Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Sheng Wu, Temple University, United States

REVIEWED BY Kei Nakata, Sapporo Medical University, Japan Kamyar Asadipooya, University of Kentucky, United States Jun Lyu, First Affiliated Hospital of Jinan University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Jung Soo Lim ⊠ isiss21@yonsei.ac.kr

RECEIVED 07 July 2023 ACCEPTED 26 January 2024 PUBLISHED 12 February 2024

CITATION

Lee S, Chang JS, Park K-S, Koh S-B, Kim MY and Lim JS (2024) Sex-specific association of low-renin hypertension with metabolic and musculoskeletal health in Korean older adults. *Front. Public Health* 12:1250945. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2024.1250945

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Lee, Chang, Park, Koh, Kim and Lim. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Sex-specific association of low-renin hypertension with metabolic and musculoskeletal health in Korean older adults

Seunghyun Lee¹, Jae Seung Chang^{2,3}, Kyu-Sang Park³, Sang-Baek Koh⁴, Moon Young Kim^{5,6,7} and Jung Soo Lim^{1*}

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju, Republic of Korea, ²Department of Sports Science, Hannam University, Daejeon, Republic of Korea, ³Department of Physiology, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju, Republic of Korea, ⁴Department of Preventive Medicine, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju, Republic of Korea, ⁵Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju, Republic of Korea, ⁶Regeneration Medicine Research Center, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju, Republic of Korea, ⁷Cell Therapy and Tissue Engineering Center, Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Wonju, Republic of Korea

Introduction: Low-renin hypertension (LRH) accounts for approximately one-third of patients with hypertension and are more prevalent in women and the older adult population. Previous study has found a link between the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) and sex hormones. However, there are insufficient data on the relationship between LRH and metabolic or musculoskeletal outcomes in older adults.

Methods and materials: Among the 343 participants from a population-based cohort study conducted between May 2018 and August 2019, a total of 256 (86 men older than 50 years and 170 postmenopausal women) were included. The presence of LRH was defined as plasma renin activity (PRA) <1 ng/mL/h and systolic blood pressure (BP) \geq 130 or diastolic BP \geq 80 mmHg based on the 2017 ACC/AHA guidelines. Individuals with missing data, and those who had used medications that could affect PRA within the past six months were excluded. Bone mineral density (BMD), trabecular bone score (TBS), and appendicular lean mass (ALM) index were assessed using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; degraded TBS was defined as partially degraded to degraded levels (\leq 1.350). Muscle function was assessed according to the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia guidelines. PRA was measured using radioimmunoassay.

Results: The median age was 66 [61–72] years, and the body mass index (BMI) was 24.7 [23.0–26.4] kg/m². Individuals with LRH, accounting for 34.8%, had lower diabetes mellitus; more dyslipidemia; and poorer muscle function, BMD, and TBS than those in the non-LRH group. In addition, PRA was positively correlated with C-peptide, HOMA-IR, TBS, and ALM index. After adjusting for covariates including age and BMI, LRH was negatively associated with femur neck T-score (adjusted $\beta = -0.30$, 95% CI [-0.55 to -0.05], p = 0.021) and the presence of LRH was significantly associated with degraded TBS in women (adjusted odds ratio = 3.00, 95% CI [1.36-6.58], p = 0.006).

Conclusion: Our findings suggest that LRH can influence clinical features and metabolic risk in older adults. Notably, LRH in postmenopausal women was linked to lower femur neck T-scores and degraded TBS, indicating sexspecific effects of LRH on bone health. Larger prospective studies are required

to elucidate how changes in the RAAS affect metabolic and musculoskeletal outcomes in older adults.

KEYWORDS

low-renin hypertension, plasma renin activity, primary aldosteronism, bone mineral density, trabecular bone score, sex difference

1 Introduction

The renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) is a circulatory system that is crucial for regulating fluid balance as well as sodium and potassium homeostasis, and its dysregulation may lead to arterial hypertension (1). Classification based on renin levels can help differentiate the pathophysiological causes of hypertension (2). Approximately one-third of patients with hypertension have essential hypertension and low plasma renin activity (PRA), also known as low-renin hypertension (LRH) (3). LRH is more common in women, older adults, and individuals of African descent (4, 5). LRH is a multifactorial disease category that includes patients with a high-sodium diet, primary aldosteronism (PA), hypercortisolism, congenital adrenal hyperplasia, Gordon syndrome, Liddle syndrome, and medications, such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors (6). Although the final mechanism for LRH development is the activation of mineralocorticoid receptors, which is also linked to the pathogenesis of PA (7), the clinical significance of LRH remains unclear.

The relationship between the RAAS and sex hormones has been widely investigated. Estradiol increases angiotensinogen, angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 (ACE2), and angiotensin type 2 (AT2) receptor expression, while decreasing renin, ACE, and AT1 receptor expression (8, 9). It also acts as an immunomodulator of RAAS (10). Estradiol deficiency after menopause induces low-grade inflammation, which may contribute to the proinflammatory activity of the RAAS and increase oxidative stress (11). Little is known about the effects of androgens on RAAS; however, studies have demonstrated that testosterone activates renin, ACE, and AT1 receptors and inhibits AT2 receptors (12).

Several studies have reported an association among impaired metabolism, musculoskeletal health, and RAAS (13–15). Activation of RAAS may play a central role in the development of obesity; elevated angiotensin II levels are also associated with hypertension, dyslipidemia, and insulin resistance (16). Moreover, bone tissue expresses receptors for RAAS components, such as AT1, AT2, or mineralocorticoid, suggesting that activating the local RAAS response could increase bone turnover, and consequently, bone density (17). Accordingly, LRH is likely to have different clinical features depending on the sex. However, whether LRH is associated with metabolic and musculoskeletal health, particularly among older adults, remains unclear.

Therefore, this study aimed to investigate (1) the differences in clinical features depending on the presence of LRH, (2) the association between RAAS components and metabolic and musculoskeletal parameters, and (3) the sex-specific association of LRH with metabolic and musculoskeletal health in the older adults.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study participants

Study participants were recruited from 1,894 individuals who completed the third follow-up survey (from May 2011 to October 2017) among those already enrolled in the Korean Genome and Epidemiology Study on Atherosclerosis Risk of Rural Areas in the Korean General Population (KoGES-ARIRANG), a population-based cohort study to assess the prevalence, incidence, and risk factors of chronic disorders such as hypertension, diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular disease (18, 19). As shown in Figure 1, among the 348 participants enrolled in the fatty liver cohort, those with missing data, including bone mineral density (BMD) or trabecular bone score (TBS), and those who used medications affecting plasma renin levels within the past six months (nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and beta-blockers) were excluded. A total of 256 participants (86 men and 170 women) were included for analyses. All included participants were men aged >50 years or post-menopausal women. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB), and all study participants provided written informed consent before participation (IRB numbers CR317131, CR318003, and CR322353).

