Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Alessandra Pulliero, University of Genoa, Italy

REVIEWED BY Worradorn Phairuang, Chiang Mai University, Thailand Leila Moftakhar, Abadan University of Medical Sciences, Iran

*CORRESPONDENCE Ling Qin ⊠ zzqq777@126.com Yuping Yang ⊠ yangyupingchn@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 18 January 2024 ACCEPTED 30 October 2024 PUBLISHED 15 November 2024

CITATION

Kou Y, Du S, Du W, Ye W, Yang Y and Qin L (2024) Exposure to air pollution and non-neoplastic digestive system diseases: findings from the China health and retirement longitudinal study. *Front. Public Health* 12:1372156. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1372156

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Kou, Du, Du, Ye, Yang and Qin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Exposure to air pollution and non-neoplastic digestive system diseases: findings from the China health and retirement longitudinal study

Yanqi Kou^{1,2†}, Shenshen Du^{3†}, Weiwei Du^{4†}, Weixiang Ye^{5†}, Yuping Yang^{2*} and Ling Qin^{1*}

¹The First Affiliated Hospital, College of Clinical Medicine of Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang, Henan, China, ²Department of Gastroenterology, Affiliated Hospital of Guangdong Medical University, Zhanjiang, China, ³Department of Gastroenterology, Huanghe Sanmenxia Hospital, Sanmenxia, Henan, China, ⁴Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Huanghe Sanmenxia Hospital, Sanmenxia, Henan, China, ⁵Department of General Medicine, Dongpu Branch of The First Affiliated Hospital, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China

Objectives: Increasing concern about air pollution's impact on public health underscores the need to understand its effects on non-neoplastic digestive system diseases (NNDSD). This study explores the link between air pollution and NNDSD in China.

Methods: We conducted a national cross-sectional study using 2015 data from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS), involving 13,046 Chinese adults aged 45 and above from 28 provinces. Satellite-based spatiotemporal models estimated participants' exposure to ambient particulate matter (3-year average). An analysis of logistic regression models was conducted to estimate the association between air pollutants [particulate matter with a diameter $\leq 2.5 \,\mu$ m (PM_{2.5}) or $\leq 10 \,\mu$ m (PM₁₀), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), ozone (O₃), and carbon monoxide (CO)] and NNDSD. Interaction analyses were conducted to examine potential modifiers of these associations.

Results: The prevalence of NNDSD among participants was 26.29%. After adjusted for multivariate factors, we observed a 6% [odd ratio (OR) = 1.06, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.94, 1.19], 23% (OR = 1.23, 95% CI: 1.09, 1.38), 26% (OR = 1.26, 95% CI: 1.12, 1.41), 30% (OR = 1.30, 95% CI: 1.16, 1.46), 13% (OR = 1.13, 95% CI: 1.01, 1.27) and 27% (OR = 1.27, 95% CI: 1.13, 1.43) increase in NNDSD risk with an interquartile range increase in PM_{2.5} (23.36 μ g/m³), PM₁₀ (50.33 μ g/m³), SO₂ (17.27 μ g/m³), NO₂ (14.75 μ g/m³), O₃ (10.80 μ g/m³), and CO (0.42 mg/m³), respectively. Interaction analyses showed that PM_{2.5}, SO₂, and O₃ had stronger effects on NNDSD risk among older adults, highly educated individuals, smokers, and married people, respectively.

Conclusion: This study demonstrates that long-term exposure to $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} , SO_2 , NO_2 , O_3 , and CO is positively associated with NNDSD risk in Chinese adults aged 45 and above. Implementing intervention strategies to enhance air quality is essential for reducing the burden of NNDSD.

KEYWORDS

digestive disease, air pollution, older adult, CHARLS, Chinese

1 Background

Non-neoplastic digestive system diseases (NNDSD) are non-cancerous digestive disorders, encompassing conditions such as gastroenteritis, gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD), inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), and liver diseases, among others (1, 2). There were 100,317 deaths related to non-malignant gastrointestinal diseases in the United States in 2019 (3). The incidence of digestive disorders has been increasing in China and other countries with Western societies (4). Research is increasingly revealing a pathogenic continuum between certain NNDSD and the emergence of malignant tumors of the gastrointestinal tract (5, 6). Millions worldwide suffer from these diseases, which also impose significant economic burdens, including high medical costs and lost work hours (7). Consequently, NNDSD represents a significant aspect of public health, and identifying risk factors for NNDSD is crucial for preventing and controlling NNDSD.

Industrialization, urbanization, and urban population growth have made air pollution one of the most critical environmental factors (8). Exposure to chronic air pollution [particulate matter with a diameter $\leq 2.5 \,\mu m \, (PM_{2.5})$ or $\leq 10 \,\mu m \, (PM_{10})$, sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), ozone (O₃), and carbon monoxide (CO)] has been associated with respiratory diseases, cardiometabolic diseases, and cancer (9-12). Air pollution has been linked to digestive disorders such as enteritis, appendicitis, IBD, liver disease, and peptic ulcers. An association has been established between air pollution exposure and a higher incidence of NNDSD in urban populations (13-15). Our methodology extends previous research by incorporating a broader spectrum of air pollutants and a larger sample size. Prior studies primarily focused on PM_{2.5} and its impacts; our study included comprehensive pollutants such as PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, O₃, and CO, allowing for a more detailed analysis of air quality impacts on NNDSD. The effects of air pollution on digestive diseases have also been studied from a mechanistic perspective. Environmental exposure directly impacts epithelial cells, increases intestinal permeability, induces oxidative stress, triggers systemic inflammation, and alters gut microbiota (16-18). Despite their prevalence, the link between environmental factors, especially air pollution, and NNDSD remains largely unexplored. Particularly evident is this gap in China and other developing countries, where air pollution levels are high and largescale population-based studies are lacking.

We conducted a national cross-sectional study to investigate the relationship between six major air pollutants ($PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} , SO_2 , NO_2 , O_3 , and CO) and NNDSD to develop feasible strategies for preventing and controlling the disease.

2 Methods

2.1 Study population

The China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) selected participants from a national cohort of residents aged 45 and older. To gather representative data for middle-aged and older Chinese people, the study used GIS software and multistage probability sampling across 28 provinces. In accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, Peking University's Ethics Review Board approved the

CHARLS protocol (IRB00001052-11015). Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

CHARLS started in 2011 and has been conducted every 2–3 years since then. Evaluations of Chinese air pollution levels have predominantly been conducted since 2013. Based on the CHARLS dataset from 2015, we conducted a cross-sectional study of 21,095 middle-aged and older adult who underwent medical examinations. We excluded data with missing key information: age (n = 364), body mass index (n = 3,348), smoking status (n = 11), alcohol consumption (n = 9), health status (n = 307), marital status (n = 2), residence (n = 86), education level (n = 2,945), and participants under 45 years old (n = 977). These exclusions were necessary to maintain the integrity and the analytical robustness of our study findings. The study included 13,046 middle-aged and older adult individuals from 28 provinces in China (Supplementary Figure S1).

