3 Description of related variables

The covariates utilized in this study for analysis were gathered through health interview and examination. We focused on variables that had been previously correlated with LBP and sleep duration in the existing literature (2, 23–28). These variables included demographic characteristics (age, sex, height, weight, and BMI), socioeconomic status (household income, educational level, and occupation), health-related behaviors (smoking and alcohol consumption, time spent on walking, resistance training, and flexibility exercises), psychological aspects (psychological stress and depression), and comorbidities (seven other diseases, such as hypertension).

Detailed descriptions of these specific variables are provided below. The household income level was quartile-categorized as: low, low-moderate, moderate-high, and high. Educational



attainment was stratified into four categories based on the highest degree achieved: elementary school, middle school, high school, and university or college. Participants' current occupational status was classified into five distinct groups: office workers (e.g., professionals, office workers, and managers), sales and services, agriculture, forestry and fishery, machine fitting and simple labor (e.g., technicians, low-level laborers, and device and machine operators), unemployed (e.g., housewives and students) (29, 30). Within the domain of health behaviors, three variables associated with physical activity, including weekly time allocation for walking, resistance training, and flexibility exercises, were utilized. These variables were uniformly categorized into four groups: none, 1-2 days per week, 3-4 days per week, and  $\geq 5$ days per week (26, 28). The classification of smoking habits among participants was simplified into two categories: current and non-/ex-smoker. Additionally, drinking habits were classified based on the frequency of alcohol intake over the past year: none,  $\leq 1$  drink/month, 2 drinks/month to 3 drinks/week, and  $\geq$ 4 drinks/week. Psychological health status primarily encompasses subjective stress level and depressive conditions. The former evaluates participants' self-reported psychological stress intensity in their daily lives, categorized as severe, moderate, mild, or none (27). The latter assesses whether participants have been diagnosed with depression by a medical professional (24). Comorbid conditions, including hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, stroke, myocardial infarction, angina, and arthritis, were also determined through medical diagnosis.

## 2.4 Statistical analysis

A logistic regression of a binary response variable (LBP) on a continuous, normally distributed variable (sleep duration) with a sample size of 6,285 observations achieves 85% power at a 0.05000 significance level to detect a change in the Probability of LBP being positive from a value of 0.199 at the mean of sleep duration to 0.183 when sleep duration is increased to one standard deviation above the mean. This change corresponds to an odds ratio of 0.900. An adjustment was made since a multiple regression of the independent variable of interest on the other independent variables in the logistic regression obtained an R-Squared of 0.200. The statistical analyses in this study utilized the R statistical software package (http://www.R-project.org, R Foundation) along with Free Statistics Software versions 1.8. Categorical variables were represented as numbers (percentages), whereas continuous variables were expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Statistically significant results were defined as two-tailed p-values < 0.05.

Undertaking a comprehensive descriptive analysis to meticulously assess the characteristics of the included participants. This multifaceted analysis compared the basic characteristics of subjects grouped by different sleep durations, as well as those of participants with and without LBP. The specific analytical techniques employed encompass the utilization of chi-square tests for assessing categorical variables and the application of one-way analysis of variance or Student's *t*-test for the evaluation of continuous variables.

Utilizing logistic regression analysis, we assessed the relationship between sleep duration and LBP, subsequently calculating the corresponding odds ratios (ORs) and their associated 95% confidence intervals (CIs). In order to eliminate the possibility of differences attributed to sleep duration from being confounded by potential variables, we systematically adjusted for covariates through the utilization of three distinct logistic regression models. Model 1 was adjusted to account for essential demographic variables, including age and gender. This adjustment was justified by the findings of studies conducted by Jennifer and colleagues, which indicated a correlation between age, sex, and an elevated risk of LBP (31). In Model 2, we performed adjustments for covariates whose effect estimates were altered by more than 10% upon their inclusion in the model. These covariates included age, sex, household income level, educational level, height, weight, self-perceived stress level, and a history of osteoarthritis diagnosis. Beyond the variables adjusted in Model 2, Model 3 executed a comprehensive adjustment, encompassing supplementary variables like occupation, smoking status, alcohol consumption, depressive symptoms, different categories of physical exercise (walking, resistance training, and flexibility exercises) duration, and additional comorbidities. In the process of stepwise adjustments, sleep duration was categorized and sensitivity analyses were performed to evaluate the stability of the findings.

A trend test was subsequently applied to Model 3 to evaluate the presence of a linear trend in the relationship between sleep duration, considered as a continuous variable, and LBP. Doseresponse curve between sleep duration and LBP were analyzed using restricted cubic spline (RCS) regression on the 5<sup>th</sup>, 35<sup>th</sup>, 65<sup>th</sup>, and 95<sup>th</sup> percentiles of sleep duration. Upon detection of a non-linear relationship, a two-piecewise linear logistic regression model was applied to investigate the association threshold between sleep duration and LBP after adjusting the potential confounders in Model 3. Additionally, a recurrence method was utilized to define the threshold value for sleep duration, including the selection of turning points along a predefined interval and the choice of the turning point resulting in the maximum likelihood model.

