Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Gaia Sampogna, University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli", Italy

REVIEWED BY Gul Muhammad Baloch, Keele University, United Kingdom Daniel Joseph Berdida, University of Santo Tomas, Philippines

*CORRESPONDENCE Mehrnaz Ahmadi 🖾 mer.ahmadi@gmail.com

RECEIVED 05 June 2023 ACCEPTED 01 August 2023 PUBLISHED 17 August 2023

CITATION

Hasimi L, Ahmadi M, Hovyzian SA and Ahmadi A (2023) Sense of Coherence or resilience as predictors of psychological distress in nursing students during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Front. Public Health* 11:1233298. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1233298

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Hasimi, Ahmadi, Hovyzian and Ahmadi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Sense of Coherence or resilience as predictors of psychological distress in nursing students during the COVID-19 pandemic

Leila Hasimi ¹, Mehrnaz Ahmadi ^{2*}, Shahla Assadi Hovyzian ³ and Ali Ahmadi ⁴

¹Department of Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran, ²Medical and Surgical Nursing Department, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran, ³Medical and Surgical Nursing Department, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Abadan University of Medical Sciences, Abadan, Iran, ⁴Department of Nursing, Student Research Committee, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

Background: The COVID-19 pandemic lead to the occurrence of numerous psychological distress among students. This study aimed to determine the level of psychological distress as well as the predictive role of Sense of Coherence (SOC) and resilience in nursing students.

Methods: A cross-sectional descriptive study was conducted on 310 nursing students in Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Iran. The data of the study was collected through the demographic information questionnaire, the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ), the Academic Resilience Inventory (ARI), and the Sense of Coherence Scale (SOC-13).

Results: Students' mean scores for the GHQ, ARI, and SOC were 5.81 ± 1.37 , 102.88 ± 11.91, and 54.54 ± 6.46, respectively. Regression models showed that two domains of SOC [meaningfulness ($\beta = -0.28$, p < 0.001), manageability ($\beta = -0.19$, p = 0.001)], female gender ($\beta = 0.12$, p = 0.015), and overall ARI ($\beta = -0.12$, p = 0.037), were significantly associated with the GH of nursing students. SOC domains [meaningfulness ($\beta = -0.19$, p = 0.002), manageability ($\beta = -0.15$, p = 0.006)], problem-oriented/positive thinking domain of ARI ($\beta = -0.15$, p = 0.011), sex ($\beta = 0.12$, p = 0.015), and history of death in first-degree relatives ($\beta = 0.12$, p = 0.021) were significantly associated with social dysfunction domain of GH. Three domains of SOC [meaningfulness ($\beta = -0.26$, p < 0.001), manageability ($\beta = -0.13$, p = 0.032), and comprehensibility ($\beta = -0.13$, p = 0.039)], were significantly associated with psychological distress domain of GH.

Conclusion: Our results indicated that low SOC and resilience were predictors of psychological distress in nursing students. Accordingly, interventions such as teaching stress management skills, the skills of using positive coping methods in dealing with stressful situations, and self-management skills are necessary to improve the level of resilience and SOC in nursing students.

KEYWORDS

Sense of Coherence, academic resilience, psychological distress, nursing students, COVID-19 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{COVID-19}}$

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic not only resulted in widespread public health concerns but also led to the emergence of several psychological conditions among individuals, particularly students (1–3). Because of the pandemic, universities were closed and the classes were held virtually, which led to significant levels of anxiety and stress among students (3, 4). Similar to nurses in other regions of the world, nursing students in Iran were also involved in caring for COVID-19 patients, which placed a significant mental strain on these students (2). Fear of disease, fear of death, witnessing the death of patients, and inadequate clinical competence to provide care to patients, on the one hand (5, 6), and worrying about the effect of this virus on the educational and professional future of the students, on the other, lead to stress, anxiety, sadness, grief and overall psychological distress in these students (5–7).

Psychological distress is defined as states of apathy, depression, anxiety and hopelessness about the future (8). Many studies have hitherto investigated the prevalence of psychological disorders in students during the COVID-19 pandemic. Based on the results of these studies, this disease has had destructive psychological effects on the mental health of students, especially medical students. According to studies, medical students have experienced higher levels of depression, anxiety, and stress during the pandemic, as the level of these disorders in medical students has been reported to be 3-8.7% higher than ordinary people (9). In a systematic review that reviewed 27 studies in the area of mental health of students during the COVID-19 pandemic, 14 mental health problems were identified in students, of which depression and anxiety had the highest prevalence of 39 and 36%, respectively (10). Studies conducted in Iran also show that nursing students suffer from many psychological disorders, including anxiety, during the pandemic (11).

Psychological distress can cause extensive changes in one's daily relationships and social interactions (8). Therefore, we need more investigations to identify its dimensions in students and detect the protective factors affecting it. As indicated in several studies, efficient utilization of psychological coping mechanisms, including Sense of Coherence (SOC) and resilience, can help people manage stressful events as these mechanisms play a substantial role in preventing mental disorders (12–14). According to the results of a study in Iran, SOC and resilience play a crucial role in reducing the COVID-19-related anxiety in students and, hence, targeting these components through psychological therapeutic actions effectively reduce this type of anxiety in students (13).

