Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Joel Edward Lavine, Columbia University, United States

REVIEWED BY Cem Tanriover, Koç University, Türkiye Raffaele Carraro, La Princesa University Hospital, Spain

*CORRESPONDENCE Jinping Zhang ⊠ zhangjp6689@163.com

RECEIVED 14 August 2023 ACCEPTED 17 January 2024 PUBLISHED 31 January 2024

CITATION

Cai W, Zhang R, Yao Y, Wu Q and Zhang J (2024) Tirzepatide as a novel effective and safe strategy for treating obesity: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. *Front. Public Health* 12:1277113. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1277113

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Cai, Zhang, Yao, Wu and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Tirzepatide as a novel effective and safe strategy for treating obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials

Wenting Cai^{1,2}, Ruobin Zhang^{1,2}, Yao Yao², Qiuhui Wu² and Jinping Zhang²*

¹Department of Pharmacy, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, School of Basic Medicine and Clinical Pharmacy, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing, China, ²Department of Pharmacy, Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital, Affiliated Hospital of Medical School, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China

Objective: To systematically evaluate the efficacy and safety of a new hypoglycemic drug, tirzepatide, for treating obesity based on indicators such as BMI, waist circumference, and body weight.

Methods: A search formula was written using search terms such as "tirzepatide," "overweight," and "obesity." A comprehensive search was conducted on databases such as PubMed, Cochrane Library, Embase, and Web of Science using a computer. Random controlled trial (RCT) literature was selected based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. After extracting the data, literature bias risk assessment and meta-analysis were conducted using RevMan 5.4 software. The search deadline is from the establishment of each database to May 2023.

Results: A total of 12 randomized controlled trials were included, with a total of 11,758 patients. Meta analysis results showed that compared with the glucagon like peptide-1 receptor agonist (GLP-1 RAs), placebo and insulin groups, tirzepatide could significantly reduce the BMI (body mass index) of patients [MD = -1.71, 95% CI (-2.46, -0.95), p < 0.00001], [MD = -3.99, 95% CI (-3.69, -2.45), p < 0.00001], [MD = -4.02, 95% CI (-4.72, -3.31), p < 00.00001]. In terms of decreasing waist circumference, tirzepatide has a more significant advantage [MD = -4.08, 95% CI (-5.77, -2.39), p<0.00001], [MD = -7.71, 95% CI (-10.17, -5.25), p < 0.00001], [MD = -9.15, 95% CI (-10.02, -8.29), p < 0.00001]. In the analysis of body weight, tirzepatide showed a more significant reduction effect compared to the control group [MD = -5.65, 95% CI (-7.47, -3.82), p < 0.001], [MD = -10.06, 95% CI (-12.86, -7.25), p < 0.001], [MD = -10.63, 95% CI (-12.42, -8.84), p < 0.001]. In comparison with placebo, tirzepatide had a prominent advantage in weight loss \geq 20% and \geq 25% [RR = 30.43, 95% CI (19.56, 47.33), *p* < 0.00001], [RR = 37.25, 95% CI (26.03, 53.30), *p* < 0.00001]. Subgroup analysis showed a dose-dependent therapeutic effect. In terms of safety, compared with the placebo and insulin groups, the incidence of gastrointestinal adverse reactions was markedly higher in the tirzepatide group, slightly higher to the GLP-1 RAs group. The hypoglycemic (<70 mg/dL) risk of tirzepatide was slightly higher to that of placebo and GLP-1 RAs, but significantly lower than that of the insulin group [RR = 0.46, 95% CI (0.36, 0.58), p < 0.001]. The incidence of other adverse events, including pancreatitis, cholecystitis, major adverse cardiovascular events-4, hypersensitivity reactions, and neoplasms did not show significant statistical differences compared to the control group (p > 0.05).

Conclusion: Tirzepatide, as a weight loss drug, significantly reduces BMI, waist circumference and body weight while gastrointestinal adverse reactions need to be vigilant. Overall, its efficacy is significant and its safety is high.

KEYWORDS

overweight, obesity, BMI, waist circumference, tirzepatide

Introduction

Obesity is not only a chronic metabolic disease, but also a major public health issue. In the past 50 years, the number of people worldwide suffering from obesity has tripled, with over 650 million adults considered obese and at least 1.9 billion adults overweight (1, 2). In the past 40 years in China, the number of overweight and obese individuals has also rapidly increased. According to statistics, from 2015 to 2019, the estimated prevalence of overweight and obesity among adults (≥18 years old) in China reached 34.3 and 16.4%, and even among children and adolescents aged 6-17, the prevalence of overweight and obesity reached 11.1 and 7.9%, respectively (3). Many large-scale population studies have reported a BMI exceeding 30 kg/m² (defined as obesity in many guidelines), which is significantly associated with an increased risk of morbidity and mortality (4-6). In 2015, 4 million deaths were caused by high BMI, of which over two-thirds were caused by cardiovascular disease (7). Therefore, overweight and obesity have become the main risk factors for cardiovascular disease. BMI and waist circumference are also closely related to T2DM and cardiovascular disease (8-11). Weight loss can reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease and the incidence of diabetes (12).

More and more drugs such as GLP-1 RAs have been proven to be used as weight loss drugs. Liraglutide is the first GLP-1 RAs approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) for the treatment of obesity (13). In recent years, significant progress has been made in the research and development of GLP-1 RAs. Due to their effective hypoglycemic efficacy and significant weight loss effects, multiple drugs have been approved for marketing and widely used (14).

In May 2022, the hypoglycemic drug tirzepatide (trade name: Mounjaro) developed by Eli Lilly and Company was approved by the FDA for marketing. This is the first dual agonist of glucose dependent insulinotropic peptide (GIP) and GLP-1 receptor (15). GIP inhibits gastric secretion activity, stimulates insulin secretion, has insulin-like effects on adipose tissue, inhibits fat lysis, and promotes fat generation (16, 17). GLP-1 can stimulate insulin secretion and inhibit the release of glucagon. It can also slow down gastric emptying and induce a feeling of fullness (18). Both GIP and GLP-1 belong to the insulin stimulating hormone, and the secretion of these insulin stimulating hormones may be caused by nutrients in the gut, microbial factors, and neuroendocrine stimulation. In turn, GIP and GLP-1 lead to increased insulin secretion and peripheral insulin sensitivity, while slowing the neuroregulation of gastric emptying and gastrointestinal motility (19). Therefore, on the basis of diet control and enhanced exercise, tirzepatide can improve blood sugar level and reduce weight in obese patients with type 2 diabetes (20, 21). Notably, GLP-1 inhibits glucagon while GIP increases, which may produce a good balance for avoiding hypoglycemia (22). In a recent clinical trial, it was shown that tirzepatide can safely reduce BMI, waist circumference and body weight by 20%, and its weight loss effect is far superior to the older generation of weight loss drugs (23). The latest research results released by SURMOUNT-2 (24) also support this conclusion. Tirzepatide is bound to become a strong competitor in the field of weight loss and it can also effectively prevent cardiovascular disease.

This article conducts a comprehensive search of relevant literature both domestically and internationally, and uses meta-analysis to systematically evaluate the included literature, in order to confirm the effectiveness and safety of tirzepatide in treating obesity and provide strong evidence for its approval as a weight loss drug.

Methods

Search strategy

We conducted a comprehensive search on databases PubMed, Cochrane Library, Embase, and Web of Science, with a search deadline of May 2023 for each database since its establishment. The following descriptors were used:(tirzepatide OR LY3298176) AND (obese OR obesity OR overweight). Expand the search of the included references in the database.

Inclusion criteria

(1) Research patients: overweight or obese patients with or without type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), regardless of age, gender, and course of disease. (2) Interventions: patients in the experimental group received tirzepatide, while those in the control group received GLP-1 RAs or placebo or insulin. (3) Outcome indicators: the efficacy indicators mainly include BMI, waist circumference and body weight. The safety indicators mainly include total adverse events (AE), serious adverse events (SAE), gastrointestinal adverse reactions, hypoglycemia (<70 mg/dL) and major adverse cardiovascular events-4 (MACE-4). (4) Research type: only randomized controlled trials. (5) Language type: unlimited. Overweight and obesity in Asians (4) were defined as a BMI of 23–24.9 kg/m² and \geq 25 kg/m², while a BMI of 25–29.9 kg/m² and \geq 30 kg/m² for Europeans (25). MACE-4 mainly refers to death due to cardiovascular causes, non-fatal myocardial infarction, non-fatal stroke or hospitalization for unstable angina.

Exclusion criteria

Researches such as review, abstract and report. (2) Not RCT. (3) Animal experiments. (4) Researches with incomplete data.
Researches published repeatedly.

Literature selection and data collection

We used NoteExpress software to manage all literature. After clarifying and unifying the screening criteria, two individuals independently conducted a preliminary screening of all literature. For literature with differing opinions, a third person would read the full text and decide whether to include it. Excel 2019 software was used to extract data. One person entered the data and the other checked it. The extracted content includes the name of the first author, the year of publication, the study style, the study sites, the study population, the intervention measures, the sample size, and the study duration.

Quality assessment

We assessed the bias risk of the included literature according to the RCT bias assessment tool in Cochrane's manual. The bias risk assessment comprehensively considered seven aspects, including random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding of participants and personnel, blinding of outcome assessment, incomplete outcome data, selective reporting, and other bias. Two individuals independently evaluated the risk of bias in the quality of the literature, and for literature with differing opinions, a third person evaluated it.

Statistical analysis

We used RevMan 5.4 software for meta-analysis. Continuous variables used mean difference (MD) to analyze the effect size, and

two categorical variable used risk ratio (RR) to analyze the effect size. Confidence interval (CI) was set to 95% CI. Chi square (χ^2) was used to test the statistical heterogeneity between the evaluation results, and use I_2 quantitative judgment for heterogeneity. When $I_2 > 50\%$, there is a statistical difference in the results. Use a random effects model and sensitivity analysis for the source of heterogeneity. When $I_2 < 50\%$, use a fixed effects model. p < 0.05 indicates statistical significance for the difference. Conduct subgroup analysis based on the different dosages (5 mg, 10 mg, 15 mg) given to the experimental group.

Results

Search results

A total of 559 articles were retrieved in this study, with 285 duplicate articles excluded. 274 articles were included in the initial screening. two hundred and thirty-six articles were excluded by reading the title and abstract of the articles, and 26 articles were excluded by reading the full text of the articles. Finally, 12 articles were included (23, 26–35). The literature retrieval process is shown in Figure 1.

Study and participant characteristics

As shown in Table 1, the study sites involve a large number of countries. Eleven articles (26–35) were about obese or overweight patients with T2DM, and 1 article (23) was about obese patients without



TABLE 1 Study and participant baseline characteristics of included RCTs.

The first author, year published	Study style	Study sites	Study population	Intervention	Sample size	Control	Sample size	Study duration
Frias et al. (26)	Phase 2b, randomized controlled, double-blind	47 research sites in Poland, Puerto Rico, Slovakia, and the United States	18–75 years old, with T2DM for at least 3 months, BMI 23–50 kg/m ²	Tirzepatide (5, 10, 15 mg)	55, 51, 53	Dulaglutide or placebo	54, 51	26
Frias et al. (27)	Phase 2, randomized controlled, double-blind	13 research points in the United States	With T2DM for at least 3 months, BMI 23–45 kg/m ²	Tirzepatide (15 mg ⁻¹ , 15 mg ⁻²)*	28, 28	Placebo	26	12
Del Prato et al. (28) (SURPASS-4)	Phase 3, randomized controlled, open-label	187 research points in Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, Greece, Israel, Mexico, Poland, Romania, Russia, Slovakia, Spain, Taiwan, and the USA	\geq 18 years old, with T2DM, BMI \geq 25 kg/m ²	Tirzepatide (5, 10, 15 mg)	329, 328, 338	Insulin	1,000	52
Frías et al. (29) (SURPASS-2)	Phase 3, randomized controlled, open-label	128 research sites in the United States, Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, Israel, Mexico, and the United Kingdom	\geq 18 years old, with T2DM, BMI \geq 25 kg/m ²	Tirzepatide (5, 10, 15 mg)	470, 469, 470	Semaglutide	469	40
Ludvik et al. (30) (SURPASS-3)	Phase 3, randomized controlled, open-label	122 research sites in Argentina, Austria, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Poland, Puerto Rico, Romania, South Korea, Spain, Taiwan, Ukraine, and the United States	\geq 18 years old, with T2DM for at least 3 months, BMI \geq 25 kg/m ²	Tirzepatide (5, 10, 15 mg)	358, 360, 359	Insulin	360	52
Rosenstock et al. (31) (SURPASS-1)	Randomized controlled, double-blind	52 research sites in India, Japan, Mexico, and the United States	$\geq\!18$ years old, with T2DM, BMI $\geq\!23kg/m^2$	Tirzepatide (5, 10, 15 mg)	121, 121, 121	Placebo	115	40
Dahl et al. (32) (SURPASS-5)	Phase 3, randomized controlled, double-blind	45 research sites in the United States, Japan, Czech Republic, Germany, Poland, Puerto Rico, Slovakia, and Spain	With T2DM, $BMI \ge 23 \text{ kg/m}^2$	Tirzepatide (5, 10, 15 mg)	116, 119, 120	Placebo	120	40
Heise et al. (33)	Phase 1, randomized controlled, double-blind	2 research points in Germany	20–74 years old, with T2DM for at least 6 months, BMI ≥25 kg/m ²	Tirzepatide (15 mg)	45	Semaglutide or placebo	44, 28	28
Inagaki et al. (34) (SURPASS J-mono)	Phase 3, randomized controlled, double-blind	Japan	\geq 20 years old, with T2DM for at least 8 months, BMI \geq 23 kg/m ²	Tirzepatide (5, 10, 15 mg)	159, 158, 160	Dulaglutide or placebo	159	52
Jastreboff et al. (23) (SURMOUNT-1)	Phase 2, randomized controlled, double-blind	119 research points from 9 countries	\geq 18 years old, without T2DM, BMI \geq 30 kg/m ² or \geq 27 kg/m ²	Tirzepatide (5, 10, 15 mg)	630, 636, 630	Placebo	643	72
Gao et al. (35) (SURPASS-AP- Combo)	Phase 3, randomized controlled, open-label	66 research points in Asia Pacific regions such as China, South Korea, Australia, and India	\geq 18 years old, with T2DM for at least 2 months, BMI \geq 23 kg/m ²	Tirzepatide (5, 10, 15 mg)	230, 228, 229	Insulin	220	40
Garvey et al. (24) (SURMOUNT-2)	Phase 3, randomized controlled, double-blind	77 research points in Argentina, Brazil, India, Japan, Russia, Taiwan, and the USA	\geq 18 years old, with T2DM for at least 3 months, BMI \geq 27 kg/m ²	Tirzepatide (10, 15 mg)	312, 311	Placebo	315	72

*Different ways of increasing dose: 15 mg^{-1} : $2.5 \text{ mg} \rightarrow 5 \text{ mg} \rightarrow 10 \text{ mg} \rightarrow 15 \text{ mg}$; 15 mg^{-2} : $2.5 \text{ mg} \rightarrow 7.5 \text{ mg} \rightarrow 15 \text{ mg}$.

