



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY
Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE
Ayhan Kandemir
✉ ayh_81@hotmail.com

RECEIVED 30 October 2024
ACCEPTED 15 November 2024
PUBLISHED 20 January 2025

CITATION
Kandemir A (2025) Corrigendum: The
mediating role of school effectiveness in the
relationship between transformational
leadership and workplace exclusion.
Front. Psychol. 15:1519426.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1519426

COPYRIGHT
© 2025 Kandemir. This is an open-access
article distributed under the terms of the
[Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC
BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in
other forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)
are credited and that the original publication
in this journal is cited, in accordance with
accepted academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is permitted
which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: The mediating role of school effectiveness in the relationship between transformational leadership and workplace exclusion

Ayhan Kandemir*

Ministry of Education, Bolu, Türkiye

KEYWORDS

school, school effectiveness, teacher, transformational leadership, Türkiye, workplace exclusion

A Corrigendum on

The mediating role of school effectiveness in the relationship between transformational leadership and workplace exclusion

by Kandemir, A. (2024). *Front. Psychol.* 15:1475156. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1475156

In the published article, there were several errors. In the sections *Method*, and *Conclusion, discussion, and recommendations*, a comma (,) was mistakenly used in several places instead of a period (.) in some places to indicate numerical data.

Additionally, in section *Method, Findings, Paragraph 2*, the r number stated as “474” was incorrect. The corrected sentence should be written as shown below.

“Accordingly, when Table 1 is analyzed, it is concluded that there is a negative and low level relationship between transformational leadership and workplace exclusion ($r = -0.153$; $p < 0.01$), a positive and medium level relationship between transformational leadership and school effectiveness ($r = 0.474$; $p < 0.01$), and a negative and low level relationship between school effectiveness and workplace exclusion ($r = -0.289$; $p < 0.01$).”

Additionally, in section *Method, Validity Analysis, and Paragraph 2*, some values were written incorrectly. Instead of “Then, factor loadings and average variance explained (AVE) values of the variables were evaluated for convergent validity. Since there were no items with factor loadings below 0.40, no item was removed from the analysis. It was determined that the item factor loadings of the TLS scale ranged between 0.89 and 0.93, the item factor loadings of the SES scale ranged between 0.75 and 0.88, and the WES scale ranged between 0.69 and 0.089 (Table 2). AVE values are expected to be above 0.50. However, if the factor loadings of the items were less than 0.70, the AVE value was required to be 0.50 (Hair et al., 2017). Although the item factor loading was lower than 0.70 (0.65) in item 1 of the Workplace Exclusion Scale, the AVE value was found to be 0.80 (Table 2). In this case, it was seen that all scales met the convergent validity.”

The corrected text is shown below:

“Then, factor loadings and average variance explained (AVE) values of the variables were evaluated for convergent validity. Since there were no items with factor loadings below 0.40, no item was removed from the analysis. It was determined that the item factor loadings of the TLS scale ranged between 0.89 and 0.93, the item factor loadings of the SES scale ranged between 0.75 and 0.88, and the WES scale ranged between 0.64 and 0.89

(Table 2). AVE values are expected to be above 0.50. However, if the factor loadings of the items were less than 0.70, the AVE value was required to be 0.50 (Hair et al., 2017). Although the item factor loading was lower than 0.70 (0.64) in item 1 of the Workplace Exclusion Scale, the AVE value was found to be 0.80 (Table 2). In this case, it was seen that all scales met the convergent validity.”

Lastly, the **Author Contributions** were not complete in the published article. Instead of “AK: Writing – original draft.”

This section should be written as shown below:

Author contributions

AK: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Validation, Supervision, Methodology, Conceptualization.

The authors apologize for these errors and state that they do not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.