



## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY  
Masaki Tomonaga,  
University of Human Environments, Japan

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Louise Mackie  
✉ [louise.mackie@vetmeduni.ac.at](mailto:louise.mackie@vetmeduni.ac.at)

RECEIVED 04 April 2024  
ACCEPTED 16 April 2024  
PUBLISHED 29 April 2024

CITATION  
Mackie L and Huber L (2024) Corrigendum:  
Socially priming dogs in an overimitation task.  
*Front. Psychol.* 15:1412428.  
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1412428

COPYRIGHT  
© 2024 Mackie and Huber. This is an  
open-access article distributed under the  
terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution  
License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or  
reproduction in other forums is permitted,  
provided the original author(s) and the  
copyright owner(s) are credited and that the  
original publication in this journal is cited, in  
accordance with accepted academic practice.  
No use, distribution or reproduction is  
permitted which does not comply with these  
terms.

# Corrigendum: Socially priming dogs in an overimitation task

Louise Mackie\* and Ludwig Huber

Comparative Cognition, Messerli Research Institute, University of Veterinary Medicine Vienna, Vienna, Austria

## KEYWORDS

overimitation, priming, dog behavior, social learning, comparative psychology

## A corrigendum on [Socially priming dogs in an overimitation task](#)

by Mackie, L., and Huber, L. (2023). *Front. Psychol.* 14:1063132.  
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1063132

In the published article, there was an error in the caption for Figure 4 as published. The caption states “relevant-action copying” instead of “irrelevant-action copying” and “<0.05” instead of “>0.05”. The corrected Figure 4 caption is shown below.

“Figure 4. The overall irrelevant-action copying scores (0–3) as a function of trial number ( $N = 232$ ). Each circle’s size represents the number of dogs who obtained the corresponding copying score, with the mean scores and error bars displayed for each trial number. “ns” represents a non-significant  $p$ -value of  $>0.05$  for the effect of trial in the ordinal regression analysis.”

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

## Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.