2.2 Measurements

Venous blood samples were drawn from the study participants after fasting for >12h or overnight. Serum aliquots were stored at -80°C freezer until thawed for analysis within one week after blood extraction. A calibrated Roche Cobas® 8,000 modular analyzer consisting of c702a and e801 modules was used to perform routine biochemical tests using the manufacturer's reagents and calibrators (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). Enzymatic colorimetric techniques were used to assess the triglycerides, total cholesterol, and highdensity lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol levels. Colorimetric techniques were used to measure the aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), creatinine, albumin, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), protein, and total bilirubin levels. The hexokinase method was used to measure the fasting blood glucose levels. An electrochemiluminescence immunoassay was used to measure insulin and C-peptide levels. Molybdate UV and 3 NM-BAPTA techniques were used to quantify calcium and phosphorus, respectively. The formula [fasting insulin (U/ mL)×fasting glucose (mg/dL)]/405 was used to calculate the homeostatic model assessment index (HOMA-IR). According to the manufacturer's instructions, the serum concentrations of fibroblast growth factor 21 (FGF21), FGF19, growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15), adiponectin, leptin, retinol binding protein 4 (RBP4), interleukin 6 (IL6), transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF- β 1), and



myostatin were quantified using the human Quantikine ELISA kits (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, United States) and decorin level was measured using the Raybio human DCN ELISA kit (RayBiotech, Norcross, GA, United States). All mean intra-assay and interassay coefficients of variation (CV) were < 10%.

PRA and aldosterone concentrations were measured using radioimmunoassay (RIA) (Immunotech, Czech Republic), and angiotensin II levels were measured in the sitting position using an ELISA kit (Elabscience, Houston, Texas, United States). The intraassay CV for PRA, aldosterone, and angiotensin II were 11.3, 11.9, and <10%, respectively, and the inter-assay CVs were 20.9, 10.2%, and <10%, respectively.

The presence of LRH was defined as a PRA < 1 ng/mL/h and the presence of hypertension, which was defined systolic blood pressure (BP) \geq 130, or diastolic BP \geq 80 mmHg based on the 2017 ACC/AHA guidelines or taking antihypertensive medication (20). The non-LRH group included participants with normal-to-high renin (PRA \geq 1 ng/mL/h) hypertension as well as those without hypertension. BMD and TBS were measured using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA); degraded TBS was defined as partially degraded to degraded levels (\leq 1.350) (21). The Short Physical Performance Battery (SPPB), usual gait speed, timed-up-and-go test, 5-timed chair stand test were assessed according to the Asian Working Group for Sarcopenia guidelines (22).

2.3 Statistical analyses

Data are presented as mean±standard deviation (SD), median [interquartile range (IQR)], or numbers (percentages) in Table 1 and

Supplementary Table S1. Independent *t*-test, Wilcoxon rank-sum test, or Pearson's chi-square test were performed, as appropriate, to compare the clinical characteristics between the LRH and non-LRH groups. The correlations between the RAAS components and metabolic and musculoskeletal parameters were assessed using the Spearman correlation coefficient (r). Univariate and multivariate regression models were used to investigate the association between the presence of LRH and femur neck T-scores as well as muscle function tests. Univariate and multiple linear regression analyses were performed to determine whether the presence of LRH influenced the degraded TBS. We used STATA 17.0 (Stata Corp LP, College Station, TX, United States) in all analyses. All statistical tests were two-sided, and the significance level was set at p < 0.05.

3 Results

3.1 Clinical characteristics of the study participants

Among the 256 participants, 170 (66.4%) were women, 120 (46.9%) had hypertension, and 89 (34.8%) had LRH (Figure 2). The median age of study population was 66 [61–72] years, and the body mass index (BMI) was 24.7 [23.0–26.4] kg/m². Men accounts for 24.7 and 38.3% in the LRH and non-LRH groups, respectively, and the difference was significant (p=0.028). Individuals with LRH were prescribed more antihypertensive medications, had less diabetes mellitus (DM) and more dyslipidemia than non-LRH group (antihypertensive medication, 58.4% vs. 40.1%, p=0.005; DM, 11.2%

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics.

	LRH (<i>n</i> = 89)	Non-LRH (<i>n</i> = 167)	Total (<i>n</i> = 256)	<i>p</i> -value
Age (y)	67 (62–72)	65 (61–72)	66 (61–72)	0.284
Sex [men, <i>n</i> (%)]	22 (24.7%)	64 (38.3%)	86 (33.6%)	0.028*
HTN med (<i>n</i> , %)	52 (58.4%)	67 (40.1%)	119 (46.5%)	0.005*
DM (n, %)	10 (11.2%)	42 (25.1%)	52 (20.3%)	0.008*
Dyslipidemia (<i>n</i> , %)	47 (52.8%)	61 (36.5%)	108 (42.2%)	0.012*
Osteoporosis (n, %)	24 (27.0%)	30 (18.0%)	54 (21.1%)	0.093
PRA (ng/mL)	0.6 (0.3–0.7)	1.2 (0.6–2.9)	0.8 (0.5–1.6)	<0.001*
Aldosterone (pg/mL)	15.6 (12.8–19.1)	14.8 (11.9–18.9)	15.2 (12.0–19.0)	0.348
Angiotensin II (pg/mL)	66.6 (42.7–115.2)	75.7 (58.3–105.6)	74.4 (53.7–108.2)	0.189
AST (U/L)	22 (20–26)	22 (19–26)	22 (19–26)	0.732
ALT (U/L)	18 (14–22)	18 (13–23)	18 (13–23)	0.806
Cr (mg/dL)	0.7 (0.6–0.8)	0.8 (0.6–0.9)	0.7 (0.6–0.9)	0.386
Metabolic stress-related biomarkers				
RBP4 (µg/mL)	29.7 (26.0-36.2)	31.2 (27.1–35.9)	30.7 (26.8–36.0)	0.450
IL6 (pg/mL)	1.65 (1.19–2.41)	1.44 (1.04–2.31)	1.53 (1.08–2.35)	0.107
Myostatin (pg/mL)	2.70 (2.08-3.16)	2.59 (2.00-3.38)	2.61 (2.03-3.31)	0.965
Tgfb1 (pg/mL)	24.0 (20.5–28.9)	24.3 (19.5–30.0)	24.2 (20.0–29.4)	0.734
Decorin (pg/mL)	6.9 (5.9-8.2)	6.9 (5.9–7.8)	6.9 (5.9-8.0)	0.348
GDF15 (pg/mL)	859 (708–1,027)	849 (656–1,197)	854 (671–1,151)	0.784
FGF19 (pg/mL)	169 (109–289)	172 (110–311)	170 (110-301)	0.889
FGF21 (pg/mL)	235 (133–318)	183 (119–301)	191 (127–317)	0.156
Metabolic parameters				
BMI (kg/m ²)	24.4 (23.3–26.3)	24.9 (23.0–26.6)	24.7 (23.0–26.4)	0.783
Total fat (%)	38.5 (32.9–41.7)	36.8 (30.3-40.6)	37.3 (30.8–41.3)	0.082
Glucose (mg/dL)	98 (92–105)	100 (93–110)	99 (93–110)	0.200
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	114 (89–167)	129 (90–212)	125 (90–192)	0.196
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	179 ± 35	176 ± 35	177 ± 35	0.502
HDL cholesterol (mg/dL)	54 (48–61)	51 (42–62)	52 (42–62)	0.158
C-peptide (ng/mL)	1.9 (1.6–2.8)	2.2 (1.5-3.2)	2.1 (1.5–3.1)	0.186
Insulin (uIU/mL)	6.8 (4.7–11.6)	7.3 (5.1–12.0)	7.1 (5.1–11.9)	0.363
HOMA-IR	1.6 (1.0–2.9)	1.8 (1.2–3.2)	1.7 (1.2–3.1)	0.203
ΗΟΜΑ-β	74 (52–111)	69 (49–105)	72 (50–107)	0.537
Adiponectin (ng/mL)	7.6 (4.2–11.9)	6.1 (3.5–9.9)	6.4 (3.7–10.8)	0.123
Leptin (ng/mL)	9.6 (4.4–14.1)	7.3 (3.8–12.9)	8.1 (3.9–13.5)	0.072
Musculoskeletal parameters				
Ca (mg/dL)	9.5 ± 0.3	9.6 ± 0.3	9.5 ± 0.3	0.090
P (mg/dL)	3.7 ± 0.4	3.8 ± 0.5	3.8 ± 0.4	0.693
ALP (IU/L)	66 (58–80)	70 (56–81)	68 (57–81)	0.365
ALM index (kg/m ²)	5.6 (5.1-6.4)	5.9 (5.2–6.7)	5.7 (5.2–6.6)	0.147
Handgrip strength (kg)	23.6 (19.5–29.4)	26.8 (21.8–34.5)	25.7 (20.6–33.4)	0.009*
Gait speed (m/s)	1.04 (0.96–1.16)	1.05 (0.95–1.16)	1.05 (0.95–1.16)	0.967
Chair stand test (sec)	10.7 (8.3–13.6)	9.4 (8.3–11.5)	10.0 (8.3–12.5)	0.031*
TUG time (sec)	8.8 (8.3–10.5)	8.8 (7.9–9.8)	8.8 (8.1–9.9)	0.080
SPPB (score)	11 (11–12)	12 (11–12)	12 (11–12)	0.004*