SOC is defined as one's ability to recognize life's stressors and, then, use coping resources efficiently. A strong internal SOC provides people with more power to deal with anxietyprovoking life experiences and helps them pass through the stages of life with higher strength (15). In critical situations such as the COVID-19 pandemic, when people's mental health is endangered, high SOC can help control mental problems better and more effectively and endow people with higher personal wellbeing (16).

Moreover, some characteristics and skills such as resilience can increase the ability of students in dealing with difficult situations and overcoming life and academic challenges. Resilience refers to one's ability to successfully adapt to difficult conditions, and reduces one's vulnerability to psychological disorders and emotional disturbances (17). The function of resilience mechanism in reducing psychological distress is such that its main components, including self-confidence, trust in instincts, personal competence, and control, act as a buffer when a person is placed in stressful situations and does not allow psychological distresses to occur (18). Academic resilience has been identified as a coping method for educational challenges (19) and defined as students' ability to overcome academic stress or pressure (50). For nursing students, who must engage in learning and clinical practice, academic resilience is a protective factor for coping with academic stress and helps them better adjust to their academic environment and the clinical setting and leads them to play professional roles in the future (20, 21). Studies have emphasized the role of resilience as a mediator in the relationship between the COVID-19-related stress and psychological wellbeing in nursing students (22). Additionally, based on studies, nursing students with higher resilience have better academic performance, better mental health and lower academic burnout (19, 23, 50).

In achieving success in the fight against epidemics such as the coronavirus, it is necessary to assess the mental health of students who play a role in the field of health and care and adjust their mental stress and support them. Although several studies have been carried out in Iran in the field of mental health, resilience, and SOC in nursing students during the COVID-19 pandemic, however, a few studies have been conducted in the field of academic resilience and its relationship with the SOC as well as the role of these two variables in predicting psychological distress in nursing students. Given the significance of the mental health of nursing students and their inherently stressful job, investigation of effective coping mechanisms such as academic resilience and a SOC can be useful for designing intervention programs to empower nursing students and can lead to the creation of holistic views for preparing these students for similar situations in the future. Therefore, the present study was conducted to determine the level of psychological distress as well as the predictive role of SOC and resilience in nursing students of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Design and sample

This study was a cross-sectional and descriptive-correlational study conducted in the faculty of nursing at Ahvaz Jundishapur University of medical sciences, Ahvaz, Iran. The data were collected from October 2021 to December 2021 through convenience sampling with a population of undergraduate nursing students using an online questionnaire. An informed consent form (with an explanation describing the purpose of the study, procedures, and the rights of participants) and a link to the Google survey were sent through social networks. The sample size was determined considering the size of the society (600 people). Two hundred and thirty-five (235) nursing students were selected using the Cochrane statistical formula (95% confidence interval and 80% power assessment). This online survey was sent to 360 students to allow for possible variability, and 310 students responded. The response rate was 86.11%.

Measurements

Demographic information questionnaire

A demographic information questionnaire included the students' age, sex, marital status, academic year, history of infection with COVID-19 (yes and no), and history of death due to infection with COVID-19 in first-degree relatives (yes and no).

General Health Questionnaire

We use the Iranian version of the GHQ-12. This questionnaire has 12 questions and two dimensions of social dysfunction and psychological distress. A 0-0-1-1 bimodal scoring method is used for the 12 questions (0 = absence of common mental disorders, 1 = presence). Overall scores range from 0 to 12, with higher scores indicating worse conditions. A GHQ-12 score of 4 or higher indicated psychological distress. The validity and reliability of the Persian version of the GHQ have been evaluated by Najarkolaei et al. (24). In this study, the reliability of the scale using Cronbach's alpha coefficient (0.7) was satisfactory.

Academic Resilience Inventory

The ARI was developed by Samuels et al. (25) as a valid and reliable scale in 2009. The Persian version of ARI has been psychometrically evaluated in Iran by Soltaninejad et al. (26), with Cronbach's alpha level of 0.62-0.76. In the present study, the internal consistency of ARI was evaluated and confirmed with Cronbach's alpha of 0.80. The Iranian version of ARI contains 29 questions and three subscales: communication skills (13 items), orientation toward the future (10 items), and problemoriented/positive thinking (six items). The students' responses were rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (completely disagree) to 5 (completely agree). The overall score of the scale varies from 29 to 145, which is a higher score indicating better resilience in students.

Sense of Coherence scale

Nursing student SOC was measured using an abbreviated form of the SOC scale. It was developed by Antonovsky (15) and consists of 13 items with three subscales: comprehensibility (five items), manageability (four items), and meaningfulness (four items). An individual's responses are rated on her 7-point semantic scale from 1 to 7. Overall scores range from 13 to 91, with higher scores indicating stronger SOC (15). The validity and reliability of the Persian version of SOC have been validated in Iran by Rohani et al. evaluated (27). In this study, the reliability of the scale using Cronbach's alpha coefficient (0.72) was satisfactory.

Data analysis

Data analysis was performed using SPSS v.22 software. Since the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test showed a normal distribution of the data, parametric tests were used. Independent *t*-test and one-way ANOVA were used to compare mean GHQ, resilience and SOC across dichotomous demographic variables. Significant population groups, resilience, and SOC were entered into the regression model as independent variables. Relationships between outcome variables (GHQ, SOC, and resilience) were evaluated in correlation matrices using Pearson's correlation coefficients before performing regression analysis. A stepwise multiple regression analysis was performed to determine predictors of psychological distress in nursing students (*P*-value for entry <0.05).