T2DM, Type 2 diabetes; BMI, Body mass index.

frontiersin.org



T2DM. The experimental group consisted of tirzepatide, while the control group consisted of GLP-1 RA with 4 cases [2 (26, 34) cases of dulaglutide and 2 (29, 33) cases of semaglutide], 8 (23, 24, 26, 27, 31–34) cases of placebo, and 3 (28, 30, 35) cases of insulin. A total of 11,758 patients were included and the study duration were all \geq 12 weeks.

Literature quality assessment

As shown in Figures 2, 3, nine studies (24, 26, 28, 30–35) detailed the sequence generation, marked as "low risk," Three studies (23, 27, 29) did not specify the allocation concealment and marked as "unclear." Eight RCTs (23, 24, 26, 27, 31–34) were double-blind trials labeled as "low risk," while four RCTs (28–30, 35) had detection bias labeled as "high risk." No attrition bias or reporting bias was found, so all RCTs were labeled as "low risk."

BMI

The comparison of the tirzepatide and GLP-1 RA groups used a random effects model, due to the statistical heterogeneity $[I_2 = 85\%]$, p < 0.00001]. According to the findings of the meta-analysis, the tirzepatide group had lower BMI than the control group [MD = -1.71], 95% CI (−2.46, −0.95), *p* < 0.00001]. Look at Figure 4. Using a random effects model also demonstrated statistical heterogeneity in the comparison between the tirzepatide and placebo groups $[I_2=97\%,$ p < 0.00001]. The meta-analysis results showed that the BMI of the tirzepatide group was significantly lower than that of the control group [MD=-3.99, 95% CI (-3.69, -2.45), p<0.00001]. Using a random effects model in the comparison of the tirzepatide and insulin groups $[I_2 = 86\%, p < 0.00001]$. The results of the meta-analysis indicated that the tirzepatide group's BMI was statistically significant reduced than that the control group's [MD = -4.02, 95% CI (-4.72, -3.31), p < 0.00001]. Each subgroup's analysis proved that the outcomes were dose-dependent.

Sensitivity analysis was performed owing to the high heterogeneity in the results. When the Jastreboff et al. (23) study in the placebo group and the Ludvik et al. (30) study in the insulin group were excluded, sensitivity analysis found that the overall statistical