2.2 Definition of NNDSD

A physician-diagnosed NNDSD was identified as one of the outcomes of interest in the 2015 questionnaire. During the follow-up questionnaire, the self-report question ('Have you been diagnosed by a physician with a gastric or other non-neoplastic disease of the digestive system?') was used to determine if the patient had been diagnosed with NNDSD. A subject who answered 'yes' to this question was defined as having NNDSD.

2.3 Air pollution exposure assessment

Between 2013 and 2015, a geocoding system was employed to identify the residential addresses of study subjects. These geocoded addresses were then used by Artificial Intelligence (AI) to calculate ground-level concentrations of air pollutants such as PM2.5, PM10, SO2, NO₂, O₃ and CO for each individual. The data were derived from the ChinaHighAirPollutants (CHAP) dataset and were spatially resolved to 0.1° (approximately 10 km). To estimate ambient PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, CO, and O₃, surface measurements, remote sensing products, atmospheric reanalysis and model simulations were used. With surface measurements, a 10-fold cross-validation was performed to determine R^2 and the root mean square error (RMSE) for all daily mean estimates of all air pollutants (Supplementary Table S1). Annual air pollution exposures were calculated based on each participant's county-level home address. For the main effects analyses, we calculated each participant's long-term air pollution exposure as the 3-year average of PM2.5, PM10, SO2, NO2, O3, and CO levels before the 2015 CHARLS survey. For sensitivity analyses, we used the two-year average air pollution concentrations.

2.4 Covariates

Covariate data from CHARLS 2015 were used to prepare this study. As a demographic covariate, age ranges (45-60 years, ≥ 60 years), gender (male, female), residence (rural or urban), educational level (primary school and below, secondary school or above), marital status (married/cohabiting, unmarried, separated/divorced/widowed). The variables of health behavior included Body Mass Index (BMI)

(underweight, normal weight, overweight/obese), smoking status (no or yes), alcohol consumption status (no or yes), and health status (good/very good, fair, poor/very poor).

2.5 Statistical analyses

We used descriptive statistics to compare the basic characteristics of participants, presenting categorical variables as numbers (percentages). Differences between non-NNDSD and NNDSD participants were assessed using the Chi-squared test for independence. The strength and direction of the association between air pollutants were quantified using Pearson's correlation coefficients, which measure linear correlations between variables (Supplementary Table S2).

Logistic regression was used to examine the impact of 3-year average air pollutant concentrations on NNDSD. We first developed a crude model without adjustments. The base model adjusted for five covariates (gender, age, residence, education level, marital status), and the main model was fully adjusted for additional covariates (smoking, drinking, BMI, health status). NNDSD was expressed as odds ratios (OR) for each increment of the interquartile range (IQR) in air pollutants with corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI). Subgroup analyses and interaction tests were conducted within the logistic regression framework to explore potential modifications of the air pollution effects on NNDSD by various factors, including sex, age, place of residence, education level, marital status, smoking, alcohol consumption, BMI, and health status. Interaction terms were added to the models to statistically test for these effects.

To verify the robustness of our results, we performed sensitivity analyses. First, we reran the analyses using the average air pollution concentrations for the 2 years before 2015. Second, we excluded participants who self-reported poor or very poor health. We also conducted propensity score matching (PSM) using a nearest neighbor ratio of 1:1 without replacement and a caliper width of 0.05. We conducted the analyses using SPSS Statistics 26, R software (version 4.2.2), and Python3.11. R was used for spatial data analysis, statistical modeling, and generating forest plots, with packages like ggplot2, plyr, maptools, forestplot, and matchit. Python was used for air pollution mapping, employing libraries such as geopandas, matplotlib.pyplot, osgeo, and gdal. Statistical significance was determined by a two-tailed *p*-value of less than 0.05.

3 Results

3.1 Characteristics of study participants

In total, 13,046 participants were enrolled in this study, and the flowchart of participant enrollment can be seen in Supplementary Figure S1. Based on 13,046 participants from 28 provinces in China, Figure 1 illustrates their distribution. A summary of the basic characteristics of the study participants is provided in Table 1. The incidence rate of NNDSD was 26.29%. About 56.52% of the NNDSD cases were over 60 years old, and 53.18% of these were female. The majority of participants with NNDSD were married or cohabiting. Additionally, 26.81% of NNDSD cases were in poor health. There were 75.40% of participants who lived in rural areas and 68.70% who had only a primary school education or less. Aside from age and marital status, there was a significant difference between non-NNDSD and NNDSD patients with respect to sociodemographic and health behavioral characteristics.

A three-year average ambient concentration of PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, O₃, and CO for the six air pollutants is shown in Table 2 and Figure 2 at $49.54 \pm 17.49 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ for PM_{2.5}, $95.64 \pm 33.71 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ for PM₁₀, $31.13 \pm 14.42 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ for SO₂, $29.89 \pm 9.51 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ for NO₂, $83.04 \pm 7.82 \,\mu\text{g/m}^3$ for O₃ and $1.18 \pm 0.33 \,\text{mg/m}^3$ for CO. Additionally, Pearson correlation analysis revealed strong correlations among several pollutants. Specifically, PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ were the most strongly correlated (r=0.89), indicative of their common sources or similar atmospheric behaviors. Notably strong correlations were also observed between NO₂ and PM_{2.5} (r=0.86), as well as between CO and SO₂ (r=0.82). The range of correlation coefficients between the major pollutants (PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, O₃, and CO) varied from 0.70 to 0.89. O₃ showed a distinct pattern with lower correlation coefficients compared to other pollutants, as shown in Supplementary Table S2.

3.2 Associations between air pollutants and NNDSD

The association between each air pollutant and NNDSD is shown in Figure 3 and Supplementary Table S3. Higher levels of exposure to air pollutants (PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, O₃, and CO) are associated with an increased risk of NNDSD. According to the crude model, for each additional exposure IQR of PM225, PM10, SO2, NO2, O3 and CO, the OR for NNDSD was 1.19 (95%CI: 1.06, 1.33), 1.36 (95%CI:1.22, 1.52), 1.44 (95%CI: 1.28, 1.61), 1.48 (95%CI: 1.32, 1.66), 1.30 (95%CI: 1.16, 1.46), and 1.36 (95%CI: 1.21, 1.53). Significant associations in the base model persisted after adjusting for demographic covariates. Taking into account all covariates, including age, sex, place of residence, education, marital status, body mass index, smoking, drinking, and health status, we observed a 6% (fully adjusted OR = 1.06, 95%CI: 0.94, 1.19), 23% (fully adjusted OR = 1.23, 95%CI: 1.09, 1.38), 26% (fully adjusted OR = 1.26, 95%CI: 1.12, 1.41), 30% (fully adjusted OR = 1.30, 95%CI: 1.16, 1.46), 13% (fully adjusted OR=1.13, 95%CI: 1.01, 1.27) and 27% (fully adjusted OR=1.27, 95%CI: 1.13, 1.43) increase in NNDSD risk with a IQR increase in PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, O₃, and CO exposure in the main model, respectively. PM_{2.5}'s *p*-value in the main model is 0.34(>0.05), and the rest < 0.05.