Ethical considerations

This research has been approved by the Ethics Committee of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences (ethics code: IR.AJUMS.REC.1400.474). Ethical considerations such as obtaining approval from the relevant authorities, explaining the purpose of the study to participants and ensuring data confidentiality, and obtaining verbal consent from samples were adhered to.

Results

A total of 310 nursing students were included in the study. The mean age of the students was 21.98 \pm 2.86 years. Most of them (67.8%) were female, 36.8% of students had a history of the COVID-19 infection and 58% had a history of death due to infection with COVID-19 in first-degree relatives. Demographic characteristics of the students according to the mean levels of their General Health (GH), Academic Resilience (AR), and SOC are presented in Table 1. It shows that there were no significant differences between demographic categories in terms of students' mean GH scores, except for the variables of sex, and history of death due to infection with COVID-19 in first-degree relatives in total GH and the dimension of social dysfunction (p < 0.05). No significant differences were found between demographic categories in terms of students' mean SOC and AR. However, a significant difference was found between the dimension of Manageability of SOC and sex (p=0.02), as well as between the dimension of future orientation of AR and history of death due to infection with COVID-19 in first-degree relatives (p = 0.04; Table 1).

The mean of the GH, AR, and SOC were 5.81 ± 1.37 , 102.88 \pm 11.91, and 54.54 ± 6.46 , respectively. In addition, a total of 293 (94.5%) students showed psychological distress (Table 2).

Regression analyses results

A correlation matrix was created as a prerequisite for regression analysis. A significant negative correlation was found between the GH score with the SOC (r = -0.16, P < 0.001), and the AR (r =

Variables		Number (%)	Psychological distress (M \pm SD)	Social dysfunction $(M \pm SD)$	Total GHQ (M \pm SD)	Total ARI (M \pm SD)	Total SOC (M \pm SD)	
Age	18-20	151 (48.7)	3.03 ± 0.82	2.89 ± 0.90	5.92 ± 1.42	103.94 ± 10.80	54.07 ± 6.19	
	≤21		2.94 ± 0.84	2.76 ± 0.89	5.71 ± 1.32	101.87 ± 12.83	54.99 ± 6.693	
	ţ.	-value	0.38	0.21	0.17	0.12	0.21	
Sex	Male	100 (32.2)	2.93 ± 0.90	2.68 ± 0.89	5.62 ± 1.49	101.52 ± 13.10	54.53 ± 6.06	
	Female	210 (67.8)	3.01 ± 0.80	3.29 ± 0.90	6.50 ± 1.21	103.51 ± 11.31	54.59 ± 6.65	
	ţ.	-value	0.46	0.01	0.01	0.19	0.94	
Academic year	First-year	88 (28.4)	3.04 ± 0.88	$2.87 \pm 0.91 \qquad 5.92 \pm 1.45$		104.17 ± 11.22	54.09 ± 6.40	
	Second-year	47 (15.2)	2.95 ± 0.95	2.82 ± 0.96	5.78 ± 1.61	101.89 ± 12.86	53.85 ± 6.11	
	Third-year	112 (36.1)	2.91 ± 0.82	2.68 ± 0.90	5.59 ± 1.40	102.41 ± 11.86	54.28 ± 6.52	
	Fourth-year	63 (20.3)	3.07 ± 0.70	3.01 ± 0.79	6.09 ± 0.89	102.65 ± 12.34	56.17 ± 6.57	
	ţ.	-value	0.53	0.12	0.11	0.67	0.16	
History of infection with COVID-19	Yes	114 (36.8)	2.91 ± 0.91	2.73 ± 1	5.64 ± 1.52	103.14 ± 12.13	55.16 ± 6.91	
	No	196 (63.2)	3.03 ± 0.78	2.88 ± 0.82	5.91 ± 1.27	102.73 ± 11.81	54.18 ± 6.17	
	<i>p</i> -value		0.21	0.16	0.09	0.77	0.2	
History of death due to infection with COVID-19 in first-degree relatives	Yes	180 (58)	2.97 ± 0.88	2.73 ± 0.92	5.70 ± 1.38	103.50 ± 11.71	54.94 ± 6.58	
	No	130 (42)	3 ± 0.77	2.96 ± 0.857	6.42 ± 1.74	102.17 ± 12.12	54.05 ± 6.27	
	<i>p</i> -value		0.71	0.01	0.02	0.33	0.22	

TABLE 1 Demographic variables of nursing students based on three outcome variables; the GHQ, the ARI, and the SOC (n = 310).

GHQ, General Health Questionnaire; ARI, Academic Resilience Inventory; SOC, Sense of Coherence.

TABLE 2 Descriptive data for outcome variables, including the GHQ, ARI, and the SOC in nursing students (n = 310).