			1	01					
Study or Subarous	Moon	epatid	Total	GI	P-1 RA 60	Total	Weight	Wean Difference	Mean Difference
19.1.1.5mg	Weall	30	Total	Weall	30	Total	weight	IV, Kalluolli, 95% Cl	IV, Ralidolli, 95% Cl
Frice 2010	17	2	66	1	1 00	51	16 50	0 70 1 4 45 0 051	
Filas, 2010	-1.7	2 5 1 5	20	-1	1.90	460	10.0%	-0.70 [-1.40, 0.00]	
Subtotal (05% CI)	-2.9	5.15	401 516	-2.5	5.15	402	33.5%	-0.00 [-1.20, 0.00]	•
Subtotal (95% CI)	0.00.01		04 46-	4 (0 -	0.0.0.	310	33.3%	-0.04 [-1.14, -0.15]	•
Test for overall effect:	Z – 2.54	(P – 0	.04, ui =) 01)	:1(P=	0.84);	1-= 0%			
18.1.2 10 mg	2.1	2	51	4	1 00	54	16.40	2401206 424	
Frias, 2018	-3.1	5 4 4	51	-1	1.98	54	10.4%	-2.10 [-2.80, -1.34]	
FilaS; 2021	-3.8	5.14	409	-2.3	5.15	402	22.4%	-1.50 [-2.16, -0.84]	
Hotorogeneity: Tau ² -	0.05.01	hi² – 1	35 df-	1 (P -	0.245	910 17 - 260	JJ.470	-1.77 [-2.35, -1.16]	•
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.93	(P < 0	000001)	0.24%	- 20,	•		
18.1.3 15 mg									
Frias, 2018	-4.1	2.26	53	-1	1.98	54	16.0%	-3.10 [-3.91, -2.29]	
Frías, 2021	-4.6	5.17	464	-2.3	5.15	462	17.0%	-2.30 [-2.96, -1.64]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			517			516	33.1%	-2.67 [-3.45, -1.89]	-
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	: 0.18; Cl Z = 6.69	hi² = 2. I (P ≺ 0	.25, df =) 00001	:1(P=)	0.13);	I* = 56%	6		
Total (95% CI)			1543			1549	100.0%	171 .2 /6 0.051	
Hotorogeneity Tau2-	0.761.04	hiž – D	1040 S AE 24	- 6 /D	, 0.000	1040	- 95%	-1.71 [-2.40, -0.95]	
Test for overall effect:	7 = 4.49	(P ~ 0	c.40, ul 1 00004	(F ' }	- 0.000	50 Ŋ P3	- 03.30		-4 -2 0 2 4
Test for subgroup diff	∠ - 4.43 foroncoc	·(F≻u ·Chi≩·	- 20 62) df = 2	/D ~ 1	00045	≅ – 00 20		Favours [Tirzepatide] Favours [GLP-1 RA]
restion suburoup uni	lerences		- 20.55	. ui = 2	ur ~ 0.	0001).	- 30.37	,	
	Tirz	epatio	le	P	acebo)		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
18.2.1 5mg									
Dahl, 2022	-2.2	4.52	116	0.6	4.38	120	6.9%	-2.80 [-3.94, -1.66]	
Frias, 2018	-1.7	2	55	-0.1	2	51	7.2%	-1.60 [-2.36, -0.84]	
Jastreboff, 2022	-5.9	3.99	623	-0.0	4.03	626	7 400	COOLE 11 1 COL	
				-0.5	4.00	035	1.4%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56]	-
Rosenstock, 2021	-2.6	4.51	121	-0.2	4.76	112	7.4% 6.9%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI)	-2.6	4.51	121 915	-0.2	4.76	112 918	6.9% 28.4%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] - 2.97 [-4.90, -1.05]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	-2.6 = 3.64; Cl : Z = 3.03	4.51 hi² = 6 (P = (121 9 15 8.30, di 0.002)	-0.2 f= 3 (P	4.76 4.76	112 918 001); I ²	7.4% 6.9% 28.4% = 96%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg	-2.6 = 3.64; Cl : Z = 3.03	4.51 hi² = 6 } (P = 0	121 915 8.30, di 0.002)	-0.2 f= 3 (P	4.76 < 0.00	112 918 001); I ²	7.4% 6.9% 28.4% = 96%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] - 2. 97 [-4.90, -1.05]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022	-2.6 = 3.64; Cl : Z = 3.03 -2.9	4.51 hi ² = 6 (P = 0 4.58	121 915 8.30, di 0.002) 119	-0.3 -0.2 f= 3 (P	4.76 < 0.00	112 918 001); F	7.4% 6.9% 28.4% = 96% 6.9%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.50 [-4.64 -2.36]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018	-2.6 = 3.64; Cl : Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1	4.51 hi² = 6 k (P = 0 4.58 2	121 915 8.30, di 0.002) 119 51	-0.3 -0.2 f = 3 (P 0.6 -0.1	4.76 < 0.00 4.38 2	112 918 001); F 120 51	7.4% 6.9% 28.4% = 96% 6.9% 7.2%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.50 [-4.64, -2.36] -3.00 [-3.78 -2.22]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022	-2.6 = 3.64; Cl : Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -8.1	4.51 hi² = 6) (P = 0 4.58 2 4.01	121 915 8.30, dr 0.002) 119 51 629	-0.3 -0.2 f = 3 (P 0.6 -0.1 -0.9	4.76 < 0.00 4.38 2 4 03	112 918 001); F 120 51 635	7.4% 6.9% 28.4% = 96% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.50 [-4.64, -2.36] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64 -6.76]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021	-2.6 = 3.64; Cl : Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -8.1 -2.9	4.51 hi ² = 6 (P = 0 4.58 2 4.01 4.45	121 915 8.30, d 0.002) 119 51 629 118	-0.3 -0.2 f= 3 (P 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2	4.76 < 0.00 4.38 2 4.03 4.76	112 918 001); F 120 51 635 112	7.4% 6.9% 28.4% = 96% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9%	-3.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-4.64, -2.36] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023	-2.6 = 3.64; Cl : Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -8.1 -2.9 -4 7	4.51 hi ² = 6 (P = 0 4.58 2 4.01 4.45 3.53	121 915 8.30, df 0.002) 119 51 629 118 312	-0.3 -0.2 f = 3 (P 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1 2	4.76 < 0.00 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54	112 918 001); I ² 120 51 635 112 315	7.4% 6.9% 28.4% = 96% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4%	-3.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51] -3.50 [-4.05 - 2.95]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI)	-2.6 = 3.64; Cl : Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -8.1 -2.9 -4.7	4.51 hi [≈] = 6 (P = 0 4.58 2 4.01 4.45 3.53	121 915 8.30, dr 0.002) 119 51 629 118 312 312	-0.3 -0.2 f = 3 (P 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2	4.76 < 0.00 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54	112 918 001); ² 120 51 635 112 315 12 33	7.4% 6.9% 28.4% = 96% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 35.8%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51] -3.50 [-4.05, -2.95] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	-2.6 = 3.64; Cl : Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -8.1 -2.9 -4.7 = 5.40; Cl	4.51 hi ² = 6 (P = 0 4.58 2 4.01 4.45 3.53 hi ² = 1	121 915 8.30, di 0.002) 119 51 629 118 312 1229 69 34	-0.3 -0.2 f= 3 (P 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2	4.38 4.38 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54 0 < 0.0	112 918 001); F 120 51 635 112 315 1233 0001);	7.4% 6.9% 28.4% = 96% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 35.8%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51] -3.50 [-4.05, -2.95] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	-2.6 = 3.64; CI : Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -8.1 -2.9 -4.7 = 5.40; CI : Z = 3.79	4.51 hi ² = 6 (P = 0 4.58 2 4.01 4.45 3.53 hi ² = 1 (P = 0	121 915 8.30, d 0.002) 119 51 629 118 312 1229 69.34, 0.0002)	-0.3 -0.2 f= 3 (P 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 df = 4 (F	4.38 < 0.00 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54 2 < 0.0	112 918 001); F 120 51 635 112 315 1233 0001);	7.4% 6.9% 28.4% = 96% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 35.8% P= 98%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51] -3.50 [-4.05, -2.95] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.3 15mg	-2.6 = 3.64; CI = Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -8.1 -2.9 -4.7 = 5.40; CI = Z = 3.79	4.51 hi ² = 6 (P = 0 4.58 2 4.01 4.45 3.53 hi ² = 1 (P = 0	121 915 8.30, dr 0.002) 119 51 629 118 312 1229 69.34, 0.0002)	-0.3 -0.2 f= 3 (P 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 df = 4 (P	4.76 < 0.00 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54 2 < 0.0	120 918 001); I ² 120 51 635 112 315 1233 0001);	7.4% 28.4% = 96% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 35.8% F= 98%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51] -3.50 [-4.05, -2.95] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.3 15mg Dahl, 2022	-2.6 = 3.64; Cl : Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -8.1 -2.9 -4.7 = 5.40; Cl : Z = 3.79 -3.8	4.51 hi ² = 6 (P = 0 4.58 2 4.01 4.45 3.53 hi ² = 1 0 (P = 0 4.6	121 915 8.30, dr 0.002) 119 51 629 118 312 1229 69.34, 0.0002) 120	-0.3 -0.2 f= 3 (P 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 df = 4 (P 0.6	4.38 < 0.00 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54 9 < 0.0 4.38	120 918 001); I ² 120 51 635 112 315 1233 0001); 120	7.4% 6.9% 28.4% = 96% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 35.8% F= 98%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51] -3.50 [-4.05, -2.95] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.3 15mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018	-2.6 = 3.64; CI : Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -8.1 -2.9 -4.7 = 5.40; CI : Z = 3.79 -3.8 -3.8 -4.1	4.51 hi ² = 6 (P = 0 4.58 2 4.01 4.45 3.53 hi ² = 1 (P = 0 4.6 2.26	121 915 8.30, d 0.002) 119 51 629 118 312 1229 69.34, 1 0.0002) 120 53	-0.3 -0.2 f= 3 (P 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 df = 4 (F 0.6 -0.1	4.38 < 0.00 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54 2 < 0.0 4.38 2 < 0.0	112 918 001); F 120 51 635 112 315 1233 0001); 120 51	7.4% 6.9% 28.4% = 96% 6.9% 7.2% 6.9% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 35.8% F = 98%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51] -3.50 [-4.05, -2.95] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ²² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ²² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.3 15mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022	-2.6 = 3.64; Cl : Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -8.1 -2.9 -4.7 = 5.40; Cl : Z = 3.79 -3.8 -4.1 -8.6	$\begin{array}{c} 4.51 \\ \text{hi}^2 = 6 \\ \text{e} (P = 0 \\ 4.58 \\ 2 \\ 4.01 \\ 4.45 \\ 3.53 \\ \text{hi}^2 = 1 \\ \text{e} (P = 0 \\ 4.6 \\ 2.26 \\ 4 \end{array}$	121 915 8.30, d 0.002) 119 51 629 118 312 1229 69.34, 0 0.0002) 120 53 625	-0.3 -0.2 f= 3 (P 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 df = 4 (F 0.6 -0.1 -0.9	4.38 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54 4.38 2 4.38 2 4.38 2 4.38	112 918 001); F 120 51 635 112 315 1233 0001); 120 51 635	7.4% 28.4% 28.4% 5.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 35.8% F = 98% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51] -3.50 [-4.05, -2.95] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93] -4.40 [-5.54, -3.26] -4.00 [-4.82, -3.18] -7.70 [-8.14, -7.26]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.3 15mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021	-2.6 = 3.64; Cl Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -8.1 -2.9 -4.7 = 5.40; Cl = Z = 3.79 -3.8 -4.1 -8.6 -3.6	4.51 $hi^2 = 6$ (P = 0) 4.58 2 4.01 4.45 3.53 $hi^2 = 1$ (P = 0) 4.6 2.26 4 4.42	121 915 8.30, dt 0.002) 119 51 629 118 312 1229 69.34, 0.0002) 120 53 625 116	-0.3 -0.2 f= 3 (P 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 df = 4 (F 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -0.2	4.38 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54 2 < 0.0 4.38 2 < 0.0 4.38 2 < 0.0	112 918 001); ² 120 51 635 112 315 1233 0001); 120 51 635 112	7.4% 28.4% = 96% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 35.8% [² = 98% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51] -3.50 [-4.05, -2.95] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93] -4.40 [-5.54, -3.26] -4.00 [-4.82, -3.18] -7.70 [-8.14, -7.26] -3.40 [-4.59, -2.21]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.3 15mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023	-2.6 = 3.64; Cl Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -8.1 -2.9 -4.7 = 5.40; Cl Z = 3.79 -3.8 -4.1 -8.6 -3.6 -3.6 -5.4	4.51 $hi^2 = 6$ (P = 0) 4.58 2 4.01 4.45 3.53 $hi^2 = 1$ (P = 0) 4.6 2.26 4 4.42 3.52	121 915 8.30, dt).002) 119 51 629 118 312 1229 69.34,).0002) 1220 53 625 116 311	-0.3 -0.2 f = 3 (P 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 df = 4 (F 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2	4.38 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54 2 < 0.0 4.38 2 < 0.0 4.38 2 < 0.0 3.54	112 918 001); ² 120 51 635 112 315 1233 0001); 120 51 635 112 315	7.4% 28.4% = 96% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 35.8% 7.4% 7.4% 7.4% 7.4% 7.4%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51] -3.50 [-4.05, -2.95] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93] -7.70 [-8.14, -7.26] -3.40 [-4.59, -2.21] -4.20 [-4.75, -3.65]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.3 15mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI)	-2.6 = 3.64; Cl : Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -8.1 -2.9 -4.7 = 5.40; Cl : Z = 3.79 -3.8 -4.1 -3.6 -3.6 -5.4	4.51 $hi^2 = 6$ (P = 0) 4.58 2 4.01 4.45 3.53 $hi^2 = 1$ (P = 0) 4.6 2.26 4 4.42 3.52	121 915 8.30, dt 0.002) 119 51 629 118 312 1229 69.34, 0.0002) 120 53 625 615 116 311 1225	-0.3 -0.2 -0.2 (P -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 df = 4 (F -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 -1.2	4.38 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54 2 < 0.0 4.38 2 < 0.0 4.38 2 < 0.0 4.38 2 < 0.0 4.38 3.54	112 918 918 001); * 120 51 635 112 315 123 30001); 120 51 635 512 315 123 315 123	7.4% 6.9% 28.4% 5.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 5.8% 6.9% 7.2% 7.2% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 5.8%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51] -3.50 [-4.05, -2.95] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93] -4.40 [-5.54, -3.26] -4.00 [-4.82, -3.18] -7.70 [-8.14, -7.26] -3.40 [-4.59, -2.21] -4.20 [-4.75, -3.65] -4.77 [-6.69, -2.84]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ²² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ²² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.3 15mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ²² = Test for overall effect:	-2.6 = 3.64; CI = Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -2.9 -4.7 = 5.40; CI = Z = 3.79 -3.8 -4.1 -8.6 -3.6 -5.4 = 4.61; CI = Z = 4.86	4.51 $hi^2 = 6$ $(P = 0)^2$ 4.58 2 4.01 4.45 3.53 $hi^2 = 1$ $(P = 0)^2$ 4.6 4.22 3.52 $hi^2 = 1$ $(P < 0)^2$ $hi^2 = 1$ $(P = 0)^2$ $(P = 0)^2$ (P	121 915 8.30, dt 0.002) 119 51 629 118 312 1229 69.34, 0.0002) 120 53 625 116 311 1225 42.24, 0.00001	-0.3 -0.2 -0.2 (F = 3 (P) -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 -1.2 -0.9 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1	4.76 4.76 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54 2 4.03 4.76 3.54 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 4.776 4.	112 918 0001); * 120 51 635 112 315 1233 00001); 120 51 635 112 315 1233 00001);	6.9% 28.4% = 96% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 35.8% F= 98% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 35.8% F= 97%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51] -3.50 [-4.05, -2.95] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93] -4.40 [-5.54, -3.26] -4.00 [-4.82, -3.18] -7.70 [-8.14, -7.26] -3.40 [-4.59, -2.21] -4.20 [-4.75, -3.65] -4.77 [-6.69, -2.84]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.3 15mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	-2.6 = 3.64; Cl = Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -8.1 -2.9 -4.7 = 5.40; Cl = Z = 3.79 -3.8 -4.1 -3.6 -5.4 = 4.61; Cl = Z = 4.86	4.51 $hi^2 = 6$ $hi^2 = 6$ 4.58 2 4.01 4.45 3.53 $hi^2 = 1$ (P = 0 4.66 4 4.42 3.52 $hi^2 = 1$ $hi^2 = 1$ (P < 0)	121 915 8.30, dt 0.002) 119 51 629 118 312 1229 69.34, 0.0002) 120 53 5 5 116 311 1225 42.24, 0.00001 3369	-0.3 -0.2 -0.2 (P -0.2 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 df = 4 (F -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 df = 4 (F -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1	4.76 < 0.00 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54 2 < 0.0 4.38 2 < 0.0 3.54 2 < 0.0	112 918 001); * 120 51 635 112 315 123 30001); 120 51 635 512 315 123 30001); 1233 0001); 3384	7.4% 6.9% 28.4% = 96% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 35.8% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 35.8% 7.4% 100.0%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51] -3.50 [-4.05, -2.95] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93] -4.40 [-5.54, -3.26] -4.00 [-4.82, -3.18] -7.70 [-8.14, -7.26] -3.40 [-4.59, -2.21] -4.20 [-4.75, -3.65] -4.77 [-6.69, -2.84]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.3 15mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: Total (95% CI)	-2.6 = 3.64; CI : Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -8.1 -2.9 -4.7 = 5.40; CI : Z = 3.79 -3.8 -4.1 -8.6 -3.6 -5.4 = 4.61; CI : Z = 4.86	4.51 $hi^2 = 6$ $i^2 (P = 0)$ 4.58 2 4.01 4.45 3.53 $hi^2 = 1$ $i^2 = 1$ $i^2 = 1$ $i^2 = 1$ $i^2 = 1$ $hi^2 = 1$	121 915 8.30, d 0.002) 119 51 629 118 312 1229 669.34, 0.0002) 120 53 625 116 311 1225 42.24, 0.00007 3369 42.50	-0.3 -0.2 -0.2 (F = 3 (P -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 df = 4 (F -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 df = 4 (F -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 df = 4 (F -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1	4.76 < 0.00 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54 > < 0.0 4.38 2 4.03 3.54 > < 0.0 (P < 0.	112 918 001); * 120 51 635 112 315 123 30001); 120 51 635 112 315 123 30001); 3384 00001)	7.4% 6.9% 28.4% = 96% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 100.0% ; [* = 97%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51] -3.50 [-4.05, -2.95] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93] -7.70 [-8.14, -7.26] -3.40 [-4.52, -3.18] -7.70 [-8.14, -7.26] -3.40 [-4.53, -3.65] -4.77 [-6.69, -2.84] -3.99 [-5.07, -2.91]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.3 15mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	-2.6 = 3.64; CI = Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -2.9 -4.7 = 5.40; CI = Z = 3.79 -3.8 -4.1 -8.6 -3.6 -5.4 = 4.61; CI = Z = 4.86 = 4.06; CI = Z = 7.23	4.51 $hi^2 = 6$ $i^2 (P = 0)$ 4.58 2 4.01 4.45 3.53 $hi^2 = 1$ $i^2 (P = 0)$ 4.6 2.26 4 4.42 3.52 $hi^2 = 1$ $i^2 (P < 0)$ $hi^2 = 1$ $hi^2 (P < 0)$ $hi^2 (P < 0)$ $hi^2 = 1$ $hi^2 (P < 0)$ $hi^2 (P < 0)$ $hi^2 = 1$ $hi^2 (P < 0)$ $hi^2 (P < $	121 915 8.30, dt 0.002) 119 51 629 118 312 1229 69.34, 0.0002) 120 53 625 116 3311 1225 42.24, 0.00007 3369 42.50, 0.0004	-0.3 -0.2 -0.2 (f = 3 (P -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1	4.76 < 0.00 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 2 4.03 4.76 4	112 918 0001); * 120 51 635 112 315 1233 00001); 1233 00001); 3384 000001)	7.4% 6.9% 28.4% = 96% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 35.8% f ² = 98% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 35.8% f ² = 97% 100.0% ; f ² = 97%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51] -3.50 [-4.05, -2.95] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93] -4.40 [-5.54, -3.26] -4.00 [-4.82, -3.18] -7.70 [-8.14, -7.26] -3.40 [-4.59, -2.21] -4.20 [-4.75, -3.65] -4.77 [-6.69, -2.84] -3.99 [-5.07, -2.91]	
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.3 15mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect:	-2.6 = 3.64; Cl = Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -2.9 -4.7 = 5.40; Cl = Z = 3.79 -3.8 -4.1 -8.6 -3.6 -5.4 = 4.61; Cl = Z = 4.86 = 4.06; Cl = Z = 7.23 ferences	4.51 $hi^2 = 6$ $i^2 (P = 0)$ 4.58 2 4.01 4.45 3.53 $hi^2 = 1$ $i^2 (P = 0)$ 4.6 2.26 4 4.42 3.52 $hi^2 = 1$ $i^2 (P < 0)$ $hi^2 = 1$ $hi^2 = 1$ $i^2 (P < 0)$ $hi^2 = 1$ $hi^2 = 1$	121 915 8.30, dt 0.002) 119 51 629 118 312 1229 69.34, 0.0002) 120 53 625 116 311 1225 42.24, 0.00007 3369 42.50, 0.00009	-0.3 -0.2 -0.2 = 3 (P 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 df = 4 (F 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 df = 4 (F 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 df = 4 (F 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1	4.76 < 0.00 4.38 2 4.03 4.03 4.76 3.54 - < 0.0 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54 - < 0.0 (P < 0. P = 0.4	112 918 0001); * 120 51 635 112 315 1233 00001); 1233 00001); 3384 000001) 3384	7.4% 28.4% 28.4% 28.4% 5.28,4% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 35.8% 1° = 98% 6.9% 7.4% 35.8% 1° = 97% 100.0% 5,1° = 97%	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51] -3.50 [-4.05, -2.95] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93] -4.40 [-5.54, -3.26] -4.00 [-4.82, -3.18] -7.70 [-8.14, -7.26] -3.40 [-4.59, -2.21] -4.20 [-4.75, -3.65] -4.77 [-6.69, -2.84] -3.99 [-5.07, -2.91]	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +
Rosenstock, 2021 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.2 10mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: 18.2.3 15mg Dahl, 2022 Frias, 2018 Jastreboff, 2022 Rosenstock, 2021 WT Garvey, 2023 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = Test for overall effect: Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	-2.6 = 3.64; Cl = Z = 3.03 -2.9 -3.1 -8.1 -2.9 -4.7 = 5.40; Cl = Z = 3.79 -3.8 -4.1 -8.6 -3.6 -5.4 = 4.61; Cl = Z = 4.86 = 4.06; Cl = Z = 7.23 ferences	4.51 $hi^2 = 6$ g(P = 0) 4.58 2 4.01 4.45 3.53 $hi^2 = 1$ g(P = 0) 4.6 2.26 4 4.42 3.52 $hi^2 = 1$ g(P < 0) $hi^2 = 1$ g(P < 0) $hi^2 = 4$ g(P < 0) $hi^2 = 4$ $hi^2 = 4$ g(P < 0) $hi^2 = 4$ $hi^2 $	121 915 8.30, d 0.002) 119 51 629 118 312 1229 69.34, 0.0002) 120 53 625 116 311 1225 42.24, 0.0000 3369 42.50, 0.00001 = 1.68.	-0.3 -0.2 -0.2 = 3 (P 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 -1.2 df = 4 (F 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 df = 4 (F 0.6 -0.1 -0.9 -0.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1.2 -1	4.76 < 0.00 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54 > < 0.0 4.38 2 4.03 4.76 3.54 > < 0.0 (P < 0. P = 0.4	112 918 0001); P 120 51 635 112 315 1233 0001); 1233 0001); 3384 00001) (3), P =	7.4% 28.4% = 96% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 35.8% F= 98% 6.9% 7.2% 7.4% 6.9% 7.4% 35.8% F= 97% 100.0% (F= 97%)	-5.00 [-5.44, -4.56] -2.40 [-3.59, -1.21] -2.97 [-4.90, -1.05] -3.00 [-3.78, -2.22] -7.20 [-7.64, -6.76] -2.70 [-3.89, -1.51] -3.50 [-4.05, -2.95] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93] -4.00 [-6.08, -1.93] -4.00 [-4.82, -3.18] -7.70 [-8.14, -7.26] -3.40 [-4.59, -2.21] -4.20 [-4.75, -3.65] -4.77 [-6.69, -2.84] -3.99 [-5.07, -2.91]	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +

