



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Erin A. Vogel,
University of Oklahoma Health Sciences
Center, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE
Jana H. Dreston
✉ jana.dreston@uni-due.de

RECEIVED 05 August 2024
ACCEPTED 19 August 2024
PUBLISHED 30 August 2024

CITATION
Dreston JH and Neubaum G (2024)
Corrigendum: How incidental and intentional
news exposure in social media relate to
political knowledge and voting intentions.
Front. Psychol. 15:1476279.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1476279

COPYRIGHT
© 2024 Dreston and Neubaum. This is an
open-access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution
License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is permitted,
provided the original author(s) and the
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic practice.
No use, distribution or reproduction is
permitted which does not comply with these
terms.

Corrigendum: How incidental and intentional news exposure in social media relate to political knowledge and voting intentions

Jana H. Dreston* and German Neubaum

Department of Human-Centered Computing and Cognitive Science, University of Duisburg-Essen, Duisburg, Germany

KEYWORDS

subjective knowledge, political knowledge, social media, election, incidental news exposure

A Corrigendum on

How incidental and intentional news exposure in social media relate to political knowledge and voting intentions

by Dreston, J. H., and Neubaum, G. (2023). *Front. Psychol.* 14:1250051.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1250051

In the published article, there was an error in [Figure 1](#). The figure consists of four boxes, whereby the middle boxes were incorrectly both labeled “Objective Knowledge”. The bottom middle box should be labeled “Subjective Knowledge” instead. The corrected [Figure 1](#) and its caption appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.




FIGURE 1
 Model 1. Model fit: $\chi^2(270) = 366.55, p < 0.001, \chi^2/df = 1.75, CFI = 0.98, RMSEA = 0.03$ (90% CI: 0.02, 0.03), $SRMR = 0.03; p < 0.05$ (*), $p < 0.01$ (**), $p < 0.001$ (***); Full lines indicate significant direct associations, dashed lines indicate indirect significant associations, dotted lines indicate non-significant association.