



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Guy Cheron,
Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgium

*CORRESPONDENCE
Wan X. Yao
✉ wanxiang.yao@utsa.edu

RECEIVED 26 November 2023
ACCEPTED 04 December 2023
PUBLISHED 13 December 2023

CITATION
Yao WX, Land W and Yue GH (2023) Editorial:
Neuromechanisms underlying motor imagery
training (MIT) and roles of MIT in motor skill
acquisition and muscle strength enhancement
in both sport and rehabilitation settings.
Front. Psychol. 14:1344889.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1344889

COPYRIGHT
© 2023 Yao, Land and Yue. This is an
open-access article distributed under the terms
of the [Creative Commons Attribution License
\(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction
in other forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)
are credited and that the original publication in
this journal is cited, in accordance with
accepted academic practice. No use,
distribution or reproduction is permitted which
does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: Neuromechanisms underlying motor imagery training (MIT) and roles of MIT in motor skill acquisition and muscle strength enhancement in both sport and rehabilitation settings

Wan X. Yao^{1*}, William Land¹ and Guang H. Yue^{2,3}

¹Department of Kinesiology, College for Health, Community, and Policy, The University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, United States, ²Center for Mobility and Rehabilitation Engineering Research, Kessler Foundation, East Hanover, NJ, United States, ³Rutgers New Jersey Medical School, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Newark, NJ, United States

KEYWORDS

motor imagery training, mental practice, motor skill acquisition, muscle strength enhancement, cognitive neuroscience, aging

Editorial on the Research Topic

[Neuromechanisms underlying motor imagery training \(MIT\) and roles of MIT in motor skill acquisition and muscle strength enhancement in both sport and rehabilitation settings](#)

Over the past decades, motor imagery training (MIT) has risen as a compelling strategy for amplifying motor skills and muscle strength, making noteworthy contributions to both sports performance and rehabilitation settings (Toth et al., 2020). This editorial seeks to shed light on a series of five recent articles featured in a Frontiers Research Topic. The objective of this Frontiers Research Topic was to offer a thorough examination of the neuromechanisms that form the foundation of MIT and to delineate its multifaceted roles in the realms of motor skill acquisition and muscle strength enhancement.

MIT, also known as mental practice or mental rehearsal, represents a dynamic paradigm in the field of motor learning and rehabilitation. At its core, MIT involves the mental rehearsal of a physical action without engaging in the overt physical movements associated with the action (Decety, 1996; Krüger et al., 2020). This cognitive process engages neural networks associated with motor planning and execution, offering a unique avenue to enhance motor skills, optimize performance, and facilitate rehabilitation across diverse populations.

MIT can be executed using two primary forms of mental imagery—internal and external imagery. Internal motor imagery (IMI), also known as kinesthetic or first-person imagery, requires an individual to envision or mentally simulate the experience of performing an exercise from within the body. This involves adopting a first-person perspective to create a vivid mental representation of the activity.

In contrast, external motor imagery (EMI), alternatively known as third-person visual imagery, involves an individual seeing or visualizing themselves performing a task from an external standpoint—similar to observing oneself in a mirror executing an exercise, adopting a third-person perspective (Yao et al., 2013). This cognitive simulation activates similar neural pathways as those engaged during actual physical performance, creating a bridge between thought and action (Héту et al., 2013). Consequently, MIT is rooted in the understanding that the brain processes imagined and executed movements through overlapping neural circuits. When an individual mentally rehearses a movement, the brain elicits patterns of neural activity comparable to those observed during the physical execution of the same movement. This underscores MIT's profound implications for skill acquisition, performance enhancement, and rehabilitation in various contexts, including sports, clinical settings, and beyond.

In the following articles within this Frontiers Research Topic, the intricacies of MIT are explored through a combination of original studies and reviews, encompassing systematic reviews and meta-analyses. These contributions delve into diverse facets, including its applicability in children, age-specific benefits, bilateral transfer effects, neurophysiological underpinnings, and the integration of movement variability. Collectively, these studies significantly enhance our understanding of MIT, playing a pivotal role in its ongoing evolution as a potent tool for motor learning, performance optimization, and rehabilitation. For instance, Saleem's mini-review delves into critical nuances of MIT in children, shedding light on its applicability and the challenges associated with measuring it in developmental contexts. Saleem's work not only examines past and current research describing motor imagery ability in children from the theoretical, developmental, and neurological lens but also systematically analyzes the properties of three widely used operations—the movement imagery questionnaire in children (MIQ-C), the Florida praxis imaginary questionnaire (FPIQ-C), and the mental chronometry paradigm (MCP)—to measure MI and its dimensions in children. Additionally, it advocates for early intervention strategies and the optimization of skill acquisition.

