Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Xiaozhen Lai, Peking University, China

REVIEWED BY Jeffrey Hoch, University of California, Davis, United States Suzan Abdel-Rahman, Cairo University, Egypt Lingli Zhang, China Pharmaceutical University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Song Yan ⊠ yolanda_song@sina.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 11 January 2024 ACCEPTED 23 December 2024 PUBLISHED 07 January 2025

CITATION

Sun Y, Qiang Y, Duan Y and Song Y (2025) Impact of the National Drug Price Negotiation policy on the price, usage, and affordability of anticancer medicines in Shandong Province, China. Front. Public Health 12:1368718

doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1368718

COPYRIGHT

© 2025 Sun, Qiang, Duan and Song. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Impact of the National Drug Price Negotiation policy on the price, usage, and affordability of anticancer medicines in Shandong Province, China

Yaqun Sun^{1†}, Yan Qiang^{1†}, Yongxuan Duan² and Yan Song^{1,2}*

¹School of Health Care Security, Shandong First Medical University & Shandong Academy of Medical Sciences, Jinan, China, ²Shandong Institute of Medical and Health Information, Jinan, China

Objective: In order to reduce the price and increase the accessibility of innovative medicines, China has implemented the National Drug Price Negotiation (NDPN) since 2016. Anticancer drug is the largest category of NDPN and the number continue to increase. This study evaluated the impact of this policy on the price, utilization rate and affordability of anticancer drugs based on the experiences of Shandong province.

Methods: 25 anticancer drugs were included in this study involved the NDPN in the year 2018 and 2019. Data on prices and utilization of the policy related drugs from 2017 to 2022 were collected from Shandong Province, using an adaptation of the WHO/HAI methodology. Prices were measured as Median Price Ratio (MPR). Usage was measured as Defined Daily Doses (DDDs). Affordability was measured as days of daily per capita disposable income required for the cost of 1 month's treatment. The Mann–Whitney U test was used to estimate the significance of the difference in the change in the MPRs before and after the negotiation.

Results: The data of this study come from 42 key monitoring medical institutions in Shandong Province, including 31 tertiary medical institutions and 11 secondary medical institutions. There has been a significant reduction in the MPR following NDPN, with a median MPR of 0.57 in 2022, and the prices of anticancer medicines were generally lower than IPR. During the period from 2017 to 2022, the total usage of the 25 negotiated medicines continued to rise. With the implementation of negotiation policy, the average number of days of disposable income per capita required for 1 month of medicine costs changed from 104 days to 36 days and 256 days to 80 days for urban and rural residents, respectively. The affordable proportion of anticancer medicines is still not high.

Conclusion: The NDPN policy has reduced the prices of anticancer drugs and greatly improved their affordability. More attention should be paid to improve the affordability to the rural and the poor patients. It is essential to encourage the research and development of high-quality generic drugs to strengthen reasonable market competition, as well as improve the multi-tiered medical security system.

KEYWORDS

National Drug Price Negotiation, anticancer drugs, drug prices, affordability, China

10.3389/fpubh.2024.1368718

1 Introduction

Cancer has become one of the major diseases endangering human health and the leading cause of death worldwide. The World Health Organization estimated in 2019 that the number of cancer cases is the primary or secondary cause of death in 112 out of 183 countries (1) and the number of cancer cases worldwide will increase to 28.4 million by 2040 (2). China's malignant tumor incidence and mortality rates are 24 and 30% of the global rate respectively, and its age-standardized incidence and mortality rates are higher than the global average (3). Given the unprecedented rate of population aging and the increasing incidence of cancer, the demand for anticancer drugs will continue to increase in the future. Innovative drug treatments and quality medical care have improved patient prognosis to some extent. However, they also result in high medical expenses, and the burden of medication on patients has always been a serious problem. Studies have shown that medicine costs account for 70% of total medical expenditures among cancer patients receiving active treatment (4). Most cancer patients in developed and developing countries have suffered financial toxicity: subjective psychological stress and objective financial burden (5-7), and at least since 2000, cancer patients' financial problems have escalated much faster than monetary inflation (8). Although targeted cancer drugs have good clinical benefits, their high price has raised concerns and many patients cannot afford targeted anticancer drugs (9). Since patients with malignancies require long-term treatment or even lifelong medication, the financial hardship caused by medicine expenses can last from the beginning of the active treatment period until long after treatment is completed.

Research has shown that the Chinese government has been committed to providing equitable and quality healthcare in recent years, and promoting innovative development in the domestic pharmaceutical industry (10). In order to lower drug prices, promote the availability of innovative anticancer drugs and reduce the burden on patients, the Chinese government has actively explored and formulated a National Drug Price Negotiation (NDPN) policy. That is, the medical insurance agency negotiates with representatives of relevant pharmaceutical companies to determine drug prices and payment standards so that the drugs can be included in the National Reimbursement Drug List (NRDL) at comparatively low prices. After the negotiations, the provinces should make the negotiation results public on the Internet in a timely manner. Patients can reduce their drug burden to a certain extent by purchasing drugs at the reimbursed price. To ensure the use of negotiated drugs, public hospitals must purchase drugs via the provincial drug procurement platform and the price of drugs cannot exceed the negotiated price (11). A significant motivation for pharmaceutical companies to engage in negotiations is the potential for rapid expansion in market share following the inclusion of their drugs on the national reimbursement drug list. This shift could facilitate a transition from profit maximization through high prices to a more lucrative strategy based on high sales volumes.

The policy of drug price negotiation can be traced back as early as 2009. The State Council of China launched a healthcare reform scheme in March 2009, in which it proposed the establishment of a drug negotiation mechanism to strengthen the role of medical insurance as a constraint on drug costs (12). In 2016, the National Health Commission, National Development and Reform Commission, and the Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security initiated

the first-round national-level drug price and NRDL access negotiation, successfully negotiating three drugs: Tenofovir for chronic hepatitis B, Gefitinib and Icotinib for lung cancer; the prices of these three drugs were reduced by more than 50% (13). In 2017, the Ministry of Human Resources and Social Security conducted the second round of negotiation, and 36 drugs were included in the list, which included 18 anticancer drugs (15 Western drugs and 3 Chinese drugs). In 2018, the National Healthcare Security Administration (NHSA) was established and held the third round of special talks on anticancer drugs, with 17 anticancer drugs included in the catalog. Since then, the NHSA has regularly conducted national drug price negotiations, and now that the 7th negotiation has been successfully concluded, the agreement period has been enriched with anticancer medicines year by year, and new anticancer drugs represented by small-molecule targeted drugs and large-molecule monoclonal antibody drugs have been occupying a large proportion (14). The level of medicine coverage for Chinese oncology patients is gradually improving.