Lastly, within discrete strata defined by age, gender, household income, educational attainment, and occupation, stratified logistic regression models were employed for the purpose of conducting subgroup analyses. To test interactions across these subgroups, the likelihood ratio test was applied.

## **3** Results

# 3.1 Characteristics of the study population according to low back pain

In this study, 48,652 potential participants were selected from KNHANES (2010–2015), of which 19,602 adults ( $\geq$ 50 years) completed health interviews for inclusion in our study. Participants with missing LBP and sleep duration (n = 2,392) were excluded. After further excluding those with missing covariate information (n = 10,925), the final analytical sample comprised 6,285 participants (48.6% male and 51.8% female). The average age and sleep duration of the participants are 63.1  $\pm$  8.7 years and 6.6  $\pm$  1.5 h. The baseline

characters of the population included and excluded are presented in Supplementary Table S1.

Table 1 presents a comparative analysis between 1,253 LBP patients and 5,032 subjects without LBP. Compared to the group without LBP, LBP patients are obviously older ( $65.9 \pm 8.9$  years old vs.  $62.5 \pm 8.5$  years old; p < 0.001) and have shorter sleep duration ( $6.4 \pm 1.7$  h vs.  $6.7 \pm 1.4$  h; p < 0.001). Besides, females, a lower household income, and a lower education level were combined with an increased risk of LBP. The Supplementary Table S2 describes in detail the baseline characteristics of the subjects stratified by distinct sleep duration categories.

# 3.2 Association between sleep duration and low back pain

Table 2 summarizes the results of the multivariate logistical regression analysis for the relationship between sleep duration and LBP. The crude model suggested that sleep duration negatively correlated to the occurrence of LBP (OR = 0.87, 95% CI: 0.84-0.91). This means that a 1-h sleep duration increase was linked to a 13% lower risk of LBP. Moreover, we considered sleep duration as a categorical variable ( $\leq$ 5,6,7,8,  $\geq$ 9 h) and found that participants who had 6, 7, 8, >9h of sleep duration had a reduced risk of LBP compared with "short sleepers" who had sleep duration  $\leq 5$  h. Comparable results were noted upon adjustment for age and sex within model 1 (OR = 0.92; 95% CI: 0.88-0.95). After progressive adjustment for potential confounding factors, the odds ratios from model 3 still indicated a significant inverse relationship between sleep duration and the incidence of LBP. In the fully adjusted Model 3, subjects who slept 7 to 8h per night had a reduced risk of LBP compared to those who slept 5 h or less, referred to as "short sleepers."

Figure 2 shows the restricted cubic curve spline analysis for fully adjusted model 3, we observed a non-linear relationship between the sleep duration and LBP (p for non-linearity = 0.015). The solid line in the figure indicates the predicted risk for the occurrence of LBP, and the dashed line indicates the point-wise 95% confidence interval after adjusting for potential confounding variables, which reveals an L-shaped relationship between sleep duration and the risk of LBP.

The results of further threshold analyses are shown in Table 3, where the risk of LBP was negatively associated with sleep duration when the sleep duration was <6.55 h, and the risk of LBP was reduced by 13.6% for every 1-h increase in sleep duration (OR = 0.864, 95% CI: 0.78–0.96). Nonetheless, no significant association was observed between sleep duration and the risk of LBP when the duration was at least 6.55 h (OR = 1.054, 95% CI: 0.953–1.167).

## 3.3 Subgroup analyses

The results of the stratified analysis are shown in Figure 3, which reveals that the relationship between sleep duration and LBP remained stable among different subgroups. We can find that none of the variables of age (50–59, 60–69, 70–79, and  $\geq$ 80 years), sex (female and male), household income (low, low-mid,

TABLE 1 KNHANES 2010–2015 participant characteristics stratified by LBP status.