3.3 Subgroup and interaction analyses of air pollutants and NNDSD

The results of the subgroup and interaction analyses are presented in Figures 4, 5. According to our findings, the following factors may modify the association between air pollution and NNDSD: $PM_{2.5}$ significantly increased NNDSD risk in participants over 60 years of age (OR=1.01, 95%CI: 0.96, 1.06), compared to participants aged 45–60 years (OR=1.01, 95%CI: 0.95, 1.06) (*P* interaction=0.04). In participants with higher education (OR=1.08, 95%CI: 1.01, 1.16) as compared to those with lower education (OR=1.06, 95%CI: 1.02, 1.11), SO₂ had a greater effect on NNDSD in participants with higher



education (*P* interaction = 0.01). In the group of smokers (OR = 1.02, 95%CI: 0.96, 1.07) (*P* interaction = 0.02) and of married or cohabiting couples (OR = 1.03, 95%CI: 0.99, 1.07) (*P* interaction = 0.03), ambient O_3 had a greater effect on the rise in NNDSD.

3.4 Sensitivity analyses

The sensitivity analyses for the association between air pollution and NNDSD consistently demonstrated statistical significance in line with the main results. When the mean exposure concentration of air pollutants was reduced from 3 to 2 years, we observed that all the above significant associations remained strong (Supplementary Table S4). Additionally, we conducted sensitivity analyses that excluded poor/very poor health, which showed effect sizes and statistical significance similar to the main results, except for the association between exposure to O3 and NNDSD (Supplementary Table S5). In order to eliminate other potential confounders, sensitivity analyses were conducted when a 1:1 PSM was performed. Lastly, 3,430 participants with NNDSD were paired with the same number of participants non-NNDSD, and there were no significant differences in baseline characteristics between the two groups (Supplementary Table S7). Overall, these sensitivity analyses indicated that the main analysis results were robust (Supplementary Table S6).

4 Discussion

This study was one of the few national epidemiologic studies in China that investigated the relationship between continuous exposure to air pollutants and NNDSD. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} , SO_2 , NO_2 , O_3 , and CO on NNDSD among middle-aged and older adult Chinese residents. Our findings revealed significant associations between several common air pollutants and the risk of NNDSD, with varying strengths across different pollutants. Nearly the entire global population is exposed to airborne pollution, recognized as a significant environmental health risk (19). In terms of policymaking aimed at protecting the public health from air pollution, our findings supported the hypothesis that air pollution exposure adversely affects NNDSD.

 $\rm PM_{2.5}$ and $\rm PM_{10}$ are particulate air pollutants. Our study revealed that $\rm PM_{2.5}$ and $\rm PM_{10}$ demonstrate the strongest correlation in Pearson correlation analysis. Current research established a significant association between $\rm PM_{10}$ and NNDSD, persisting even after adjustment for confounding factors. Conversely, $\rm PM_{2.5}$ showed significant associations only in crude and base models, failing to maintain statistical significance in the main model. There appeared to be a difference in impact between air pollution particles of different sizes on digestive system health, with $\rm PM_{10}$ having a more pronounced effect in our study population than $\rm PM_{2.5}.$

This observation was corroborated by prior research. A comprehensive cross-sectional analysis involving 90,086 participants in southwest China demonstrated a positive association between prolonged exposure to environmental particulate matter and metabolically associated fatty liver disease. Additionally, animal studies have identified a steatohepatitis-like phenotype and liver fibrosis associated with such exposures, reinforcing the broader implications of particulate pollutants on liver health (20, 21). According to a cross-sectional study conducted in the United States, hospitalized patients with higher ambient PM_{2.5} exposure were more likely to develop non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (22). An extensive

TABLE 1 Basic characteristics of participants.

Characteristics	Total (<i>n</i> = 13,046)	NNDSD (<i>n</i> = 3,430)	Non-NNDSD (<i>n</i> = 9,616)	Р
Age, n (%)				0.351
45-60	5,672 (43.48)	1,468 (42.80)	4,204 (43.72)	
≥60	7,374 (56.52)	1962 (57.20)	5,412 (56.28)	
Gender, <i>n</i> (%)				<0.001***
Males	6,108 (46.82)	1,450 (42.27)	4,658 (48.44)	
Females	6,938 (53.18)	1980 (57.73)	4,958 (51.56)	
Residence, n (%)				<0.001***
Rural	9,837 (75.40)	2,708 (78.95)	7,129 (74.14)	
Urban	3,209 (24.60)	722 (21.05)	2,487 (25.86)	
Marital status, n (%)				0.899
Married and living with a spouse	10,710 (82.09)	2,809 (81.90)	7,901 (82.17)	
Married but living without a spouse	599 (4.59)	162 (4.72)	437 (4.54)	
Single, divorced, and windowed	1737 (13.31)	459 (13.38)	1,278 (13.29)	
Education level, <i>n</i> (%)				<0.001***
Elementary school or below	8,962 (68.70)	2,550 (74.34)	6,412 (66.68)	
Middle school or above	4,084 (31.30)	880 (25.66)	3,204 (33.32)	
BMI, <i>n</i> (%)				<0.001***
<18.5	797 (6.11)	271 (7.90)	526 (5.47)	
18.5–23.9	6,289 (48.21)	1751 (51.05)	4,538 (47.19)	
≥24	5,960 (45.68)	1,408 (41.05)	4,552 (47.34)	
Smoking, <i>n</i> (%)				<0.001***
Yes	5,731 (43.93)	1,411 (41.14)	4,320 (44.93)	
No	7,315 (56.07)	2019 (58.86)	5,296 (55.07)	
Drinking, n (%)				<0.001***
Yes	4,479 (34.33)	1,060 (30.90)	3,419 (35.56)	
No	8,567 (65.67)	2,370 (69.10)	6,197 (64.44)	
Health status, <i>n</i> (%)				<0.001***
Good/very good	2,886 (22.12)	446 (13.00)	2,440 (25.37)	
Fair	6,663 (51.07)	1719 (50.12)	4,944 (51.41)	
Poor/very poor	3,497 (26.81)	1,265 (36.88)	2,232 (23.21)	

Kou et al.

BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared); NNDSD, non-neoplastic digestive system diseases. *P<0.05, **P<0.01, ***P<0.001.

nationwide time-series study examined the adverse effects of shortterm $PM_{2.5}$ exposure on various digestive disorders, including intestinal infections, esophageal disease, gastritis, appendicitis, liver disease, gastrointestinal bleeding, and non-infectious gastroenteritis (23). On cooler days, there has been a significant increase in peptic ulcer hospitalizations in Taipei with rising levels of PM_{10} (24).