Most previous studies have focused on assessing the impact of the first two rounds of national drug price negotiations (15–17). One study found that the first round of negotiations reduced the prices of cancer drugs and increased hospital purchases, but only two drugs were included and there was no analysis of drug affordability (15). Another study found that the usage and affordability of anticancer drugs had increased in 11 provinces after the second round of negotiation. However, the study investigated only three drugs, and even among those, only some experienced marginal improvements in their availability (16). In addition, research in Nanjing also found that the second round of negotiation increased the utilization of 15 drugs and reduced the burden on patients. However, this study was only carried out in one city in China with a high level of economic development and medical treatment, so the results are not universal (17).

Additionally, the NHSA established in 2018, has the function of formulating rules for access to the health insurance list, organizing and implementing national drug price negotiations, and supervising the procurement of drugs (18). The impact of drug price negotiations organized in a centrally managed manner may differ from the first two rounds of negotiations. Therefore, the objective of this study was to analyze the impact of NDPN on the price, usage, and affordability of anticancer medicines negotiated in 2018 and 2019, evaluate the effectiveness of policy implementation and inform subsequent research.

2 Data and methods

2.1 Study setting

Shandong Province, located on the eastern coast of China, has a population of over 100 million, and the population aged 60 and above accounts for more than 20%. It is one of the provinces with the highest cancer prevalence rates in China. Malignant tumors such as lung cancer, gastric cancer and liver cancers are the most common cancer types in Shandong Province. Shandong province's gross provincial product in 2022 was RMB 87,435.1 billion (18), ranking third among 31 provinces in mainland China. In order to reduce the burden of patients' medication, Shandong Province is one of the first provinces in China to focus on the health care security of anticancer drugs. As

early as 2016, Shandong began to explore provincial-level drug price negotiation, and included 18 kinds of drugs in the scope of major illness insurance payment, among which 15 kinds were anticancer drugs, accounting for a very high proportion (19). Shandong Province has always actively followed up and implemented the NDPN policy, and clearly announced that all the anticancer drugs involved in the national negotiations will be included in the medical insurance catalog (20), which effectively guaranteed the stable supply and rational use of the negotiated anticancer drugs. In addition, the Shandong Provincial Health Insurance Bureau also proposed implementing province-wide special centralized procurement of more than 100 types of commonly used anticancer drugs to reduce the prices of anticancer drugs in 2018 (21). Given its developed economy, large population, and early initiatives for anticancer medicine security, Shandong could serve as a prominent case study.

2.2 Drug selection

Anticancer drugs negotiated by the national health insurance in 2018 and 2019 were selected for this research. A total of 17 anticancer drugs were included in the special negotiation of anticancer drugs in 2018, and 9 new anticancer drugs were included in the 2019 national negotiation. The study identified 25 anticancer drugs (17 in 2018 and 8 in 2019) after removing proprietary Chinese drugs with no usage data. Please refer to Table 1 for a detailed list of these drugs.

2.3 Data collection

The data for this study is derived from the PDB Drug Comprehensive Database, which is under the supervision of the PRC Ministry of Industry and Information Technology (MIIT). The database covers a wide range of drug sales and utilization data from hospitals in major cities in China, making it the most extensive and highly authoritative database in China. As the database with the largest amount of information, the widest coverage and the most convenient use in China's pharmaceutical industry, it includes information on drug research and development, production, sales and marketing, and has been widely and deeply applied in all kinds of researches. We extracted drug procurement data from the database of key monitoring hospitals in Shandong Province (It contains 42 medical institutions, of which 31 are tertiary medical institutions and 11 are secondary medical institutions.), including the names, manufacturers, consumption sum, consumption quantity, dosage forms, specifications and other information of 25 national anticancer drugs from 2017 to 2022. In order to facilitate statistical analysis, we used the defined daily dose (DDD) to standardize drugs with different specifications and dosage forms. The data of urban and rural per capita disposable income in Shandong Province comes from "Shandong Province Statistical Yearbook (2017, 2022)."

2.4 Data analysis

Focusing on the price, availability and affordability of drugs, the World Health Organization (WHO) and the International Health Action Organization (HAI) have formulated the selection methods for investigating drugs and institutions, and determined the evaluation methods such as the median price ratio, the availability rate, and the number of days when the treatment cost of a single course of drugs is equivalent to the minimum wage of government unskilled workers. This study mainly refers to standard WHO/HAI methodology to analyze the price, consumption and affordability of anticancer drugs in Shandong Province. This method is scientific and reliable after professional research and verification. At present, this method has been applied in the study of drug availability and affordability in many countries and regions (22).

2.4.1 Price

Following the WHO/HAI methodology, medicine prices were compared with international reference prices (IRPs) to obtain a median price ratio (MPR). MPR is an important indicator to evaluate the price level of medicines in the survey area, which is an expression of how much greater or less the local medicine price is compared to the IRP (23). The MPR is calculated as follows (24):

Median Price Ratio (MPR) = $\frac{\text{median local unit price}}{\text{international reference unit price}}$

MPR = 1 is usually set as the threshold value, and if MPR < 1, it means that the price of the drug in China is lower than the International Reference Price (IRP). The WHO/HAI methodology recommends using the International Medical Products Price Guide published by the Management Science for Health (MSH) as the International Reference Price (IRP). The methodology also points out that if the research needs to choose another set of reference prices, it can consider the price of New Zealand Drug Administration (PHARMACY) or the price of Australian Drug Welfare Program (PBS). In this study, as only a single anticancer drug was featured in the International Medical Products Price Guide, we consistently utilized the price of this drug listed in the Pharmaceutical Benefits Scheme (PBS) as the international reference price (25, 26). In addition, since our prices came from the hospitals and were not reimbursement prices, we adopted the "dispensed price for maximum quantity" (DPMQ) of PBS as reference prices. At the same time, the conversion between RMB and Australian dollar was also carried out. Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) can more aptly reflect the actual difference between the purchasing power of the two countries, which is less volatile and relatively stable, so this study chooses the PPP value for conversion (27). In this study, the PPP conversion factor of private consumption calculated by the World Bank was used to convert the RMB price and PSB of anticancer drugs according to the purchasing power parity conversion factor, and the conversion calculation method was the same as the exchange rate conversion method. Since the pre-negotiation prices are for 2018 and 2019 drugs and the post-negotiation prices are for 2022 prices, which also need to be taken into account in calculating the MPR through inflation/deflation, we have adjusted all prices uniformly to 2023 levels. Referring to the method of drug price conversion provided by the WHO, we used the Consumer Price Index (CPI) as the conversion factor to adjust the prices uniformly. According to China's National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), the consumer price index (CPI) is 102 in 2022 (compared to 100 in the previous year) (28).