Variables	Total ( <i>n</i> = 6,285)	Without LBP ( <i>n</i> = 5,032)	With LBP ( <i>n</i> = 1,253)	p
Sleep duration (hour), Mean $\pm$ SD	$6.6\pm1.5$	$6.7\pm1.4$	$6.4\pm1.7$	< 0.001
Age (year), Mean $\pm$ SD	$63.1\pm8.7$	$62.5\pm8.5$	$65.9\pm8.9$	< 0.001
Height (cm), Mean $\pm$ SD	$160.4\pm8.7$	$161.2\pm8.5$	$156.9\pm8.5$	< 0.001
Weight (kg), Mean $\pm$ SD	$61.9\pm10.2$	$62.6\pm10.2$	$59.5\pm9.6$	< 0.001
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ), Mean $\pm$ SD	$24.0\pm3.1$	$24.0\pm3.1$	$24.1\pm3.1$	0.288
Sex, <i>n</i> (%)				< 0.001
Male	3,056 (48.6)	2,659 (52.8)	397 (31.7)	
Female	3,229 (51.4)	2,373 (47.2)	856 (68.3)	
Household income, n (%)				< 0.001
Low	1,693 (26.9)	1,141 (22.7)	552 (44.1)	
Low-mid	1,704 (27.1)	1,392 (27.7)	312 (24.9)	
Mid-high	1,423 (22.6)	1,231 (24.5)	192 (15.3)	
High	1,465 (23.3)	1,268 (25.2)	197 (15.7)	
Education level, n (%)				< 0.001
Elementary school	2,504 (39.8)	1,780 (35.4)	724 (57.8)	
Middle school	1,151 (18.3)	936 (18.6)	215 (17.2)	
High school	1,677 (26.7)	1,459 (29)	218 (17.4)	
College or university	953 (15.2)	857 (17)	96 (7.7)	
Occupation, n (%)				< 0.001
Office work	638 (10.2)	579 (11.5)	59 (4.7)	
Sales and services	655 (10.4)	557 (11.1)	98 (7.8)	
Agriculture, forestry and fishery	495 (7.9)	405 (8)	90 (7.2)	
Machine fitting and simple labor/manual labor	1,460 (23.2)	1,259 (25)	201 (16)	
Unemployed (student, housewife, etc.)	3,037 (48.3)	2,232 (44.4)	805 (64.2)	
Walking, n (%)				< 0.001
None	1,293 (20.6)	978 (19.4)	315 (25.1)	
1-2 day/week	939 (14.9)	754 (15)	185 (14.8)	
3-4 day/week	1,257 (20.0)	1,010 (20.1)	247 (19.7)	
$\geq$ 5 day/week	2,796 (44.5)	2,290 (45.5)	506 (40.4)	
Resistance training, n (%)				< 0.001
None	4,749 (75.6)	3,705 (73.6)	1,044 (83.3)	
1–2 day/week	497 (7.9)	418 (8.3)	79 (6.3)	
3–4 day/week	444 (7.1)	372 (7.4)	72 (5.7)	
$\geq$ 5 day/week	595 (9.5)	537 (10.7)	58 (4.6)	
Flexibility exercises, n (%)				< 0.001
None	2,849 (45.3)	2,213 (44)	636 (50.8)	
1–2 day/week	907 (14.4)	743 (14.8)	164 (13.1)	
3–4 day/week	986 (15.7)	780 (15.5)	206 (16.4)	
$\geq$ 5 day/week	1,543 (24.6)	1,296 (25.8)	247 (19.7)	
Smoking status, n (%)				0.095
Non/ex-smoker	5,181 (82.4)	4,128 (82)	1,053 (84)	

(Continued)

### TABLE 1 (Continued)

Variables	Total ( <i>n</i> = 6,285)	Without LBP ( <i>n</i> = 5,032)	With LBP ( <i>n</i> = 1,253)	p
Current smoker	1,104 (17.6)	904 (18)	200 (16)	
Alcohol consumption, <i>n</i> (%)				< 0.001
None	1,611 (25.6)	1,221 (24.3)	390 (31.1)	
≤1 drink/month	1,982 (31.5)	1,545 (30.7)	437 (34.9)	
2 drinks/month to 3 drinks/week	2,056 (32.7)	1,741 (34.6)	315 (25.1)	
≥4 drinks/week	636 (10.1)	525 (10.4)	111 (8.9)	
Degree of Stress, n (%)				< 0.001
None	1,539 (24.5)	1,303 (25.9)	236 (18.8)	
Mild	3,590 (57.1)	2,930 (58.2)	660 (52.7)	
Moderate	927 (14.7)	643 (12.8)	284 (22.7)	
Severe	229 (3.6)	156 (3.1)	73 (5.8)	
Depression, n (%)				< 0.001
No	5,897 (93.8)	4,799 (95.4)	1,098 (87.6)	
Yes	388 (6.2)	233 (4.6)	155 (12.4)	
Hypertension, n (%)				< 0.001
No	3,983 (63.4)	3,267 (64.9)	716 (57.1)	
Yes	2,302 (36.6)	1,765 (35.1)	537 (42.9)	
Diabetes, n (%)				< 0.001
No	5,349 (85.1)	4,340 (86.2)	1,009 (80.5)	
Yes	936 (14.9)	692 (13.8)	244 (19.5)	
Dyslipidemia, n (%)				< 0.001
No	4,874 (77.5)	3,978 (79.1)	896 (71.5)	
Yes	1,411 (22.5)	1,054 (20.9)	357 (28.5)	
Stroke, <i>n</i> (%)				< 0.001
No	6,005 (95.5)	4,849 (96.4)	1,156 (92.3)	
Yes	280 (4.5)	183 (3.6)	97 (7.7)	
Myocardial infarction, n (%)				0.316
No	6,180 (98.3)	4,952 (98.4)	1,228 (98)	
Yes	105 (1.7)	80 (1.6)	25 (2)	
Angina, <i>n</i> (%)				< 0.001
No	6,100 (97.1)	4,905 (97.5)	1,195 (95.4)	
Yes	185 (2.9)	127 (2.5)	58 (4.6)	
Arthritis, n (%)				< 0.001
No	5,104 (81.2)	4,293 (85.3)	811 (64.7)	
Yes	1,181 (18.8)	739 (14.7)	442 (35.3)	

SD, standard deviation.

mid-high, and high), education (elementary school, middle school, high school, college, or university), and occupation (office work, sales and service, agriculture, forestry and fishery, machine fitting, and simple labor/manual labor, unemployed such as student or housewife) significantly interacted with the relationship between sleep duration and LBP (all *p* for interaction > 0.05).