Research indicated that the impact of particulate air pollutants on the digestive system was primarily mediated through the ingestion of contaminants in food and water, as well as the direct effects of gaseous pollutants on the gastrointestinal tract during swallowing (25, 26). The deposition of air pollution particles in the lungs and the resultant epithelial cell responses, including the

TABLE 2 Descriptive statistics 3-years average levels of air pollution.

Variables	Mean	SD	P25	P50	P75	IQR
PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³)	49.54	17.49	36.70	48.05	60.06	23.36
PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³)	95.64	33.71	63.78	93.96	114.11	50.33
$SO_2 (\mu g/m^3)$	31.13	14.42	20.81	26.01	38.07	17.27
$NO_2 (\mu g/m^3)$	29.89	9.51	22.40	28.57	37.15	14.75
O3 (µg/m3)	83.04	7.82	77.00	81.11	87.81	10.80
CO (mg/m ³)	1.18	0.33	0.94	1.08	1.36	0.42

 $PM_{2.5}$, particle with aerodynamic diameter $\leq 2.5 \,\mu$ m; PM_{10} , particle with aerodynamic diameter $\leq 10 \,\mu$ m; SO_2 , sulfur dioxide; NO2, nitrogen dioxide; O_3 , ozone; CO, carbonic oxide; SD, standard deviation; P25, P50, P75, Lower, median and upper quartiles of variables; IQR, interquartile range.

production of free radicals and inflammation, lead to the disruption of the alveolar barrier. These factors can subsequently enter the bloodstream, directly affecting intestinal epithelial cells and increasing intestinal permeability (27–30). Additionally, exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ was associated with elevated levels of cluster of differentiation and systemic inflammation (31, 32). Animal studies demonstrate that PM_{10} exposure in mice leads to elevated pro-inflammatory cytokine levels in the small and large intestines, potentially stimulating alveolar macrophages to produce inflammatory factors present in the bloodstream during air pollution episodes (33, 34). Furthermore, studies have found that particulate air pollutants significantly altered the gut microbiota composition in both animals and humans, potentially increasing susceptibility to mucosal inflammation (17, 28, 30, 35).

We found a close association between SO₂ levels and an increased risk of NNDSD. In subgroup and interaction analysis, we observed that the impact of environmental air pollutant SO₂ on NNDSD was more pronounced among subjects with higher education. Residents near the Madin Dam in the State of Mexico showed a significant correlation between chronic exposure to SO₂ and liver function impairment. Specifically, individuals exposed to high levels of SO₂ exhibit elevated levels of lipid peroxidation products and oxidative stress markers in their blood, which are biomarkers indicating hepatocellular damage and dysfunction (36). In a study involving more than 2.7 million adults in Northwest China, each $10\mu g/m^3$ increase in SO₂ concentration was associated with a 2.7-fold increase in the incidence risk of metabolically associated fatty liver disease (37).



Air pollution distribution over 13–15 years of follow-up. (a) $PM_{2.5}$: particle with aerodynamic diameter $\leq 2.5 \ \mu$ m; (b) PM_{10} : particle with aerodynamic diameter $\leq 10 \ \mu$ m; (c) SO_2 : sulfur dioxide; (d) NO_2 : nitrogen dioxide; (e) CO: carbon monoxide; (f) O_3 : ozone.

Air pollutions(IQR)	OR (95% CI)		P value
PM2.5(23.36 µg/m3)		1	
Crude model	1.19 (1.06 - 1.33)	¦	0.003**
Base model	1.16 (1.04 - 1.30)	Ì • • • • •	0.010*
Main model	1.06 (0.94 - 1.19)	► <u>+</u> •	0.334
PM10(50.33 µg/m3)		I I	
Crude model	1.36 (1.22 - 1.52)	¦ ⊨	< 0.001 ***
Base model	1.33 (1.19 - 1.49)	¦	< 0.001 ***
Main model	1.23 (1.09 - 1.38)	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<0.001 **
SO2(17.27 µg/m3)		i	
Crude model	1.44 (1.28 - 1.61)	¦	┥ <0.001 **
Base model	1.42 (1.27 - 1.59)	¦	<0.001 **
Main model	1.26 (1.12 - 1.41)	¦	< 0.001 **
NO2(14.75 µg/m3)		1	
Crude model	1.48 (1.32 - 1.66)	i	 <0.001 **
Base model	1.46 (1.30 - 1.64)	¦ ⊢_•	
Main model	1.30 (1.16 - 1.46)	¦ ⊨	< 0.001 ***
O3(10.80 µg/m3)		1	
Crude model	1.30 (1.16 - 1.46)	¦ ⊢—•——•	< 0.001 **
Base model	1.25 (1.11 - 1.40)	!	<0.001 ***
Main model	1.13 (1.01 - 1.27)	, h	0.040 *
CO(0.42 mg/m3)		1	
Crude model	1.36 (1.21 - 1.53)	¦ ⊢⊸•−−−→	<0.001 **
Base model	1.34 (1.19 - 1.50)	¦ ⊢	< 0.001 **
Main model	1.27 (1.13 - 1.43)	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	< 0.001 ***

FIGURE 3

Associations between air pollution and NNDSD, per IQR increment in air pollutants. Crude model: no adjustment; Base model: Adjusted for age, gender, education level, marital status and residence; Main model: Base model + smoking, drinking, body mass index and health status. $PM_{2.5}$, particle with aerodynamic diameter $\leq 2.5 \mu$ m; PM_{10} , particle with aerodynamic diameter $\leq 10 \mu$ m; SO₂, sulfur dioxide; NO₂, nitrogen dioxide; CO, carbonic oxide; O₃, ozone; NNDSD, non-neoplastic digestive system diseases; IQR, interquartile range; OR, odds ratios; CI, confidence interval. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.

The increase in SO₂ levels have exacerbated inflammation and oxidative stress in the liver, both key drivers in the development of metabolically associated fatty liver disease. Research on pregnant women found that an increase in environmental SO₂ levels during the second trimester was associated with an increased risk of intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy (38). Long-term exposure to SO₂ may have increased pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6 and IL-1 β , and decreased hepatic bile transport proteins, leading to the accumulation of bile acids in the liver (39, 40).

A significant association between NO₂ levels and NNDSD was observed. In our main model, an increase in NO₂ exposure by one IQR was associated with a 30% increase in risk. An analysis of 8,566 older adult cases recorded between 2005 and 2010 by Tian et al. (41) found that a short-term increase in ambient NO₂ levels may have increased the risk of peptic ulcer bleeding and related hospitalizations in Hong Kong's older adult population. In a large-scale cohort study that investigated the associations between air pollutants and the risk of 12 gastrointestinal diseases, positive correlations were observed between NO₂ and NOx with the risk of peptic ulcers and chronic gastritis (42). According to other studies, long-term exposures to NO₂ were associated with ulcerative colitis but not Crohn's disease (43). Research involving 329,048 adults in Taiwan and Hong Kong between 2001 and 2018 demonstrated that rising concentrations of NO2 were linked to an increased risk of Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD) and its associated advanced fibrosis (44). Empirical evidence on the relationship between NO2 and digestive system diseases remained limited. As a component of traffic-related air pollution, NO2 was also found to be associated with elevated levels of cytokeratin-18, which may be linked to liver damage (45). NO2 swallowed through belching may trigger a nitrification reaction in the stomach, leading to redox interactions that disrupt the intestinal lining and promote gastrointestinal diseases (46).