	Generic name	Launch time in China Time of entry into the NRDL		Indications listed in NRDL
1	Afatinib	2017	2018.10	Non-small cell lung cancer
2	Alectinib	2018	2019.12	Non-small cell lung cancer
3	Axitinib	2015	2018.10	Renal cell carcinoma
4	Azacitidine	2018	2018.10	Myelodysplastic syndrome / chronic myelomonocytic leukemia / acute myeloid leukemia
5	Anlotinib	2018	2018.10	Non-small cell lung cancer
6	Olaparib	2018	2019.12	Recurrent epithelial ovarian cancer / fallopian tube cancer / primary peritoneal cancer
7	Octreotide	1994	2018.10	Gastrointestinal pancreatic endocrine tumor
8	Osimertinib	2017	2018.10	Liver cancer
9	Pyrotinib	2018	2019.12	breast cancer
10	Fruquintinib	2018	2019.12	Colorectal cancer
11	Crizotinib	2013	2018.10	Non-small cell lung cancer
12	Raltitrexed	2010	2019.12	Colorectal cancer
13	Ruxolitinib	2017	2019.12	Myelofibrosis
14	Nilotinib	2009	2018.10	Chronic myeloid leukemia
15	Pertuzumab	2018	2019.12	breast cancer
16	Pegaspargas	2009	2018.10	Childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia
17	Pazopanib	2017	2018.10	Renal cell carcinoma
18	Regorafenib	2017	2018.10	Liver cancer / colorectal cancer / gastrointestinal stromal tumor
19	Ceritinib	2018	2018.10	Non-small cell lung cancer
20	Sunitinib	2007	2018.10	Renal cell carcinoma / gastrointestinal stromal tumor / pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor
21	Vemurafenib	2017	2018.10	Melanoma
22	Cetuximab	2011	2018.10	Colorectal cancer
23	Sintilimab	2018	2019.12	Hodgkin's lymphoma
24	Ibrutinib	2017	2018.10	Mantle cell lymphoma / chronic lymphocytic leukemia / small lymphocytic lymphoma
25	Ixazomib	2018	2018.10	Multiple myeloma

TABLE 1 Information of 22 kinds of national negotiation anticancer medicines.

Formula of inflation factor: IF = $\left[1 + \left(\frac{CPI_i - CPI_j}{CPI_i}\right)\right]$, I and j

Formula of price conversion: $P_i = P_i \times IF$.

2.4.2 Laspeyres Price Index

The Laspeyres Price Index was put forward by German economist Etienne Laspeyres in 1864 (29). The index is calculated as the ratio of the current price to the base period price using the base period quantity (Q0) as the weight, which can reflect the change of the drug price fixed in the base period. The calculation formula is as follows:

$$L_{p} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum P_{1}Q_{0}}{\sum P_{0}Q_{0}}}$$

2.4.3 Usage

Expressed as the Defined Daily Doses (DDDs) of drug use, larger DDDs indicate a greater tendency for the usage of the drug in practice, the calculation formula of DDDs is:

Defined Daily Doses
$$(DDDs) = \frac{\text{Total drug dosage}}{DDD \text{ value of the drug}}$$

Defined Daily Dose (DDD) values were obtained from the official website of the WHO Collaborating Center for Drug Statistics

Methodology (WHOCC) (30); for drugs without a DDD value assigned by the WHOCC, the usual daily dose for adults as specified by the National Medical Products Administration (NMPA) in the drug's instructions will prevail. Moreover, anticancer medicines administered based on the patient's weight or surface area are partially calculated assuming a reference adult weight of 70 kg and a body surface area of 1.7 m^2 (31).

2.4.4 Affordability

The affordability assessment in the WHO/HAI standardized approach was based on the minimum daily wage of an un-skilled government worker (LPGW) and calculates the number of days that the cost of a prescribed course of treatment for a drug for a disease is equivalent to the minimum daily wage of an LPGW. Since there is a lack of official data on the LPGW minimum daily wage in China, this paper uses disposable income per person per day for urban and rural residents as an alternative (32, 33). Based on available studies, affordability in this paper was calculated as the number of days of disposable income per capita needed to cover the cost of a one-month (30-day) course of each anticancer medicine (34, 35). A drug is considered affordable when the cost of the one-month drug course is less than the average daily per capita disposable income of urban and rural residents. Since anticancer medicines are costly and require long-term treatment, so that the affordability results calculated in this way all exceed 1 day's disposable income per capita. Therefore, this study also incorporates Rasha Khatib et al.'s method: a month of treatment is considered affordable if it costs less than 20% of the household's ability to pay (36), which has been applied in previous studies (37, 38).

Besides, as urban residents have higher incomes than rural residents, this study collected data on per capita disposable income and average monthly household income separately for each category. Since we used the per capita disposable income of urban and rural residents, only the basic medical insurance for urban and rural residents was considered. The design and benefits of basic medical insurance for urban and rural residents may vary among cities in Shandong Province, so we use the average reimbursement rate for hospital costs as a substitute to estimate the actual affordability level of anticancer medicines in Shandong Province.

In 2022, the average reimbursement ratio of hospitalization expenses for basic medical insurance for urban and rural residents reached 65.90% (39). Since the reimbursement categories of all 25 medicines included in the study belong to Medicare Category B medicines (medicines that are available for clinical treatment options, have good efficacy, and are more expensive than Category A medicines in their list). Shandong Provincial Healthcare Security Administration stipulates that the proportion of patients who need to pay out-of-pocket for Medicare Category B medicines should not exceed 20% in principle (40), from the current documents issued by various local cities, the ratio is between 10 and 20%. In summary, this paper set a 15% individual out-of-pocket payment ratio for patients, and then calculated the cost of drugs at a reimbursement rate of 65.90%.

SPSS 25.0 was used for statistical analysis and non-parametric test (Mann–Whitney U test) was used to analyze whether there was a difference in MPR values of medicines before and after negotiation, and descriptive statistics were used to summarize the characteristics of DDDs and afford ability, with the statistical significance level set at p < 0.05.

3 Results

3.1 Price

3.1.1 Price changes of 25 drugs before and after negotiations

Since the NHPA stipulates that the drugs negotiated in 2018 and 2019 have to be made public on the centralized drug procurement platform by the end of October 2018 and by the end of December 2019, respectively. Therefore, the pre-negotiation period for drugs successfully negotiated in 2018 was set as October 2017 to October 2018. The pre-negotiation period for 2019 is set for the current year, and the price after negotiation will be based on the drug price in 2022.