## 4 Discussion

We used the data from KNHANES to conduct the nationally representative cross-sectional study. After adjusting for covariates, our results showed a significant and independent association between sleep duration and the risk of LBP among adults in Korea

Variable	n. total	n. LBP_%				OR (	95% CI)			
			Non-adjusted	<i>p</i> -value	Model 1	<i>p</i> -value	Model 2.	<i>p</i> -value	Model 3.	<i>p</i> -value
Sleep duration (hour)	6,285	1,253 (19.9)	$0.87~(0.84{\sim}0.91)$	< 0.001	$0.92~(0.88{\sim}0.95)$	<0.001	$0.95~(0.91{\sim}0.99)$	0.011	$0.94~(0.9{\sim}0.98)$	0.004
Q1 (≤5h)	1,336	374 (28)	1(Ref)		1(Ref)		1(Ref)		1(Ref)	
Q2 (6h)	1,635	304 (18.6)	0.59 (0.49~0.7)	< 0.001	$0.73~(0.61{\sim}0.88)$	0.001	$0.83~(0.69{\sim}1)$	0.045	$0.84~(0.69{\sim}1.01)$	0.069
Q3 (7h)	1,592	257 (16.1)	0.5 (0.41~0.59)	< 0.001	$0.62~(0.51{\sim}0.75)$	<0.001	$0.76~(0.62{\sim}0.92)$	0.005	$0.77~(0.63{\sim}0.94)$	0.01
Q4 (8h)	1,286	217 (16.9)	0.52 (0.43~0.63)	< 0.001	$0.66\ (0.55{\sim}0.81)$	< 0.001	$0.78~(0.64{\sim}0.96)$	0.019	$0.8~(0.65{\sim}0.99)$	0.036
Q5 (≥9h)	436	101 (23.2)	$0.78~(0.6{\sim}1)$	0.048	$0.84~(0.65{\sim}1.09)$	0.191	$0.88~(0.67{\sim}1.15)$	0.349	$0.8~(0.6{\sim}1.05)$	0.109
Trend. test	6,285	1,253 (19.9)		< 0.001		0.001		0.043		0.023
Model 1: Adjusted for age, se Icohol consumption, time sp	ex. Model 2: Adjusted f ent on walking, resista	for the variables in Mo ance training, and flexib	del 1 plus household incom vility exercises, depression, c	e, education leve omorbidities (su	l, height, weight, self-rep ch as hvpertension, diab	oorted stress level, Arthri etes, dyslipidemia, stroke	itis. Model 3: Adjusted for th , mvocardial infarction, angi	te variables in Model 2 pl na).	us occupation, body mass	index, smokin

ъĉ

aged fifty and above. To our knowledge, our study first revealed that there is an L-curve relationship between sleep duration and risk of LBP. When the sleep duration is <6.55 h, the risk of LBP decreases by 13.6% for each additional hour of sleep. However, when the sleep duration is 6.55 h or longer, further increments in sleep duration do not result in a reduced risk of experiencing LBP. This result was consistent across subgroups defined by age, sex, income level, education level, and occupation.

Previous studies have concentrated on examining the relationship between sleep disorders and LBP (32, 33). The specific dose-response relationship between sleep duration and risk of LBP has been less explored. The findings of this study revealed that individuals suffering from LBP exhibited a markedly reduced sleep duration compared to those without LBP, which may indicate a potential prevalence of sleep deprivation among the LBP patients. After we adjusted for multiple covariates from the biological, sociological, and psychological domains, in-depth analyses using multivariate logistic regression models consistently demonstrated a strong correlation between longer sleep duration and a reduced risk of LBP, thus revealing that adequate sleep may be an independent protective factor against LBP. Recent research findings have unveiled a potential bidirectional mechanism between LBP and sleep disorder (34). On one hand, symptoms of LBP may precipitate sleep disorders; on the other hand, the persistent presence of sleep disorder may exacerbate the pain experience of individuals suffering from LBP (35, 36). In view of this, there remains a meaningful imperative to conduct in-depth research into the interplay between sleep duration and the risk of LBP occurrence.