Surprisingly and intriguingly, Yao et al., in their systematic review and meta-analysis (Liu et al.), reveal that the elderly may experience greater muscle strength benefits from Motor Imagery Training (MIT) than young adults. Notably, their findings indicate that smaller muscle groups, such as finger muscles, derive more substantial benefits from MIT compared to larger muscle groups like arm and leg muscles. Furthermore, their study (Liu et al.) demonstrates that IMI training is more effective than EMI training in improving muscle strength. These discoveries carry significant implications for the design of targeted rehabilitation programs tailored to meet the specific needs of the aging population. In a separate systematic review and meta-analysis study, Yao et al. explore the concept of bilateral transfer in motor performance following MIT. Unpacking the intricate relationship between motor imagery and performance, this work provides a nuanced understanding of how MIT facilitates bilateral transfer, impacting both motor skill acquisition and muscle strength enhancement across various contexts, further amplifying its potential applications in both sports and rehabilitation.

Lajtos et al. extend the exploration into the neurophysiological aspects of MIT by investigating the effects of handedness on brain oscillatory activity during imagery and execution of hand movements. Notably, reveals that the right-handed group tended to exhibit more bilateral patterns than the left-handed group, contrary to earlier research results. This finding paves the way for tailored interventions that consider individual differences in implementing MIT and understanding bilateral transfer in motor skill acquisition. Additionally, the research indicates a stronger activation during motor imagery compared to motor execution in both right-handed and left-handed groups. Addressing a crucial yet often overlooked aspect of MIT, Lindsay et al. focus on movement variability. Their work underscores the importance of incorporating variability into imagery training programs, marking a paradigm shift in how MIT is approached in both sporting and rehabilitative contexts. This consideration opens new avenues for refining training protocols, with potential benefits of implementing MIT for enhancing adaptability and resilience in motor performance, spanning both sports and rehabilitation contexts. Furthermore, the research suggests that practitioners should view MIT as a low-risk strategy for incorporating movement variability into the rehabilitation process and cultivating adaptable movement skills.

In conclusion, this Frontiers Research Topic contributes significantly to the intricate tapestry of knowledge, advancing our comprehension of the neuromechanisms underlying Motor Imagery Training (MIT) and its diverse roles in motor skill acquisition and muscle strength enhancement. As MIT continues its evolution, bridging the gap between research and application, these studies lay the foundation for more effective and tailored interventions in both sports and rehabilitation settings. The compilation of studies in this Research Topic suggests that future research should focus on exploring individual differences in response to MIT, taking into account factors such as cognitive abilities, personality traits, and learning styles. Developing personalized MIT protocols to optimize effectiveness based on these individual characteristics, also including attention, motivation, and emotional states (Wulf and Lewthwaite, 2016), can further enhance the outcomes of MIT. Additionally, future studies are essential to explore the integration of technology, such as virtual reality, augmented feedback, or mobile applications, to improve the delivery and accessibility of MIT interventions. This forward-looking approach will contribute to the continued growth and refinement of MIT as a valuable tool in enhancing motor performance and rehabilitation outcomes.

Author contributions

WY: Conceptualization, Investigation, Project administration, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. WL: Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. GY: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of interest

GY was employed by Kessler Foundation.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

- Decety, J. (1996). Neural representations for action. *Rev. Neurosci.* 7, 285–297. doi: 10.1515/REVNEURO.1996.7.4.285
- Hétu, S., Grégoire, M., Saimpont, A., Coll, M. P., Eugène, F., Michon, P. E., et al. (2013). The neural network of motor imagery: an ALE meta-analysis. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 37, 930–949. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.03.017
- Krüger, B., Hettwer, M., Zabicki, A., de Haas, B., Munzert, J., and Zentgraf, K. (2020). Practice modality of motor sequences impacts the neural signature of motor imagery. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 19176. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-76214-y
- Toth, A. J., McNeill, E., Hayes, K., Moran, A. P., and Campbell, M. (2020). Does mental practice still enhance performance? A 24 Year follow-up and meta-analytic replication and extension. *Psychol. Sport Exerc.* 48, 101672. doi: 10.1016/j.psychsport.2020.101672
- Wulf, G., and Lewthwaite, R. (2016). Optimizing performance through intrinsic motivation and attention for learning: the OPTIMAL theory of motor learning. *Psychon. Bull. Rev.* 23, 1382–1414. doi: 10.3758/s13423-015-0999-9
- Yao, W. X., Ranganathan, V. K., Alexandre, D., Siemionow, V., and Yue, G. H. (2013). Kinesthetic imagery training of forceful muscle contractions increases brain signal and muscle strength. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 7, 561. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2013.00561