Prior to the negotiations, the unit prices of 25 anticancer drugs ranged from CNY 294.93 (USD 73.91) to CNY 18,877.25 (USD 4,638.37). After negotiations, the price ranged from CNY 53.79 (USD 13.48) to CNY 7,224.52 (USD 1,810.66). The median price of drugs before and after the negotiations was CNY 1725.49 (USD 432.45) and CNY 196.84 (USD 49.33) respectively, with a significant reduction in drug prices. After negotiations, there are still six anticancer medicines priced at more than CNY 1,000. Respectively Octreotide 20 mg/30 mg (CNY 5301.69/ USD 1328.74、CNY 7224.52/ USD 1810.66), Pertuzumab (CNY 4960.28/ USD 1243.18), Ixazomib 3 mg/4 mg (CNY 3978.14/ USD 997.03、CNY 4937.15/ USD 1237.38), Pegaspargase (CNY 2982.20/ USD 747.42), Cetuximab (CNY 1166.03/ USD 292.24) and Sintilimab (CNY 1081.03/ USD 270.93). See Table 2 for details.

The MPRs of the included drugs were obtained by comparing the prices of nationally negotiated anticancer drugs with IRP. The MPR range of the 8 anticancer medicines before the negotiation was 0.45–2.96, with a median of 2.23. Six anticancer medicines are priced significantly higher than international reference prices, after negotiations, the MPR range is 0.19–1.98, with a median of 0.57, among them, 17 medicines have MPR <1, accounting for 80.95%, and 4 drugs have MPR >1, accounting for 19.05%. The Mann–Whitney U test yielded that there was a significant difference in the MPR values before and after negotiation, and the post-negotiation price was significantly lower than the pre-negotiation price (p < 0.01).

3.1.2 Changes in the price index of drugs in different negotiation batches

Overall, the price index curves for anticancer drugs negotiated in both 2018 and 2019 show a substantial decline, stabilizing around the time of the decline. The negotiation time for anticancer drugs in 2018 and the time it was stipulated to be included in the medical insurance catalog are June and October 2018, respectively. As shown in Figure 1, the drug price index showed a significant decline during this period. The negotiation time for anticancer drugs in 2019 and the time it was stipulated to be included in the medical insurance catalog are November and December 2019, respectively. It can be seen that the price index curve almost experienced a sharp decline in that quarter, with the post-negotiation price index falling by 62% compared to the pre-negotiation period (Figure 2).

TABLE 2 General information and MPR of 25 anticancer medicines.

Generic	Dosage form	Drug Specifications	Median Unit	t price(CNY)	MPR		
name			Pre- negotiation	Post- negotiation	Pre- negotiation	Post- negotiation	
	Tablets	30 mg	NA	160.66	NA	0.32	
Afatinib		40 mg	NA	200.22	NA	0.40	
Alectinib	Capsules	150 mg	NA	63.30	NA	0.11	
Axitinib	Tablets	5 mg	NA	196.84	NA	0.23	
Azacitidine	Injections	100 mg	NA	950.13	NA	0.35	
Anlotinib		8 mg	NA	215.17	NA	NA	
	Capsules	10 mg	NA	255.26	NA	NA	
		12 mg	NA	293.50	NA	NA	
Olaparib	Tablets	150 mg	NA	102.10	NA	0.30	
	Microsphere	20 mg	9649.00	5301.69	2.96	1.66	
Octreotide	injections	30 mg	10770.00	7224.52	2.89	1.98	
Osimertinib	Tablets	80 mg	1760.00	186.19	2.23	0.24	
Pyrotinib	Tablets	80 mg	NA	71.45	NA	NA	
	Capsules	1 mg	NA	89.87	NA	NA	
Fruquintinib		5 mg	NA	359.69	NA	NA	
Crimetinik	Capsules	200 mg	NA	192.97	NA	0.57	
Crizotinib		250 mg	890.42	229.03	2.60	0.68	
Raltitrexed	Injections	2 mg	1504.98	666.63	0.45	0.20	
Ruxolitinib	Tablets	5 mg	112.67	53.79	NA	NA	
Nilotinik	Capsules	150 mg	NA	73.82	NA	0.79	
Nilotinib		200 mg	300.83	92.02	2.40	0.75	
Pertuzumab	Injections	420 mg:14 mL	18877.25	4960.28	1.07	0.29	
Pegaspargas	Injections	2 mL:1500 IU	3570.00	2982.20	NA	NA	
Pazopanib	Tablets	200 mg	NA	160.16	NA	1.54	
Regorafenib	Tablets	40 mg	306.00	172.65	NA	NA	
Ceritinib	Capsules	150 mg	NA	136.14	NA	0.34	
Sunitinib	Capsules	12.5 mg	NA	155.16	NA	1.85	
Vemurafenib	Tablets	240 mg	NA	89.77	NA	0.26	
Cetuximab	Injections	20 mL:100 mg	3463.17	1166.03	0.69	0.24	
Sintilimab	Injections	10 mL:100 mg	7870.17	1081.03	NA	NA	
Ibrutinib	Capsules	140 mg	540.00	169.17	1.97	0.63	
T -1		3 mg	NA	3978.14	NA	NA	
Ixazomib	Capsules	4 mg	NA	4937.15	NA	NA	

NA, Not available.

3.2 Usage

3.2.1 Use of anticancer medicines for different indications

From 2017 to 2022, the highest total usage was for multiindication anticancer drugs. Lower use of drugs with indications of Melanoma, Multiple myeloma, Renal cell carcinoma, Gastrointestinal pancreatic endocrine tumor and leukemia, the usage of the above five categories accounted for less than 5% of the total usage in 2022. The use of drugs under all indications has shown a year-on-year increase, with large variations in the use of anticancer drugs under different indications (Figure 3).

3.2.2 Changes in the use of 25 anticancer medicines

This study was conducted to analyze the use of anticancer drugs based on the hospital medicine usage from 2017 to 2022. Among the 25 kinds of anticancer drugs, the usage of 15 kinds of drugs showed a continuous rising trend, among which the highest average annual growth rate was 1011.26% for Sintilimab and the smallest was 8.20%





for Pegaspargas. The use of 10 other drugs showed a trend of increasing and then decreasing, the largest decrease from 2021 to 2022 is 44.72% for Crizotinib and the smallest is 0.91% for Afatinib. Raltitrexed is the only one of the 25 anticancer drugs whose use continued to decline after the negotiations, with a 19.05% reduction.