heterogeneity dropped from 97 to 75%, and from 86 to 74%, respectively. The weight of the tirzepatide group was significantly lower than that of the control group [MD = -3.07, 95% CI (-3.69, -2.45), p < 0.00001; MD = -4.02, 95% CI (-4.72, -3.31), p < 0.00001]. The results were consistent with those discovered before to the sensitivity analysis, with statistically significant differences and high reliability of the results.

Waist circumference

In this indicator analysis, 2 (26, 29), 6 (23, 24, 26, 27, 31, 32), and 3 (28, 30, 35) studies were separately included in different control groups, and were all analyzed using random effects models because of $I_2 > 50\%$. The results showed that the waist circumference of the tirzepatide group was significantly lower than that of the control

	Tirz	epatid	e	ir	Isulin			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
8.3.1 5mg									
0el Prato, 2021	-2.73	7.76	326	0.94	9.07	978	10.3%	-3.67 [-4.69, -2.65]	
∂ao, 2023	-2	3.32	228	0.66	3.81	215	11.7%	-2.66 [-3.33, -1.99]	
udvik, 2021.	-2.71	4.7	353	1.04	4.68	351	11.6%	-3.75 [-4.44, -3.06]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			907			1544	33.6%	-3.33 [-4.08, -2.57]	•
+eterogeneity: Tau² =	= 0.28; C	hi² = 5.	65, df=	= 2 (P =	0.06);	I ² = 659	%		
est for overall effect	Z = 8.64	(P < 0	0.00001)					
8.3.2 10mg									
Del Prato, 2021	-3.67	8.24	321	0.94	9.07	978	10.1%	-4.61 [-5.68, -3.54]	
€ao, 2023	-2.49	3.87	222	0.66	3.81	215	11.5%	-3.15 [-3.87, -2.43]	
udvik, 2021	-3.68	5.43	350	1.04	4.68	351	11.4%	-4.72 [-5.47, -3.97]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			893			1544	33.0%	-4.13 [-5.21, -3.05]	◆
-leterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.72; C	hi ² = 10	0.12, df	= 2 (P =	= 0.00	6); I 2 = 8	30%		
est for overall effect	Z = 7.50) (P < 0	0.00001)					
8.3.3 15mg									
Del Prato, 2021	-3.96	7.86	334	0.94	9.07	978	10.3%	-4.90 [-5.92, -3.88]	
Gao, 2023	-2.47	3.89	224	0.66	3.81	215	11.5%	-3.13 [-3.85, -2.41]	
udvik, 2021	-4.7	5.07	353	1.04	4.68	351	11.5%	-5.74 [-6.46, -5.02]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			911			1544	33.3%	-4.58 [-6.26, -2.91]	\bullet
-leterogeneity: Tau ² =	2.01; C	hi ² = 2	5.85, df	= 2 (P ·	< 0.00	001); I ²	= 92%		
est for overall effect	Z = 5.37	' (P < 0	.00001)					
otal (95% CI)			2711			4632	100.0%	-4.02 [-4.72, -3.31]	◆
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	: 0.99; C	hi² = 53	7.22. df	= 8 (P ·	< 0.00	001); I ²	= 86%		
est for overall effect	Z=11.1	5 (P <	0.0000	1)					-4 -2 0 2 4
est for subaroup dif	ferences	: Chi ² =	= 2.63.	df = 2 (f	P = 0.2	7), ² =	24.1%		Favours [Tirzepatide] Favours [insulin]
				- 11					

group GLP-1 RA [MD = -4.08, 95% CI (-5.77, -2.39), *p*<0.00001], placebo [MD = -7.71, 95% CI (-10.17, -5.25), *p*<0.00001], insulin [MD = -9.15, 95% CI (-10.02, -8.29), *p*<0.00001], all with statistical differences (Figure 5).

Sensitivity analysis showed that after removing the study Jastreboff et al. (23) in the placebo group, the subgroup statistical heterogeneity decreased from 93 to 75%, 97 to 0%, and 97 to 88%, respectively. The waist circumference of the tirzepatide group was still lower than that of the placebo group [MD = -6.03, 95% CI (-7.61, -4.45), p < 0.00001], and the difference was statistically significant. Likewise, sensitivity analysis were also performed in the GLP-1 group due to the high heterogeneity. The study Frias et al. (26) was removed and the statistical heterogeneity reduced from 67 to 51%. The results did not change.

Body weight

A total of 4 (26, 29, 33, 34) studies were included in comparing the tirzepatide group with the GLP-1 RAs group. According to the analysis's findings, the tirzepatide group's body weight was substantially lower than the GLP-1 RAs group's [MD = -5.65, 95% CI (-7.47, -3.82), p < 0.001]. The results of subgroup analysis revealed that the tirzepatide group's body weight was lower than that of the GLP-1 RAs group when they received doses of 5 mg [MD = -3.03, 95% CI (-5.69, -0.38), p = 0.03], 10 mg [MD = -6.02, 95% CI (-8.80, -3.25), p < 0.001], or 15 mg [MD = -7.41, 95% CI (-10.01, -4.80), p < 0.001]. There were a total of 7 (23, 24, 26, 27, 31–33) studies and 3 (28, 30, 35) studies were included in the placebo group and the insulin group. The analysis's findings demonstrated that the tirzepatide group's body weight were considerably lower than the placebo and the insulin

group [MD = -9.52, 95% CI (-12.15, -6.90), *p* < 0.001; MD = -10.63, 95% CI (-12.42, -8.84), *p* < 0.001] (Figure 6).

Weight loss ≥5, 10, 15, 20, 25%

Participants receiving different doses of tirzepatide showed a weight loss \geq 5, 10%, or 15%, compared with GLP-1 RAs, placebo, and insulin in a meta-analysis. As shown in Table 2. The analysis results showed that the effective rate of the experimental group was significantly higher than that of the control group in weight loss. The differences were statistically significant. The tirzepatide group has a more outstanding advantage in weight loss \geq 15% compared to weight loss \geq 5 and 10%. Compared with placebo, the efficacy rate of tirzepatide in weight loss \geq 20%, 25% was much higher than that of placebo [RR=30.43, 95% CI (19.56, 47.33), *p* <0.001; RR=37.25, 95% CI (26.03, 53.30), *p* <0.001]. The effect size of weight loss \geq 20% or \geq 25% was more than twice that of weight loss \geq 15%.

Safety meta-analysis

Comparing the safety aspects of the tirzepatide group and the control group, meta-analysis showed that the total incidence of adverse events with tirzepatide was similar to the GLP-1 RA group [RR=1.04, 95% CI (1.00, 1.09), p=0.03], higher than the placebo group [RR=1.11, 95% CI (1.05, 1.17), p=0.0002] and the insulin group [RR=1.20, 95% CI (1.12, 1.28), p<0.00001], with a dose-dependent difference. In addition, There were statistically significant

		Tirz	epatide	е	GI	.P-1 RA			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
St	udy or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
19).1.1 5mg									
Fr	ias, 2018	-5.1	5.9	47	-2.5	5.96	47	16.6%	-2.60 [-5.00, -0.20]	
Fr	ías, 2021	-6.9	17.4	461	-5.6	17.39	461	17.3%	-1.30 [-3.55, 0.95]	
St	ubtotal (95% CI)			508			508	33.8%	-1.91 [-3.55, -0.27]	◆
He	eterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Ch	ni² = 0.6	0. df =	1 (P = 0	.44); l ² =	= 0%			
Te	est for overall effect: .	Z = 2.28	(P = 0.0	32)	-					
19).1.2 10 mg									
Fr	ias, 2018	-7.4	5.84	44	-2.5	5.96	47	16.4%	-4.90 [-7.33, -2.47]	_
Fr	ías, 2021	-9.6	18	459	-5.6	17.39	461	17.1%	-4.00 [-6.29, -1.71]	
SL	ubtotal (95% CI)			503			508	33.5%	-4.42 [-6.09, -2.76]	◆
He	eterogeneity: Tau ² =	0.00; Ch	ni ² = 0.2	8, df =	1 (P = 0	.60); I² =	:0%			
10	stiol overall ellect.	2 - 5.21	(F = 0.0	50001)						
19).1.3 15 mg									
Fr	ias, 2018	-10.2	5.92	35	-2.5	5.96	47	15.6%	-7.70 [-10.30, -5.10]	
Fr	ías, 2021	-9.9	18.09	464	-5.6	17.39	461	17.1%	-4.30 [-6.59, -2.01]	
Su	ubtotal (95% CI)			499			508	32.7%	-5.94 [-9.27, -2.61]	
He	eterogeneity: Tau² =	4.22; Cł	ni² = 3.7	1, df=	1 (P = 0	.05); l² =	= 73%			
Te	est for overall effect: .	∠ = 3.50	(P = 0.0	JUU5)						-
То	otal (95% CI)			1510			1524	100.0%	-4.08 [-5.77, -2.39]	
He	eterogeneity: Tau² =	2.99; Cł	ni² = 15.	25, df =	= 5 (P =	0.009);	l ² = 679	Хо		-10 -5 0 5 10
Te	est for overall effect: .	Z= 4.74	(P < 0.0	00001)						Eavours [Tirzenatide] Eavours [CLP-1 RA]
Te	est for subaroup diffe	erences:	Chi²=	6.87. d	f= 2 (P	= 0.03).	l ² = 70	.9%		
		Tirz	zepatid	е	Р	lacebo			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
St	tudy or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
19	9.2.1 5mg									
D	ahl, 2022	-8.9	14.65	116	1	14.9	120	5.8%	-9.90 [-13.67, -6.13]	
Fr	ias, 2018	-5.1	5.9	47	-1.3	5.9	42	6.3%	-3.80 [-6.26, -1.34]	
Ja	astreboff, 2022	-14.6	10.23	622	-3.4	10.58	634	6.7%	-11.20 [-12.3510.05]	
R	osenstock, 2021	-57	13.48	121	-2	12.91	112	6.0%	-3,70 [-7,09 -0.31]	
S	ubtotal (95% CI)			906	2		908	24.8%	-7.21 [-11.702.71]	
н	eterogeneiv: Tau ² =	18,95: 0	Chi² = 41	1.31. dt	(= 3 (P ·	< 0.0000)1); I ² =	93%		
Te	est for overall effect:	Z = 3.14	(P = 0.0	002)	- V	0.0000		22.00		
19	9.2.2 10mg									
D	ahl, 2022	-7.4	14.84	119	1	14.9	120	5.8%	-8.40 [-12.174.63]	
Fr	ias, 2018	-7.4	5.84	44	-1.3	5.9	42	6.3%	-6.10 [-8.583.62]	
.12	astreboff, 2022	-194	10.28	629	-34	10.58	634	67%	-16.00 [-17.15 -14.85]	
P	osenstock, 2021	-60	13.25	118	-2	12.00	112	6.0%	-4 90 [-8 28 -1 52]	_ _
1A	T Ganyey, 2021	-10.9	10.20	312	-33	8.97	315	6.6%	-7 50 [-0.02 -5 07]	I
\$ V	ubtotal (95% CI)	-10.0	10.00	1222	-3.3	0.07	1223	31 4%	-8.67 [-13.60 -3.64]	
э Ц	-Suct vienenaise	31.07.0	`hi² – 11	20.45	df = A /P	< 0.000	1011-12	= 37%	-0.01 [-10.09, -0.04]	
Te	est for overall effect:	Z = 3.38	(P = 0.0	20.45, 1 2007)	ui – 4 (P	~ 0.000	501), PS	- 57.70		
19	9.2.3 15mg									
D	ahl, 2022	-3.8	15.56	120	1	14 9	120	5.8%	-4 80 (-8 65 -0 95)	
E,	ias. 2018	-10.2	5 92	25	-12	50	120	6 3 %	-8 90 [-11 55 -6 25]	
5	iae, 2010	_10.2	4.51	22	-1.5	4.60	20	6.2%	-2.40[-6.11.0.20]	
- F I	iae, 2020	-4.3	4.01	20	-2.0	4.02	20	6.2%	-2.40[*0.11,0.31]	
-	1031 2020	-4.9	4.74	20 625	-2.0	4.92	20 634	6.70	-2.40 [-0.08, 0.29]	_
19	asuepon, 2022	-19.9	10.25	020	-3.4	10.58	034	0.7%	-10.00 [-17.00, -10.30]	
R	USERSIDCK: 2021	-1.2	13.14	116	-2	12.91	112	0.0%	-5.20 [-8.58, -1.82]	
VV	Garvey, 2023	-13.1	8.81	311	-3.3	8.87	315	0.6%	-9.80 [-11.18, -8.42]	
SI	ubiotal (95% CI)		N. 19	1256			1263	43.8%	-7.24 [-11.79, -2.69]	
H Te	eterogeneity: Tau² = est for overall effect: .	35.81; C Z = 3.12	2ni* = 19 (P − 0.0	32.32, (002)	af = 6 (P	< U.OO(JU1); l²	= 37%		
-	4-1/054/00			222.			2224	400.00	77414047 500	
Te	otal (95% Cl)			3384	16 1 5 1		3394	100.0%	-7.71 [-10.17, -5.25]	
Н	eterogeneity: Tau ² =	23.30; 0	2nif = 31	54.65, (at = 15 (0.00 × ۲	JUU1); I	*= 96%		-10 -5 0 5 10
Te	est for overall effect:	Z = 6.14	(P < 0.0) 10001) ה ככח	f = 270	- 0.90	12 - 0.00			Favours [Tirzepatide] Favours [Placebo]
16	εσι τοι σαραιοάρ απι	erences	. on==	0.23. Q	i = 2 (P	- 0.89).	r = 0%	,		
E 5 (0	Continued)									