Our study found that exposure to O3 is significantly associated with NNDSD, especially among smokers and those who are married or cohabiting. One research has shown that for every one standard deviation increase in O3 concentration, NAFLD decreases by 12%, and the incidence of advanced fibrosis decreases by 11%. This suggested that higher levels of O3 might have a protective effect against these conditions (44). Conversely, a study from Northwest China indicated that elevated O3 levels were linked to a slight increase in the risk of Metabolically Associated Fatty Liver Disease (37). Additionally, research from Korea reported a positive correlation between short-term exposure to O₃ and elevated levels of gamma-glutamyl transferase (47). An analysis of the 7-day cumulative average of terrestrial O3 conducted by a Canadian researcher suggests that higher levels of environmental O3 exposure may increase the risk of perforated appendicitis (48). Kaplan's findings indicated that higher 5-day average ozone levels increased appendicitis rates, especially in summer, with a greater impact on younger individuals than older adults. The specific mechanisms by which O_3 influenced appendicitis incidence remained unclear, but inhaling or ingesting air pollutants likely triggered inflammatory responses in humans (49). Himuro (50) demonstrated that intrarectal administration of ozone gas causes transient epithelial cell damage, which is specifically caused by damage to DNA replication and cell cycle pathways. Exposure to O_3 stimulated the production of tumor necrosis factors IL-6 and IL-8 and promotes systemic inflammation in humans, which may be a key factor in the pathogenesis of these diseases (51, 52).

In our study, exposure to CO was associated with an increased risk of NNDSD. There is limited literature on the impact of CO on digestive system disorders. Chinese researchers found that CO levels were significantly elevated on days of outpatient visits for enterocolitis (53). A study in Yichang, China, demonstrated that for every 1 mg/m³ increase in CO levels, there is a 19.04% (95% CI: 8.39, 29.68%) rise in daily outpatient visits for gastrointestinal diseases. From 2002 through 2007,

Characteristics	OR (95% CI)		P-interaction	OR (95% CI)		P-interaction	OR (95% CI)		P-interaction
Age		1	0.036*		1	0.053		1	0.724
45-60	1.01(0.95-1.06)			1.07 (1.01-1.12)			1.09(1.03-1.15)		
≥60	1.01(0.96-1.06)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		1.06 (1.01-1.11)			1.05(1.00-1.10)	i	
Gender		1	0.438			0.530		1	0.306
Males	0.99(0.93-1.04)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		1.03(1.00-1.09)	HHH		1.03(0.98-1.09)	÷••	
Females	1.02(0.97-1.07)			1.09(1.04-1.14)	. ⊢ ∎→		1.09(1.04-1.15)	·	
Residence			0.865			0.681			0.585
Rural	1.01(0.97-1.05)			1.06(1.02-1.10)			1.06(1.02-1.10)		
Urban	1.03(0.95-1.11)			1.10(1.02-1.19)	i		1.10(1.02-1.19)		
Marital status		i	0.206		i	0.172		i	0.204
Married and living with a spouse	1.02(0.98-1.06)	⊢		1.07(1.02-1.11)			1.08(1.04-1.12)	. ⊢ −	
Married but living without a spouse	1.07(0.84-1.20)	i	-	1.11(0.93-1.33)		•	1.14(0.96-1.36)		-
Single, divorced, and windowed	0.94(0.85-1.03)			1.04(0.94-1.15)			0.97(0.88-1.07)	⊨	
Education level		i	0.188		i	0.418		i	0.010*
Elementary school or below	1.01(0.97-1.05)			1.08(1.04-1.13)			1.06(1.02-1.11)		
Middle school or above	1.01(0.94-1.08)			1.03(0.96-1.10)	rii − − −		1.08(1.01-1.16)		
BMI		!	0.741		1	0.101		1	0.335
<18.5	0.94(0.82-1.09)			0.93(0.80-1.08)			0.94(0.82-1.08)		
18.5-23.9	1.00(0.94-1.04)			1.03(0.98-1.09)	⊢		1.04(0.98-1.09)	⊭⊸	
≥24	1.04(0.99-1.10)			1.13(1.07-1.19)			1.13(1.077-1.20)		
Smoking		i	0.303		i	0.176		i	0.989
Yes	1.03(0.97-1.09)			1.04(0.99-1.10)	⊢ ⊶		1.06(1.00-1.12)		
No	0.99(0.95-1.04)	, in the second s		1.08(1.03-1.13)	i 📖		1.06(1.01-1.12)	I	
Drinking			0.465			0.875			0.426
Yes	1.04(0.97-1.11)	ب		1.06(1.00-1.13)	i		1.06(1.00-1.13)	L	
No	1.00(0.96-1.04)			1.07(1.02-1.11)			1.07(1.02-1.11)		
Health status			0.589			0.776			0.555
Good/very good	1.01(0.92-1.10)			1.09(0.99-1.19)	↓		1.05(0.96-1.15)	÷	
Fair	1.02(0.97-1.07)			1.08(1.02-1.13)	i		1.09(1.04-1.15)	i 🛏 🛏	
Poor/very poor	0.99(0.93-1.05)			1.03(0.97-1.10)	÷		1.03(0.97-1.10)	÷	
		0 0 10	5	0.8	10 12		0.8	09 10 11 12 13	
				0.0			0.0		