From the perspective of the total drug use, after the drug price negotiation, the total amount of anticancer drugs used in hospitals in Shandong Province showed a continuous upward trend, with an average annual growth rate of 59.62% in 6 years, but the growth rate of drug use in 2022 was slower than that in 2020 and 2021 (Figure 4).

3.3 Affordability

Table 3 details the number of days of disposable income per capita needed to cover 1 month's drug costs for 25 nationally negotiated anticancer drugs. Before the negotiations, the monthly treatment costs of anticancer drugs were equivalent to the per capita disposable income of urban residents for 20 (Raltitrexed) to 233 (Crizotinib) days, respectively and 48 (Raltitrexed) to 567 (Crizotinib) days of disposable income per capita for rural residents. The average affordability for urban and rural residents is 104 and 256 days of per capita disposable income, respectively.

After the negotiations, the cost of a month's worth of drugs is calculated by using the resident's personal out-of-pocket payment of 15% and reimbursement of the remaining costs at 65.90%. Affordability ranged from 6 (Azacitidine) to 77 days (Axitinib) for urban residents and 15 (Azacitidine) to 188 days (Axitinib) for rural

residents. The average affordability for urban and rural residents is 36 and 88 days of per capita disposable income, respectively. Based on the monthly cost of drugs as 20% of average monthly household income, three drugs were affordable to urban residents, accounting for 12.00% of the total number of drugs studied. Rural residents can afford only one drug (Tables 4, 5).

4 Discussion

In this study, the MPR was used to analyze the prices of nationally negotiated anticancer drugs in Shandong Province. Compared to 2017, the MPR range for 25 anticancer drugs in 2022 is 0.19-1.98, with a median decrease from 2.23 to 0.57, anticancer drug prices are mostly lower than IRPs. The NHSA released information that the prices of drugs that passed negotiations in 2018 and 2019 were reduced by an average of 56.7 and 60.7%, respectively, compared with the pre-negotiation market retail prices (41), which is consistent with the results of this study. It shows that the drug price negotiation policy organized by China's NHSA has achieved good results. A survey in Nanjing City found that after negotiations, the price reduction rate of innovative anticancer drugs was between 34 and 65% (17), another study counted the price reductions in the first five batches of negotiated drugs and found that all five batches had price reductions of more than 44 percent (42). For cancer patients, lower prices of anticancer drugs can appropriately reduce the financial pressure on families, avoid treatment interruptions or changes in treatment programs for financial reasons.

From 2017 to 2021, the use of all drugs except Retitraxel increased continuously, but by 2022, the use of 10 drugs declined, and the growth rate of the total use of anticancer drugs in negotiations slowed down significantly, which was the lowest year since the negotiations. The incidence of cancer is on the rise, the price of anticancer drugs is falling, and the number of drugs in the catalog is increasing, while the growth rate of drug use in hospitals is slowing down instead. This may be caused by the influence of the "dual channel" policy.

In May 2021, in order to meet the needs of patients and improve the availability of negotiated drugs, the NHSA issued guidelines for establishing and improving the "Dual Channel" management of nationally negotiated drugs (43). The "Dual Channel" refers to two channels: designated medical institutions and designated retail pharmacies. The NHSA clearly stipulates those drugs with high clinical value, urgent needs of patients and low availability among the negotiated drugs will be included in the "Dual Channel" management in a timely manner, i.e., such drugs are subject to uniform payment standards in medical institutions and pharmacies. We should give full play to the advantages of widely distributed retail pharmacies and flexible services, and complement each other with designated medical institutions to better ensure the supply of negotiated drugs. Therefore, changes in drug utilization in 2022 may be related to the replenishment of sentinel retail pharmacies. In a study in Guizhou Province, China, a significant increase in prescription volume was found after the implementation of "Dual Channel" management, among them, the prescriptions transferred out of hospital accounted for 56.53% of the total prescriptions (44).

In terms of affordability of drugs, prior to the negotiations, the average affordability of urban and rural residents was 78 and 190 days of per capita disposable income required for monthly treatment costs,





respectively. After the negotiations, the average affordability for urban and rural residents is 36 and 88 days of disposable income per capita, respectively. The NDPN policy has significantly improved the affordability of drugs for urban and rural residents. Previous studies have had similar results, in a survey of 29 provinces in mainland China, it was found that the daily cost of patients using the first negotiated cancer drug dropped by more than 50 percent (14), in 2018, the cumulative reimbursement amount of 17 anticancer medicines in 5 sample cities reached 378 million yuan (45), which improved financial pressure on patients and increased affordability of medicines. However, the monthly treatment costs were still found far exceeded the per capita disposable income for one day. Since innovative anticancer medicines were originally priced at a high level, so the substantial increase in the average affordability of urban and rural residents after the drug price reduction can illustrate the superiority of the NDPN, particularly instrumental in reducing drug prices. However, based on the cost of drugs at 20% of average monthly household income, after the negotiation, urban residents can afford three medications and rural residents can only afford one, which shows that anticancer medicines are more likely to bring economic burden than other diseases. About half of all cancer patients in China have borrowed money or are in debt due to their illness, and younger cancer survivors experience more severe material and financial difficulties compared to older patients (46).

The reason for this phenomenon may be due to the high royalties of most of the negotiated medications and the existence of certain tariffs and VAT on the introduction of foreign drugs into the country. Furthermore, China has a large population with a high incidence of malignant tumors and a high demand for anticancer drugs, the combination of the market price theory and the monopolistic nature of the manufacturers makes the prices of drugs is still high even after negotiation (47). It is also possible that the negotiations were not strong enough because the government did not have enough access to information before the negotiations or there were fewer manufacturers participating in the bidding to accurately predict the actual cost of drugs (48). Therefore, it is necessary to encourage domestic manufacturers to develop new drugs or high-quality generic drugs to

