



## OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY  
Frontiers Editorial Office,  
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Abdullah M. Seraye Alseraye  
✉ aalseraye@ksu.edu.sa

RECEIVED 08 April 2024  
ACCEPTED 10 April 2024  
PUBLISHED 22 April 2024

CITATION  
Alseraye AMS (2024) Corrigendum: L2 Arabic  
learners' processing of Arabic garden-path  
sentences: a consistent reading pattern.  
*Front. Psychol.* 15:1414363.  
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1414363

COPYRIGHT  
© 2024 Alseraye. This is an open-access  
article distributed under the terms of the  
[Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC  
BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction in  
other forums is permitted, provided the  
original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)  
are credited and that the original publication  
in this journal is cited, in accordance with  
accepted academic practice. No use,  
distribution or reproduction is permitted  
which does not comply with these terms.

# Corrigendum: L2 Arabic learners' processing of Arabic garden-path sentences: a consistent reading pattern

Abdullah M. Seraye Alseraye \*

Department of Curriculum & Instruction, School of Education, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

## KEYWORDS

garden-path structure, Arabic short vowels, past experience, heterophonic-homographic initial, L2 Arabic learners, reading comprehension

## A corrigendum on

[L2 Arabic learners' processing of Arabic garden-path sentences: a consistent reading pattern](#)

by Alseraye, A. M. S. (2024). *Front. Psychol.* 15:1333112. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1333112

In the published article, there was an error. The author realized that a mistake was made during the process of writing the article. The author name quoted, "Ots," was repeated in the next sentence, leading to a claim being mistakenly attributed to this author. A correction has been made to clarify the text and amend the mistaken attribution.

A correction has been made to *Introduction, Paragraph 6*. The corrected sentence appears below:

According to Ots (2021), the speaker, in the linguistic encoding stage in language production, would "assign the syntactic functions [that] are appropriate for the message and order the constituents, given the discourse and grammatical constraints" (p. 2). Similarly, the writer, I assume, would be forced to comply with these constraints, in addition to the cognitive constraints and limitations, to avoid the long distance between the HP-HG word and its disambiguating region in the sentence.

The author apologizes for this error and states that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.