Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Christos Theleritis, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece

REVIEWED BY Faysal Kabir Shuvo, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia Mahua Mukherjee, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, India

*CORRESPONDENCE Sigit D. Arifwidodo ⊠ sigit.d@ku.ac.th

RECEIVED 11 September 2023 ACCEPTED 29 December 2023 PUBLISHED 16 January 2024

CITATION

Arifwidodo SD and Chandrasiri O (2024) Urban green space visitation and mental health wellbeing during COVID-19 in Bangkok, Thailand. *Front. Public Health* 11:1292154. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1292154

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Arifwidodo and Chandrasiri. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Urban green space visitation and mental health wellbeing during COVID-19 in Bangkok, Thailand

Sigit D. Arifwidodo^{1*} and Orana Chandrasiri²

¹Department of Landscape Architecture, Faculty of Architecture, Kasetsart University, Chatuchak, Thailand, ²Activethai.org Research Center, Faculty of Architecture, Kasetsart University, Chatuchak, Thailand

Urban green spaces offer numerous benefits, and their role in supporting mental health, particularly during global crises such as the COVID-19 pandemic, is of growing interest to researchers and policymakers. This study explored the relationship between urban green space visitation and mental health well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic in Bangkok, Thailand. This cross-sectional study, conducted in Bangkok during the COVID-19 lockdown, used a telephone survey of 579 respondents. A logistic regression model was employed to examine the association between urban green space visitation and the WHO-5 mental health well-being score, considering various factors such as socioeconomic variables, healthy behaviors, and COVID-19-related experiences. The findings revealed a significant association between urban green space visitation during the lockdown and higher mental health well-being. Socioeconomic variables and healthy behaviors of respondents were also notably linked to higher WHO-5 mental health well-being scores. These findings collectively indicate that urban greenspace visitation serves as a crucial determinant of mental health and wellbeing, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic.

KEYWORDS

urban green space, landscape architecture, COVID-19, well-being, mental health, physical activity

1 Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic has had a profound impact on global health, causing not only physical illness, but also significant psychological distress. As countries worldwide have implemented lockdown measures to curb the spread of the virus, the mental health implications of these restrictions have become increasingly apparent. This has led to a growing body of research investigating the factors that may mitigate the adverse mental health and well-being effects of the pandemic. One factor that has received considerable attention is access to urban green spaces such as parks, gardens, and natural landscapes. They have long been recognized for their health benefits, such as providing opportunities for physical activity, social interaction, and contact with nature, all of which are known to enhance mental health and well-being (1–3). During the COVID-19 lockdown, when indoor recreational facilities were closed and opportunities for social interaction were limited, access to urban green spaces near homes became even more crucial (4). While lockdowns are necessary to curb the spread of the virus, they have significant mental health implications (5). Restriction of movement, combined with the closure of recreational facilities, has led to increased feelings of confinement, isolation, and stress (6). Previous studies conducted during the pandemic have highlighted the

importance of green spaces in mental health. For instance, a study in the United Kingdom found that access to green space was associated with lower levels of psychological distress during the lockdown (4). Similarly, a study conducted in New York City found that respondents continued to use urban green spaces during the pandemic and considered them more important for mental and physical health than before the pandemic began (7).

Studies have shown a positive correlation between urban green spaces and mental well-being even before COVID-19. For instance, a study in Europe found that individuals living within 300 m of urban green spaces had a reduced risk of mood disorders and depression (8). Visiting urban green spaces is associated with mental health benefits such as recovery from mental fatigue and reduced stress (9). Living in greener environments has been consistently linked to improved mental health outcomes, including reduced stress, a lower risk of psychiatric disorders, and better cognitive development (10, 11). These studies provide consistent evidence that urban green spaces are positively associated with improved mental health and wellbeing. Extending this argument, it can be posited that during lockdowns, when movement is severely restricted, having access to urban green spaces becomes even more crucial for mental health well-being.