(Continued)

TABLE 1 (Continued)

	LRH (<i>n</i> = 89)	Non-LRH (<i>n</i> = 167)	Total (<i>n</i> = 256)	<i>p</i> -value
TBS	1.33 (1.28–1.39)	1.37 (1.31–1.41)	1.36 (1.30–1.41)	0.024*
Lumbar spine T-score	-1.0 (-2.1 to 0.2)	-1.0 (-1.9 to -0.1)	-1.0 (-2.0 to 0.0)	0.868
Femur neck T-score	-1.7 (-2.2 to -0.7)	-1.3 (-1.9 to -0.5)	-1.4 (-2.0 to -0.6)	0.020*
Total hip T-score	-0.7 (-1.3 to -0.1)	-0.5 (-1.1 to 0.3)	-0.7 (-1.1 to 0.2)	0.095
Fracture history (<i>n</i> , %)	18 (20.7%)	27 (16.9%)	45 (17.6%)	0.458
Nontraumatic fracture history (<i>n</i> , %)	7 (8.1%)	10 (6.2%)	17 (6.6%)	0.585

Comparisons between groups using the Independent two sample t-test, Wilcoxon rank sum test or Pearson's chi-square tests. * indicates a significant difference p < 0.05. LRH, low renin hypertension; y, years; HTN med, antihypertensive medication; DM, diabetes mellitus; PRA, plasma renin activity; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; Cr, creatinine; RBP4, retinol binding protein 4; IL6, interleukin-6; Tgfb1, transforming growth factor beta 1, GDF15, growth and differentiation factor 15; FGF19, fibroblast growth factor 19; FGF21, fibroblast growth factor 21; BMI, body mass index; HOMA-IR, Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance; HOMA- β , Homeostatic Model Assessment for beta cell function; Ca, calcium; P, phosphorus; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALM index, appendicular lean mass index; TUG time, timed up and go time; SPPB, short physical performance battery; TBS, trabecular bone score.



battery; TBS, trabecular bone score; BMD, bone mineral density; HTN, hypertension; DM, diabetes mellitus.

vs. 25.2%, p=0.008; dyslipidemia, 52.8% vs. 36.5%, p=0.012) (Table 1). When stratified according to sex, no significant difference was observed in the prevalence of DM in the presence of LRH (Supplementary Table S1). Participants with LRH had poorer handgrip strength, chair stand time, SPPB, TBS, and femur neck T-score than those in the non-LRH group (handgrip strength, 23.6 [19.5–29.4] vs. 26.8 [21.8–34.5], p=0.009; chair stand time, 10.7 [8.3–13.6] vs. 9.4 [8.3–11.6], p=0.031; SPPB, 11 (11–12) vs. 12 (11–12),

p=0.004; TBS, 1.33 [1.28–1.39] vs. 1.37 [1.31–1.41], p=0.024; femur neck T-score, -1.7 [-2.2 to -0.7] vs. -1.3 [-1.9 to -0.5], p=0.020). TBS and femur neck T-score were significantly lower in the LRH than in non-LRH group (TBS, 1.33 [1.28–1.39] for LRH group vs. 1.37 [1.31–1.41] for non-LRH group, p=0.024; femur neck T-score, -1.7 [-2.2 to -0.7] for LRH group vs. -1.3 [-1.9 to -0.5] for non-LRH group, p=0.020) (Table 1). Women with LRH had more dyslipidemia and lower TBS and femur neck T-score compared with women

without LRH; meanwhile, there were no significant differences in T-score and TBS between men with and without LRH (Supplementary Table S1).

3.2 Correlation between components of RAAS and each metabolic stress-related, metabolic, and musculoskeletal parameter in older adults

The correlation between the RAAS components and each parameter was investigated to determine whether RAAS affects the metabolic and musculoskeletal health of older adults (Figure 3).

PRA was positively associated with glucose, C-peptide, insulin, and HOMA-IR levels, which are parameters related to insulin secretion or resistance, whereas there was a negative correlation between PRA and total fat or total cholesterol. Angiotensin II levels were positively correlated with serum calcium levels and negatively correlated with the appendicular lean mass index (ALM index). In contrast, aldosterone levels showed no significant association with most parameters.

In a multivariable regression analysis adjusted for age, sex, BMI, use of antihypertensive medication, presence of DM or dyslipidemia, and serum creatinine level, the associations that remained significant were between PRA and fasting glucose levels (adjusted β =1.133 [0.530–1.735], *p*<0.001), PRA and HOMA-IR (adjusted β =0.137 [0.040–0.233], *p*=0.006), as well as angiotensin II and serum calcium level (adjusted β =0.0003 [0.0001–0.0007], *p*=0.020).