differences in the incidence of adverse events between tirzepatide and the control group. In this study, there was no significant difference in the incidence of serious adverse events between tirzepatide and placebo [RR=0.93, 95% CI (0.77, 1.11), p=0.42], but there was a significant statistical difference compared to GLP-1 RAs and insulin [RR=0.81, 95% CI (0.70, 0.94), p=0.005; RR=0.29, 95% CI (0.12, 0.72), p=0.007].

Compared with the placebo and insulin groups, the incidence of gastrointestinal adverse reactions in the tirzepatide group was significantly higher, and the differences were statistically significant. The main symptoms included nausea, diarrhea, vomiting, and decreased appetite. Compared with the GLP-1 RAs group, the risk of nausea, diarrhea, vomiting, and decreased appetite in the tirzepatide group was slightly higher. The incidence of pancreatitis in the tirzepatide group

	Т	rzepatid	е	i	nsulin			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subg	roup Mea	n SD	Total	Mean	SD.	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% CI
19.3.1 5mg									
Del Prato, 20	21 -8.3	42.79	326	2.03	45.03	978	2.5%	-10.40 [-15.84, -4.96]	
Gao, 2023	-6.3	3 11.78	228	1.09	11.46	215	16.0%	-7.47 [-9.63, -5.31]	
Ludvik, 2021	-7.2	2 15.78	353	1.15	14.99	351	14.5%	-8.37 [-10.64, -6.10]	<u> </u>
Subtotal (95%	CI)		907			1544	32.9%	-8.09 [-9.60, -6.58]	◆
Heterogeneity	: Chi² = 1.07, d	f=2 (P=	0.59); I	²=0%					
Test for overal	l effect: Z = 10.	53 (P < 0	.00001)					
19.3.2 10mg									
Del Prato,20	21 -10.3	3 42.46	321	2.03	45.03	978	2.5%	-12.42 [-17.86, -6.98]	
Gao, 2023	-6.6	5 11.32	222	1.09	11.46	215	16.4%	-7.74 [-9.88, -5.60]	
Ludvik, 2021	-9.	3 14.97	350	1.15	14.99	351	15.2%	-10.45 [-12.67, -8.23]	
Subtotal (95%	CI)		893			1544	34.1%	-9.29 [-10.78, -7.81]	•
Heterogeneity	: Chi ² = 4.35, d	f= 2 (P =	0.11);1	²= 54%					
Test for overal	l effect: Z = 12.	31 (P < 0	.00001)					
19.3.3 15mg									
Del Prato, 20	21 -9.2	5 43.31	334	2.03	45.03	978	2.5%	-11.28 [-16.71, -5.85]	
Gao, 2023	-7.6	11.67	224	1.09	11.46	215	16.0%	-8.73 [-10.89, -6.57]	_
Ludvik, 2021	-10.1	3 15.78	353	1.15	14.99	351	14.5%	-11.33 [-13.60, -9.06]	
Subtotal (95%	CI)		911			1544	33.0%	-10.07 [-11.57, -8.56]	•
Heterogeneity	: Chi ² = 2.84, d	f= 2 (P =	0.24);1	²= 30%					
Test for overal	l effect: Z = 13.	10 (P < 0	.00001)					
Total (95% CI)			2711			4632	100.0%	-9.15 [-10.02, -8.29]	♦
Heterogeneity	: Chi ² = 11.62.	df = 8 (P	= 0.17);	I ² = 319	X6				
Test for overal	l effect: Z = 20.	75 (P < 0	.00001)					-10 -5 U 5 10
Test for subar	oup difference	s: Chi ² =	3.36. df	= 2 (P =	= 0.19).	I² = 40.	5%		Favours (Trizepaude) Favours (Insulin)
E 5									
t diagram for ti	rzepatide vs	GLP-1 R/	As (A) a	and vs p	blacebo	o (B) ar	nd vs insu	ulin (C) for change in v	waist circumference (cm). GLP-1 RA: semaglutide
ulaglutide.									

was similar to GLP-1 RAs, with slightly higher incidence of cholecystitis in the tirzepatide (10 mg, 15 mg) group compared to GLP-1 RAs. Compared with placebo, the incidence of pancreatitis and cholecystitis in the tirzepatide group was significantly higher. The incidence of pancreatitis in the tirzepatide (5 mg, 10 mg) group was higher than that in the insulin group, and the incidence of cholecystitis in the tirzepatide (10 mg) group was higher than that in the insulin group.

Compared to insulin, the hypoglycemic risk of tirzepatide was significantly lower, and the difference was statistically significant. Tirzepatide had a slightly higher risk of hypoglycemia than GLP-1 RAs and placebo, but the difference was not statistically significant. The risk of MACE-4 was higher for tirzepatide than for GLP-1 RAs, while the risk of MACE-4 was lower for tirzepatide compared to placebo and insulin. However, the differences were not statistically significant. The risk of hypersensitivity reactions between tirzepatide (5 mg, 15 mg) and GLP-1 RA, tirzepatide (10 mg, 15 mg) and placebo is equivalent. The risk of hypersensitivity reactions between tirzepatide and insulin is significantly higher, and it is dose-dependent. Compared with the control group, the tirzepatide group was lower in the risk of hypertension, while in the risk of lipase increased was higher. The incidence of neoplasms was lower in the tirzepatide group compared to the placebo group, but higher compared to the GLP-1 RA and insulin groups.

The above safety meta-analysis results are all shown in Table 3.

Discussion

This study conducted a meta-analysis of the included literature to systematically evaluate the efficacy and safety of tirzepatide as a weight

loss drug. The forest diagram showed that tirzepatide significantly reduced BMI, waist circumference and body weight compared to the control group GLP-1 RAs, placebo, and insulin. And tirzepatide has a significant advantage in weight loss ≥20%, 25% compared with placebo. It has been shown that tirzepatide can reduce weight in a dose-dependent manner. Compared with GLP-1 RAs, placebo and insulin, tirzepatide can significantly reduce the HbA1c level of patients, and the results have been demonstrated in other studies (36, 37). This indicates that tirzepatide has a better metabolic effect. The dual activation of tirzepatide with GIP and GLP-1 receptors leads to an increase in insulin secretion and peripheral insulin sensitivity, while slowing down gastric emptying and the neuromodulation of gastrointestinal motility (19). According to reports, compared to the GLP-1 receptor, tirzepatide has a stronger interaction with the GIP receptor, making the drug an unbalanced and biased dual GIP and GLP-1 receptor agonist (15). In some mouse studies, compared to unbiased agonists, GLP-1 receptor agonists with similar bias signals have better effects on blood glucose and weight control (38, 39).

In terms of safety, the total incidence of adverse reactions with tirzepatide was similar to that of the GLP-1 RA group, slightly higher than that of the placebo and insulin groups. However, there was no significant difference in the incidence of serious adverse events compared to the placebo group. Gastrointestinal adverse reactions were the most common adverse reactions of tirzepatide, with a higher incidence compared to the placebo and insulin groups and slightly higher to the GLP-1 RA group. Tirzepatide gastrointestinal adverse reactions mainly included nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, and decreased appetite, but the severity of these symptoms was mostly mild or moderate and the duration is relatively short. These effects are usually observed in irritable bowel syndrome and may suggest a potential

	Tirz	epatio	le	GL	P-1 R/	A		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
1.1.1 5mg									
Frias, 2018	-4.8	5.33	48	-2.7	5.35	47	9.4%	-2.10 [-4.25, 0.05]	
Frías, 2021	-7.8	7.09	461	-6.2	7.09	462	10.6%	-1.60 [-2.51, -0.69]	
Inagaki, 2022	-5.8	5.04	158	-0.5	5.04	159	10.4%	-5.30 [-6.41, -4.19]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			667			668	30.4%	-3.03 [-5.69, -0.38]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ²	= 4.98; C	hi ² = 2	6.18, df	= 2 (P	< 0.00	001); I ²	= 92%		
Test for overall effec	t: Z = 2.24	4 (P = 0	0.03)						
1.1.2 10 mg									
Frias, 2018	-8.7	5.31	44	-2.7	5.35	47	9.4%	-6.00 [-8.19, -3.81]	
Frías, 2021	-10.3	7.28	459	-6.2	7.09	462	10.5%	-4.10 [-5.03, -3.17]	
Inagaki, 2022	-8.5	5.03	156	-0.5	5.04	159	10.4%	-8.00 [-9.11, -6.89]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			659			668	30.4%	-6.02 [-8.80, -3.25]	
Heterogeneity: Tau ²	= 5.45; C	hi²=2	7.91, df	= 2 (P	< 0.00	001); I ^z	= 93%		
Test for overall effec	t: Z = 4.26	6 (P ≺ 0	0.0001)						
1.1.3 15 mg									
Frias, 2018	-11.3	5.21	35	-2.7	5.35	47	9.2%	-8.60 [-10.91, -6.29]	
Frías, 2021	-12.4	7.32	464	-6.2	7.09	462	10.5%	-6.20 [-7.13, -5.27]	
Heise, 2022	-11.2	6.04	45	-6.9	5.97	44	9.0%	-4.30 [-6.80, -1.80]	
Inagaki, 2022	-10.7	5.06	159	-0.5	5.04	159	10.4%	-10.20 [-11.31, -9.09]	
Subtotal (95% CI)			703			712	39.2%	-7.41 [-10.01, -4.80]	\bullet
Heterogeneity: Tau ²	= 6.23; C	hi = 3	7.22, df	= 3 (P	< 0.00	001); I ²	= 92%		
Test for overall effec	t: Z = 5.57	7 (P < 0	0.00001)					
Total (95% CI)			2029			2048	100.0%	-5.65 [-7.47, -3.82]	•
Heterogeneity Tau ²	= 7.99 C	hi² = 1	86.80	1f = 9 /F	, < U U	00011	P = 95%		
Tect for overall effect: 7 = 6.07 (P < 0.00001)									-10 -5 0 5 10
Test for subgroup d	ifforoncoc	Chiz	- 5 50	45-24			Favours [Tirzepatide] Favours [GLP-1 RA]		