However, the relationship between access to green spaces and mental health well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic is not yet fully understood, and findings may vary depending on the specific context. In particular, there is a dearth of research on this relationship in Asian cities. Bangkok, a rapidly urbanizing city, presents a unique context in which to explore this issue. The dense population of the city, combined with the varying availability of urban green space across its districts, provides a valuable opportunity to investigate the potential mental health benefits of green space access during a period of unprecedented stress and disruption (12). On March 26, 2020, Bangkok implemented strong public health and social measures, including a full-scale city lockdown, curfews, and mandatory face masks, including the closure of places such as parks and recreational centers (13). The importance of urban green space visitation has increased with the closure of parks and public spaces. This paper argues that people who can still visit urban green spaces during the lockdown are positively associated with better mental health and wellbeing outcomes. In such a scenario, urban green spaces can act as buffers, providing residents with a connection to nature even if they cannot access larger public parks. The results of this study can provide policymakers and relevant stakeholders with a better understanding of the role of urban green spaces during and after the pandemic.

2 Materials and methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted during the lockdown period from March to May 2020. During this time, the Thai government implemented travel restrictions, curfews, and publicspace closures. Consequently, face-to-face interviews were impossible.

A telephone survey was conducted to collect data. The respondents for the study were selected from a survey conducted in 2019 on park visits and physical activity in Bangkok.

In 2019, we conducted a survey of urban green spaces and physical activity in Bangkok. A quota sampling design was employed for the primary data collection by dividing the city into administrative districts. A list of registered households and their addresses was obtained from the Bangkok Metropolitan Administration (BMA) as the sampling frame. We randomly selected 12 respondents from 50 districts (600 respondents in total). We recruited a total of 10 surveyors to conduct face-to-face interviews with each respondent to ensure a 100% response rate. The respondents were given a token of appreciation for completing the interviews. The value of the souvenir was 100 Thai baht (THB), or approximately 30 US dollars (USD). During the survey, we collected a list of telephone numbers from respondents (with their consent).

This list was used to conduct the telephone survey. We believe that this method is more reliable than an online questionnaire using convenience sampling. Ten surveyors were recruited and trained to conduct telephone interviews in April, 2020. Participants were contacted by phone and informed of the study objectives and procedures. Once they agreed to participate, the surveyors asked them a set of structured questions divided into five main sections. These sections covered topics such as healthy behaviors during the lockdown, COVID-19 experiences, mental health and well-being, urban green spaces visitation, and socioeconomic characteristics. As a token of appreciation for their involvement, the participants received 100 THB (equivalent to approximately \$30 USD) after completing the telephone interview. Cronbach's alpha was used to ensure the reliability of the questionnaire, indicating a satisfactory level of internal consistency ranging from 0.79 to 0.84. After carefully cleaning the data, 579 responses were considered for the data analysis. The study followed the ethical principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Institutional Review Board (or Ethics Committee) of the Institute for the Development of Human Research Protection (IHRP) in Thailand.

Previous studies have shown that healthy behaviors are important confounding variables when explaining mental health well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. We collected four proxy variables related to healthy behaviors: physical activity level, presence of non-communicable diseases (NCDs), smoking, and alcohol consumption habits. Physical activity has been associated with mental health well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. People who maintained an active lifestyle during the lockdown maintained stable levels of mental health. In this study, the level of physical activity was measured using the validated Global Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPAQ). This questionnaire assesses the amount of physical activity in three domains: work, transportation, and recreation. The GPAQ was administered by an interviewer and tailored to fit the Thai context based on previous studies conducted in Thailand (14, 15). For the analysis, we dichotomized the variables into sufficient and insufficient physical activity, following the global physical activity recommendation (16).

Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) have been found to be associated with mental health and well-being during COVID-19. Studies have indicated that individuals without NCDs may have better mental health and well-being than those with NCDs (17). This variable was measured by asking respondents whether they had NCDs with "yes" or "no" as possible responses. Smoking and alcohol consumption habits have been found to be associated with mental health and well-being during COVID-19 in previous studies. For example, a study in Australia found that negative changes in smoking and alcohol intake have been found to be associated with higher levels of depression, anxiety, and stress symptoms. These variables were measured by asking the respondents whether they regularly consumed alcohol and smoked during the lockdown, with "yes" or "no" as possible responses.

We also collected COVID-19-related experience variables, as suggested by previous studies, and found that COVID-19 exacerbates mental health and well-being. We collected data for two variables. The first variable was whether the respondents tested positive for COVID-19 or not. Previous studies have found that respondents who tested positive for COVID-19 were associated with mental health conditions, such as anxiety and depression (18–20). The second variable was whether respondents' employment status was affected by COVID-19. Previous studies have found that having employment status affected by COVID-19, such as being fired from a job, having to change jobs, or work from home, is negatively associated with mental health well-being (21, 22).