Outcome variables	$Mean\pmSD$	Range in the scales
Total GHQ	5.81 ± 1.37	0-12
Psychological distress	2.99 ± 0.83	0-6
Social dysfunction	2.82 ± 0.89	0-6
Total ARI	102.88 ± 11.91	29-145
Communication skills	47.08 ± 47.08	13-65
Future orientation	35.35 ± 4.32	10-50
Problem-oriented/positive orientation	20.44 ± 3.45	6-30
Total SOC	54.54 ± 6.46	13–91
Meaningfulness	19.05 ± 4.22	4-28
Manageability	15.34 ± 4.15	4-28
Comprehensibility	19 ± 4.54	5-35

GHQ, General Health Questionnaire; ARI, Academic Resilience Inventory; SOC, Sense of Coherence.

-0.35, P < 0.001). A significant positive correlation was also found between SOC and AR (r = 0.19, P < 0.001; Table 3).

Three multiple linear regression analyses were performed using the stepwise method to determine the predictors of GH, psychological distress, and social dysfunction in nursing students (Table 4). The results showed that two domains of SOC [the meaningfulness ($\beta = -0.28$, p < 0.001), and the manageability (β = -0.19, p = 0.001], as well as sex ($\beta = 0.12$, p = 0.015), and overall ARI ($\beta = -0.12$, p = 0.037), were significantly associated with the GH of nursing students, explaining 26% of the variance (F = 26.73, p < 0.001). The meaningfulness domain ($\beta = -0.19$, p = 0.002), the manageability domain ($\beta = -0.15$, p = 0.006), the problem-oriented/positive thinking domain of ARI ($\beta = -0.15$, p = 0.011), sex (β = 0.12, p = 0.015), and history of death due to infection with COVID-19 in first-degree relatives ($\beta = 0.12$, p =0.021), were significantly associated with social dysfunction domain of GH. The model explained 18% of the variance in this domain (F = 15.24, p < 0.001). Three domains of SOC [the meaningfulness (β = -0.26, p < 0.001), the manageability ($\beta = -0.13, p = 0.032$), and the comprehensibility ($\beta = -0.13$, p = 0.039)], were significantly associated with psychological distress domain of GH. The model explained 16% of the variance in this domain (F = 21.77, p < 0.001).

Discussion

The purpose of this cross-sectional study was to determine the level of psychological distress, resilience, and SOC in a sample of nursing students, and to explore the predictor role of resilience and/or SOC for students' psychological distress. Our results showed

TABLE 3 Correlation matrix between variables of the GHQ, ARI, and the SOC in nursing students.

Variables	Psychological distress	Social dysfunction	GHQ	Communication skills	Future orientation	Problem- oriented/ positive orientation	ARI	Meaningfulness	Manageability	Comprehensibility	SOC
Psychological distress	1	0.350**	0.807**	-0.256**	-0.178**	-0.165**	-0.250**	-0.364**	-0.312**	-0.282**	-0.179**
Social dysfunction	0.350**	1	0.835**	-0.278**	-0.255**	-0.284**	-0.324**	-0.359**	-0.303**	-0.203**	-0.090
GHQ	0.807**	0.835**	1	-0.325**	-0.265**	-0.276**	-0.350**	-0.440**	-0.374**	-0.294**	-0.162**
Communication skills	-0.256**	-0.278**	-0.325**	1	0.518**	0.588**	0.896**	0.483**	0.362**	0.268**	0.194**
Future orientation	-0.178**	-0.255**	-0.265**	0.518**	1	0.527**	0.794**	0.484**	0.267**	0.233**	0.132*
Problem– oriented/positive orientation	-0.165**	-0.284**	-0.276**	0.588**	0.527**	1	0.797**	0.507**	0.192**	0.172**	0.147**
ARI	-0.250**	-0.324**	-0.350**	0.896**	0.794**	0.797**	1	0.582**	0.347**	0.279**	0.195**
Meaningfulness	-0.364**	-0.359**	-0.440**	0.483**	0.484**	0.507**	0.582**	1	0.419**	0.308**	0.153**
Manageability	-0.312**	-0.303**	-0.374**	0.362**	0.267**	0.192**	0.347**	0.419**	1	0.516**	0.350**
Comprehensibility	-0.282**	-0.203**	-0.294**	0.268**	0.233**	0.172**	0.279**	0.308**	0.516**	1	0.517**
SOC	-0.179**	-0.090	-0.162**	0.194**	0.132*	0.147**	0.195**	0.153**	0.350**	0.517**	1

According to Pearson correlation coefficients: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.001.

GHQ, General Health Questionnaire; ARI, Academic Resilience Inventory; SOC, Sense of Coherence.

Model	R	R ²	AdjR ²	Independent variables	В	SE	β	t	р
GHQ 0.50		0.26	0.25	Constant		1.30	-	18.51	< 0.001
				Meaningfulness	-0.19	0.04	-0.28	-4.45	< 0.001
				Manageability	-0.13	0.03	-0.19	-3.45	< 0.001
				Sex	0.74	0.30	0.12	2.45	0.015
				ARI	-0.03	0.01	-0.12	-2.09	0.037
Social dysfunction	0.44	0.20	0.18	Constant		0.77	-	13.757	< 0.001
				Meaningfulness	-0.08	0.02	-0.19	-3.07	0.002
				Manageability	-0.06	0.02	-0.15	-2.76	0.006
				Problem-oriented/positive orientation	-0.07	0.03	-0.15	-2.55	0.011
				Sex	0.48	0.19	0.12	2.44	0.015
				History of death due to infection with COVID-19 in first-degree relatives	0.42	0.18	0.12	2.31	0.021
Psychological distress	0.41	0.17	0.16	Constant	12.444	0.470	-	26.026	< 0.001
				Meaningfulness	-0.106	0.02	-0.26	-4.65	< 0.001
				Comprehensibility	-0.048	0.02	-0.13	-2.14	0.032
				Manageability	-0.053	0.02	-0.13	-2.07	0.039