Sufficient sleep is beneficial in the prevention and treatment of diseases such as stroke, diabetes, and depression (37, 38). However, this study found that the risk of LBP does not appear to be consistently reduced by longer sleep duration. Specifically, the risk of LBP declined with increasing sleep duration only when sleep duration was <6.55 h. The National Institutes of Health (NIH) recommends that adults need 7–8 h of sleep per day10. The natural aging process is often accompanied by a decline in the total sleep duration, which may adversely affect the musculoskeletal system's ability to recuperate, thereby increasing the propensity for LBP to manifest (36, 39, 40). The results of this research suggest that to minimize the risk of LBP in Korea adults aged 50 years and older, it is recommended to secure no <6.55 h of daily sleep. This finding provided a scientific rationale for employing sleep intervention strategies to prevent and manage LBP issues.

Exploration of subgroup analysis data within clinical research is crucial for a more nuanced comprehension of the intricate relationship between exposure variables and outcome variables, which enhances the interpretability of study findings (41). This study revealed that among individuals aged 50 and above, across various age brackets, genders, income levels, educational backgrounds, and occupational categories, there exists a consistent inverse correlation between sleep duration and the risk of LBP. This discovery validated the extensive generalizability of the outcomes across diverse populations. Given the limited attention to the Korean demographic in previous research, the current study analyzed data from KNHANES with the goal of achieving a nationally representative assessment. Consequently, the findings

TABLE 2 Logistic regression to assess the relationship between sleep duration and LBP



confidence intervals. Adjusted for age, sex, education level, household income, occupation, height, weight, body mass index, smoking status, alcohol consumption, walking day, resistance training day, flexibility exercises day, degree of stress, depression, hypertension, diabetes, stroke, myocardial infarction, angina, and arthritis. Only 99% of the data is presented.

TABLE 3 Threshold analysis of the relationship of sleep duration with risk of LBP.

Sleep duration, hour	Adjusted Model, OR	<i>P</i> -value
One-line linear regression model	0.94 (0.9~0.98)	0.004
Two-piecewise linear regre	ession model	
Sleep duration < 6.55 hours	0.864 (0.78~0.957)	0.005
Sleep duration $\geq 6.55$ hours	1.054 (0.953~1.167)	0.307
Likelihood Ratio test		0.006

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval. Adjusted for sociodemographic (age, sex, education level, household income, and occupation), height, weight, body mass index, smoking status, alcohol consumption, walking day, resistance training day, flexibility exercises day, degree of stress, depression, hypertension, diabetes, stroke, myocardial infarction, angina, arthritis. Only 99.9% of the data is shown.

of our study are projected to be broadly relevant and extend to the older adult in Korean, specifically those aged 50 and above. To accurately determine the causal association between sleep duration and LBP, there is a necessity for an increased focus on conducting more prospective longitudinal studies in future research.

Although the specific mechanism between sleep duration and the risk of LBP have yet to be fully elucidated through additional research, current evidence amassed is sufficient to partially explain the findings of this study. Firstly, some studies indicated that insufficient sleep may contribute to the onset and chronicity of LBP through alterations in brain activity, which reduced the pain threshold and impaired cognitive pain processing capabilities (42). Moreover, Krause's study revealed that sleep deprivation intensifies the pain responsiveness in the primary sensory areas of the cerebral cortex, while concurrently reducing the functional activity within other regions involved in pain modulation, such as the striatum and insula (43). Further findings validated that sleep deprivation expands the range for categorizing stimuli as pain, specifically by lowering pain thresholds. Besides, multiple studies have revealed that inflammatory processes may play a significant role in the cycles of pain and sleep disorder. Heffner et al. (44) observed a correlation between reduced sleep quality and elevated IL-6 levels in adults afflicted with LBP. Additionally, the study implicated both diminished sleep quality and increased IL-6 as determinants significantly associated with the severity of pain as reported by the participants (44). The finding indicated that the inflammatory response within individuals with LBP and comorbid sleep disorders may indirectly influence the progression and persistence of pain. A previous systematic review and meta-analysis found that sleep disorder was associated with elevated levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) and IL-6 (45). Proinflammatory cytokines promote disc degeneration by augmenting matrix breakdown and recruiting



FIGURE 3

Subgroup analyses of associations of sleep duration with LBP. LBP, low back pain. Adjusted for age, sex, education level, household income, occupation, height, weight, body mass index, smoking status, alcohol consumption, walking day, resistance training day, flexibility exercises day, degree of stress, depression, hypertension, diabetes, stroke, myocardial infarction, angina, and arthritis.

immune cells to discal tissues (46). Accelerated disc degeneration may trigger LBP. Proinflammatory mediators can exert influences on neurotransmitter systems and neural circuits responsible for mood regulation, arousal, and motor activity, thereby potentially precipitating sleep disturbances (47). However, in the same metaanalysis it was also noted that the shorter sleep duration, but not the extreme of short sleep, was associated with higher levels of CRP but not IL-6 (45). The potential impact of systemic inflammatory markers on the association between sleep duration and LBP requires further researches.