The well-being variable was evaluated using the 5-item World Health Organization Well-Being Index (WHO-5). This index is a validated self-reported measure that gauges an individual's subjective well-being over a period of 2 weeks (23). WHO-5 is widely used as a questionnaire to assess subjective psychological well-being and has been used in various studies to assess mental health in the context of environmental factors, making it an appropriate measure for this argument (24). The index comprises five positively worded items, each rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (none of the time) to 5 (all of the time), with a total raw score ranging from 5 to 25. However, it is customary to multiply the score by 4, resulting in a transformed score ranging from 0 to 100. A score below 50 indicates poor wellbeing and suggests the need for further investigation of the possible symptoms of depression (25, 26).

Urban green space visitation was assessed by inquiring whether respondents had visited urban green spaces within the last 2 weeks. In this study, urban green spaces were defined as spaces that remained accessible and open during the lockdown, allowing people to visit them, such as closed streets, neighborhood parks and gardens, community plazas, and other urban green areas that were not managed by the Bangkok Metropolitan Administration (BMA). During the lockdown, public parks and other green spaces managed by the government were closed. However, some parts of the Bangkok population still had access to urban green spaces, mostly because of their privileges of having good neighborhoods where privately owned public or community spaces were available (27). This variable was created following previous studies asking whether they had visited urban green spaces within the past 2 weeks during the lockdown, with "yes" or "no" as the possible responses. Previous studies in Bangkok have also used a similar proxy variable to measure urban green space visitation even before COVID-19 [for example, see (28, 29)]. The two-week timeframe was chosen to align with the time period used in the WHO-5 questionnaire. Moreover, we gathered information on socioeconomic factors such as income, education, sex, marital status, and the participants' body mass index (BMI).

For the data analysis, we used descriptive statistics such as frequencies and means to summarize socioeconomic characteristics and other variables. To investigate the relationship between mental health well-being and independent variables, a multivariable logistic regression model was employed, presenting the results as odds ratios (ORs) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The model was adjusted for the potential confounding factors. All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 24.

3 Results

Table 1 displays the summary statistics of the sample comprising 579 participants. The sample was predominantly composed of females (56.0%), unmarried individuals (59.6%), and those earning a monthly income ranging from 300 to 1,000 USD (46.1%). Only a small fraction of the respondents had postgraduate education, accounting for 5.8%. In terms of health-related behaviors, 11.4% of the participants acknowledged regular alcohol consumption, while 10% were regular smokers. The majority of the respondents (90%) reported the absence of non-communicable diseases. However, a notable proportion of participants (62.9%) indicated insufficient levels of physical activity, meaning that they engaged in 150 min or less of physical activity. Only 58.7% of respondents reported a WHO-5 score of more than 50 points. The data also showed that most of the respondents did not visit urban green spaces (60.3%).

Table 2 shows the association between mental health well-being and access to green open spaces during the COVID-19 pandemic. The findings indicated that individuals who had access to urban green spaces during the lockdown period were more likely to have higher mental health well-being scores (OR=2.001, p < 0.005). It was discovered that some socioeconomic variables were substantially correlated with the WHO-5 score. Higher education was associated with higher WHO-5 scores (high school to bachelor's degree OR = 1.990, p < 0.005; higher than bachelor's degree OR = 5.449, p < 0.005). Being female (OR = 0.520, p < 0.005) and having a BMI of >25 (OR = 0.520, p = 0.005) were associated with lower WHO-5 scores. COVID-19 related experiences were also found to be associated with WHO-5 scores. Respondents who had never tested positive for COVID-19 were more likely to have a higher score (OR=1.937, p < 0.005). Respondents whose jobs were not affected by COVID-19 also had a higher WHO-5 score (OR = 1.201, p < 0.005). All healthy behavior variables, including not smoking or regular use of alcohol (OR = 1.439, p < 0.005), having sufficient physical activity (OR = 7.282, p < 0.005)p < 0.005), and not having NCDs (OR=2.108, p < 0.005), were positively associated with mental health well-being.

4 Discussion

This study examined the association between access to urban green spaces and mental health well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. This study examined the association between access to urban green spaces and mental health and well-being during the COVID-19 pandemic. Two major findings were obtained in this study. First, the main finding of the study was that visiting urban green space during the lockdown period were significantly associated with mental health well-being. Even before the COVID-19 pandemic, visiting urban green spaces was positively linked to mental health outcomes (1, 30). During the COVID-19 lockdown, urban green spaces emerged as crucial sanctuaries for mental respite and well-being (31). A study in Italy found that more people wanted to visit urban green spaces during the lockdown period, and perceived urban green space as an important aspect that influences mental health and well-being (32, 33). In the UK and New Zealand, there is a significant increase in green space visits as lockdown restrictions eased, indicating people's willingness to visit urban green spaces. Another study found that

TABLE 1 Respondents' characteristics.