	NO,			0,			со		
Characteristics	OR (95% CI)		P-interaction	OR (95% CI)	v	P-interaction	OR (95% CI)		P-interacti
Age		1	0.662		1	0.084		1	0.272
45-60	1.10 (1.04 - 1.16)			1.03(0.98 - 1.09)	⊢ •−−		1.11 (1.05 - 1.18)	¦ 🛏 🛏	
≥60	1.05 (1.00 - 1.10)			1.00(0.96 - 1.05)			1.07 (1.02 - 1.13)	i 📖	
Gender		1	0.252			0.126		1	0.154
Males	1.04 (0.98 - 1.10)			1.01 (0.95 - 1.06)			1.05 (0.99 - 1.11)	÷	
Females	1.09 (1.04 - 1.15)	! 		1.02(0.97 - 1.07)	⊢		1.12 (1.07 - 1.18)	! 	
Residence			0.788			0.737			0.231
Rural	1.06 (1.02 - 1.11)	1 ⊷⊷→		1.01(0.97 - 1.05)	µ-1		1.07 (1.03 - 1.12)	'	
Urban	1.10(1.01-1.18)	¦		1.05 (0.97 - 1.14)	⊢− −−		1.15(1.070-1.24)		
Marital status		i	0.130		i	0.025*		i	0.397
Married and living with a spouse	1.08(1.04 - 1.13)	¦ ⊨, →		1.03(0.99 - 1.07)	k ∎		1.09(1.05 - 1.13)	¦ ⊷⊶	
Married but living without a spouse	1.15(0.96-1.37)		_	1.01(0.85 - 1.20)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		123(103-148)	i	
Single, divorced, and windowed	0.97 (0.88 - 1.07)			0.95 (0.86 - 1.05)			1.06(0.96 - 1.17)		
Education level			0.071			0.335			0.618
Elementary school or below	1.06(1.02-1.11)	!		1.01(0.97 - 1.06)	ц <u> </u>		1.10(1.06-1.15)	! 	
Middle school or above	1.09(1.02-1.17)			1.022(0.95 - 1.10)	—		1.06(0.99-1.13)		
BMI			0.390		1	0.241		1	0.119
<185	0.96(0.83-1.10)			0.90(0.79 - 1.04)			1.11(0.95 - 1.2.9)		
185-23.9	1.04 (0.98 - 1.09)	卢		1.01 (0.95 - 1.06)			1.06(1.01-1.12)	6	
≥24	1.14(1.08-1.20)			1.05(1.00 - 1.12)			1.12(1.06-1.18)		
Smoking			0.988			0.017*			0.076
Yes	1.07 (1.01 - 1.13)			1.02(0.96 - 1.07)			108(102-114)		
No	107(102-112)			101(096-106)			110(104-115)		
Drinking			0.374			0.610		1	0.358
Yes	1.07(1.00-1.14)	i		1.02 (0.96 - 1.09)	<u> </u>		1.10(1.03 - 1.18)	i 📖	
No	107(102-112)			1.01 (0.97 - 1.05)			109(104-113)	1	
Health status			0.933			0.426			0.405
Good/very good	1.06(0.97 - 1.16)	<u> </u>		1.07(0.98 - 1.18)	Ļ		118(108-129)	!	
Fair	1.09(1.03 - 1.15)			1.01 (0.96 - 1.06)			1.07(1.02-1.12)		
Poor/very poor	104(007, 111)			0.00(0.02 1.05)			107(101 115)		

FIGURE 5

Subgroup and interaction analyses of air pollutants (NO₂, O₃, and CO) and NNDSD.

Seo et al. (54) found that CO may increase the risk of GERD based on medical utilization data from the National Health Insurance Institute of Korea. Short-term exposure to CO air pollution was associated with decreased microvascular endothelial function (55). Microvascular dysfunction may have contributed to poor mucosal healing, refractory inflammatory ulcers, and intestinal injury in IBD patients, resulting in diminished vasodilatory capacity and inadequate tissue perfusion (56). CO's high affinity for myoglobin may cause weakness in the lower esophageal sphincter, potentially leading to myasthenia gravis (57).

Our findings underscored the broader public health implications, suggesting that reducing air pollution levels could alleviate the burden of digestive system diseases among adults, particularly in areas with high pollution. Although many epidemiological studies link sustained air pollutant exposure to NNDSD risk, the underlying biological mechanisms remain unclear (58, 59). A biological and epidemiological study will be necessary to elucidate the mechanisms by which air pollution affects cognitive function in the future.

5 Limitations

There are several advantages to our study. Firstly, it is a nationwide study conducted in China, it offers a high level of generalizability. Secondly, this is the first study to investigate the relationship between air pollution exposure and NNDSD. Furthermore, we considered more air pollutants than previous studies, including the rarely considered NO₂. However, we also have some limitations to our study. While we used satellite-based spatio-temporal modeling to estimate air pollution levels, exposure assessments were based on community locations, which may not fully represent individual exposures. Additionally, many individuals were excluded due to missing data on the variables, which may have led to a selection bias. Lastly, the findings may be affected by questionnaire and recall bias among older subjects. A lack of detailed types of NNDSD in this study also prevented a detailed study of life environmental factors on specific subtypes of NNDSD.

6 Conclusion

Our study suggests that chronic exposure to ambient air pollution may increase the prevalence of NNDSD among adults aged 45 and older in China. Particulates and gaseous pollutants like $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} , SO₂, NO₂, O₃, and CO are significantly associated with NNDSD. This underscores the need for targeted air quality policies, which could reduce the NNDSD burden and prevent many cases.

Data availability statement

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found: https://charls.pku.edu.cn.

Ethics statement

In accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, Peking University's Ethics Review Board approved the CHARLS protocol (IRB00001052-11015). Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Author contributions

YK: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Validation, Visualization, Writing original draft, Writing - review & editing. SD: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft. WD: Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft. WY: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. YY: Conceptualization, Data curation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing. LQ: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study was supported by the Guangdong Provincial Medical Science and Technology Research Fund Project (Grant No. B2024202) and the High-Level Talent Research Project of the Affiliated Hospital of Guangdong Medical University (Grant No. GCC20230037).

Acknowledgments

Gratitude is extended to all the staff members involved in the creation of the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1372156/ full#supplementary-material

References

1. Dawra S, Behl P, Srivastava S, Manrai M, Chandra A, Kumar A, et al. Nonneoplastic disorders in an aging gut: concise review. *Egypt J Intern Med.* (2023) 35:7. doi: 10.1186/s43162-023-00189-1

2. Okun SD, Lewin DN. Non-neoplastic pancreatic lesions that may mimic malignancy. Semin Diagn Pathol. (2016) 33:31-42. doi: 10.1053/j.semdp.2015.09.005

3. Peery AF, Crockett SD, Murphy CC, Jensen ET, Kim HP, Egberg MD, et al. Burden and cost of gastrointestinal, liver, and pancreatic diseases in the United States: update 2021. *Gastroenterology*. (2022) 162:621–44. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2021.10.017

 Peng J, Xu H, Tang X. Global inequalities in the burden of digestive diseases from 1990 to 2019: Findings from the global burden of disease study 2019. *Gastroenterology*. (2024) 166:223–224.e1. doi: 10.9734/bpi/dhrni/v3/1533

5. Schmocker RK, Lidor AO. Management of non-neoplastic Gastric Lesions. Surg Clin North Am. (2017) 97:387-403. doi: 10.1016/j.suc.2016.11.011

 Uemura N, Okamoto S, Yamamoto S, Matsumura N, Yamaguchi S, Yamakido M, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* infection and the development of gastric cancer. N Engl J Med. (2001) 345:784–9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa001999

7. Wang R, Li Z, Liu S, Zhang D. Global, regional, and national burden of 10 digestive diseases in 204 countries and territories from 1990 to 2019. *Front Public Health*. (2023) 11:1061453. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1061453

8. Yin P, Brauer M, Cohen AJ, Wang H, Li J, Burnett RT, et al. The effect of air pollution on deaths, disease burden, and life expectancy across China and its provinces, 1990-2017: an analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. *Lancet Planet Health.* (2020) 4:e386–98. doi: 10.1016/S2542-5196(20)30161-3