Generic name	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Afatinib	NA	474.25	18104.75	33291.75	46109.00	45689.00
Alectinib	NA	NA	NA	10276.00	19287.00	19736.75
Axitinib	70.00	224.00	6669.00	9759.70	15091.60	20679.00
Azacitidine	NA	2438.22	106342.67	239341.02	349456.34	467413.51
Anlotinib	NA	1264.67	74375.67	104346.33	142122.50	136842.33
Olaparib	NA	NA	NA	6051.75	12497.25	17108.00
Octreotide	1676.06	2028.57	15628.57	36528.57	64171.43	69585.71
Osimertinib	30.00	1170.00	53168.00	90154.00	215743.00	335604.00
Pyrotinib	NA	NA	NA	7968.40	14836.60	15279.80
Fruquintinib	NA	NA	NA	2838.40	10382.60	11528.20
Crizotinib	120.00	570.00	14664.00	16024.50	16374.50	9052.60
Raltitrexed	100975.00	193275.00	209741.67	236308.33	233333.33	191300.00
Ruxolitinib	NA	NA	20.00	7118.00	10663.67	13053.33
Nilotinib	640.00	1040.00	15664.00	24942.83	34541.08	34079.58
Pertuzumab	NA	NA	462.00	53676.00	113946.00	120897.00
Pegaspargas(IU)	19801.86	20258.92	24261.29	28461.31	29140.73	29375.43
Pazopanib	NA	75.00	2402.50	8621.75	9820.50	10309.50
Regorafenib	NA	298.67	15641.33	24635.67	29614.33	32998.00
Ceritinib	NA	166.67	1439.00	2558.33	3062.33	7731.67
Sunitinib	238.00	84.00	8259.00	12197.00	13108.75	11854.25
Vemurafenib	NA	56.00	1040.13	913.38	702.88	351.50
Cetuximab	3989.46	2706.31	23450.83	25121.07	32637.13	14786.69
Sintilimab	NA	NA	168.07	55661.76	112815.13	230640.76
Ibrutinib	NA	630.00	11454.50	14268.00	16718.50	9579.25
Ixazomib	NA	242.11	6819.30	13011.93	22617.54	17891.23

TABLE 3 Usage of 25 types of anticancer medicines (mg).

form an effective market competition, using the market to regulate drug prices is an effective way to reduce the burden of drug use on patients, and also to develop incentive mechanisms for anticancer medicine R&D and actively promote the review of innovative anticancer drugs and generic drugs.

The financial burden associated with the use of anticancer drugs is not only the price factor of the drugs themselves, but also the treatment time and social factors that cannot be ignored. Unlike most chronic diseases, oncology diseases have long treatment cycles and patients often need to take medication for a long time or even for life. Therefore, even if the price of drugs drops significantly after negotiations, most patients are still prone to financial problems after a long period of medicine use. In addition, some patients are incapacitated because of their illness. Occurrence of poverty due to illness, it can further exacerbate the disease burden. Among the BRICS countries, China's productivity loss due to premature deaths due to cancer stands at \$28 billion, the highest among the five countries (49).

Furthermore, a large difference was found in the average affordability of urban and rural residents in Shandong Province, which may be related to the large income gap between urban and rural residents. Taking the 2022 Shandong Province data as an example, the per capita disposable income of urban residents is more than twice that of rural residents. Not only that, due to the unbalanced socioeconomic development between urban and rural areas in China, the socioeconomic status of middle-aged and older adult people in rural areas is more vulnerable than in urban areas, and the high medical expenses are more likely to impoverish them (50). Although the policy of universal coverage of basic medical insurance was implemented in China in 2016, there are still large disparities in medical insurance reimbursement ratios, medical resource allocation, and medical service coverage between different regions and groups, leading to the differentiation of urban and rural medical supply (51).

This study has several limitations: First, since the international drug price guide published by MSH includes only one medication in this study, the Australian PBS price was used as a reference. Australia is a developed country with a high level of economic development, its overall drug price level may be higher than that of China. MPR results from comparisons made in this context may be lower than actual, affecting the accuracy of price estimates. Second, the implementation time of the policy is short, and the data available is small, and only 25 drugs are included by the study date. In addition, for the drugs negotiated by the state, most of them are newly listed innovative drugs or exclusive products, which are different from the price characteristics of commonly used drugs in the market. Limited by the data source, this study did not test the same outcomes but for drugs that were not part of the national drug price negotiations to provide natural

TABLE 4 Affordable days for patients with 25 anticancer medicines.

Generic	DDDc	Total	Health	Pre-negotiation		Post-negotiation	
name		usage per month	insurance catalog	Urban residents	Rural residents	Urban residents	Rural residents
Afatinib	40 mg	1,200 mg	Class B	NA	NA	20	48
Alectinib	1,200 mg	27,600 mg	Class B	NA	NA	38	93
Axitinib	10 mg	600 mg	Class B	NA	NA	77	188
Azacitidine	6.07 mg	170 mg	Class B	NA	NA	6	15
Anlotinib	12 mg	276 mg	Class B	NA	NA	22	54
Olaparib	600 mg	18,000 mg	Class B	NA	NA	40	98
Octreotide	0.7 mg	21 mg	Class B	31	77	17	42
Osimertinib	80 mg	2,400 mg	Class B	172	421	18	45
Pyrotinib	400 mg	12,000 mg	Class B	NA	NA	35	85
Fruquintinib	5 mg	115 mg	Class B	NA	NA	27	66
Crizotinib	500 mg	15,000 mg	Class B	174	426	45	110
Raltitrexed	0.24 mg	5.1 mg	Class B	15	36	7	16
Ruxolitinib	30 mg	900 mg	Class B	66	162	32	77
Nilotinib	600 mg	18,000 mg	Class B	88	216	27	66
Pertuzumab	20 mg	420 mg	Class B	61	151	16	40
Pegaspargas	303.57 IU	8,500 IU	Class B	23	57	19	48
Pazopanib	800 mg	24,000 mg	Class B	NA	NA	63	153
Regorafenib	120 mg	2,760 mg	Class B	69	168	39	95
Ceritinib	450 mg	13,500 mg	Class B	NA	NA	40	98
Sunitinib	50 mg	1,400 mg	Class B	NA	NA	57	139
Vemurafenib	1920 mg	57,600 mg	Class B	NA	NA	70	172
Cetuximab	60.71 mg	1700 mg	Class B	NA	NA	65	158
Sintilimab	9.52 mg	200 mg	Class B	NA	NA	7	17
Ibrutinib	560 mg	16,800 mg	Class B	NA	NA	66	162
Ixazomib	0.43 mg	12 mg	Class B	NA	NA	48	118
			^	104	254	36	88

TABLE 5 Proportion of monthly drug costs exceeding 20% of average monthly household income.

	Pre-neg	otiation	Post-negotiation		
	Urban residents	Rural residents	Urban residents	Rural residents	
Number (percentage)	25 (100%)	25 (100%)	22 (88%)	24 (96%)	

comparative. Despite these limitations, the research data in this paper can show that NDPN have effectively lowered drug prices, substantially increased affordability for urban and rural residents, and reduced the economic burden on patients.