Category	Variable	Sample characteristics
Socioeconomic characteristics	Monthly income	
	Less than 5,000 THB (less than 160 USD)	30.1%
	5,000-10,000 THB (160-300 USD)	8.3%
	10,001-30,000 THB (300-1,000 USD)	46.1%
	30,001-50,000 THB (1000-1,600 USD)	9.5%
	More than 50,000 THB	6.0%
	Education	
	High school or less	47.0%
	High school to bachelor's degree	47.2%
	More than bachelor's degree	5.8%
	Gender	
	Male	44.0%
	Female	56.0%
	Marital status	
	Single	59.6%
	Living with partner	40.4%
COVID-19 related experience	Ever tested positive for COVID-19	
	Yes	28.0%
	No	72.0%
	Employment status affected by COVID-19	
	Yes	42.1%
	No	57.9%
Healthy behavior	BMI	
	25 or less	77.0%
	>25	23.0%
	No	88.6%
	Regular smokers	
	Yes	10.0%
	No	90.0%
	Having non-communicable diseases (NCDs)	
	Yes	10%
	No	90%
	Physical activity level	
	Physically active (more than 150 min/week)	37.1%
	Insufficient physical activity (150 min/week or	62.9%
	less)	
Urban green spaces visitation during lockdown	Visiting urban green spaces in the last 2 weeks	
	Yes	39.7%
	No	60.3%
Mental health wellbeing	WHO-5 score	
	Less than 50	41.3%
	50 or more	58.7%

pedestrian activity increased in city parks, peri-urban forests, and protected areas in Kanazawa, Japan, during public park closures (34). Furthermore, studies have shown that visiting urban green spaces during the COVID-19 pandemic did not increase the risk of infection, although context-specific measures should be considered to ensure the safety of visitors (35, 36).

95% CI Category Variable OR Socioeconomic characteristics Monthly income Less than 5,000 THB (less than 160 USD) ref 5,000-10,000 THB (160-300 USD) 0.519-2.618 1.166 10,001-30,000 THB (300-1,000 USD) 0.914-2.761 1.588 30,001-50,000 THB (1,000-1,600 USD) 0.948 0.387-2.320 More than 50,000 THB 0.806 0.260-2.504 Education High school or less ref High school to bachelor's degree 1.990* 1.221 - 3.244More than bachelor's degree 5.449* 1.520-19.527 Gender Male ref Female 0.520* 0.323-0.838 Marital status Single ref 1.049 Living with partner 0.580-1.895 COVID-19 related experience Ever tested positive for COVID-19 Yes ref 1.937* 1.588-2.495 No Employment status affected by COVID-19 Yes ref 1.201* 1.098-1.413 No Healthy behavior BMI 25 or less ref >25 0.469* 0.279-0.788 Regular alcohol consumption Yes ref No 1.439* 1.192 - 2.001**Regular** smokers Yes ref No 3.364* 1.506-7.515 Having non-communicable diseases (NCDs) Yes ref 1.865-4.709 2.108* No Physical activity level Insufficient physical activity (150 min/week or less) ref 4.622-11.475 Physically active (more than 150 min/week) 7.282* Urban green spaces visitation Visiting urban green spaces in the last 2 weeks during lockdown No ref Yes 2.001* 1.253-3.195

TABLE 2 Association between mental health wellbeing and access to green open spaces during COVID-19 pandemic.

2 log likelihood = 566.708, chi-square = 218.248, *p < 0.005.

The fact that a part of Bangkok's population still had the privilege to visit urban green spaces even during the government lockdown indicated that there was inequality in the ability to access urban green spaces. Inequalities in the use and experience of urban green spaces were found to exist even before the pandemic. Previous studies have examined this issue and found evidence of disparities based on socioeconomic status, race/ethnicity, and geographic location. Similar issues were also found in Bangkok, where parks were only accessible to groups of users (37). During the pandemic, inequalities in accessing urban green spaces were exacerbated (38). The closure of parks and green spaces during the pandemic has limited access to visitors and may affect vulnerable populations more than others. Low-income communities with less access to urban green spaces are often the same communities hardest hit by COVID-19 (17). On the other hand, people with better socioeconomic backgrounds would be less affected due to their neighborhood environment, which could provide alternatives to the closed parks and green spaces. Planned residential areas and condominiums provided green spaces and community areas that were still accessible to the residents even during the lockdown. This finding indicated that it is crucial to ensure that urban green spaces are accessible to all individuals, particularly during pandemics.