TABLE 4 Summary results of the multiple linear regression analyses with psychological distress, social dysfunction, and GHQ as dependent variables.

that the level of psychological distress of students was average to high. It is not a surprising result as we can find the same results in earlier studies (5, 8, 22, 28, 50). Not only did the pandemic put people under the psychological pressure of its numerous peaks, but it also caused other problems such as the crises of knowledge, digital life, the fear of education's future, and the loss of friends and relatives. These crises jeopardized the mental health of the students and caused many changes in their personal and social relationships, moods, desires and needs. These mental pressures and crises together increased the rate of mental disorders such as depression, anxiety, worry and stress, and threatened the social growth and identity of the students. They also decreased social vitality of medical students, especially nursing students.

Furthermore, our sampling was performed when universities reopened after a long period of virtual education. This had also increased the stress and anxiety of the students and, generally, the students were experiencing high levels of psychological distress during that time. Thus, screening and psychological interventions together with the improvement of coping skills need to be prioritized in order to promote the mental health of nursing students.

In the present study, there was no significant difference in the GHQ scores of nursing students in terms of age, marital status, academic year, and history of infection with COVID-19. This result is in line with some previous studies (29-31). However, a significant difference was observed between male and female students in terms of the Social Dysfunction dimension and the total score, so that girls had higher psychological disorders than boys. In line with the present study, previous studies have also shown that the prevalence of mental disorders in women is higher than men (32, 33). The higher prevalence of mental disorders in women is perhaps due to the different way they deal with difficult and stressful situations, as well as hormonal fluctuations in women. Nonetheless, in a study conducted in Bangladesh, the prevalence of depression and anxiety in male students was higher than that of female students (34). Other studies have found no gender difference with regard to mental health (35). This contradiction is probably because of the difference in the cultures of the investigated countries and, thus, there is a need for more evaluations in this regard.

Despite the high levels of psychological distress reported by nursing students, their level of resilience and SOC was average. These results are consistent with the results of some previous studies (5, 19, 22, 28, 49). The reason is perhaps due to the fact that nursing students are educated about different coping methods and health-promoting behaviors. Therefore, compared to ordinary people, nursing students are more capable in using personal coping resources such as resilience and SOC and, consequently, they can deal with stressful situations more powerfully. However, compared to the studies conducted before the COVID-19 pandemic in the area of resilience and SOC of nursing students, the levels of these coping strategies have decreased relatively (36, 37). This suggests that although there are coping strategies, they are not adequate and, therefore, students could not cope with the stressful factors sufficiently. This issue can have serious consequences for the mental health of nursing students in the long run. Resilience is a psychological coping mechanism that causes a person to develop more flexibility and compromise in facing with the challenges of life, and acquire self-management skills and thoughts (18). SOC is also a way of thinking and acting with an inner confidence that reflects one's view of life and the capacity of responding to stressful situations. SOC helps people improve their mental health through appropriate use of coping mechanisms (38). Since the COVID-19 pandemic has had lasting effects on the psyche of people, including students, it is a big challenge to maintain and improve the level of resilience and SOC of students. Improved perception of students of stressful experiences can be assumed as an important coping strategy which can significantly promote the level of resilience and SOC in students (16, 36). Accordingly, there is a need to think about the measures and interventions which can improve the self-management skills of the nursing students and empower them in the optimal and efficient use of coping strategies in order to prepare them for dealing with similar situations in the future.

In examining SOC and resilience, no significant difference was observed in the total score or any of the dimensions in terms of age, gender, marital status, academic year, and history of the COVID-19 infection. These results are in line with some previous studies (39, 40).

However, based on a unique finding of our study, those students whose first-degree relatives had died of the COVID-19 had higher mental health in the total score and the Social Dysfunction dimension, as well as higher resilience in the dimension of the future orientation. This finding is not in line with the results of the studies conducted during the pandemic, indicating that the infection of relatives and acquaintances with COVID-19 was a risk factor for increasing anxiety in students (41). Resilience is not limited to overcoming loss and coping with painful experiences, but includes positive adaptation, that is, regaining one's ability after experiencing turmoil (42). Thus, it can be argued that people with the experience of losing their loved ones during the pandemic, adopt the coping strategies more optimally as they have developed more adaptation and positive growth resulting from this painful experience. As a result, they reported higher levels of resilience, which had in turn a positive effect on their mental health.

Based on the results of the present study, a negative correlation was obtained between the scores of GHQ, resilience and SOC, and the students with higher resilience and SOC enjoyed higher mental health. Moreover, as the results of linear regression analysis showed, SOC and resilience were predictors of mental health of nursing students. A strong SOC is associated with a better perception of mental health (43). The results of our study are in line with the results of previous studies, showing that people with weak SOC have a higher risk of mental health problems (14, 28, 44). SOC is a variable which moderates mental health status. It is believed that the effects of SOC on mental health work through two mechanisms; based on the first mechanism, people with stronger SOC perceive less stress in daily life and, according to the second one, SOC can moderate stressful factors. As such, effective stress management can help students improve their level of SOC, thereby promoting their mental health (43).

