Check for updates

Corrigendum: Comparison of performance of automatic recognizers for stutters in speech trained with event or interval markers

Liam Barrett[1], Kevin Tang[2,3] and Peter Howell[1]*

[1]Department of Experimental Psychology, University College London, London, United Kingdom,
[2]Department of English Language and Linguistics, Institute of English and American Studies, Faculty of
Arts and Humanities, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany, [3]Department of
Linguistics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, United States

KEYWORDS

stuttering, speech pathology, automatic speech recognition, machine learning,
computational paralinguistics, language diversity, language model, whisper

A Corrigendum on

Comparison of performance of automatic recognizers for stutters in
speech trained with event or interval markers

by Barrett, L., Tang, K., and Howell, P. (2024). *Front. Psychol.* 15:1155285.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1155285

In the published article, there was an error in Figure 3. The figure was supposed
to include data for a type of stuttered speech (Prolongations or "Prol."). The corrected
Figure 3 and its caption appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific
conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily
represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and
the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made
by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

FIGURE 3
Gaussian kernel density estimates of the relative frequencies of event lengths split by speech class from the UCLASS Event subset. *X*-axis gives event length in seconds and the *Y*-axis shows probability. Reproduced with permission from Barrett (2024).