The second finding was that respondents' socioeconomic and healthy behaviors variables were significantly associated with higher scores on the WHO-5 Mental Health Well-being Scale during the pandemic. Respondents with higher educational levels had higher mental health well-being scores. This finding suggests that individuals with higher education levels may have been more resilient and better equipped to cope with the challenges posed by the pandemic. Previous studies have also found a similar result, which may be because individuals with higher education levels may have had better access to and understanding of health information, leading to better mental health outcomes (39). Being female was associated with a lower mental health well-being score. Similar studies in China and the UK have found that women are more likely than men to report symptoms of depression and anxiety during the COVID-19 pandemic (39–41).

Healthy behaviors were also found to be important determinants of mental health well-being. We found that negative health behaviors were associated with lower WHO-5 mental health well-being scores. Individuals with obesity were linked to deterioration in their mental health during the lockdown, most likely due to changes in diet and eating behavior (42). We also found that the likelihood of a high mental health well-being score was reduced among those who regularly consumed alcohol and cigarettes during the pandemic. Prior studies have shown that lockdown increases alcohol intake and smoking at home, which negatively impacts mental health and wellbeing (43). Individuals with NCDs have been found to be particularly vulnerable to the impacts of external stressors, such as the COVID-19 pandemic (44). Although certain NCDs increased the severity of COVID-19 and mortality risk, previous studies have shown that containment measures, such as social distancing, quarantine, and lockdown, were the main factors that could potentially lead to increased mental health problems (45). We also found that sufficient physical activity is positively associated with well-being. Regular engagement in physical activities was found to be a protective factor against mental health challenges and enhance overall well-being in literature (46, 47). Studies have underlined the importance of being active at home, especially during the confinement period, because it would improve the mental health and well-being of an individual (48, 49).

This study has several limitations that warrant careful consideration when interpreting the findings. The cross-sectional design employed in this study precludes the establishment of a direct causal relationship between urban green space visits and mental health well-being. To establish a causal relationship, it is imperative to employ a more comprehensive research methodology, such as a longitudinal survey incorporating larger sample sizes and employing more rigorous probabilistic sampling techniques. Furthermore, the study employed a self-reported questionnaire administered through telephone surveys, which may have introduced potential biases. For instance, it is possible that participants may exhibit inaccuracies in their recollection or may tend to either overestimate or underestimate their visitation to urban green spaces, as well as their mental health well-being. The study also employed the WHO-5 as the exclusive measure of mental health well-being, potentially limiting its ability to assess an individual's mental health outcomes comprehensively. Although the WHO-5 has been validated as a tool, it primarily captures the subjective perceptions of well-being. Divergent interpretations of a question by individuals can result in a range of responses. Furthermore, the study employed the concept of "Visiting urban green spaces during the period of lockdown" as a singular indicator for assessing the accessibility of urban green spaces. Various significant contributing factors, such as the proximity of these spaces, the frequency of visits, and the distribution of such spaces, were not measured in this study because of the difficulties in data collection during the lockdown. Additional research is necessary to employ more advanced and validated methodologies, incorporate a broader range of variables to assess mental health and well-being and evaluate the accessibility of urban green spaces beyond the lockdown period.

5 Conclusion

This study investigated the association between urban green spaces and mental health well-being during COVID-19 in Bangkok, Thailand. Our study revealed that visiting urban green spaces during the lockdown period was significantly associated with a higher WHO-5 mental health well-being score. Additionally, we also found consistent associations among socioeconomic variables and healthy behaviors of the respondents visiting urban green spaces, aligning with the existing literature, even before the pandemic. These findings collectively indicate that access to urban green spaces serves as a crucial determinant of mental health and well-being, especially during the COVID-19 pandemic. These findings reinforce the idea that equitable access to green spaces must be a key consideration in urban planning and public health strategies, as it has the potential to mitigate the adverse effects of stress, anxiety, and isolation that can accompany global crises, such as COVID-19. Prior research has highlighted increased mental health issues, especially among healthcare professionals and in vulnerable populations, as a result of the socioeconomic consequences of the pandemic. As urban planners and policymakers grapple with the challenges posed by the pandemic, ensuring access to urban green spaces should be a priority, not just for recreational purposes but also as a vital component of public health.