 GBD. Diabetes and air pollution collaborators. Estimates, trends, and drivers of the global burden of type 2 diabetes attributable to PM2-5 air pollution, 1990-2019: An analysis of data from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet Planet Health*. (2022) 6:e586– e600. doi: 10.1016/S2542-5196(22)00122-X

10. Bowe B, Artimovich E, Xie Y, Yan Y, Cai M, Al-Aly Z. The global and national burden of chronic kidney disease attributable to ambient fine particulate matter air pollution: a modelling study. *BMJ Glob Health*. (2020) 5:e002063. doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2019-002063

11. Safiri S, Carson-Chahhoud K, Noori M, Nejadghaderi SA, Sullman MJM, Ahmadian Heris J, et al. Burden of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and its attributable risk factors in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: results from the global burden of disease study 2019. *BMJ*. (2022) 378:e069679. doi: 10.1136/bmj-2021-069679

12. Turner MC, Krewski D, Diver WR, Pope CA 3rd, Burnett RT, Jerrett M, et al. Ambient air pollution and Cancer mortality in the Cancer prevention study II. *Environ Health Perspect*. (2017) 125:087013. doi: 10.1289/EHP1249

13. Ji Y, Su X, Zhang F, Huang Z, Zhang X, Chen Y, et al. Impacts of short-term air pollution exposure on appendicitis admissions: evidence from one of the most polluted cities in mainland China. *Front Public Health*. (2023) 11:1144310. doi: 10.3389/ fpubh.2023.1144310

14. Dorofeyev A, Dorofeyeva A, Borysov A, Tolstanova G, Borisova T. Gastrointestinal health: changes of intestinal mucosa and microbiota in patients with ulcerative colitis and irritable bowel syndrome from PM(2.5)-polluted regions of Ukraine. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* (2023) 30:7312–24. doi: 10.1007/s11356-022-22710-9

15. Guo B, Huang S, Li S, Han X, Lin H, Li Y, et al. Long-term exposure to ambient PM2.5 and its constituents is associated with MAFLD. *JHEP Report.* (2023) 5:100912. doi: 10.1016/j.jhepr.2023.100912

16. Feng J, Cavallero S, Hsiai T, Li R. Impact of air pollution on intestinal redox lipidome and microbiome. *Free Radic Biol Med.* (2020) 151:99–110. doi: 10.1016/j. freeradbiomed.2019.12.044

17. Mutlu EA, Engen PA, Soberanes S, Urich D, Forsyth CB, Nigdelioglu R, et al. Particulate matter air pollution causes oxidant-mediated increase in gut permeability in mice. *Part Fibre Toxicol.* (2011) 8:19. doi: 10.1186/1743-8977-8-19

18. Bosch AJT, Rohm TV, AlAsfoor S, Low AJY, Baumann Z, Parayil N, et al. Diesel exhaust particle (DEP)-induced glucose intolerance is driven by an intestinal innate immune response and NLRP3 activation in mice. *Part Fibre Toxicol.* (2023) 20:25. doi: 10.1186/s12989-023-00536-8

19. Fuller R, Landrigan PJ, Balakrishnan K, Bathan G, Bose-O'Reilly S, Brauer M, et al. Pollution and health: a progress update. *Lancet Planet Health*. (2022) 6:e535–47. doi: 10.1016/S2542-5196(22)00090-0

20. Guo B, Guo Y, Nima Q, Feng Y, Wang Z, Lu R, et al. Exposure to air pollution is associated with an increased risk of metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease. *J Hepatol.* (2022) 76:518–25. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2021.10.016

21. Zheng Z, Zhang X, Wang J, Dandekar A, Kim H, Qiu Y, et al. Exposure to fine airborne particulate matters induces hepatic fibrosis in murine models. *J Hepatol*. (2015) 63:1397–404. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2015.07.020

22. VoPham T, Kim NJ, Berry K, Mendoza JA, Kaufman JD, Ioannou GN. PM(2.5) air pollution exposure and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in the Nationwide inpatient sample. *Environ Res.* (2022) 213:113611. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2022.113611

23. Gu J, Shi Y, Zhu Y, Chen N, Wang H, Zhang Z, et al. Ambient air pollution and cause-specific risk of hospital admission in China: a nationwide time-series study. *PLoS Med.* (2020) 17:e1003188. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003188

24. Tsai SS, Chiu HF. Yang CY: ambient air pollution and hospital admissions for peptic ulcers in Taipei: a time-stratified case-crossover study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2019) 16:1916. doi: 10.3390/ijerph16111916

25. Beamish LA, Osornio-Vargas AR, Wine E. Air pollution: An environmental factor contributing to intestinal disease. *J Crohns Colitis.* (2011) 5:279–86. doi: 10.1016/j. crohns.2011.02.017

26. Möller W, Häussinger K, Winkler-Heil R, Stahlhofen W, Meyer T, Hofmann W, et al. Mucociliary and long-term particle clearance in the airways of healthy nonsmoker subjects. *J Appl Physiol.* (2004) 97:2200–6. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00970.2003

27. Frey HC, Adams PJ, Adgate JL, Allen GA, Balmes J, Boyle K, et al. The need for a tighter particulate-matter air-quality standard. *N Engl J Med.* (2020) 383:680–3. doi: 10.1056/NEJMsb2011009

28. Mutlu EA, Comba IY, Cho T, Engen PA, Yazıcı C, Soberanes S, et al. Inhalational exposure to particulate matter air pollution alters the composition of the gut microbiome. *Environ Poll.* (1987) 240:817–30. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2018.04.130

29. Maeda T, Miyazono Y, Ito K, Hamada K, Sekine S, Horie T. Oxidative stress and enhanced paracellular permeability in the small intestine of methotrexate-treated rats. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* (2010) 65:1117–23. doi: 10.1007/s00280-009-1119-1

30. Salim SY, Kaplan GG, Madsen KL. Air pollution effects on the gut microbiota: a link between exposure and inflammatory disease. *Gut Microbes*. (2014) 5:215–9. doi: 10.4161/gmic.27251

31. Pope CA 3rd, Bhatnagar A, McCracken JP, Abplanalp W, Conklin DJ, O'Toole T. Exposure to fine particulate air pollution is associated with endothelial injury and systemic inflammation. *Circ Res.* (2016) 119:1204–14. doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.116.309279

32. Zhang Y, Wang S, Zhu J, Li C, Zhang T, Liu H, et al. Effect of atmospheric PM2.5 on expression levels of NF- κ B genes and inflammatory cytokines regulated by NF- κ B in human macrophage. *Inflammation*. (2018) 41:784–94. doi: 10.1007/s10753-018-0732-8

33. Salim SY, Jovel J, Wine E, Kaplan GG, Vincent R, Thiesen A, et al. Exposure to ingested airborne pollutant particulate matter increases mucosal exposure to bacteria and induces early onset of inflammation in neonatal IL-10-deficient mice. *Inflamm Bowel Dis.* (2014) 20:1129–38. doi: 10.1097/MIB.0000000000066