Test for subaroup differences: $Chi^2 = 5.50$. df = 2 (P = 0.06). I² = 63.6%



Tirzepatide			е	P	lacebo			Mean Difference	Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl			
1.2.1 5mg												
Dahl, 2022	-6.2	6.22	115	-1.7	6.19	118	5.9%	-4.50 [-6.09, -2.91]				
Frias, 2018	-4.8	5.33	48	-0.4	5.19	41	5.8%	-4.40 [-6.59, -2.21]				
Jastreboff, 2022	-16	9.73	623	-2.4	10.08	635	6.0%	-13.60 [-14.69, -12.51]	+			
Rosenstock, 2021	-7	5.72	121	-0.7	6.03	112	5.9%	-6.30 [-7.81, -4.79]				
Subtotal (95% CI)			907			906	23.6%	-7.24 [-12.21, -2.26]				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	25.06; C	≎hi² = 12	27.64, 0	3f = 3 (P	< 0.000	001); P	= 98%					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 2.85	(P = 0.0	004)									
1.2.2 10mg												
Dahl, 2022	-8.2	6.17	113	-1.7	6.19	118	5.9%	-6.50 [-8.09, -4.91]				
Frias, 2018	-8.7	5.31	44	-0.4	5.19	41	5.8%	-8.30 [-10.53, -6.07]				
Jastreboff, 2022	-21.4	9.78	629	-2.4	10.08	635	6.0%	-19.00 [-20.09, -17.91]	+			
Rosenstock, 2021	-7.8	5.76	118	-0.7	6.03	112	5.9%	-7.10 [-8.63, -5.57]				
WT Garvey,2023	-12.9	10.59	312	-3.2	8.87	315	5.9%	-9.70 [-11.23, -8.17]				
Subtotal (95% CI)			1216			1221	29.6%	-10.14 [-15.64, -4.64]				
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 38.65; Chi ² = 261.56, df = 4 (P < 0.00001); l ² = 98%												
Test for overall effect:	Z = 3.61	(P = 0.0	0003)									
1.2.3 15mg												
Dahl, 2022	-10.9	6.38	117	-1.7	6.19	118	5.9%	-9.20 [-10.81, -7.59]				
Frias, 2018	-11.3	5.21	35	-0.4	5.19	41	5.8%	-10.90 [-13.25, -8.55]	- -			
Frias, 2020	-5.5	3.84	23	-0.5	3.85	20	5.8%	-5.00 [-7.30, -2.70]				
Frias, 2020	-5.7	4.03	26	-0.5	3.85	20	5.8%	-5.20 [-7.49, -2.91]				
Heise, 2022	-11.2	6.04	45	0	5.82	28	5.7%	-11.20 [-13.99, -8.41]				
Jastreboff, 2022	-22.5	9.75	625	-2.4	10.08	635	6.0%	-20.10 [-21.19, -19.01]	-			
Rosenstock, 2021	-9.5	5.82	116	-0.7	6.03	112	5.9%	-8.80 [-10.34, -7.26]				
WT Garvey,2023	-14.8	8.81	311	-3.2	8.87	315	6.0%	-11.60 [-12.98, -10.22]				
Subtotal (95% CI)			1298			1289	46.8%	-10.28 [-14.34, -6.23]	\bullet			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	: 33.16; C	°hi² = 30	07.34, 0	3f = 7 (P	< 0.000	001); I ^z	= 98%					
Test for overall effect:	Z = 4.97	(P < 0.0	00001)									
Total (95% CI)			3421			3416	100.0%	-9.52 [-12.15, -6.90]	◆			
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	29.63; C	hi ² = 75	53.13, 0	∜f=16 (P < 0.00	0001); I	² = 98%		-20 -10 0 10 2			
Test for overall effect: Test for subaroup diff	∠ = 7.11 erences:	(r² ≤ 0.t Chi² =	0.98. d	f= 2 (P	= 0.61).	I² = 0%	,		Favours [Tirzepatide] Favours [Placebo]			
5 (Continued)												

effect of tirzepatide on the gut microbiota (40). The risk of hypoglycemia is significantly lower in the insulin group, slightly

higher to the GLP-1 RA and placebo groups but without statistically significant differences.

С										
		Tirz	epatid	е	ir	ISUlin	_		Mean Difference	Mean Difference
-	Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl
	1.3.1 5mg									
	Del Prato, 2021	-7.1	5.74	285	1.9	4.62	891	11.2%	-9.00 [-9.73, -8.27]	+
	Gao, 2023	-5	4.65	211	1.5	4.6	194	11.1%	-6.50 [-7.40, -5.60]	+
	Ludvik, 2021	-7.5	6.51	310	2.3	6.59	317	11.0%	-9.80 [-10.83, -8.77]	→
	Subtotal (95% CI)			806			1402	33.3%	-8.43 [-10.29, -6.57]	—
	Heterogeneity: Tau ² =	2.49; CI	hi ^z = 28	6.79, df	= 2 (P	< 0.00	001); I ^z	= 93%		
	Test for overall effect: J	Z = 8.88	(P < 0	.00001)					
	4.0.0.40									
	1.3.2 10mg									-
	Del Prato, 2021	-9.5	5.77	288	1.9	4.62	891	11.2%	-11.40 [-12.13, -10.67]	
	Gao, 2023	-1	4.6	194	1.5	4.6	194	11.1%	-8.50 [-9.42, -7.58]	
	Ludvik, 2021	-10.7	6.31	291	2.3	6.59	317	11.0%	-13.00 [-14.03, -11.97]	
	Subtotal (95% CI)			113			1402	33.3%	-10.90 [-15.50, -8.50]	•
	Heterogeneity: Tau-=	4.30; CI	nr= 44	4.30, at	= 2 (P ·	< 0.00	JU1); I-	= 95%		
	lest for overall effect: .	2 = 8.94	(P < U	.00001)					
	1 3 3 15mg									
	Dol Proto 2021	117	6 6 2	201	1.0	462	001	11 206	12 60 [14 21 -12 00]	+
	Gao. 2022	-7.2	1 60	102	1.5	4.02	104	11.2.0	-13.00 [-14.31,-12.03]	+
	Ludvik, 2021	-12.0	6.25	205	2.2	6.60	217	11.1%	-15 20 [-16 22 -14 17]	+
	Subtotal (95% CI)	-12.5	0.55	779	2.5	0.55	1402	33 3%	-12 50 [-16 11 -8 88]	◆
	Heterogeneity Tau ² =	10.01.0	Chi² = 1	102.02	df = 2	′P < 0 i	10001)	1 ² = 98%	12100 [10111, 0100]	
	Test for overall effect:	7 = 6.77	/P < 0	00001)	,		1 - 00 %		
	restion overall effect.	2 - 0.11	(1 - 0	.00001	/					
	Total (95% CI)			2358			4206	100.0%	-10.63 [-12.42, -8.84]	◆
	Heterogeneity Tau ² =	7 29 [.] CI	hi² = 3(17 54 (1f = 8 (P	< 0.00	1001)-1	² = 97%		
	Test for overall effect:	7 = 11 6	4 (P <	0 0000	1)	0.0		- 01 %		-10 -5 0 5 10
	Test for subgroup diffe	erences	Chi ² =	= 5.13	df = 2 (F)	P = 0.0	8) I ² =	61.0%		Favours [Tirzepatide] Favours [insulin]
				0.10.	2.0	0.0		01.070		
FIGURE	6									
Forest	diagram for tirzepatio	de vs G	LP-1 R	As (A)	and vs	place	bo (B)	and vs ir	nsulin (C) for change in	body weight (kg). GLP-1 RA: semaglutide and
dulaglı	utide.									

However, in this study, the difference in the incidence of other adverse events such as pancreatitis, cholecystitis, MACE-4, hypersensitivity reactions and neoplasms between the two groups is not statistically significant, but the study of these adverse events of tirzepatide has important clinical significance. It serves as a warning for high-risk populations and adopts avoiding or monitoring the use of tirzepatide in order to reduce the incidence of drug-induced diseases or the mortality caused by them. The occurrence of these adverse events may be related to the widespread distribution of GLP-1 receptors in multiple organs or tissues such as the pancreas, heart, and blood vessels, which are simultaneously affected when blood sugar rapidly decreases (41). According to Sattar et al. (42) in a meta-analysis of cardiovascular event risk assessment for tirzepatide, compared with other hypoglycemic drugs, insulin and placebo, tirzepatide does not increase the risk of major cardiovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes. The ongoing RCT (43-46) will confirm whether tirzepatide has long-term safety, and SURPASS-CVOT (NCT04255433) will provide more data on cardiovascular safety for tirzepatide.

Recent studies have shown that obesity is now recognized as comprising many different phenotypes rather than being considered as a singular disease (47). Metabolically healthy obese/overweight (MHO) is a unique phenotype, which is best defined as being obese or overweight but not have any major metabolic disorder or cardiovascular diseases like T2DM. However, it should not be considered a benign disease. MHO patients are at high risk of transforming into metabolically unhealthy obesity, such as diabetic obesity. MHO is also being recognized as a significant risk factor for the development of cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, and peripheral artery disease (48). Therefore, it is also critical to focus on weight loss treatment in the MHO population. This study involved two types of study patients, namely MHO patients and diabetic obese patients. Tirzepatide has a different mechanism of action for these two groups of patients. The effects of Tirzepatide on MHO patients were mainly reflected in improving insulin sensitivity, promoting glucose uptake and utilization, inhibiting fatty acid synthesis in adipocytes and reducing fat synthesis (49). For metabolically unhealthy obese patients, Tirzepatide, in addition to improving insulin resistance and inhibiting fat synthesis, also treats this type of obesity by regulating lipid metabolism, lowering blood pressure and improving cardiovascular health (49). Given the beneficial results of Tirzepatide in metabolic parameters and weight loss in obese patients, Tirzepatide is a potential candidate for use not only in metabolically unhealthy obese patients, but also in MHO patients to help reduce the risk of adverse cardiovascular outcomes and conversion to metabolically unhealthy phenotypes.

Comparing this study with the meta-analysis of tirzepatide conducted by Lin et al. (37), this study adds two new high-quality RCT study (24, 35) with almost participants from the Asia Pacific region, which increase the reliability and applicability of the research results. The latter only analyzes the body weight, one of the efficacy indicators of weight-loss drug, while this study also includes BMI, waist circumference, which are also important indicators of cardiovascular disease and type 2 diabetes. In terms of weight loss percentage, this study focuses on emphasizing the significant weight loss trend of tirzepatide in weight loss \geq 20, 25%. In terms of safety, this study also analyzed severe prognostic factors such as pancreatitis, cholecystitis, MACE-4, hypersensitivity reactions, hypertension, lipase increased and neoplasms. Comparing this study with the study of Tan et al. (50), the latter included seven studies, but one of them had no control group and was not included in the analysis. Therefore, this study included six more RCT studies (24, 27, 31, 32, 34, 35) than the

Control	Intervention	Number of studies	Population	Effect model	RR (95%CI)	p value
Weight loss \geq 5%						
GLP-1 RA	5 mg	3	703/1,348		2.24 [0.81, 6.15]	0.12
	10 mg	3	794/1,340		2.98 [0.95, 9.29]	0.06
	15 mg	3	827/1,350		2.99 [0.93, 9.61]	0.07
	Total effect	3	2,324/4,038	Random	2.61 [1.89, 3.60]	< 0.00001
Placebo	5 mg	4	920/1,833		5.07 [2.85, 9.03]	< 0.00001
	10 mg	5	1,350/2,462		4.31 [2.87, 6.47]	< 0.00001
	15 mg	5	1,368/2,458		4.50 [2.99, 6.78]	< 0.00001
	Total effect	5	3,638/6,753	Random	4.20 [3.44, 5.11]	< 0.00001
Insulin	5 mg	3	677/2,451		9.03 [7.38, 11.05]	< 0.00001
	10 mg	3	813/2,437		11.34 [9.30, 13.83]	< 0.00001
	15 mg	3	873/2,455		12.11 [9.96, 14.73]	< 0.00001
	Total effect	3	2,363/7,343	Fixed	10.83 [9.66, 12.15]	< 0.00001
Weight loss $\geq 10\%$	·			·		
GLP-1 RA	5 mg	3	340/1,348		2.92 [0.78, 10.90]	0.11
	10 mg	3	434/1,340		4.89 [1.24, 19.24]	0.02
	15 mg	3	510/1,350		5.70 [1.36, 23.88]	0.02
	Total effect	3	1,284/4,038	Random	3.97 [2.54, 6.20]	< 0.00001
Placebo	5 mg	4	618/1,833		12.31 [2.70, 56.15]	0.001
	10 mg	5	1,119/2,462		8.31 [2.24, 30.75]	0.002
	15 mg	5	998/2,458		9.17 [4.64, 18.12]	< 0.00001
	Total effect	5	2,735/6,753	Random	9.91 [5.15, 19.09]	< 0.00001
Insulin	5 mg	3	336/2,451		19.74 [13.17, 29.58]	< 0.00001
	10 mg	3	484/2,437		29.47 [19.75, 43.98]	< 0.00001
	15 mg	3	591/2,455		35.84 [24.13, 53.23]	< 0.00001
	Total effect	3	1,153/7,343	Fixed	28.37 [22.53, 35.72]	< 0.00001
Weight loss $\geq 15\%$						
GLP-1 RA	5 mg	3	137/1,348		4.50 [0.78, 25.83]	0.09
	10 mg	3	200/1,340		9.38 [1.48, 59.53]	0.02
	15 mg	3	290/1,350		13.83 [2.00, 95.78]	0.008
	Total effect	3	627/4,038	Random	6.22 [3.30, 11.72]	< 0.00001
Placebo	5 mg	4	419/1,833		8.40 [6.28, 11.25]	< 0.00001
	10 mg	5	732/2,462		12.97 [9.95, 16.91]	< 0.00001
	15 mg	5	789/2,458		14.04 [10.77, 18.29]	< 0.00001
	Total effect	5	1,940/6,753	Fixed	11.98 [10.24, 14.02]	< 0.00001
Insulin	5 mg	3	117/2,451		38.31 [15.18, 96.64]	< 0.00001
	10 mg	3	219/2,437		72.87 [28.76, 184.63]	< 0.00001
	15 mg	3	317/2,455		104.47 [41.99, 259.92]	< 0.00001
	Total effect	3	652/7,343	Fixed	72.01 [42.31, 122.53]	< 0.00001
Weight loss \geq 20%						
Placebo	5 mg	1	101/1,258		16.14 [7.12, 36.55]	< 0.00001
	10 mg	2	238/1,891		33.32 [15.81, 70.21]	< 0.00001
	15 mg	2	281/1,886		39.75 [18.89, 83.65]	< 0.00001
	Total effect	2	620/5,035	Fixed	30.43 [19.56, 47.33]	< 0.00001
Weight loss \geq 25%						
Placebo	5 mg	1	205/1,258		25.10 [12.49, 50.45]	< 0.00001
	10 mg	2	427/1,891		38.18 [21.13, 68.98]	< 0.00001
	15 mg	2	500/1,886		45.13 [25.01, 81.45]	< 0.00001
	Total effect	2	1,132/5,035	Fixed	37.25 [26.03, 53.30]	< 0.00001

TABLE 2 The results of meta-analysis for tirzepatide vs. control group for change in weight loss \geq 5, 10, 15, 20, 25%.

RR, Risk ratio; CI, confidence interval; GLP-1 RA, semaglutide and dulaglutide.