3.3 Association between the presence of LRH and musculoskeletal health among older adults

As shown in Table 2, femur neck T-score showed a significant negatively associated with the presence of LRH in women (unadjusted $\beta = -0.31$, 95% CI [-0.57, -0.04], p = 0.022). In multiple regression analysis, the femur neck T-score also showed a significant association with LRH, independently of age, BMI, the presence of diabetes or dyslipidemia, the use of antihypertensive medication, and serum creatinine level in women (adjusted $\beta = -0.29$, 95% CI [-0.54 to -0.04], p = 0.025) (Table 2). However, lumbar spine T-score, total hip T-score, and TBS did not seem to show a significant association with the presence of LRH in univariable regression analysis in women (lumbar spine T-score, unadjusted $\beta = 0.03$, 95% CI [-0.03 to 0.40], p = 0.884; total hip T-score, unadjusted $\beta = -0.23$, 95% CI [-0.04 to 0.00], p = 0.091; TBS, unadjusted $\beta = -0.02$, 95% CI [-0.04 to 0.00], p = 0.064).

Considering the possibility that a significant association could not be shown due to the small size of TBS, logistic regression analysis was performed by classifying as degraded TBS (≤ 1.350) or non-degraded TBS (> 1.350). Univariate logistic regression analysis revealed a significant correlation between the presence of LRH and a degraded TBS in women. Moreover, even after adjusting for age, BMI, the presence of diabetes or dyslipidemia, the use of antihypertensive medication, and serum creatinine level, the association between the presence of LRH and degraded TBS in women remained significant (adjusted odds ratio = 3.22, 95% CI [1.46–7.11], p=0.004) (Table 3). In men, the presence of LRH did not show any correlation with BMD T-scores or TBS.

There was no significant relationship between the presence of LRH and muscle function (handgrip strength, chair stand test, and SPPB), as well as muscle mass when analyzed using univariate and multivariate regression analyses.

4 Discussion

Our findings suggest that sex differences in metabolic and musculoskeletal health among older adults were found according to the presence of LRH. Unlike in older adults men, LRH was associated with lower femur neck T-score and degraded TBS in postmenopausal women, indicating a higher risk of osteoporosis and future fracture.

LRH encompasses a wide spectrum of disorders, but common causes of LRH encountered in clinical practice include PA, a highsodium diet, and some medications known to disturb RAAS (6). LRH and PA share a common mechanism of mineralocorticoid activation, which results in a higher risk of cardiovascular disease and mortality (23, 24). PA has an unrecognized prevalence rate of 6%-17% even in normotensive individuals (25). Similar to PA, LRH is associated with a higher incidence of cardiovascular diseases than essential hypertension (23, 24). In addition to PA, several studies have reported an association between high sodium intake and adverse cardiovascular mortality and morbidity (26). This association may be attributed to increased extracellular sodium concentrations, potentially leading to adverse effects on vascular reactivity and growth as well as the stimulation of myocardial fibrosis (27). A decrease in RAAS activity with age is also associated with a reduction in renin levels, leading to a lower PRA (28). Consequently, LRH is more prevalent and significant in older adults (4, 5).

Previous studies on the effects of LRH on metabolic components such as diabetes and dyslipidemia have been conducted; however, this subject remains controversial. A study of 275 adolescents and adults without classic PA reported that PRA was negatively correlated with age, BMI, percent body fat, waist-to-hip ratio, and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol (29). The negative correlation between PRA and percent body fat is also consistent with our results. However, according to Monticone et al. (3) there were no significant differences in metabolic parameters, including blood glucose, triglyceride, and LDL cholesterol levels between LRH and normal-high renin groups. This difference may be attributed to the age discrepancy of the participants and the PA inclusion between the two studies. In our study, the positive association between PRA and glucose and the lower prevalence of diabetes in participants with LRH might be explained by previous literature showing that diabetic patients have higher PRA levels than normal controls (30). Furthermore, there was a difference in muscle function between the LRH and non-LRH groups; however, this difference was not statistically significant in the multivariate regression analysis. This could potentially be due to the impact of bone deterioration and the inclusion of individuals with probable PA; osteoporosis is associated with decreased muscle function (31). Muscle weakness can occur in patients with PA, particularly when the plasma concentration of potassium is less than 2.5 meq/L (32).

Previous studies have reported the relationship between LRH and bone health. Tylavsky et al. reported a positive correlation between PRA and distal BMD in premenopausal women with high sodium

_		Renin	Aldosterone	Angiotensin II
Α	Metabolic stress-rel	ated parameters		
	RBP4	0.216***	0.150*	-0.066
	IL6	0.032	0.072	0.032
	Myostatin	-0.015	-0.135*	-0.163**
	Tgfb1	0.029	0.134*	-0.037
	Decorin	-0.056	0.002	-0.059
	GDF15	0.220***	0.042	-0.012
	FGF19	-0.011	0.032	0.042
	FGF21	0.057	0.119	0.049
в	Metabolic paramete	rs		
	BMI	0.044	-0.044	-0.031
	Total fat %	-0.229***	0.073	0.116
	Fasting glucose	0.190**	-0.055	0.064
	Triglyceride	0.075	0.120	0.075
	Total cholesterol	-0.227***	0.043	0.041
	HDL cholesterol	-0.093	0.011	0.031
	C-peptide	0.247***	0.043	-0.053
	Insulin	0.183**	-0.007	-0.041
	HOMA-IR	0.209***	-0.011	-0.024
	ΗΟΜΑ-β	0.027	0.031	-0.097
	Adiponectin	-0.043	0.059	-0.001
	Leptin	-0.146*	0.042	0.038
с	Musculoskeletal par	rameters		
	Calcium	0.161*	0.108	0.135*
	Phosphorus	-0.005	0.099	0.049
	ALP	-0.040	0.003	0.061
	ALM index	0.201**	-0.050	-0.164**
	Handgrip strength	0.151*	-0.026	-0.088
	Gait speed	-0.047	0.083	-0.080
	Chair stand test	-0.040	-0.103	0.058
	TUG time	0.026	-0.042	-0.011
	SPPB	0.060	0.079	-0.087
	TBS	0.160*	0.015	-0.062
	LS T-score	0.069	0.024	-0.148*
	FN T-score	0.088	0.063	-0.123*
	TH T-score	0.084	0.062	-0.117
-				
			0.1	
	r=0.5 0.4 0.1	3 0.2 0.1	-0.1 -0.2	-0.3 -0.4 r=-

FIGURE 3

Associations of renin, aldosterone, and angiotensin II with metabolic stress-related parameters, metabolic, or musculoskeletal parameters in total population. (A) Metabolic stress-related parameters. (B) Metabolic parameters. (C) Musculoskeletal parameters. Significant correlations between each parameter were evaluated by spearman correlation analysis. An orange square indicates a positive association, and a blue square indicates a negative association. Abbreviations: RBP4, retinol binding protein 4; IL6, interleukin-6; Tgfb1, transforming growth factor beta 1; GDF15, growth and differentiation factor 15; FGF19, fibroblast growth factor 19; FGF21, fibroblast growth factor 21; BMI, body mass index; HOMA-IR, Homeostatic Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance; HOMA- β , Homeostatic Model Assessment for beta cell function; ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALM index, appendicular lean mass index; TUG time, timed up and go time; SPPB, short physical performance battery; TBS, trabecular bone score; LS, lumbar spine; FN, femur neck; TH, total hip. * for p < 0.05, ** for p < 0.01, *** for p < 0.001.

intake, indicating the negative metabolic effects of LRH on the bone (14). In addition, Kuipers et al. (15) demonstrated a significant association between PRA and BMD or bone turnover markers in the general population, with a positive correlation between PRA and

trabecular volumetric BMD measured by quantitative computed tomography, and a negative correlation between PRA and osteocalcin levels in 373 African ancestry family members. However, these two studies included relatively young age groups. In contrast, our research

TABLE 2 Results of univariable and multivariable regression analysis of femur neck T-score.

