



## OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY  
Paolo Vineis,  
Imperial College London, United Kingdom

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Frontiers Editorial Office  
✉ research.integrity@frontiersin.org

RECEIVED 06 October 2023  
ACCEPTED 10 October 2023  
PUBLISHED 31 October 2023

## CITATION

Frontiers Editorial Office (2023) Retraction:  
Post-acute (long) COVID-19 quality of life:  
validation of the German version of  
(PAC19QoL) instrument.  
*Front. Public Health* 11:1308285.  
doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1308285

## COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Frontiers Editorial Office. This is an  
open-access article distributed under the terms  
of the [Creative Commons Attribution License  
\(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction  
in other forums is permitted, provided the  
original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)  
are credited and that the original publication in  
this journal is cited, in accordance with  
accepted academic practice. No use,  
distribution or reproduction is permitted which  
does not comply with these terms.

# Retraction: Post-acute (long) COVID-19 quality of life: validation of the German version of (PAC19QoL) instrument

Frontiers Editorial Office\*

## A Retraction of the Original Research Article

### [Post-acute \(long\) COVID-19 quality of life: validation of the German version of \(PAC19QoL\) instrument](#)

by Umakanthan, S., Monice, M., Mehboob, S., Jones, C. L., and Lawrence, S. (2023). *Front. Public Health* 11:1163360. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1163360

Following concerns regarding the originality of the article, an investigation was conducted in accordance with Frontiers' policies. It was found that the complaint was valid and that the article should be retracted, because of an unacceptable level of similarity to an article published by Ulbrichtova et al. Validation of the Slovakian Version of the "Post-acute (Long) COVID-19 Quality of Life Instrument" and Pilot Study. Patient Preference and Adherence. 2023. doi: 10.2147/PPA.S404377

The retraction of the article was approved by the Field Chief Editor of Frontiers in Public Health. The authors agree to this retraction.