While this study has limitations, such as the cross-sectional design and the use of self-reported measures, our results not only contribute to the understanding of the significance of urban green spaces for mental health but also highlight the persistent influence of healthy behaviors and socioeconomic factors in determining the mental health well-being outcomes. Future research should investigate the complex relationship between urban green spaces and mental health well-being using longitudinal data to track the long-term effects of frequent visits to urban green spaces on mental health well-being beyond the pandemic period. It's also essential to investigate whether the association between urban green spaces and mental health varies after the pandemic compared to during it. Additionally, examining the effects of urban green spaces on diverse demographic segments, encompassing different ages, socio-economic statuses, and cultural backgrounds, is crucial for gaining a more detailed comprehension of these dynamics.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Author contributions

SA: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. OC: Data curation, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Resources, Writing – review & editing.

References

1. Arifwidodo S, Chandrasiri O, Abdulharis R, Kubota T. Exploring the effects of urban heat island: a case study of two cities in Thailand and Indonesia. *APN Sci Bull.* (2019) 9. doi: 10.30852/sb.2019.539 [Online ahead of print].

2. Arifwidodo SD, Ratanawichit P, Chandrasiri O. Understanding the implications of urban heat island effects on household energy consumption and public health in southeast Asian cities: evidence from Thailand and Indonesia In: LTT Huong and GM Pomeroy, editors. *Advances in 21st Century Human Settlements*. Singapore: Springer (2021). 33-42.

3. Slater SJ, Christiana RW, Gustat J. Recommendations for keeping parks and green space accessible for mental and physical health during COVID-19 and other pandemics. *Prev Chronic Dis.* (2020) 17:E59. doi: 10.5888/pcd17.200204

4. Burnett H, Olsen JR, Nicholls N, Mitchell R. Change in time spent visiting and experiences of green space following restrictions on movement during the COVID-19 pandemic: a nationally representative cross-sectional study of UK adults. *BMJ Open*. (2021) 11:e044067. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044067

5. Preti E, Mattei VED, Perego G, Ferrari F, Mazzetti M, Taranto P, et al. The psychological impact of epidemic and pandemic outbreaks on healthcare workers: rapid review of the evidence. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* (2020) 22:43. doi: 10.1007/s11920-020-01166-z

6. Brooks S, Webster R, Smith L, Woodland L, Wessely S, Greenberg N, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: rapid review of the evidence. *Lancet.* (2020) 395:912–20. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30460-8

7. Lopez M, Hart LH, Katz MH. Parks are critical urban infrastructure: perception and use of urban green spaces in NYC during COVID-19. *Preprints.* (2020). doi: 10.20944/preprints202008.0620.v1

8. Cohen-Cline H, Turkheimer E, Duncan GE. Access to green space, physical activity and mental health: a twin study. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. (2015) 69:523–9. doi: 10.1136/jech-2014-204667

9. Bratman GN, Anderson C, Berman MG, Cochran B, Vries SD, Flanders J, et al. Nature and mental health: an ecosystem service perspective. *Sci Adv.* (2019) 5:eaax0903. doi: 10.1126/sciadv.aax0903

10. Beyer K, Kaltenbach A, Szabo A, Bogar S, Nieto FJ, Malecki K. Exposure to neighborhood green space and mental health: evidence from the survey of the health of Wisconsin. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2014) 11:3453–72. doi: 10.3390/ ijerph110303453

11. Cao L, Sun Y, Beckmann-Wübbelt A, Saha S. Characteristics of urban park recreation and health during early COVID-19 by on-site survey in Beijing. *Sci Rep.* (2023) 3:31. doi: 10.1038/s42949-023-00110-3

12. Arifwidodo SD. Urban form and residential energy use in Bandung Indonesia In: KS Sridhar and G Wan, editors. *Urbanization in Asia*. New Delhi: Springer (2014). 239–48.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This research was funded by Thai Health Promotion Foundation (Thai Health) grant number 64-00-0212.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

13. Suwanbamrung C, Pongtalung P, Trang LTT, Phu DH, Nam TT. Levels and risk factors associated with depression, anxiety, and stress among COVID-19 infected adults after hospital discharge in a Southern Province of Thailand. *J Public Health Dev.* (2022) 21:72–89. doi: 10.55131/jphd/2023/210106