(A) Men					
Femur neck T-score	Unadjusted		Adjusted		
	β coefficient (95% Cl)	<i>p</i> -value	β coefficient (95% Cl)	<i>p</i> -value	
The presence of LRH	0.20 (-0.29 to 0.69)	0.413	0.22 (-0.23 to 0.66)	0.335	
Age (years)	-0.04 (-0.07 to -0.01)	0.006*	-0.04 (-0.07 to -0.01)	0.007*	
BMI (kg/m ²)	0.14 (0.07 to 0.21)	<0.001*	0.14 (0.07 to 0.21)	<0.001*	
HTN med	-0.09 (-0.52 to 0.34)	0.675	-0.21 (-0.61 to 0.18)	0.289	
The presence of DM or dyslipidemia	0.02 (-0.41 to 0.45)	0.912	0.06 (-0.34 to 0.45)	0.772	
Cr (mg/dL)	0.00 (-1.32 to 1.33)	0.996	0.02 (-1.16 to 1.21)	0.970	

Femur neck T-scoreUnaUtaUtaUtaUtaUtaUtaUtaUtaUtaUtaUtaUtaUta	(B) women					
β coefficient (95% Cl)p-valueβ coefficient (95% Cl)p-valueThe presence of LRH-0.31 (-0.57 to -0.04)0.022*-0.29 (-0.54 to -0.04)0.025*Age (years)-0.05 (-0.07 to -0.03)<-0.001*-0.05 (-0.07 to -0.03)<0.001*BMI (kg/m²)0.08 (0.04 to 0.13)<0.001*0.07 (0.03 to 0.11)0.002*HTN med0.00 (-0.26 to 0.27)0.9830.18 (-0.08 to 0.44)0.173The presence of DM or dyslipidemia-0.10 (-0.47 to 0.28)0.6120.08 (-0.21 to 0.29)0.887	Femur neck T-score	Unadjusted		Adjusted		
The presence of LRH 0.31 (-0.57 to -0.04) 0.022* 0.29 (-0.54 to -0.04) 0.025* Age (years) 0.05 (-0.07 to -0.03) <-0.05 (-0.07 to -0.03) <-0.001* BMI (kg/m²) 0.08 (0.04 to 0.13) <-0.001* 0.07 (0.03 to 0.11) 0.002* HTN med 0.00 (-0.26 to 0.27) 0.983 0.18 (-0.08 to 0.44) 0.173 The presence of DM or dyslipidemia 0.10 (-0.47 to 0.28) 0.612 0.04 (-0.21 to 0.29) 0.755 Cr (mg/dL) -0.39 (-1.58 to 0.81) 0.522 0.08 (-1.02 to 1.17) 0.887		β coefficient (95% CI)	<i>p</i> -value	β coefficient (95% Cl)	<i>p</i> -value	
Age (years) -0.05 (-0.07 to -0.03) <0.001* -0.05 (-0.07 to -0.03) <0.001* BMI (kg/m ²) 0.08 (0.04 to 0.13) <0.001*	The presence of LRH	-0.31 (-0.57 to -0.04)	0.022*	-0.29 (-0.54 to -0.04)	0.025*	
BMI (kg/m²) 0.08 (0.04 to 0.13) <0.001* 0.07 (0.03 to 0.11) 0.002* HTN med 0.00 (-0.26 to 0.27) 0.983 0.18 (-0.08 to 0.44) 0.173 The presence of DM or dyslipidemia -0.10 (-0.47 to 0.28) 0.612 0.04 (-0.21 to 0.29) 0.755 Cr (mg/dL) -0.39 (-1.58 to 0.81) 0.522 0.08 (-1.02 to 1.17) 0.887	Age (years)	-0.05 (-0.07 to -0.03)	<0.001*	-0.05 (-0.07 to -0.03)	<0.001*	
HTN med 0.00 (-0.26 to 0.27) 0.983 0.18 (-0.08 to 0.44) 0.173 The presence of DM or dyslipidenia -0.10 (-0.47 to 0.28) 0.612 0.04 (-0.21 to 0.29) 0.755 Cr (mg/dL) -0.39 (-1.58 to 0.81) 0.522 0.08 (-1.02 to 1.17) 0.887	BMI (kg/m ²)	0.08 (0.04 to 0.13)	<0.001*	0.07 (0.03 to 0.11)	0.002*	
The presence of DM or dyslipidemia -0.10 (-0.47 to 0.28) 0.612 0.04 (-0.21 to 0.29) 0.755 Cr (mg/dL) -0.39 (-1.58 to 0.81) 0.522 0.08 (-1.02 to 1.17) 0.887	HTN med	0.00 (-0.26 to 0.27)	0.983	0.18 (-0.08 to 0.44)	0.173	
Cr (mg/dL) -0.39 (-1.58 to 0.81) 0.522 0.08 (-1.02 to 1.17) 0.887	The presence of DM or dyslipidemia	-0.10 (-0.47 to 0.28)	0.612	0.04 (-0.21 to 0.29)	0.755	
	Cr (mg/dL)	-0.39 (-1.58 to 0.81)	0.522	0.08 (-1.02 to 1.17)	0.887	

Univariable and multivariable analyses using linear regression model adjusted for age, BMI, the use of hypertension medication, the presence of DM or dyslipidemia, and serum creatinine level. *indicates significant association p < 0.05. 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; LRH, low renin hypertension; BMI, body mass index; HTN med, antihypertensive medication; DM, diabetes mellitus; Cr, serum creatinine.

TABLE 3 Results of univariable and multivariable logistic regression analysis of degraded TBS (<1.350).

(A) Men					
Degraded TBS	Unadjusted		Adjusted		
	β coefficient (95% Cl)	<i>p</i> -value	β coefficient (95% Cl)	<i>p</i> -value	
The presence of LRH	0.17 (0.02–1.38)	0.097	0.17 (0.20–1.40)	0.100	
Age (years)	1.05 (0.97–1.14)	0.238	1.05 (0.96–1.15)	0.260	
BMI (kg/m ²)	0.97 (0.80–1.19)	0.797	0.95 (0.77–1.17)	0.635	
HTN med	2.30 (0.71-7.42)	0.162	2.35 (0.68-8.10)	0.176	
The presence of DM or dyslipidemia	1.05 (0.34–3.21)	0.931	0.78 (0.23-2.61)	0.684	
Cr (mg/dL)	1.03 (0.03-32.13)	0.986	0.84 (0.03-23.26)	0.919	