5 Conclusion

After the NDPN, the prices of anticancer medicines dropped significantly, and most of them were lower than the IRPs. The use of negotiated drugs in hospitals has been increasing year by year, but at a slower rate. The average affordability of anticancer drugs for both urban and rural residents in Shandong Province increased significantly. However, there are large differences in burden levels between urban and rural residents. After the price reduction and reimbursement, the number of days per capita disposable income required for a month's drug costs was still higher. Therefore, targeted measures should be taken to further improve the affordability of urban and rural residents. First, it is recommended that the research and development of quality generic drugs be encouraged to create reasonable market competition. Second, strengthen government negotiation efforts and conduct market surveys prior to negotiations. Lastly, the allocation of medical resources in rural areas should be optimized, employment should be improved and the income of rural residents should be raised, and assistance to rural patients and poor patients should be strengthened by means of diversified financing. The NDPN policy and the basic medical insurance system have a positive effect, but are insufficient to alter the affordability of anticancer drugs. In the future, efforts should be made to improve the multi-level medical insurance system for cancer patients. More cancer patients can rely on commercial insurance, medical assistance and other financing channels to afford anti-cancer drugs. Commercial medical insurance should be better used as a supplement to the basic plan to improve the coverage of anticancer drugs.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Author contributions

YSu: Writing – original draft. YQ: Writing – original draft. YD: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. YSo: Methodology, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by the Key research topics on medicinal policies in

References

1. Deo SVS, Sharma J, Kumar S. GLOBOCAN 2020 report on global Cancer burden: challenges and opportunities for surgical oncologists. *Ann Surg Oncol.* (2022) 29:6497–500. doi: 10.1245/s10434-022-12151-6

2. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. *CA Cancer J Clin.* (2024) 74:229–63. doi: 10.3322/caac.21834

3. Cao W, Chen HD, Yu YW, Li N, Chen WQ. Changing profiles of cancer burden worldwide and in China: a secondary analysis of the global cancer statistics 2020. *Chin Med J.* (2021) 134:783–91. doi: 10.1097/CM9.00000000001474

4. Newcomer LN. The problem of Cancer drugs costs: a payer perspective. *Cancer J.* (2020) 26:287–91. doi: 10.1097/PPO.00000000000456

5. Qiu Z, Yao L, Jiang J. Financial toxicity assessment and associated factors analysis of patients with cancer in China. *Support Care Cancer*. (2023) 31:264. doi: 10.1007/s00520-023-07714-6

6. Schröder SL, Schumann N, Fink A, Richter M. Coping mechanisms for financial toxicity: a qualitative study of cancer patients' experiences in Germany. *Support Care Cancer*. (2020) 28:1131–9. doi: 10.1007/s00520-019-04915-w

7. Boby JM, Rajappa S, Mathew A. Financial toxicity in cancer care in India: a systematic review. *Lancet Oncol.* (2021) 22:e541–9. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00468-X

8. Collyar DE. The Cancer journal article for theme issue: the problem of Cancer drug costs. *Cancer J.* (2020) 26:292–7. doi: 10.1097/PPO.000000000000466

9. Shih YT, Xu Y, Liu L, Smieliauskas F. Rising prices of targeted Oral anticancer medications and associated financial burden on Medicare beneficiaries. *J Clin Oncol.* (2017) 35:2482–9. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2017.72.3742

10. Zhu X, Chen Y. The reimbursement decision speed for oncology new drugs in China and its determinant factors. Front. *Public Health*. (2023) 11:11. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1207739

11. Zhang Y, Wushouer H, Han S, Fu M, Guan X, Shi L, et al. The impacts of government reimbursement negotiation on targeted anticancer medication price, volume and spending in China. *BMJ Glob Health*. (2021) 6:e006196. doi: 10.1136/ bmjgh-2021-006196

12. China TSCotCCotCPo. Central Committee of the Communist Party of China and the State Council Opinions on Deepening the Reform of the Medical and Health Care System. (2009). Available at: https://www.gov.cn/jrzg/2009-04/06/content_1278721.htm.

Shandong Province (YZY202202), Shandong Provincial Pharmaceutical and Health Science and Technology Development Program Project (202013041353) and Academic promotion program of Shandong First Medical University (2019QL002).

Acknowledgments

We thank the Health Commission of Shandong province for supporting the data survey. Thanks to Yan Song for her guidance and revision of the logic and language of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

13. Department of Drug Policy and Basic Drug System MoH, People's Republic of China. Important progress and results in national drug price negotiations. (2016). Available at: http://www.nhc.gov.cn/yaozs/s3578/201605/168187d0028a44e0bbfb0be3 5d2d8c33.shtml.

14. Li K, Liu H, Jiang Q. Overview and analysis on the National Medical Insurance Negotiation Drugs over the years: taking anti-cancer drugs as an example. *Anti-tumor Pharmacy.* (2021) 11:229–35. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.2095-1264.2021.02.20

15. Huang C, Ung COL, Wushouer H, Bai L, Li X, Guan X, et al. Trends of negotiated targeted anticancer medicines use in China: an interrupted time series analysis. *Int J Health Policy Manag.* (2022) 11:1489–95. doi: 10.34172/ijhpm.2021.47

16. Sun Y, Zhu Z, Zhang J, Han P, Qi Y, Wang X, et al. Impacts of National Drug Price Negotiation on expenditure, volume, and availability of targeted anti-Cancer drugs in China: an interrupted time series analysis. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2022) 19:4578. doi: 10.3390/ijerph19084578

17. Fang W, Xu X, Zhu Y, Dai H, Shang L, Li X. Impact of the National Health Insurance Coverage Policy on the utilisation and accessibility of innovative anti-cancer medicines in China: an interrupted time-series study. *Front Public Health*. (2021) 9:714127. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.714127

18. Administration NHS. Main responsibilities of the National healthcare security administration. (2023). Available at: http://www.nhsa.gov.cn/col/col16/index.html.

19. Statistics SPBo. Statistical Bulletin of National Economic and Social Development of Shandong Province in 2022. (2023). Available at: http://www.shandong.gov.cn/art/2023/3/2/art_305196_10335931.html?eqid=bd00bf51000318440000002642a4425.

20. Department SPHRaSS. About the inclusion of 18 kinds of drugs such as Decitabine for injection Notice on the scope of payment of major medical insurance. (2016) Available at: http://hrss.shandong.gov.cn/articles/ch06567/202012/f07b87e6-4796-4cdd-9653-2a3c4d1d6512.shtml.

21. Commission SPHaW. Notice on the inclusion of 17 kinds of anti-cancer drugs into the scope of Shandong Province's basic medical insurance work injury insurance and maternity insurance drug catalog category B. (2018). Available at: http://wsjkw. shandong.gov.cn/zwgk/fdzdgknr/tzwj/201810/P02018102664244416836.pdf.