In line with the results of the present study regarding resilience, some studies have shown that students with high resilience experienced less anxiety during the COVID-19 outbreak and

had a better mental health (13, 22). Based on the results of a systematic review, high levels of resilience and appropriate use of coping skills not only reduce stress levels in nursing students, but also improve their psychological wellbeing (45). On the contrary, increased levels of psychological problems have been attributed to insufficient levels of resilience during stressful events (14, 46, 49, 50). Wolff argues that resilience is identified with characteristics such as social ability, efficient problem solving, and purposefulness, and believes that these characteristics have a positive relationship with mental health, so that improved level of these characteristics promotes people's resilience which in turn can naturally improves their mental health (47). Therefore, enhancing the social ability of students in dealing with incidents and events and teaching them the appropriate use of problem-solving methods will effectively improve their resilience level and, consequently, their mental health (48).

Limitations of the study

This study has some limitations. First, due to the nature of the cross-sectional study design, we were unable to examine causal relationships between study variables. Long-term study designs have therefore been proposed to measure dynamic structures such as resilience and SOC and assess how these structures change over time. Second, the use of self-reported scales can lead to response bias during responses. Finally, SOC and resilience could only predict 26% of changes in common health variables. Therefore, future research should consider other individual and organizational variables that may influence the mental health of nursing students.

Conclusion

Based on the results of the present study, during the COVID-19 pandemic, students suffered from high psychological distress and had moderate levels of resilience and SOC. Our results also indicated that low SOC, female gender, and low resilience were the predictors of mental health disorders in nursing students. Accordingly, interventions such as teaching stress management skills, the skills of using positive coping methods in dealing with stressful situations, and self-management skills are necessary to improve the level of resilience and SOC in nursing students. To this end, the organizations (nursing schools and hospitals) as well as nursing faculty members play a substantial role in training and strengthening resilience and SOC in nursing students to improve their mental health.

Implication

The result of this study brings new insight into the importance of resilience and SOC as a way for improving nursing student's mental health. In addition, it is important to note this point developing academic resilience is not only the responsibility of the nursing students and educators but also the organization, nursing schools, and clinical settings. Therefore, the inclusion of academic resilience in nursing undergraduate curriculum training is necessary. Moreover, the personal characteristics of nursing students, such as SOC, must be considered throughout their nursing education. Some interventions should be thought of to lead to improving the level of resilience and SOC in nursing students, for example, specific programs involving nursing students' introspection to improve SOC and resilience should be offered as short training sessions before they begin clinical training. Educational leaders and faculty should also provide the necessary foundations to create the right learning spaces in clinical settings that support the improvement of the level of resilience and SOC. Furthermore, future research on the effects of academic resilience and SOC in nursing students in the context of a global health crisis could make a significant contribution to nursing education and the nursing profession.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Ethics Committee of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences (ethics code: IR.AJUMS.REC.1400.474). The studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. No potentially identifiable images or data are presented in this study.

Author contributions

MA and LH contributed to the study's conception and design. Material preparation, data collection, and analysis were performed by MA, LH, AA, and SH. The first draft of the manuscript was written by MA and LH. MA as a principal investigator supervised the project. All authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript and read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The Social Determinants of Health Research Center at Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences has funded this project.

Acknowledgments

This study was extracted from a research proposal approved by the Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran (No: SDH-0011). The researchers appreciate all staff in Social Determinants of Health Research Center and Research and Technology Chancellor in Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences for their financial support and all the nursing students who participated in this study.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships

References

1. De Los Santos JAA, Labrague LJ, Falguera CC. Fear of COVID-19, poor quality of sleep, irritability, and intention to quit school among nursing students: a cross-sectional study. *Perspect Psychiatr Care.* (2022) 58:71–8. doi: 10.1111/ppc.12781

2. Hayter M, Jackson D. Pre-registration Undergraduate Nurses and the COVID-19 Pandemic: Students or Workers? Vol. 29. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley Online Library (2020). p. 3115–6. doi: 10.1111/jocn.15317

3. Komer L. COVID-19 amongst the pandemic of medical student mental health. Int J Med Stud. (2020) 8:56-7. doi: 10.5195/ijms.2020.501

4. Mahdy MA. The impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the academic performance of veterinary medical students. *Front Vet Sci.* (2020) 7:594261. doi: 10.3389/fvets.2020.594261

5. Ersin F, Kartal M. The determination of the perceived stress levels and healthprotective behaviors of nursing students during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Perspect Psychiatr Care.* (2021) 57:929. doi: 10.1111/ppc.12636

6. Kim SC, Sloan C, Montejano A, Quiban C. Impacts of coping mechanisms on nursing students' mental health during COVID-19 lockdown: a cross-sectional survey. *Nurs Rep.* (2021) 11:36–44. doi: 10.3390/nursrep11010004

7. Griffin L, Riley R. Exploring the psychological impact of working during COVID-19 on medical and nursing students: a qualitative study. *Br Med J Open.* (2022) 12:e055804. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-055804