(B) Women

Degraded TBS	Unadjusted		Adjusted			
	β coefficient (95% Cl)	<i>p</i> -value	β coefficient (95% Cl)	<i>p</i> -value		
The presence of LRH	2.39 (1.21-4.73)	0.012*	3.22 (1.46-7.11)	0.004*		
Age (years)	1.07 (1.01–1.12)	0.013*	1.08 (1.02–1.15)	0.007*		
BMI (kg/m ²)	1.01 (0.90–1.14)	0.814	1.05 (0.93–1.20)	0.410		
HTN med	0.81 (0.43–1.54)	0.519	0.40 (0.18-0.88)	0.023*		
The presence of DM or dyslipidemia	0.64 (0.27-1.56)	0.329	0.94 (0.46–1.92)	0.874		
Cr (mg/dL)	2.71 (0.13-55.09)	0.517	1.21 (0.04-36.22)	0.912		

Univariable and multivariable analyses using logistic regression model adjusted for age, BMI, the use of hypertension medication, the presence of DM or dyslipidemia, and serum creatinine level. *indicates significant association p < 0.05. TBS, trabecular bone score; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; LRH, low renin hypertension; BMI, body mass index; HTN med, antihypertensive medication; DM, diabetes mellitus; Cr, serum creatinine.

was conducted in an older adult population where LRH is more common but seldom studied and showed sex differences.

Interestingly, in our study, LRH was associated with lower femur neck T-score and degraded TBS in postmenopausal women. TBS is a measure that assesses changes in the gray-scale intensity of pixels in DXA images of the lumbar spine, which indirectly reflects the microarchitecture of the trabecular bone, and it has the potential to enhance fracture prediction compared to using DXA alone (21). The mechanism underlying the association between LRH and bone quantity or quality has not yet been elucidated, but the following three mechanisms are possible. First, it is possible that a large number of PA were included, as mentioned earlier. In our study, probable PA, defined as PRA < 1 ng/mL/h and ARR of 20 or higher, was significantly higher in LRH group than in non-LRH group (70 [78.7%] in the LRH group vs. 48 [28.7%] in the non-LRH group, p < 0.001). PA may deteriorate bone health through (1) secondary hyperparathyroidism due to increased urinary calcium (33); (2) a direct effect on bone health through the distribution of mineralocorticoid receptors in human osteoclasts, osteoblasts, osteocytes, and parathyroid tissue (34, 35); and (3) reduced bone formation and increased apoptosis of osteoblasts and osteocytes caused by inflammation due to oxidative stress (36, 37). PA is a well-known risk factor for fractures (38), and a significant number of PA may have influenced the study results. Second, some participants consuming a high-sodium diet may also have affected our findings. High sodium intake can cause LRH by inhibiting renin activity and aldosterone release (39). In addition, increased sodium intake may increase urine calcium excretion, which can accelerate bone remodeling and loss (40). A previous meta-analysis showed a positive association between sodium intake and osteoporosis risk (41). Third, increased local angiotensin II activity in patients with LRH may affect bone deterioration (32). In patients with LRH, the activity of angiotensin II can rise locally within certain tissues, such as the vascular endothelium, kidneys, and adrenal glands, even if angiotensin II levels are normal (32). Although no significant difference in angiotensin II level was observed between the LRH and non-LRH groups, there was a significant association between angiotensin II and lumbar spine T-score, as well as femur neck T-scores in this study. Angiotensin II may influence bone cells by binding to AT1 receptors on osteoblasts and triggering the release of mediators that activate osteoclasts, which are thought to modulate blood flow in the bone marrow capillaries and contribute to osteoclastic bone resorption (42, 43). Moreover, angiotensin II potentially affects calcium metabolism by elevating parathyroid hormone and decreasing ionized calcium levels (44, 45).

The reasons for sex differences in the relationship between LRH and BMD or bone quality may include the following: first, women with relatively large sex hormonal variations might be more vulnerable to the harmful impacts of excessive aldosterone compared to men. Kim et al. demonstrated that only women with PA showed a lower TBS than those with nonfunctioning adenomas (46). Second, RBP4, which is significantly associated with PRA in women, may influence sex differences. In particular, the association between PRA and RBP4 levels may explain why BMD and PRA are associated only in women. RBP4 is secreted by adipocyte, and *in vivo* studies have demonstrated that chondrocytic RBP4 is involved in bone growth (47). However, in clinical studies, the role of RBP4 in bone health appears to vary with age and sex. Some studies reported a positive association between RBP4 and BMD in postmenopausal women with osteopenia or osteoporosis (48, 49). In contrast, another study in young men did not show any association between RBP4 and BMD (50). Furthermore, serum GDF15 level, which may affect bone metabolism or muscle homeostasis in old women via inflammatory responses (51), seemed to have positive linear correlation with the presence of LRH in the current study. Further research is essential to understand the role of RBP4 and GDF15 levels on musculoskeletal health in the older adults with LRH.

To our knowledge, this is the first clinical study to report a significant relationship between PRA and bone quality as well as BMD in older women, but not in older men. Additionally, while sex differences in hypertension and cardiovascular disease due to differential activation of the sympathetic nervous system, RAAS, and immune system have been previously acknowledged (52, 53), this is the first report to indicate a sex difference in the relationship between the presence of LRH and bone health in older participants. However, this study has several limitations. First, it was difficult to infer causality owing to the cross-sectional research design. Second, the sample size was small, which might in part have affected the insignificant results, especially in men, which may limit the generalizability and statistical power of the current results. Therefore, future research with a larger and more diverse samples will be required to enhance the applicability of our findings. Third, although liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry is more accurate and reliable than RIA for the measurement of PRA (54), we measured PRA using RIA because of its cost and availability. Fourth, hypercortisolism may have been included in the low renin-low aldosterone patient group (6), which may have affected the study results. Also, we did not investigate the form of could not precisely exclude secondary hypertension. Fifth, unfortunately, the specific medication profiles for all hypertensive patients were not retrieved, with only partial data available for some individuals. Finally, owing to the lack of data on 25-hydroxyvitamin D, parathyroid hormone levels, serum electrolyte levels, bone turnover markers, calcium/vitamin D supplementation, urinary sodium excretion, or sodium intake, the mechanisms by which LRH adversely affect bone density or quality cannot be well explained. However, an age-related decrease in the RAAS has been found in normal participants, regardless of sodium repletion (55).

In summary, our research suggests that clinical characteristics and metabolic risk in older adults could be influenced by the presence of LRH. LRH in postmenopausal women was associated with a lower femur neck T-score and degraded TBS, highlighting the sex-specific impact of LRH on bone health in old women. A more comprehensive, larger, prospective study is needed to clarify how RAAS affects metabolic and musculoskeletal outcomes in the older adult population.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by this clinical study was permitted by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine (IRB numbers CR317131, CR318003, and CR322353). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

JC, MK, K-SP, S-BK, and JL conceptualized and designed the study. SL, JC, MK, and JL have conducted the study. SL, JC, MK, K-SP, S-BK, and JL have collected and interpreted data. SL and JL have drafted the manuscript and have revised and finalized the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This research was funded by the Medical Research Center Program (NRF-2017R1A5A2015369), in part by the Basic Science Research Program (NRF-2018R1C1B6005036) of the Ministry of Science and ICT, and Yonsei University Wonju College of Medicine, Republic of Korea.