22. Varmaghani M, Elyasi S, Mojahedian MM, Ghavami V, Borhani B, Javan-Noughabi J, et al. Availability and affordability of anticancer medicines in Iran based on WHO/ HAI standard survey methods [J]. *Support Care Cancer*. (2023) 31:1–9. doi: 10.1007/ s00520-022-07546-w

23. Mu Y, Song K, Song Y. A cross-sectional study of Price and affordability of drugs for rare diseases in Shandong Province, China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2022) 19:13319. doi: 10.3390/ijerph192013319

24. World Health Organization and Health Action International ed. Measuring medicine prices, availability, affordability and Price components. *2nd* ed. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization and Health Action International (2008).

25. Scheme PB. About the PBS. (2023). Available at: https://www.pbs.gov.au/info/ about-the-pbs.

26. Scheme PB. A-Z medicine listing. (2023) Available at: https://www.pbs.gov.au/browse/medicine-listing.

27. Development OfEC-oa. PPPs and Exchange Rates. (2023) Available at: https:// stats.oecd.org/.

28. Statistics NBo. In December 2022, consumer prices for residents increased by 1.8% year-on-year and remained unchanged month on month. (2023). Available at: https://www.stats.gov.cn/xxgk/sjfb/zxfb2020/202301/t20230112_1891603.html.

29. Li W. Recognition of Rasch and Pahl's indices. Age of Think Tanks. (2018) 36:49-51.

30. Methodology WCCfDS. ATC/DDD Index 2023. (2023) Available at: https://www.whocc.no/atc_ddd_index/.

31. Methodology WCCfDS. Definition and general considerations. (2023) Available at: https://www.whocc.no/ddd/definition_and_general_considera/.

32. Zhu Y, Xu X, Fang W, Wang Y, Dai H, Li X. Availability, cost and affordability of selected antibiotics and antiviral medicines against infectious diseases from 2013 to 2019 in Nanjing. *China Trop Med Int Health*. (2021) 26:518–29. doi: 10.1111/tmi.13559

33. Guan X, Zhang J, Man C, Ni B, Shi L. How far have we come? Challenges to orphan drug access in China, 2011-2017. *J Pharm Sci.* (2019) 108:2199–205. doi: 10.1016/j. xphs.2019.01.012

34. Gong S, Wang Y, Pan X, Zhang L, Huang R, Chen X, et al. The availability and affordability of orphan drugs for rare diseases in China. *Orphanet J Rare Dis.* (2016) 11:20. doi: 10.1186/s13023-016-0392-4

35. Lambojon K, Chang J, Saeed A, Hayat K, Li P, Jiang M, et al. Prices, availability and affordability of medicines with value-added tax exemption: a cross-sectional survey in the Philippines. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:5242. doi: 10.3390/ ijerph17145242

36. Khatib R, McKee M, Shannon H, Chow C, Rangarajan S, Teo K, et al. Availability and affordability of cardiovascular disease medicines and their effect on use in high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries: an analysis of the PURE study data. *Lancet.* (2016) 387:61–9. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00469-9

37. Sarwar MR, Iftikhar S, Saqib A. Availability of anticancer medicines in public and private sectors, and their affordability by low, middle and high-income class patients in Pakistan. *BMC Cancer*. (2018) 18:14. doi: 10.1186/s12885-017-3980-3

38. Zhu Y, Wang Y, Sun X, Li X. Availability, Price and affordability of anticancer medicines: evidence from two cross-sectional surveys in the Jiangsu

Province, China. Int J Environ Res Public Health. (2019) 16:3728. doi: 10.3390/ ijerph16193728

39. Province HCSAoS. 2021 Shandong Province, the medical security business development statistics bulletin. (2022) Available at: http://ybj.shandong.gov.cn/module/download/downfile.jsp?classid=0&filename=062ac1205fb64d689984081db39 9e82f.pdf.

40. Province HCSAoS. Notice on the implementation of the National Drug List for Basic Medical Insurance, Work Injury Insurance and Maternity Insurance 2022. (2023). Available at: http://ybj.shandong.gov.cn/art/2023/2/21/art_310912_10301121.html.

41. Administration NHS. Response of the National Healthcare Security Administration to recommendation no. 3757 of the third session of the 13th National People's congress. (2020) Available at: http://www.nhsa.gov.cn/art/2020/10/13/art_110_6884.html.

42. Qiu Z, Ke L, Wan Y. Study on the current situation and influencing factors of drug accessibility in a hospital under the negotiation of National Health Insurance. *Chin J Hospital Pharm.* (2022) 42:1918–22. doi: 10.13286/j.1001-5213.2022.18.12

43. Administration NHS. On the establishment and improvement of national guidance on establishing and improving the "dual channel" management mechanism for drugs negotiated by the national medical insurance. (2021) Available at: http://www.nhsa.gov.cn/art/2021/5/10/art_53_5028.html.

44. Wang F, He Q, Tang P. Practice of "dual channel" mechanism for special drug use management in a public hospital in Guizhou Province. *Chinese Hospitals*. (2022) 26:78–80. doi: 10.19660/j.issn.1671-0592.2022.12.22

45. Cao Z, Li S, Cao R. Research on the accessibility of National Medical Insurance Negotiation Drugs———an Analysis Based on the usage and reimbursement data of 17 National Negotiated Anticancer Drugs in five cities. *Health Econ Res.* (2022) 39:53–6+9. doi: 10.14055/j.cnki.33-1056/f.2022.07.011

46. Su M, Lao J, Zhang N, Wang J, Anderson RT, Sun X, et al. Financial hardship in Chinese cancer survivors. *Cancer*. (2020) 126:3312–21. doi: 10.1002/ cncr.32943

47. Dai G, Jiba B, Ma S. Research on the reasons and the related measures for the high Price of anticancer drugs in China. *Chinese Pharm Affairs*. (2020) 34:956–61. doi: 10.16153/j.1002-7777.2020.08.015

48. Mo R, Liu H. Analysis on Price negotiation and Price Management of Exclusive Drugs. *Chin Health Econ.* (2019) 38:36–7. doi: 10.7664/CHE20191009

49. Venkatesan P. Cancer-related productivity losses in BRICS countries. *Lancet Oncol.* (2018) 19:e147. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(18)30090-1

50. Zhu L, Peng M, Jiang L, Wang Z. Inequality of opportunity in health service utilization among middle-aged and elderly community-dwelling adults in China. *Arch Public Health.* (2023) 81:13. doi: 10.1186/s13690-022-01010-1

51. Guo B, Xie X, Wu Q, Zhang X, Cheng H, Tao S, et al. Inequality in the health services utilization in rural and urban China a horizontal inequality analysis. *Medicine*. (2020) 99:e18625. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000018625