TABLE 3 The results of meta-analysis of safety.

Control	Intervention	Number of studies	RR (95% CI)	p value	I ₂ (%)	p value
Total adverse eve	ents					
GLP-1 RA	5 mg	3	1.01 [0.94, 1.08]	0.79	0	0.58
	10 mg	3	1.05 [0.98, 1.12]	0.19	0	0.59
	15 mg	4	1.07 [1.01, 1.14]	0.03	50	0.11
Placebo	5 mg	4	1.12 [1.06, 1.18]	<0.0001	0	0.46
	10 mg	5	1.08 [0.99, 1.18]	0.07	57	0.05
	15 mg	7	1.13 [1.01, 1.26]	0.03	73	0.001
Insulin	5 mg	3	1.13 [1.02, 1.25]	0.02	68	0.04
	10 mg	3	1.22 [1.06, 1.40]	0.004	85	0.002
	15 mg	3	1.26 [1.11, 1.41]	0.0002	81	0.006
Serious adverse e	events					
GLP-1 RA	5 mg	3	0.96 [0.27, 3.44]	0.95	79	0.009
	10 mg	3	0.14 [0.05, 0.33]	<0.0001	80	0.007
	15 mg	4	0.14 [0.05, 0.39]	0.0001	74	0.01
Placebo	5 mg	4	0.95 [0.66, 1.35]	0.76	0	0.83
	10 mg	5	0.98 [0.73, 1.32]	0.91	0	0.82
	15 mg	7	0.86 [0.63, 1.16]	0.33	0	0.65
Insulin	5 mg	3	0.84 [0.66, 1.06]	0.15	43	0.17
	10 mg	3	0.86 [0.67, 1.11]	0.25	0	0.85
	15 mg	3	0.73 [0.56, 0.96]	0.02	74	0.05
Nausea						
GLP-1 RA	5 mg	3	1.00 [0.69, 1.43]	0.22	35	0.22
	10 mg	3	1.25 [0.68, 2.31]	0.01	77	0.01
	15 mg	4	1.37 [0.94, 1.99]	0.08	56	0.08
Placebo	5 mg	4	2.66 [2.07, 3.42]	<0.00001	0	0.59
	10 mg	5	3.46 [2.79, 4.29]	<0.00001	0	0.61
	15 mg	7	3.35 [2.73, 4.11]	<0.00001	51	0.06
Insulin	5 mg	3	6.44 [4.30, 9.64]	< 0.00001	0	0.54
	10 mg	3	10.44 [7.03, 15.49]	< 0.00001	44	0.17
	15 mg	3	11.90 [8.13, 17.42]	< 0.00001	0	0.59
Diarrhea						
GLP-1 RA	5 mg	3	1.37 [1.04, 1.82]	0.03	50	0.13
	10 mg	3	1.40 [1.06, 1.85]	0.02	0	0.97
	15 mg	4	1.25 [0.96, 1.62]	0.09	35	0.20
Placebo	5 mg	4	2.29 [1.76, 2.99]	< 0.00001	53	0.10
	10 mg	5	2.47 [1.98, 3.08]	< 0.00001	41	0.15
	15 mg	7	2.67 [2.17, 3.30]	< 0.00001	50	0.06
Insulin	5 mg	3	5.67 [2.05, 15.69]	0.0008	87	0.0004
	10 mg	3	7.41 [2.85, 19.28]	<0.0001	86	0.0007
	15 mg	3	7.40 [2.96, 18.53]	<0.0001	85	0.001
Vomiting						
GLP-1 RA	5 mg	3	1.33 [0.38, 4.59]	0.65	76	0.02
	10 mg	3	1.45 [0.74, 2.83]	0.28	40	0.19
	15 mg	4	1.94 [0.76, 4.95]	0.17	74	0.009
Placebo	5 mg	4	4.04 [2.40, 6.82]	< 0.00001	0	0.70

(Continued)

TABLE 3 (Continued)

Control	Intervention	Number of studies	RR (95% CI)	p value	I ₂ (%)	<i>p</i> value
	10 mg	5	4.55 [3.02, 6.85]	< 0.00001	0	0.41
	15 mg	7	5.54 [3.76, 8.14]	< 0.00001	0	0.74
Insulin	5 mg	3	4.38 [2.59, 7.42]	< 0.00001	0	0.43
	10 mg	3	7.22 [4.39, 11.87]	< 0.00001	0	0.41
	15 mg	3	7.12 [4.35, 11.65]	< 0.00001	0	0.53
Decreased appet	ite					
GLP-1 RA	5 mg	3	2.19 [1.14, 4.19]	0.02	50	0.14
	10 mg	3	2.33 [1.11, 4.86]	0.02	60	0.08
	15 mg	4	2.06 [0.84, 5.03]	0.11	89	<0.00001
Placebo	5 mg	4	3.35 [2.16, 5.20]	< 0.00001	0	0.63
	10 mg	5	4.37 [3.00, 6.37]	< 0.00001	0	0.59
	15 mg	7	3.71 [2.65, 5.18]	<0.00001	20	0.28
Insulin	5 mg	3	27.82 [12.15, 63.69]	<0.00001	48	0.15
	10 mg	3	39.92 [17.39, 91.68]	<0.00001	51	0.13
	15 mg	3	38.91 [16.97, 89.21]	< 0.00001	47	0.15
Pancreatitis						
GLP-1 RA	5 mg	3	0.74 [0.17, 3.31]	0.70	63	0.10
	10 mg	3	0.67 [0.11, 3.97]	0.66	_	-
	15 mg	4	1.00 [0.23, 4.37]	1.00	0	0.42
Placebo	5 mg	2	8.01 [1.46, 44.01]	0.07	61	0.11
	10 mg	3	5.02 [1.46, 17.23]	0.06	63	0.07
	15 mg	4	3.16 [1.09, 9.21]	0.08	43	0.15
Insulin	5 mg	2	2.47 [0.63, 9.71]	0.20	65	0.09
	10 mg	2	1.73 [0.38, 7.87]	0.48	53	0.14
	15 mg	2	0.98 [0.16, 6.05]	0.98	8	0.30
Cholecystitis						
GLP-1 RA	5 mg	2	0.99 [0.14, 6.95]	0.99	0	0.34
	10 mg	2	2.40 [0.35, 16.27]	0.37	0	0.45
	15 mg	2	1.51 [0.25, 9.04]	0.65	31	0.23
Placebo	5 mg	2	2.04 [0.51, 8.13]	0.31	_	_
	10 mg	3	1.93 [0.69, 5.39]	0.21	0	0.47
	15 mg	4	1.42 [0.45, 4.47]	0.54	0	0.93
Insulin	5 mg	3	0.23 [0.01, 4.13]	0.32	_	_
	10 mg	3	1.29 [0.32, 5.22]	0.72	0	0.56
	15 mg	3	0.87 [0.19, 3.85]	0.85	0	0.38
Hypoglycemia (<	(70 mg/dL)					
GLP-1 RA	5 mg	2	1.35 [0.31, 5.86]	0.69	0	0.33
	10 mg	2	2.77 [0.75, 10.16]	0.13	0	0.93
	15 mg	2	3.50 [0.92, 13.34]	0.07	6	0.30
Placebo	5 mg	3	1.09 [0.89, 1.35]	0.40	52	0.13
	10 mg	3	1.16 [0.95, 1.43]	0.14	63	0.07
	15 mg	4	1.18 [0.95, 1.46]	0.13	65	0.03
Insulin	5 mg	3	0.39 [0.21, 0.73]	0.003	95	< 0.00001
	10 mg	3	0.48 [0.30, 0.77]	0.002	92	<0.00001
	15 mg	3	0.50 [0.33, 0.76]	0.001	91	< 0.00001

(Continued)

14

TABLE 3 (Continued)

Control	Intervention	Number of studies	RR (95% CI)	p value	I ₂ (%)	p value					
MACE-4											
GLP-1 RA	5 mg	3	2.20 [0.49, 9.77]	0.30	58	0.12					
	10 mg	3	1.51 [0.26, 8.91]	0.65	-	_					
	15 mg	4	1.33 [0.30, 5.91]	0.71	63	0.10					
Placebo	5 mg	4	0.56 [0.19, 1.66]	0.30	0	0.64					
	10 mg	5	0.84 [0.37, 1.90]	0.68	0	0.78					
	15 mg	7	0.36 [0.14, 0.96]	0.04	0	0.63					
Insulin	5 mg	3	0.99 [0.63, 1.58]	0.98	0	0.63					
	10 mg	3	0.85 [0.52, 1.39]	0.53	0	0.97					
	15 mg	3	0.60 [0.34, 1.06]	0.08	38	0.20					
Hypersensitivity											
GLP-1 RA	5 mg	3	1.00 [0.44, 2.24]	0.99	22	0.26					
	10 mg	3	1.36 [0.64, 2.88]	0.43	0	0.34					
	15 mg	3	1.00 [0.45, 2.21]	1.00	3	0.36					
Placebo	5 mg	4	1.42 [0.62, 3.24]	0.41	51	0.13					
	10 mg	4	0.89 [0.36, 2.22]	0.80	0	0.58					
	15 mg	5	0.92 [0.41, 2.06]	0.85	3	0.39					
Insulin	5 mg	3	1.75 [0.83, 3.68]	0.14	0	0.45					
	10 mg	3	1.77 [0.83, 3.80]	0.14	0	0.36					
	15 mg	3	1.96 [0.93, 4.15]	0.08	0	0.84					
Hypertension											
GLP-1 RA	5 mg	3	0.30 [0.08, 1.07]	0.06	0	0.83					
	10 mg	3	0.20 [0.04, 0.93]	0.04	0	0.94					
	15 mg	3	0.20 [0.04, 0.91]	0.04	0	0.74					
Placebo	5 mg	3	0.37 [0.12, 1.14]	0.08	0	0.89					
	10 mg	3	0.37 [0.12, 1.13]	0.08	0	0.90					
	15 mg	3	0.30 [0.08, 1.08]	0.07	0	0.50					
Insulin	5 mg	2	0.54 [0.27, 1.09]	0.09	0	0.70					
	10 mg	2	0.40 [0.18, 0.86]	0.02	56	0.13					
	15 mg	2	0.54 [0.27, 1.09]	0.08	0	0.71					
Lipase increased											
GLP-1 RA	5 mg	3	1.66 [0.74, 3.70]	0.22	42	0.19					
	10 mg	3	1.13 [0.48, 2.68]	0.78	0	0.52					
	15 mg	4	1.45 [0.68, 3.07]	0.34	39	0.20					
Placebo	5 mg	2	1.37 [0.45, 4.19]	0.58	0	0.49					
	10 mg	2	1.20 [0.38, 3.83]	0.75	0	0.82					
	15 mg	3	1.97 [0.83, 4.67]	0.12	51	0.13					
Insulin	5 mg	3	3.06 [1.92, 4.87]	< 0.00001	46	0.16					
	10 mg	3	3.05 [1.92, 4.82]	< 0.00001	26	0.26					
	15 mg	3	3.64 [2.35, 5.63]	< 0.00001	0	0.69					
Neoplasms benig	Neoplasms benign, malignant, and unspecified										
GLP-1 RA	5 mg	3	4.00 [0.85, 18.73]	0.08	0	0.75					
	10 mg	3	2.01 [0.37, 10.90]	0.42	0	1.00					
	15 mg	3	2.49 [0.49, 12.76]	0.27	0	0.81					

(Continued)

Control	Intervention	Number of studies	RR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value	I ₂ (%)	p value
Placebo	5 mg	4	0.68 [0.29, 1.63]	0.39	0	0.74
	10 mg	5	0.25 [0.09, 0.67]	0.06	0	0.86
	15 mg	5	0.52 [0.25, 1.08]	0.08	0	0.96
Insulin	5 mg	3	1.10 [0.47, 2.54]	0.83	15	0.31
	10 mg	3	1.47 [0.68, 3.14]	0.33	0	0.41
	15 mg	3	1.25 [0.56, 2.81]	0.58	43	0.17

TABLE 3 (Continued)

RR, Risk ratio; CI, confidence interval; GLP-1 RA, semaglutide and dulaglutide; MACE-4, Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events-4.

latter. The number of patients in this study reached tens of thousands for the first time, and the results were more reliable. The analysis of weight loss \geq 20, 25% was added in this study. In terms of efficacy indicators, the analysis of BMI was added in this study. Pancreatitis, cholecystitis, MACE-4, hypersensitivity reactions, hypertension, and lipase increased were added in terms of safety indicators.

There are several limitations. First, China has a large population and accounting for more than a quarter of all diabetes patients in the world (51). However, only three of the 12 articles included mainly studied on people in the Asia Pacific region, and further data on the efficacy and safety of tirzepatide in the broader Asian patient population are needed. Second, most of the subjects included in this study were patients with type 2 diabetes, and the study on the use of tirzepatide in MHO patients was more consistent with its original intention as a weight loss drug. Third, the research subjects are all adults, and it is still necessary to study the safety of tirzepatide for use in children and adolescents. Finally, the current research duration is relatively limited, more high-quality, large-scale clinical studies are still needed to verify and promote clinical use. And the long-term safety of tirzepatide still needs to be investigated.