14. Arifwidodo SD, Chandrasiri O, Rasri N, Sirawarong W, Rattanawichit P, Sangyuan N. Association between park visitation and physical activity among adults in Bangkok, Thailand. *Sustainability*. (2022) 14:12938. doi: 10.3390/su141912938

15. Topothai T, Tangcharoensathien V, Suphanchaimat R, Petrunoff NA, Chandrasiri O, Müller-Riemenschneider F. Patterns of physical activity and sedentary behavior during the COVID-19 pandemic in the Thai 2021 National Health Survey. *J Phys Act Health*. (2023) 20:364–73. doi: 10.1123/jpah.2022-0528

16. World Health Organization. WHO guidelines on physical activity and sedentary behaviour. Geneva: World Health Organization (2020).

17. Pan J, Bardhan R, Jin Y. Spatial distributive effects of public green space and COVID-19 infection in London. *Urban For Urban Greening*. (2021) 62:127182. doi: 10.1016/j.ufug.2021.127182

18. Faisal RA, Jobe MC, Ahmed O, Sharker T. Mental health status, anxiety, and depression levels of Bangladeshi university students during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychiatry Q.* (2021) 20:1500–15. doi: 10.1007/s11469-020-00458-y

19. Kumar SA, Edwards M, Grandgenett HM, Scherer L, DiLillo D, Jaffe AE. Does gratitude promote resilience during a pandemic? An examination of mental health and positivity at the onset of COVID-19. *J Happiness Stud.* (2022) 23:3463–83. doi: 10.1007/s10902-022-00554-x

20. Negi N. An analytical survey among academic satisfaction and mental stress of students' in COVID-19. *Int J Commun Med Public Health*. (2023) 10:1547–50. doi: 10.18203/2394-6040.ijcmph20230939

21. McDowell C, Herring M, Lansing J, Brower C, Meyer J. Associations between employment changes and mental health: us data from during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Front Psychol.* (2021) 12:631510. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.631510

22. Posel D, Oyenubi A, Kollamparambil U. Job loss and mental health during the COVID-19 lockdown: evidence from South Africa. *PLoS One*. (2021) 16:e0249352. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0249352

23. Krueger AB, Schkade D. The reliability of subjective well-being measures. J Public Econ. (2008) 92:1833–45. doi: 10.1016/j.jpubeco.2007.12.015

24. Topp C, Østergaard SD, Søndergaard S, Bech P. The WHO-5 well-being index: a systematic review of the literature. *Psychother Psychosom.* (2015) 84:167–76. doi: 10.1159/000376585

25. Pieh C, Budimir S, Humer E, Probst T. Comparing mental health during the COVID-19 lockdown and 6 months after the lockdown in Austria: a longitudinal study. *Front Psych.* (2021) 12:625973. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.625973

26. Probst T, Budimir S, Pieh C. Depression in and after COVID-19 lockdown in Austria and the role of stress and loneliness in lockdown: a longitudinal study. J Affect Disord. (2020) 277:962–3. doi: 10.1016/j.jad.2020.09.047

27. Arifwidodo SD. Park matters! Mainstreaming physical activity in landscape architecture design. 1st ed. Bangkok, Thailand: Kasetsart University (2020).

28. Arifwidodo SD, Chandrasiri O. Association between park characteristics and parkbased physical activity using systematic observation: insights from Bangkok, Thailand. *Sustainability.* (2020) 12:2559. doi: 10.3390/su12062559

29. Arifwidodo SD, Chandrasiri O. The effects of park improvement on park use and park-based physical activity. *J Archit Urban*. (2021) 45:73–9. doi: 10.3846/ jau.2021.11845

30. Maas J, Verheij RA, Groenewegen PP, de Vries S, Groenewegen PP. Green space, urbanity, and health: how strong is the relation? *J Epidemiol Commun Health*. (2006) 60:587–92. doi: 10.1136/jech.2005.043125

31. Warne N, Heron J, Mars B, Kwong A, Solmi F, Pearson R, et al. Disordered eating and self-harm as risk factors for poorer mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: a UK-based birth cohort study. *J Eat Disord.* (2021) 9:155. doi: 10.1186/ s40337-021-00510-9