34. van Eeden SF, Tan WC, Suwa T, Mukae H, Terashima T, Fujii T, et al. Cytokines involved in the systemic inflammatory response induced by exposure to particulate matter air pollutants (PM(10)). *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* (2001) 164:826–30. doi: 10.1164/ajrccm.164.5.2010160

35. Ran Z, An Y, Zhou J, Yang J, Zhang Y, Yang J, et al. Subchronic exposure to concentrated ambient PM2.5 perturbs gut and lung microbiota as well as metabolic profiles in mice. *Environ Pollut.* (1987) 272:115987. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2020.115987

36. Ruiz-Lara K, García-Medina S, Galar-Martínez M, Parra-Ortega I, Morales-Balcázar I, Hernández-Rosas NA, et al. The evaluation of liver dysfunction and oxidative stress due to urban environmental pollution in Mexican population related to Madin dam, state of Mexico: a pilot study. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* (2023) 30:6950–64. doi: 10.1007/s11356-022-22724-3

37. Ji W, Cheng Y, Tang S, Gu K, Liao H, Li L, et al. Exposure to ambient air pollution and metabolic dysfunction-associated fatty liver disease: findings from over 2.7 million adults in northwestern China. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* (2024) 272:116109. doi: 10.1016/j. ecoenv.2024.116109

38. Li C, Yu JL, Xu JJ, He YC, Qin KZ, Chen L, et al. Interactive effects of ambient air pollution and sunshine duration on the risk of intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy. *Environ Res.* (2022) 215:114345. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2022.114345

39. Kim JW, Park S, Lim CW, Lee K, Kim B. The role of air pollutants in initiating liver disease. *Toxicol Res.* (2014) 30:65–70. doi: 10.5487/TR.2014.30.2.065

40. Geier A, Dietrich CG, Voigt S, Kim SK, Gerloff T, Kullak-Ublick GA, et al. Effects of proinflammatory cytokines on rat organic anion transporters during toxic liver injury and cholestasis. *Hepatology.* (2003) 38:345–54. doi: 10.1053/jhep.2003.50317

41. Tian L, Qiu H, Sun S, Tsang H, Chan KP, Leung WK. Association between emergency admission for peptic ulcer bleeding and air pollution: a case-crossover analysis in Hong Kong's elderly population. *Lancet Planet Health*. (2017) 1:e74–81. doi: 10.1016/S2542-5196(17)30021-9

42. Li J, He C, Ying J, Hua B, Yang Y, Chen W, et al. Air pollutants, genetic susceptibility, and the risk of incident gastrointestinal diseases: a large prospective cohort study. *Environ Res.* (2024) 247:118182. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2024.118182

43. Li FR, Wu KY, Fan WD, Chen GC, Tian H, Wu XB. Long-term exposure to air pollution and risk of incident inflammatory bowel disease among middle and old aged adults. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* (2022) 242:113835. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2022.113835

44. Bo Y, Lin C, Guo C, Wong M, Huang B, Lau A, et al. Chronic exposure to ambient air pollution and the risk of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a cross-sectional study in Taiwan and Hong Kong. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* (2024) 275:116245. doi: 10.1016/j. ecoenv.2024.116245

45. Hsieh S, Leaderer BP, Feldstein AE, Santoro N, McKay LA, Caprio S, et al. Trafficrelated air pollution associations with cytokeratin-18, a marker of hepatocellular apoptosis, in an overweight and obese paediatric population. *Pediatr Obes.* (2018) 13:342–7. doi: 10.1111/ijpo.12228 46. Ma L, Hu L, Feng X, Wang S. Nitrate and nitrite in health and disease. *Aging Dis.* (2018) 9:938–45. doi: 10.14336/AD.2017.1207

47. Kim KN, Lee H, Kim JH, Jung K, Lim YH, Hong YC. Physical activity- and alcohol-dependent association between air pollution exposure and elevated liver enzyme levels: An elderly panel study. *J Prev Med Public Health*. (2015) 48:151–69. doi: 10.3961/jpmph.15.014

48. Kaplan GG, Tanyingoh D, Dixon E, Johnson M, Wheeler AJ, Myers RP, et al. Ambient ozone concentrations and the risk of perforated and non-perforated appendicitis: a multicity case-crossover study. *Environ Health Perspect.* (2013) 121:939–43. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1206085

49. Kaplan GG, Dixon E, Panaccione R, Fong A, Chen L, Szyszkowicz M, et al. Effect of ambient air pollution on the incidence of appendicitis. *CMAJ*. (2009) 181:591–7. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.082068

50. Himuro H. The effect of ozone on colonic epithelial cells. *Kurume Med J.* (2018) 64:75-81. doi: 10.2739/kurumemedj.MS644002

51. Thompson AM, Zanobetti A, Silverman F, Schwartz J, Coull B, Urch B, et al. Baseline repeated measures from controlled human exposure studies: associations between ambient air pollution exposure and the systemic inflammatory biomarkers IL-6 and fibrinogen. *Environ Health Perspect.* (2010) 118:120–4. doi: 10.1289/ ehp.0900550

52. Bocci V, Valacchi G, Corradeschi F, Fanetti G. Studies on the biological effects of ozone: 8. Effects on the total antioxidant status and on interleukin-8 production. *Mediat Inflamm.* (1998) 7:313–7. doi: 10.1080/09629359890820

53. Xu C, Kan HD, Fan YN, Chen RJ, Liu JH, Li YF, et al. Acute effects of air pollution on enteritis admissions in Xi'an, China. *J Toxicol Environ Health A*. (2016) 79:1183–9. doi: 10.1080/15287394.2016.1227006

54. Seo HS, Hong J, Jung J. Relationship of meteorological factors and air pollutants with medical care utilization for gastroesophageal reflux disease in urban area. *World J Gastroenterol.* (2020) 26:6074–86. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i39.6074

55. Zhang X, Staimer N, Tjoa T, Gillen DL, Schauer JJ, Shafer MM, et al. Associations between microvascular function and short-term exposure to traffic-related air pollution and particulate matter oxidative potential. *Environ Health*. (2016) 15:81. doi: 10.1186/s12940-016-0157-5

56. Britzen-Laurent N, Weidinger C, Stürzl M. Contribution of blood vessel activation, remodeling and barrier function to inflammatory bowel diseases. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2023) 24:5517. doi: 10.3390/ijms24065517

57. Ny L, Alm P, Ekström P, Larsson B, Grundemar L, Andersson KE. Localization and activity of haem oxygenase and functional effects of carbon monoxide in the feline lower oesophageal sphincter. *Br J Pharmacol.* (1996) 118:392–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1476-5381.1996. tb15415.x

58. Zhou M, Wang H, Zeng X, Yin P, Zhu J, Chen W, et al. Mortality, morbidity, and risk factors in China and its provinces, 1990-2017: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. *Lancet.* (2019) 394:1145–58. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30427-1

59. GBD. Diseases and injuries collaborators. Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990-2019: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet.* (2020) 396:1204–22. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9