At present, FDA has only approved five drugs as alternatives to obesity treatment (orlistat, phentermine/topiramate, naltrexone/ bupropion, liraglutide 3 mg and semaglutide 2.4 mg) (52). As more and more literature shows that tirzepatide has a good effect on weight and has good safety, tirzepatide has the potential to become a new weight loss drug, and more high-quality RCTs will be used to verify this indication in the future.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

References

1. NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC). Worldwide trends in body-mass index, underweight, overweight, and obesity from 1975 to 2016: a pooled analysis of 2416 population-based measurement studies in 128.9 million children, adolescents, and adults. *Lancet.* (2017) 390:2627–42. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32129-3

2. Pujia R, Tarsitano MG, Arturi F, de Lorenzo A, Lenzi A, Pujia A, et al. Advances in phenotyping obesity and in its dietary and pharmacological treatment: a narrative review. *Front Nutr.* (2022) 9:804719. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2022.804719

3. Afshin A, Forouzanfar MH, Reitsma MB, Sur P, Estep K, Lee A, et al. Health effects of overweight and obesity in 195 countries over 25 years. *N Engl J Med.* (2017) 377:13–27. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1614362

Author contributions

WC: Data curation, Writing – original draft. RZ: Data curation, Writing – original draft. YY: Data curation, Writing – original draft. QW: Data curation, Writing – review & editing. JZ: Data curation, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Acknowledgments

We thank all authors for their important contributions.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

6. Jensen MD, Ryan DH, Apovian CM, Ard JD, Comuzzie AG, Donato KA, et al. 2013 Aha/Acc/Tos guideline for the management of overweight and obesity in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on

^{4.} WHO Expert Consultation. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. *Lancet.* (2004) 363:157–63. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(03)15268-3

^{5.} Emanuele di AngelantonioBhupathiraju SN, Wormser D, Gao P, Kaptoge S, de Gonzalez AB, et al. Body-mass index and all-cause mortality: individual-participantdata meta-analysis of 239 prospective studies in four continents. *Lancet*. (2016) 388:776–86. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30175-1

practice guidelines and the Obesity Society. Circulation. (2014) 129:S102-38. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.0000437739.71477.ee

7. Pan XF, Wang L, Pan A. Epidemiology and determinants of obesity in China. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol. (2021) 9:373–92. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(21)00045-0

8. Wormser D, Kaptoge S, Di Angelantonio E, Wood AM, Pennells L, Thompson A, et al. Separate and combined associations of body-mass index and abdominal adiposity with cardiovascular disease: collaborative analysis of 58 prospective studies. *Lancet.* (2011) 377:1085–95. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60105-0

9. Siren R, Eriksson JG, Vanhanen H. Waist circumference a good indicator of future risk for type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease. *BMC Public Health*. (2012) 12:631. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-12-631

10. Csige I, Ujvárosy D, Szabó Z, Lőrincz I, Paragh G, Harangi M, et al. The impact of obesity on the cardiovascular system. *J Diabetes Res.* (2018) 2018:1–12. doi: 10.1155/2018/3407306

11. Lazzaroni E, Ben Nasr M, Loretelli C, Pastore I, Plebani L, Lunati ME, et al. Antidiabetic drugs and weight loss in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Pharmacol Res.* (2021) 171:105782. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2021.105782

12. Cosentino F, Grant PJ, Aboyans V, Bailey CJ, Ceriello A, Delgado V, et al. 2019 esc guidelines on diabetes, pre-diabetes, and cardiovascular diseases developed in collaboration with the Easd. *Eur Heart J*. (2020) 41:255–323. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehz486

13. Jepsen MM, Christensen MB. Emerging glucagon-like peptide 1 receptor agonists for the treatment of obesity. *Expert Opin Emerg Drugs*. (2021) 26:231–43. doi: 10.1080/14728214.2021.1947240

14. BMJ Publishing Group Ltd and Royal College of Paediatrics and Child Health. Glucagon-like peptide 1 (Glp-1) analogues and weight loss. *Arch Dis Child.* (2020) 105:663. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2020-319809

15. Willard FS, Douros JD, Gabe MB, Showalter AD, Wainscott DB, Suter TM, et al. Tirzepatide is an imbalanced and biased dual Gip and Glp-1 receptor agonist. *JCI Insight*. (2020) 5:e140532. doi: 10.1172/jci.insight.140532

16. Nauck MA, Quast DR, Wefers J, Pfeiffer AFH. The evolving story of incretins (Gip and Glp-1) in metabolic and cardiovascular disease: a pathophysiological update. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* (2021) 23:5–29. doi: 10.1111/dom.14496

17. Kim SJ, Nian C, Mcintosh CH. Gip increases human adipocyte Lpl expression through Creb and Torc2-mediated trans-activation of the Lpl gene. *J Lipid Res.* (2010) 51:3145–57. doi: 10.1194/jlr.M006841

18. Kim KS, Seeley RJ, Sandoval DA. Signalling from the periphery to the brain that regulates energy homeostasis. *Nat Rev Neurosci.* (2018) 19:185–96. doi: 10.1038/nrn.2018.8

19. Nauck MA, Meier JJ. Incretin hormones: their role in health and disease. *Diabetes Obes Metab.* (2018) 20:5–21. doi: 10.1111/dom.13129

20. Mullard A. Lilly's tirzepatide secures first approval in diabetes, paving path for dual-acting hormone mimetics. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. (2022) 21:480–1. doi: 10.1038/ d41573-022-00103-4

21. Syed YY. Tirzepatide: first approval. Drugs. (2022) 82:1213–20. doi: 10.1007/ s40265-022-01746-8

22. Reimann F, Diakogiannaki E, Hodge D, Gribble FM. Cellular mechanisms governing glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide secretion. *Peptides*. (2020) 125:170206. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2019.170206

23. Jastreboff AM, Aronne LJ, Ahmad NN, Wharton S, Connery L, Alves B, et al. Tirzepatide once weekly for the treatment of obesity. *N Engl J Med.* (2022) 387:205–16. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2206038

24. Garvey WT, Frias JP, Jastreboff AM, le Roux CW, Sattar N, Aizenberg D, et al. Tirzepatide once weekly for the treatment of obesity in people with type 2 diabetes (Surmount-2): a double-blind, randomised, multicentre, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* (2023) 402:613–26. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(23)01200-X

25. Uk NCGC. Obesity: Identification, assessment and Management of Overweight and Obesity in children, Young people and adults: Partial update of Cg43[M]. London: National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) (2014).

26. Frias JP, Nauck MA, van J, Kutner ME, Cui X, Benson C, et al. Efficacy and safety of Ly3298176, a novel dual Gip and Glp-1 receptor agonist, in patients with type 2 diabetes: a randomised, placebo-controlled and active comparator-controlled phase 2 trial. *Lancet.* (2018) 392:2180–93. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32260-8

27. Frias JP, Nauck MA, van J, Benson C, Bray R, Cui X, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of tirzepatide, a dual glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide and glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonist in patients with type 2 diabetes: a 12-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to evaluate different dose-escalation regimens. *Diab Obes Metab.* (2020) 22:938–46. doi: 10.1111/dom.13979

28. del Prato S, Kahn SE, Pavo I, Weerakkody GJ, Yang Z, et al. Tirzepatide versus insulin glargine in type 2 diabetes and increased cardiovascular risk (Surpass-4): a randomised, open-label, parallel-group, multicentre, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* (2021) 398:1811–24. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02188-7

29. Frías JP, Davies MJ, Rosenstock J, Pérez Manghi FC, Fernández Landó L, Bergman BK, et al. Tirzepatide versus Semaglutide once weekly in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* (2021) 385:503–15. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2107519

30. Ludvik B, Giorgino F, Jodar E, Frias JP, Landó LF, Brown K, et al. Once-weekly tirzepatide versus once-daily insulin degludec as add-on to metformin with or without

Sglt2 inhibitors in patients with type 2 diabetes (Surpass-3): a randomised, open-label, parallel-group, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* (2021) 398:583–98. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01443-4

31. Rosenstock J, Wysham C, Frías JP, Kaneko S, Lee CJ, Fernández Landó L, et al. Efficacy and safety of a novel dual Gip and Glp-1 receptor agonist tirzepatide in patients with type 2 diabetes (Surpass-1): a double-blind, randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* (2021) 398:143–55. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)01324-6

32. Dahl D, Onishi Y, Norwood P, Huh R, Bray R, Patel H, et al. Effect of subcutaneous Tirzepatide vs placebo added to titrated insulin glargine on glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes: the Surpass-5 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA*. (2022) 327:534–45. doi: 10.1001/jama.2022.0078

33. Heise T, Mari A, DeVries JH, Urva S, Li J, Pratt EJ, et al. Effects of subcutaneous tirzepatide versus placebo or semaglutide on pancreatic islet function and insulin sensitivity in adults with type 2 diabetes: a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, parallel-arm, phase 1 clinical trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* (2022) 10:418–29. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(22)00085-7

34. Inagaki N, Takeuchi M, Oura T, Imaoka T, Seino Y. Efficacy and safety of tirzepatide monotherapy compared with dulaglutide in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes (Surpass J-mono): a double-blind, multicentre, randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol.* (2022) 10:623–33. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(22)00188-7

35. Gao L, Lee BW, Chawla M, Kim J, Huo L, du L, et al. Tirzepatide versus insulin glargine as second-line or third-line therapy in type 2 diabetes in the Asia-Pacific region: the Surpass-Ap-combo trial. *Nat Med.* (2023) 29:1500–10. doi: 10.1038/ s41591-023-02344-1

36. Bhagavathula AS, Vidyasagar K, Tesfaye W. Efficacy and safety of Tirzepatide in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and Meta-analysis of randomized phase ii/iii trials. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)*. (2021) 14:991. doi: 10.3390/ph14100991

37. Lin F, Yu B, Ling B, Lv G, Shang H, Zhao X, et al. Weight loss efficiency and safety of tirzepatide: a systematic review. *PLoS One.* (2023) 18:e285197. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0285197

38. Jones B, Buenaventura T, Kanda N, Chabosseau P, Owen BM, Scott R, et al. Targeting Glp-1 receptor trafficking to improve agonist efficacy. *Nat Commun.* (2018) 9:1602. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-03941-2

39. Lucey M, Pickford P, Bitsi S, Minnion J, Ungewiss J, Schoeneberg K, et al. Disconnect between signalling potency and *in vivo* efficacy of pharmacokinetically optimised biased glucagon-like peptide-1 receptor agonists. *Mol Metab.* (2020) 37:100991. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2020.100991

40. Batiha GE, Al-Kuraishy HM, Al-Gareeb AI, Ashour NA, Negm WA. Potential role of tirzepatide towards Covid-19 infection in diabetic patients: a perspective approach. *Inflammopharmacology*. (2023) 31:1683–93. doi: 10.1007/s10787-023-01239-4

41. Drucker DJ. Glp-1 physiology informs the pharmacotherapy of obesity. *Mol Metab.* (2022) 57:101351. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2021.101351

42. Sattar N, Mcguire DK, Pavo I, Weerakkody GJ, Nishiyama H, Wiese RJ, et al. Tirzepatide cardiovascular event risk assessment: a pre-specified meta-analysis. *Nat Med.* (2022) 28:591–8. doi: 10.1038/s41591-022-01707-4

43. Min T, Bain SC. The role of Tirzepatide, dual Gip and Glp-1 receptor agonist, in the Management of Type 2 diabetes: the Surpass clinical trials. *Diabetes Ther.* (2021) 12:143–57. doi: 10.1007/s13300-020-00981-0

44. Palmer SC, Tendal B, Mustafa RA, Vandvik PO, Li S, Hao Q, et al. Sodium-glucose cotransporter protein-2 (Sglt-2) inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide-1 (Glp-1) receptor agonists for type 2 diabetes: systematic review and network meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ*. (2021) 372:m4573. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m4573

45. Andersen A, Lund A, Knop FK, Vilsbøll T. Glucagon-like peptide 1 in health and disease. *Nat Rev Endocrinol.* (2018) 14:390–403. doi: 10.1038/s41574-018-0016-2

46. Coskun T, Sloop KW, Loghin C, Alsina-Fernandez J, Urva S, Bokvist KB, et al. Ly3298176, a novel dual Gip and Glp-1 receptor agonist for the treatment of type 2 diabetes mellitus: from discovery to clinical proof of concept. *Mol Metab.* (2018) 18:3–14. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2018.09.009

47. Brandao I, Martins MJ, Monteiro R. Metabolically healthy obesity-heterogeneity in definitions and unconventional factors. *Meta.* (2020) 10:48. doi: 10.3390/metabo10020048

48. Copur S, Tanriover C, Yavuz F, Tuttle KR, Kanbay M. Tirzepatide and potential use for metabolically healthy obesity. *Eur J Intern Med.* (2023) 113:1–5. doi: 10.1016/j. ejim.2023.05.012

49. Tanriover C, Copur S, Gaipov A, Ozlusen B, Akcan RE, Kuwabara M, et al. Metabolically healthy obesity: misleading phrase or healthy phenotype? *Eur J Intern Med.* (2023) 111:5–20. doi: 10.1016/j.ejim.2023.02.025

50. Tan B, Pan XH, Chew H, Goh RSJ, Lin C, Anand VV, et al. Efficacy and safety of tirzepatide for treatment of overweight or obesity. A systematic review and metaanalysis. *Int J Obes*. (2023) 47:677–85. doi: 10.1038/s41366-023-01321-5

51. Li Y, Teng D, Shi X, Qin G, Qin Y, Quan H, et al. Prevalence of diabetes recorded in mainland China using 2018 diagnostic criteria from the American Diabetes Association: national cross sectional study. *BMJ*. (2020) 369:m997. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m997

52. Jung HN, Jung CH. The upcoming weekly tides (Semaglutide vs. Tirzepatide) against obesity: step or Surpass? *J Obes Metab Syndr*. (2022) 31:28–36. doi: 10.7570/jomes22012