The clinical implications of our study are centered on the significant association between sleep duration and the risk of LBP, particularly for the older adult. By identifying an optimal sleep duration threshold of approximately 6.55 h per day, our findings provide a clear target for clinical recommendations, advocating for sleep hygiene as a preventive measure in LBP management. The non-linear relationship between sleep and LBP risk suggests a focus on achieving adequate sleep without exceeding the threshold, where additional sleep offers no further protective effect. This insight has direct applications in clinical practice, where healthcare providers can assess and improve patients' sleep as part of routine LBP evaluations and treatment plans. Furthermore, our results highlight the necessity for integrated care approaches that consider sleep management as an integral component of LBP therapy, potentially involving a multidisciplinary team. Lastly, our findings call for additional research into the mechanisms connecting sleep and LBP, which could lead to more targeted interventions and improved patient outcomes. Collectively, these implications contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of LBP management, emphasizing the role of sleep as a modifiable risk factor and the need for further investigation into its underlying pathways.

This study exhibits several distinct advantages: Firstly, the study is underpinned by KNHANES, a large-scale, nationally representative population study. Consequently, the substantial sample size ensures the reliability and robustness of the findings. Subsequently, threshold analyses were conducted to further elucidate the L-shaped relationship between sleep duration and the incidence of LBP. Ultimately, stratified subgroup analyses were conducted, revealing no significant interactions with other factors.

Certainly, the current study is not without its inherent limitations. Firstly, sleep duration was defined through patients' self-reported outcomes, which may be subject to recall bias. Similarly, the accuracy of diagnoses for LBP could introduce bias into the study findings. What's more, the cross-sectional nature of our study precludes the inference of causality between short sleep duration and LBP. Additionally, this study faced limitations inherent to the data available in public databases, with a shortfall in adjusting for critical confounders, including a history of lumbar disc herniation, recurrence rates, and pain severity. Furthermore, our study focused on the duration of sleep but did not provide an in-depth assessment of sleep disorders such as insomnia. Future studies that incorporate a more nuanced examination of sleep disorders in relation to LBP could significantly enhance our understanding of their interplay, potentially leading to more targeted and effective clinical interventions for managing sleep disturbances in patients with LBP.

# **5** Conclusion

In conclusion, our study showed that an increase in sleep duration was inversely associated with a decreased risk of LBP when sleep duration was <6.55 h range in various categories of people over 50 years old in Korea. Therefore, adequate sleep duration may be an important factor in the prevention of LBP in older adults.

# Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found here: https://knhanes.kdca.go.kr/knhanes/sub03/sub03\_02\_05.do.

# **Ethics statement**

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent from the patients/participants or the patients'/participants' legal guardian/next of kin was not required to participate in this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

# Author contributions

DH: Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Software, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. YZ: Data curation, Investigation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft. XL: Methodology, Software, Writing – review & editing. XY: Data curation, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. XL: Writing – review & editing, Funding acquisition, Supervision. XH: Supervision, Writing – review & editing, Methodology. HY: Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review & editing, Conceptualization. CZ: Funding acquisition, Resources, Supervision, Writing – review & editing.

# Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by grants from the Shaanxi Science and Technology Foundation (2024JC339 YBMS-620) and the Special Project for Cervical and Lumbar Spine Injury (FXRYJYT04).

# Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Yuheng Lu for language editing and the team of clinician scientists for statistical support of this manuscripts.

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2024. 1429495/full#supplementary-material

# References

1. Rheumatology TL. The global epidemic of low back pain. *The Lancet Rheumatology*. (2023) 5:e305. doi: 10.1016/S2665-9913(23)00133-9

2. GBD 2021 Low Back Pain Collaborators. Global, regional, and national burden of low back pain, 1990-2020, its attributable risk factors, and projections to 2050: a systematic analysis of the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. *Lancet Rheumatol.* (2023) 5:e316–29. doi: 10.1016/S2665-9913(23)00098-X

 Shim GY, Choi J, Kim HJ, Kwon R, Kim MS, Yoo MC, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of spine pain, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis of the global burden of disease study 2019. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. (2024) 105:461– 9. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2023.10.019

4. Chiarotto A, Koes BW. Nonspecific low back pain. N Engl J Med. (2022) 386:1732–40. doi: 10.1056/NEJMcp2032396

5. Fitzcharles MA, Cohen SP, Clauw DJ, Littlejohn G, Usui C, Häuser W. Nociplastic pain: towards an understanding of prevalent pain conditions. *Lancet.* (2021) 397:2098–110. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00392-5

6. Tousignant-Laflamme Y, Martel MO, Joshi A, Cook C. Rehabilitation management of low back pain-it's time to pull it all together! *JPR*. (2017) 10:2373–85. doi: 10.2147/JPR.S146485

7. Tagliaferri SD, Miller CT, Owen PJ, Mitchell UH, Brisby H, Fitzgibbon B, et al. Domains of chronic low back pain and assessing treatment effectiveness: a clinical perspective. *Pain Pract.* (2020) 20:211–25. doi: 10.1111/papr.12846

8. Taylor JB, Goode AP, George SZ, Cook CE. Incidence and risk factors for firsttime incident low back pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Spine J.* (2014) 14:2299–319. doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2014.01.026