References

 Crowley SD, Rudemiller NP. Immunologic effects of the renin-angiotensin system. J Am Soc Nephrol. (2017) 28:1350–61. doi: 10.1681/asn.2016101066

2. Viola A, Monticone S, Burrello J, Buffolo F, Lucchiari M, Rabbia F, et al. Renin and aldosterone measurements in the management of arterial hypertension. *Horm Metab Res.* (2015) 47:418–26. doi: 10.1055/s-0035-1548868

3. Monticone S, Burrello J, Tizzani D, Bertello C, Viola A, Buffolo F, et al. Prevalence and clinical manifestations of primary Aldosteronism encountered in primary care practice. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2017) 69:1811–20. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.01.052

4. Fisher NDL, Hurwitz S, Jeunemaitre X, Hopkins PN, Hollenberg NK, Williams GH. Familial aggregation of low-renin hypertension. *Hypertension*. (2002) 39:914–8. doi: 10.1161/01.HYP.0000013784.18175.51

5. Fisher ND, Hurwitz S, Ferri C, Jeunemaitre X, Hollenberg NK, Williams GH. Altered adrenal sensitivity to angiotensin II in low-renin essential hypertension. *Hypertension.* (1999) 34:388–94. doi: 10.1161/01.hyp.34.3.388

6. Athimulam S, Lazik N, Bancos I. Low-renin hypertension. *Endocrinol Metab Clin N Am.* (2019) 48:701–15. doi: 10.1016/j.ecl.2019.08.003

7. Baudrand R, Vaidya A. The low-renin hypertension phenotype: genetics and the role of the mineralocorticoid receptor. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2018) 19:546. doi: 10.3390/ ijms19020546

8. Armando I, Jezova M, Juorio AV, Terrón JA, Falcón-Neri A, Semino-Mora C, et al. Estrogen upregulates renal angiotensin II AT (2) receptors. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol.* (2002) 283:F934–43. doi: 10.1152/ajprenal.00145.2002

9. Baiardi G, Macova M, Armando I, Ando H, Tyurmin D, Saavedra JM. Estrogen upregulates renal angiotensin II AT1 and AT2 receptors in the rat. *Regul Pept.* (2005) 124:7–17. doi: 10.1016/j.regpep.2004.06.021

10. Lang TJ. Estrogen as an immunomodulator. *Clin Immunol.* (2004) 113:224–30. doi: 10.1016/j.clim.2004.05.011

11. Brown RD, Hilliard LM, Head GA, Jones ES, Widdop RE, Denton KM. Sex differences in the pressor and tubuloglomerular feedback response to angiotensin II. *Hypertension.* (2012) 59:129–35. doi: 10.1161/hypertensionaha.111.178715

12. Hilliard LM, Sampson AK, Brown RD, Denton KM. The "his and hers" of the renin-angiotensin system. *Curr Hypertens Rep.* (2013) 15:71–9. doi: 10.1007/s11906-012-0319-y

13. Notsu M, Yamauchi M, Yamamoto M, Nawata K, Sugimoto T. Primary Aldosteronism as a risk factor for vertebral fracture. *J Clin Endocrinol Metabol.* (2017) 102:1237–43. doi: 10.1210/jc.2016-3206

14. Tylavsky FA, Johnson KC, Wan JY, Harshfield G. Plasma renin activity is associated with bone mineral density in premenopausal women. *Osteoporos Int.* (1998) 8:136–40. doi: 10.1007/bf02672509

15. Kuipers AL, Kammerer CM, Pratt JH, Bunker CH, Wheeler VW, Patrick AL, et al. Association of Circulating Renin and Aldosterone with Osteocalcin and bone mineral

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpubh.2024.1250945/ full#supplementary-material

density in African ancestry families. *Hypertension*. (2016) 67:977–82. doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.115.06837

16. Rüster C, Wolf G. The role of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system in obesityrelated renal diseases. *Semin Nephrol.* (2013) 33:44–53. doi: 10.1016/j. semnephrol.2012.12.002

17. Mo C, Ke J, Zhao D, Zhang B. Role of the renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system in bone metabolism. *J Bone Miner Metab.* (2020) 38:772–9. doi: 10.1007/s00774-020-01132-y

18. Huh JH, Ahn SV, Koh SB, Choi E, Kim JY, Sung KC, et al. A prospective study of fatty liver index and incident hypertension: the KoGES-ARIRANG study. *PLoS One.* (2015) 10:e0143560. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0143560

19. Kim JY, Ahn SV, Yoon JH, Koh SB, Yoon J, Yoo BS, et al. Prospective study of serum adiponectin and incident metabolic syndrome: the ARIRANG study. *Diabetes Care.* (2013) 36:1547–53. doi: 10.2337/dc12-0223

20. Whelton PK, Carey RM, Aronow WS, Casey DE Jr, Collins KJ, Dennison Himmelfarb C, et al. 2017 ACC/AHA/AAPA/ABC/ACPM/AGS/APhA/ASH/ASPC/ NMA/PCNA guideline for the prevention, detection, evaluation, and Management of High Blood Pressure in adults: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on clinical practice guidelines. *Hypertension*. (2018) 71:1269–324. doi: 10.1161/hyp.00000000000066

21. Silva BC, Leslie WD, Resch H, Lamy O, Lesnyak O, Binkley N, et al. Trabecular bone score: a noninvasive analytical method based upon the DXA image. *J Bone Miner Res.* (2014) 29:518–30. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.2176

22. Chen L-K, Woo J, Assantachai P, Auyeung T-W, Chou M-Y, Iijima K, et al. Asian working Group for Sarcopenia: 2019 consensus update on sarcopenia diagnosis and treatment. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* (2020) 21:300–7.e2. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2019. 12.012

23. Milliez P, Girerd X, Plouin PF, Blacher J, Safar ME, Mourad JJ. Evidence for an increased rate of cardiovascular events in patients with primary aldosteronism. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* (2005) 45:1243–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2005.01.015

24. Meade T, Imeson J, Gordon D, Peart W. The epidemiology of plasma renin. *Clin Sci.* (1983) 64:273–80. doi: 10.1042/cs0640273

25. Brown JM, Siddiqui M, Calhoun DA, Carey RM, Hopkins PN, Williams GH, et al. The unrecognized prevalence of primary Aldosteronism: a cross-sectional study. *Ann Intern Med.* (2020) 173:10–20. doi: 10.7326/m20-0065

26. Stolarz-Skrzypek K, Liu Y, Thijs L, Kuznetsova T, Czarnecka D, Kawecka-Jaszcz K, et al. Blood pressure, cardiovascular outcomes and sodium intake, a critical review of the evidence. *Acta Clin Belg.* (2012) 67:403–10. doi: 10.2143/acb.67.6.2062704

27. Cook NR, Cutler JA, Obarzanek E, Buring JE, Rexrode KM, Kumanyika SK, et al. Long term effects of dietary sodium reduction on cardiovascular disease outcomes: observational follow-up of the trials of hypertension prevention (TOHP). *BMJ*. (2007) 334:885–8. doi: 10.1136/bmj.39147.604896.55