8. Li T, Zhang X, Chen M, Wang R, He L, Xue B, et al. Psychological distress and its associated risk factors among university students. *Rev Assoc Med Bras.* (2020) 66:414–8. doi: 10.1590/1806-9282.66.4.414

9. Cimen ID, Alvur TM, Coşkun B, Sükür NEÖ. Mental health of Turkish medical students during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Int J Soc Psychiatry.* (2022) 68:1253–62. doi: 10.1177/00207640211066734

10. Li Y, Wang A, Wu Y, Han N, Huang H. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health of college students: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Psychol.* (2021) 12:669119. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.669119

11. Hasanpour M, Maroufizadeh S, Mousavi H, Noughani F, Afshari M. Prevalence of generalized anxiety disorder among nursing students in Iran during the COVID-19 pandemic: a web-based cross-sectional study. *Int J Africa Nurs Sci.* (2021) 15:100360. doi: 10.1016/j.ijans.2021.100360

12. Akbar Z, Aisyawati MS. Coping strategy, social support, and psychological distress among university students in Jakarta, Indonesia during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Front Psychol.* (2021) 2021:3409. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.694122

13. Eyni S, Ebadi M, Torabi N. Developing a model of corona anxiety in students based on optimism and resilience: the mediating role of the perceived social support. *Counsel Cult Psycother*. (2020) 11:1–32. doi: 10.22034/22.1.17

14. Kase T, Ueno Y, Endo S. Association of sense of coherence and resilience with distress and infection prevention behaviors during the coronavirus disease pandemic. *Curr Psychol.* (2023) 2023:1–10. doi: 10.1007/s12144-023-04359-w

15. Antonovsky A. Unraveling the Mystery of Health: How People Manage Stress and Stay well. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass. (1987).

16. Barni D, Danioni F, Canzi E, Ferrari L, Ranieri S, Lanz M, et al. Facing the COVID-19 pandemic: the role of sense of coherence. *Front Psychol.* (2020) 11:578440. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.578440

17. De la Fuente J, Fernández-Cabezas M, Cambil M, Vera MM, González-Torres MC, Artuch-Garde R. Linear relationship between resilience, learning approaches, and coping strategies to predict achievement in undergraduate students. *Front. Psychol.* (2017) 8:1039. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01039

that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

18. Liu H, Zhang C, Ji Y, Yang L. Biological and psychological perspectives of resilience: is it possible to improve stress resistance? *Front Hum Neurosci.* (2018) 12:326. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2018.00326

19. Delshad ES, Nobahar M, Raiesdana N, Yarahmadi S, Saberian M. Academic resilience, moral perfectionism, and self-compassion among undergraduate nursing students: a cross-sectional, multi-center study. *J Prof Nurs.* (2023) 46:39–44. doi: 10.1016/j.profnurs.2023.02.006

20. Hughes V, Cologer S, Swoboda S, Rushton C. Strengthening internal resources to promote resilience among prelicensure nursing students. *J Prof Nurs.* (2021) 37:777–83. doi: 10.1016/j.profnurs.2021.05.008

21. Lees C, Keane P, Porritt B, Cleary JP. Exploring nursing students' understanding and experiences of academic resilience. A qualitative study. *Teach Learn Nurs.* (2023) 18:276–80. doi: 10.1016/j.teln.2022.12.008

22. Labrague LJ. Resilience as a mediator in the relationship between stressassociated with the COVID-19 pandemic, life satisfaction, and psychological well-being in student nurses: a cross-sectional study. *Nurse Educ Pract.* (2021) 56:103182. doi: 10.1016/j.nepr.2021.103182

23. Ríos-Risquez MI, García-Izquierdo M, Sabuco-Tebar EA, Carrillo-García C, Martinez-Roche ME. An exploratory study of the relationship between resilience, academic burnout and psychological health in nursing students. *Contemporary Nurse*. (2016) 52:430–9. doi: 10.1080/10376178.2016.1213648

24. Najarkolaei FR, Raiisi F, Rahnama P, Fesharaki MG, Zamani O, Jafari MR, et al. Factor structure of the Iranian version of 12-item general health questionnaire. *Iran Red Crescent Med J.* (2014) 16:11794. doi: 10.5812/ircmj.11794

25. Samuels WE, Woo A. Creation and Initial Validation of an Instrument to Measure Academic Resilience. AERA. (2009).

26. Soltaninejad M, Asiabi M, Ahmdi B, Tavanaiee yosefian S. A study of the psychometric properties of the academic resilience inventory (ARI). *Quart Educ Measur.* (2014) 4:17–35.

27. Rohani C, Khanjari S, Abedi HA, Oskouie F, Langius-Eklöf A. Health index, sense of coherence scale, brief religious coping scale and spiritual perspective scale: Psychometric properties. *J Adv Nurs.* (2010) 66:2796–806. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2010.05409.x

28. Wu X, Lu Y, Zhang Q, Wang H, Sun X, Han H, et al. Stress/resource complex, sense of coherence and professional identity among nursing students: a latent profile and mediation analysis. *Psychol Res Behav Manag.* (2022) 2022:2409–20. doi: 10.2147/PRBM.S378088

29. Aslan H, Pekince H. Nursing students' views on the COVID-19 pandemic and their percieved stress levels. *Perspect Psychiatr Care.* (2021) 57:695–701. doi: 10.1111/ppc.12597

30. Miri Z, Razavi Z, Mohammadi S. Evaluation of stress, anxiety, depression, and sleep disorders in medical students of Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Iran, during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Avicenna J Clin Med.* (2021) 27:232-8. doi: 10.52547/ajcm.27.4.238

31. Saadati Rad MT, Mashhadi F, Akbarzadeh H, Mohseni F, Aghaei S, Haddad Samani F, et al. Evaluation of mental health status of nursing students of the Islamic Azad University, Sari, Iran, during the COVID-19 pandemic in Winter 2021. *J Health Res Commun.* (2021) 7:25–36.