9. Baraldo L, Battaglino A, Piscitelli D, Pellicciari L, Sánchez-Romero EA, Cotella D, et al. The correlation between low back pain and strength training in elite athletes: a literature review. *Retos.* (2023) 48:727–31. doi: 10.47197/retos.v48.97449

10. Hartvigsen J, Hancock MJ, Kongsted A, Louw Q, Ferreira ML, Genevay S, et al. What low back pain is and why we need to pay attention. *Lancet.* (2018) 391:2356–67. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30480-X

11. Luyster FS, Strollo PJ Jr, Zee PC, Walsh JK. Sleep: a health imperative. Sleep. (2012) 35:727-34. doi: 10.5665/sleep.1846

12. Liew SC, Aung T. Sleep deprivation and its association with diseases- a review. *Sleep Med.* (2021) 77:192–204. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2020.07.048

13. Sateia MJ. International classification of sleep disorders-third edition: highlights and modifications. *Chest.* (2014) 146:1387–94. doi: 10.1378/chest.14-0970

14. Cid-Verdejo R, Chávez Farías C, Martínez-Pozas O, Meléndez Oliva E, Cuenca-Zaldívar JN, Ardizone García I, et al. Instrumental assessment of sleep bruxism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev.* (2024) 74:101906. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2024.101906

15. Finan PH, Goodin BR, Smith MT. The association of sleep and pain: an update and a path forward. *J Pain*. (2013) 14:1539–52. doi: 10.1016/j.jpain.2013.08.007

16. Burgess HJ, Burns JW, Buvanendran A, Gupta R, Chont M, Kennedy M, et al. Associations between sleep disturbance and chronic pain intensity and function: a test of direct and indirect pathways. *Clin J Pain.* (2019) 35:569–76. doi: 10.1097/AJP.00000000000711

17. Alsaadi SM, McAuley JH, Hush JM, Maher CG. Prevalence of sleep disturbance in patients with low back pain. *Eur Spine J.* (2011) 20:737-43. doi: 10.1007/s00586-010-1661-x

18. Tang NKY, Wright KJ, Salkovskis PM. Prevalence and correlates of clinical insomnia co-occurring with chronic back pain. J Sleep Res. (2007) 16:85–95. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2869.2007.00571.x

19. Kelly GA, Blake C, Power CK, O'keeffe D, Fullen BM. *The* association between chronic low back pain and sleep: a systematic review. *Clin J Pain.* (2011) 27:169–81. doi: 10.1097/AJP.0b013e3181f3bdd5

20. Cuenca-Zaldívar JN, Fernández-Carnero J, Sánchez-Romero EA, Álvarez-Gonzalo V, Conde-Rodríguez R, Rodríguez-Sanz D, et al. Effects of a therapeutic exercise protocol for patients with chronic non-specific back pain in primary health care: a single-group retrospective cohort study. *J Clin Med.* (2023) 12:6478. doi: 10.3390/jcm12206478

21. Neilson BD, Dickerson C, Young JL, Shepherd MH, Rhon DI. Measures of sleep disturbance are not routinely captured in trials for chronic low back pain: a systematic scoping review of 282 trials. *J Clin Sleep Med.* (2023) 19:1961–70. doi: 10.5664/jcsm.10672

22. Kweon S, Kim Y, Jang M, Kim Y, Kim K, Choi S, et al. Data resource profile: the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (KNHANES). Int J Epidemiol. (2014) 43:69–77. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyt228

23. Park HJ, Choi JY, Lee WM, Park SM. Prevalence of chronic low back pain and its associated factors in the general population of South Korea: a cross-sectional study using the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys. *J Orthop Surg Res.* (2023) 18:29. doi: 10.1186/s13018-023-03509-x

24. Park SM, Kim HJ, Jang S, Kim H, Chang BS, Lee CK, et al. Depression is closely associated with chronic low back pain in patients over 50 years of age: a cross-sectional study using the sixth Korea national health and nutrition examination survey (KNHANES VI-2). *Spine.* (2018) 43:1281–8. doi: 10.1097/BRS.0000000000 02595

25. Park SM, Kim HJ, Jeong H, Kim H, Chang BS, Lee CK, et al. Longer sitting time and low physical activity are closely associated with chronic low back pain in population over 50 years of age: a cross-sectional study using the sixth Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Spine J.* (2018) 18:2051– 8. doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2018.04.003

26. Park SM, Kim GU, Kim HJ, Kim H, Chang BS, Lee CK, et al. Walking more than 90minutes/week was associated with a lower risk of self-reported low back pain in persons over 50years of age: a cross-sectional study using the Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys. *Spine J.* (2019) 19:846–52. doi: 10.1016/j.spinee.2018.11.007

27. Choi S, Nah S, Jang HD, Moon JE, Han S. Association between chronic low back pain and degree of stress: a nationwide cross-sectional study. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:14549. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-94001-1