28. Mulatero P, Burrello J, Williams TA, Monticone S. Primary Aldosteronism in the elderly. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. (2020) 105:e2320–6. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgaa206

29. Vecchiola A, Fuentes CA, Barros ER, Martínez-Aguayo A, García H, Allende F, et al. The aldosterone/renin ratio predicts Cardiometabolic disorders in subjects without classic primary Aldosteronism. *Am J Hypertens*. (2019) 32:468–75. doi: 10.1093/ajh/hpz023

30. Christlieb AR, Kaldany A, D'Elia JA. Plasma renin activity and hypertension in diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes*. (1976) 25:969–74. doi: 10.2337/diab.25.10.969

31. Ma Y, Fu L, Jia L, Han P, Kang L, Yu H, et al. Muscle strength rather than muscle mass is associated with osteoporosis in older Chinese adults. *J Formos Med Assoc.* (2018) 117:101–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jfma.2017.03.004

32. Sahay M, Sahay RK. Low renin hypertension. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab.* (2012) 16:728–39. doi: 10.4103/2230-8210.100665

33. Chhokar VS, Sun Y, Bhattacharya SK, Ahokas RA, Myers LK, Xing Z, et al. Hyperparathyroidism and the calcium paradox of aldosteronism. *Circulation*. (2005) 111:871–8. doi: 10.1161/01.Cir.0000155621.10213.06

34. Maniero C, Fassina A, Seccia TM, Toniato A, Iacobone M, Plebani M, et al. Mild hyperparathyroidism: a novel surgically correctable feature of primary aldosteronism. *J Hypertens*. (2012) 30:390–5. doi: 10.1097/HJH.0b013e32834f0451

35. Beavan S, Horner A, Bord S, Ireland D, Compston J. Colocalization of glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid receptors in human bone. J Bone Miner Res. (2001) 16:1496–504. doi: 10.1359/jbmr.2001.16.8.1496

36. Atashi F, Modarressi A, Pepper MS. The role of reactive oxygen species in mesenchymal stem cell adipogenic and osteogenic differentiation: a review. *Stem Cells Dev.* (2015) 24:1150–63. doi: 10.1089/scd.2014.0484

37. Shi S, Lu C, Tian H, Ren Y, Chen T. Primary Aldosteronism and bone metabolism: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2020) 11:574151. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2020.574151

38. Wu V-C, Chang C-H, Wang C-Y, Lin Y-H, Kao T-W, Lin P-C, et al. Risk of fracture in primary Aldosteronism: a population-based cohort study. *J Bone Miner Res.* (2017) 32:743–52. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.3033

39. Mulatero P, Rabbia F, Milan A, Paglieri C, Morello F, Chiandussi L, et al. Drug effects on aldosterone/plasma renin activity ratio in primary aldosteronism. *Hypertension.* (2002) 40:897–902. doi: 10.1161/01.HYP.0000038478.59760.41

40. Heaney RP. Role of dietary sodium in osteoporosis. JAmColl Nutr. (2006) 25:271S–6S. doi: 10.1080/07315724.2006.10719577

41. Fatahi S, Namazi N, Larijani B, Azadbakht L. The Association of Dietary and Urinary Sodium with Bone Mineral Density and risk of osteoporosis: a systematic review and Meta-analysis. *J Am Coll Nutr.* (2018) 37:522–32. doi: 10.1080/07315724.2018.1431161

42. Hatton R, Stimpel M, Chambers T. Angiotensin II is generated from angiotensin I by bone cells and stimulates osteoclastic bone resorption in vitro. *J Endocrinol.* (1997) 152:5–10. doi: 10.1677/joe.0.1520005

43. Hagiwara H, Hiruma Y, Inoue A, Yamaguchi A, Hirose S. Deceleration by angiotensin II of the differentiation and bone formation of rat calvarial osteoblastic cells. *J Endocrinol.* (1998) 156:543–50. doi: 10.1677/joe.0.1560543

44. Grant FD, Mandel SJ, Brown EM, Williams GH, Seely EW. Interrelationships between the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone and calcium homeostatic systems. *J Clin Endocrinol Metabol.* (1992) 75:988–92. doi: 10.1210/jcem.75.4.1400892

45. Lynn H, Kwok T, Wong SYS, Woo J, Leung PC. Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor use is associated with higher bone mineral density in elderly Chinese. *Bone*. (2006) 38:584–8. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2005.09.011

46. Kim B-J, Kwak MK, Ahn SH, Kim H, Lee SH, Koh J-M. Lower trabecular bone score in patients with primary Aldosteronism: human skeletal deterioration by aldosterone excess. *J Clin Endocrinol Metabol.* (2017) 103:615–21. doi: 10.1210/ jc.2017-02043

47. Hatfield JT, Anderson PJ, Powell BC. Retinol-binding protein 4 is expressed in chondrocytes of developing mouse long bones: implications for a local role in formation of the secondary ossification center. *Histochem Cell Biol.* (2013) 139:727–34. doi: 10.1007/s00418-012-1062-9

48. Mihai G, Gasparik AI, Pascanu IM, Cevei M, Hutanu A, Pop R-M. The influence of Visfatin, RBP-4 and insulin resistance on bone mineral density in women with treated primary osteoporosis. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* (2019) 31:889–95. doi: 10.1007/ s40520-019-01206-6

49. Huang N, Zhou J, Wang W, Wang Q, Tang Y, Sun Y, et al. Retinol-binding protein 4 is positively associated with bone mineral density in patients with type 2 diabetes and osteopenia or osteoporosis. *Clin Endocrinol.* (2018) 88:659–64. doi: 10.1111/cen.13560

50. Högström M, Nordström A, Nordström P. Retinol, retinol-binding protein 4, abdominal fat mass, peak bone mineral density, and markers of bone metabolism in men: the northern osteoporosis and obesity (NO 2) study. *Eur J Endocrinol.* (2008) 158:765–70. doi: 10.1530/EJE-07-0796

51. Hong SW, Kang JH. Growth differentiation factor-15 as a modulator of bone and muscle metabolism. *Front Endocrinol (Lausanne)*. (2022) 13:948176. doi: 10.3389/ fendo.2022.948176

52. Colafella KMM, Denton KM. Sex-specific differences in hypertension and associated cardiovascular disease. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* (2018) 14:185–201. doi: 10.1038/ nrneph.2017.189

53. Sabbatini AR, Kararigas G. Estrogen-related mechanisms in sex differences of hypertension and target organ damage. *Biol Sex Differ*. (2020) 11:31. doi: 10.1186/s13293-020-00306-7

54. Juutilainen A, Savolainen K, Romppanen J, Turpeinen U, Hämäläinen E, Kemppainen J, et al. Combination of LC-MS/MS aldosterone and automated direct renin in screening for primary aldosteronism. *Clin Chim Acta*. (2014) 433:209–15. doi: 10.1016/j.cca.2014.03.015

55. Nanba K, Vaidya A, Williams GH, Zheng I, Else T, Rainey WE. Age-related autonomous Aldosteronism. *Circulation*. (2017) 136:347–55. doi: 10.1161/ circulationaha.117.028201