32. Noda T, Nagaura H, Tsutsumi T, Fujita Y, Asao Y, Matsuda A, et al. A cross-sectional study of the psychological impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on undergraduate and graduate students in Japan. *J Affect Disord Rep.* (2021) 6:100282. doi: 10.1016/j.jadr.2021.100282

33. Ochnik D, Rogowska AM, Kuśnierz C, Jakubiak M, Schütz A, Held MJ, et al. Mental health prevalence and predictors among university students in nine countries during the COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-national study. *Sci Rep.* (2021) 11:18644. doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-97697-3

34. Islam MS, Sujan MSH, Tasnim R, Sikder MT, Potenza MN, Van Os J. Psychological responses during the COVID-19 outbreak among university students in Bangladesh. *PLoS ONE*. (2020) 15:e0245083. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0245083

35. Cao W, Fang Z, Hou G, Han M, Xu X, Dong J, et al. The psychological impact of the COVID-19 epidemic on college students in China. *Psychiatry Res.* (2020) 287:112934. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2020.112934

36. Misamer M, Signerski-Krieger J, Bartels C, Belz M. Internal locus of control and sense of coherence decrease during the COVID-19 pandemic: a survey of students and professionals in social work. *Front Sociol.* (2021) 6:705809. doi: 10.3389/fsoc.2021.705809

37. Montazeri A, Maftoon F, Farhangnia M, Bahabadi MR, Naghizadeh Moghari F. Resilience during COVID-19 pandemic: a population-based study in Iran. *Payeshj.* (2022) 21:429–38. doi: 10.52547/payesh.21.4.429

38. Eriksson M. The sense of coherence in the salutogenic model of health. *Handb* Salutogenesis. (2017) 11:91–6. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-04600-6_11

39. Binkowska-Bury M, Iwanowicz-Palus G, Kruk W, Perenc L, Mazur A, Filip R, et al. Pro-health behaviours - a sense of coherence as the key to a healthy lifestyle in rural areas? *Ann Agric Environ Med.* (2016) 23:345–9. doi: 10.5604/12321966.12 03903

40. Serrano Sarmiento Á, Sanz Ponce R, González Bertolín A. Resilience and COVID-19. An analysis in university students during confinement. *Educ Sci.* (2021) 11:533. doi: 10.3390/educsci11090533

41. Nikoogoftar M, Dousti M. The role of corona anxiety on mental health and quality of life mediated by hope. *Posit Psychol Res.* (2021) 7:25-40. doi: 10.22108/PPLS.2022.127654.2080

42. Saadat S, Davarpanah SH, Saeedpour F, Samiee F. The role of psychological capital (i.e., hope, optimism, self-efficacy, resilience) in student's academic commitment. *Educ Strategy Med Sci.* (2019) 12:1–11.

43. Eriksson M, Lindström B. Antonovsky's sense of coherence scale and the relation with health: a systematic review. *J Epidemiol Commun Health*. (2006) 60:376–81. doi: 10.1136/jech.2005.041616

44. Tanaka K, Tahara M, Mashizume Y, Takahashi K. Effects of lifestyle changes on the mental health of healthcare workers with different sense of coherence levels in the era of COVID-19 pandemic. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* (2021) 18:62801. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18062801

45. Li Z-S, Hasson F. Resilience, stress, and psychological well-being in nursing students: a systematic review. *Nurse Educ Today*. (2020) 90:104440. doi: 10.1016/j.nedt.2020.104440

46. Grande RAN, Berdida DJE, Villagracia HN, Cornejo LTO, Villacorte LM, Borja MVF. Association between perceived resilience and mental well-being of Saudi nursing students during COVID-19 pandemic: a cross-sectional study. *J Holist Nurs.* (2021) 39:314–24. doi: 10.1177/08980101211009063

47. Wolff S. The concept of resilience. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. (1995) 29:565–74. doi: 10.3109/00048679509064968

48. Aryuwat P, Asp M, Lövenmark A, Radabutr M, Holmgren J. An integrative review of resilience among nursing students in the context of nursing education. *Nursing Open.* (2023) 10:2793–818. doi: 10.1002/nop2.1559

49. Drach-Zahavy A, Goldblatt H, Admi H, Blau A, Ohana I, Itzhaki M. A multilevel examination of nursing students' resilience in the face of the COVID-19 outbreak: a cross-sectional design. *J Adv Nurs.* (2022) 78:109–20. doi: 10.1111/jan.14951

50. Hwang EH, Kim KH. Relationship between optimism, emotional intelligence, and academic resilience of nursing students: the mediating effect of self-directed learning competency. *Front Public Health.* (2023) 11:1182689. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2023.1182689