Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Laura M. Morett, University of Missouri, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Peter Howell ⊠ p.howell@ucl.ac.uk

RECEIVED 08 October 2024 ACCEPTED 25 October 2024 PUBLISHED 06 November 2024

CITATION

Barrett L, Tang K and Howell P (2024) Corrigendum: Comparison of performance of automatic recognizers for stutters in speech trained with event or interval markers. *Front. Psychol.* 15:1507956. doi: 10.3389/fpsyq.2024.1507956

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Barrett, Tang and Howell. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Comparison of performance of automatic recognizers for stutters in speech trained with event or interval markers

Liam Barrett¹, Kevin Tang^{2,3} and Peter Howell^{1*}

¹Department of Experimental Psychology, University College London, London, United Kingdom, ²Department of English Language and Linguistics, Institute of English and American Studies, Faculty of Arts and Humanities, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany, ³Department of Linguistics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, United States

KEYWORDS

stuttering, speech pathology, automatic speech recognition, machine learning, computational paralinguistics, language diversity, language model, whisper

A Corrigendum on

Comparison of performance of automatic recognizers for stutters in speech trained with event or interval markers

by Barrett, L., Tang, K., and Howell, P. (2024). *Front. Psychol.* 15:1155285. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1155285

In the published article, there was an error in Figure 3. The figure was supposed to include data for a type of stuttered speech (Prolongations or "Prol."). The corrected Figure 3 and its caption appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.




FIGURE 3

Gaussian kernel density estimates of the relative frequencies of event lengths split by speech class from the UCLASS Event subset. X-axis gives event length in seconds and the Y-axis shows probability. Reproduced with permission from Barrett (2024).