32. Amerio A, Brambilla A, Morganti A, Aguglia A, Bianchi D, Santi F, et al. COVID-19 lockdown: housing built environment's effects on mental health. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:5973. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17165973

33. Larcher F, Pomatto E, Battisti L, Gullino P, Devecchi M. Perceptions of urban green areas during the social distancing period for COVID-19 containment in Italy. *Horticulturae*. (2021) 7:55. doi: 10.3390/horticulturae7030055

34. Ueno Y, Kato S, Mase T, Funamoto Y. Changes in the use of green spaces by citizens before and during the first COVID-19 pandemic: a big data analysis using mobile-tracking GPS data in Kanazawa, Japan. *Ecol Res Monogr.* (2022):257–70. doi: 10.1007/978-981-16-6791-6 16

35. Luo S, Xie J, Furuya K. "We need such a space": residents' motives for visiting urban green spaces during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Sustainability*. (2021) 13:6806. doi: 10.3390/su13126806

36. Xie J, Luo S, Furuya K, Sun D. Urban parks as green buffers during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Sustainability.* (2020) 12:6751. doi: 10.3390/su12176751

37. Arifwidodo S, Chandrasiri O. Inequality in active public park: a case study of Benjakitti park in Bangkok, Thailand. *Proc Eng.* (2017) 198:193–9. doi: 10.1016/j. proeng.2017.07.083

38. Honey-Roses J, Anguelovski I, Chireh VK, Daher C, Konijnendijk Van den Bosch C, Litt JS, et al. The impact of COVID-19 on public space: an early review of the emerging questions - design, perceptions and inequities. *Cities Health.* (2021) 5:S263–79. doi: 10.1080/23748834.2020.1780074

39. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, Tan Y, Xu L, Ho CS, et al. Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2020) 17:1729. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17051729

40. Jones AM, Hope H, Ford T, Hatch SL, Hotopf M, John A, et al. Mental health before and during the COVID-19 pandemic: a longitudinal probability sample survey of the UK population. *Lancet Psychiatry.* (2020) 7:883–92. doi: 10.1016/ s2215-0366(20)30308-4

41. Kirwin MA, Ettinger AK. Working mothers during COVID-19: a cross-sectional study on mental health status and associations with the receipt of employment benefits. *BMC Public Health.* (2022) 22:435. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-12468-z

42. Brown AJ, Flint SW, Kalea AZ, O'Kane M, Williams S, Batterham RL. Negative impact of the first COVID-19 lockdown upon health-related behaviours and psychological wellbeing in people living with severe and complex obesity in the UK. *EClinicalMedicine*. (2021) 34:100796. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.100796

43. Haddad C, Malhab SB, Sacre H, Salameh P. Smoking and COVID-19: a scoping review. *Tobacco Use Insights.* (2021) 14:1179173X2199461. doi: 10.1177/1179173X21994612

44. Magny-Normilus C, Hassan S, Sanders J, Longhurst C, Lee CS, Jurgens CY. Implications for self-management among african caribbean adults with noncommunicable diseases and mental health disorders: a systematic review. *Biomedicine*. (2022) 10:2735. doi: 10.3390/biomedicines10112735

45. Azzouzi S, Stratton C, Muñoz-Velasco L, Wang K, Fourtassi M, Hong B, et al. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on healthy lifestyle behaviors and perceived mental and physical health of people living with non-communicable diseases: an international cross-sectional survey. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2022) 19:8023. doi: 10.3390/jerph19138023

46. Coon JT, Boddy K, Stein K, Whear R, Barton JS, Depledge MH. Does participating in physical activity in outdoor natural environments have a greater effect on physical and mental wellbeing than physical activity indoors? A systematic review. *Environ Sci Technol.* (2011) 45:1761–72. doi: 10.1021/es102947t

47. Owen KB, Parker PD, Astell-Burt T, Lonsdale C. Regular physical activity and educational outcomes in youth: a longitudinal study. *J Adolesc Health.* (2018) 62:334–40. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2017.09.014

48. Aperribai L, Cortabarria L, Aguirre T, Verche E, Borges Á. Teacher's physical activity and mental health during lockdown due to the covid-2019 pandemic. *Front Psychol.* (2020) 11:577886. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.577886

49. Savage MW, Hennis PJ, Magistro D, Donaldson JS, Healy LC, James RM. Nine months into the COVID-19 pandemic: a longitudinal study showing mental health and movement behaviours are impaired in UK students. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2021) 18:2930. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18062930