28. Nah S, Park SS, Choi S, Jang HD, Moon JE, Han S. Associations of walking and resistance training with chronic low back pain in older adults: a cross-sectional analysis of Korean National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey data. *Medicine*. (2022) 101:e29078. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000029078

29. Yang Y, Lai X, Li C, Yang Y, Gu S, Hou W, et al. Focus on the impact of social factors and lifestyle on the disease burden of low back pain: findings from the global burden of disease study 2019. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* (2023) 24:679. doi: 10.1186/s12891-023-06772-5

30. Punnett L, Prüss-Utün A, Nelson DI, Fingerhut MA, Leigh J, Tak S, et al. Estimating the global burden of low back pain attributable to combined occupational exposures. *Am J Ind Med.* (2005) 48:459–69. doi: 10.1002/ajim.20232

31. Stewart Williams J, Ng N, Peltzer K, Yawson A, Biritwum R, Maximova T, et al. Risk factors and disability associated with low back pain in older adults in low- and middle-income countries. Results from the WHO Study on Global AGEing and Adult Health (SAGE). *PLoS ONE*. (2015) 10:e0127880. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0127880

32. Tong Y, Zhang XQ, Zhou HY. Chronic low back pain and sleep disturbance in adults in the US: the NHANES 2009-2010 study. *Pain Physician*. (2024) 27:E255–62.

33. Auvinen JP, Tammelin TH, Taimela SP, Zitting PJ, Järvelin MR, Taanila AM, et al. Is insufficient quantity and quality of sleep a risk factor for neck, shoulder and low back pain? A longitudinal study among adolescents. *Eur Spine J.* (2010) 19:641–9. doi: 10.1007/s00586-009-1215-2

34. Luo G, Yao Y, Tao J, Wang T, Yan M. Causal association of sleep disturbances and low back pain: a bidirectional two-sample Mendelian randomization study. *Front Neurosci.* (2022) 16:1074605. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2022.1074605

35. Yabe Y, Hagiwara Y, Sekiguchi T, Sugawara Y, Tsuchiya M, Yoshida S, et al. Association between sleep disturbance and low back pain: a 3-year longitudinal study after the great east Japan earthquake. *Spine.* (2022) 47:361–8. doi:10.1097/BRS.000000000004234

36. Morelhão PK, Gobbi C, Christofaro DGD, Damato TM, Grande GD, Frange C, et al. Bidirectional association between sleep quality and low back pain in older adults: a longitudinal observational study. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* (2022) 103:1558–64. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2021.11.009

37. Lancet T. Waking up to the importance of sleep. Lancet. (2022) 400:973. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(22)01774-3

38. Bandyopadhyay A, Sigua NL. What is sleep deprivation? Am J Respir Crit Care Med. (2019) 199:P11-2. doi: 10.1164/rccm.1996P11

39. Lavoie CJ, Zeidler MR, Martin JL. Sleep and aging. *Sleep Sci Pract.* (2018) 2:3. doi: 10.1186/s41606-018-0021-3

40. Miner B, Stone KL, Zeitzer JM, Han L, Doyle M, Blackwell T, et al. Self-reported and actigraphic short sleep duration in older adults. *J Clin Sleep Med.* (2022) 18:403–13. doi: 10.5664/jcsm.9584

41. Vandenbroucke JP, von Elm E, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Mulrow CD, Pocock SJ, et al. Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE): explanation and elaboration. *Int J Surg.* (2014) 12:1500–24. doi: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2014.07.014

42. Skarpsno ES, Mork PJ, Marcuzzi A, Lund Nilsen TI, Meisingset I. Subtypes of insomnia and the risk of chronic spinal pain: the HUNT study. *Sleep Med.* (2021) 85:15–20. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2021.06.029

43. Krause AJ, Prather AA, Wager TD, Lindquist MA, Walker MP. The pain of sleep loss: a brain characterization in humans. *J Neurosci.* (2019) 39:2291. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2408-18.2018

44. Heffner KL, France CR, Trost Z, Ng HM, Pigeon WR. Chronic low back pain, sleep disturbance, and interleukin-6. *Clin J Pain.* (2011) 27:35-41. doi: 10.1097/AJP.0b013e3181eef761

45. Irwin MR, Olmstead R, Carroll JE. Sleep disturbance, sleep duration, and inflammation: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies and experimental sleep deprivation. *Biol Psychiatry.* (2016) 80:40–52. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.05.014

46. Risbud	MV,	Shapiro	IM.	Role	of	cytokines	in
intervertebral	disc	degeneration:	pain	and	disc	content.	Nat

*Rev Rheumatol.* (2014) 10:44–56. doi: 10.1038/nrrheum.20 13.160

47. Saravanan A, Bajaj P, Mathews HL, Tell D, Starkweather A, Janusek L. Behavioral symptom clusters, inflammation, and quality of life in chronic low back pain. *Pain Manag Nurs.* (2021) 22:361–8. doi: 10.1016/j.pmn.2020. 11.012