



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Murat Yildirim,
Ağrı İbrahim Çeçen University, Türkiye

*CORRESPONDENCE
Danilo Garcia
✉ danilo.garcia@icloud.com
Mojtaba Habibi Asgarabad
✉ mojtaba.h.asgarabad@ntnu.no

†These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

RECEIVED 20 October 2023
ACCEPTED 24 October 2023
PUBLISHED 03 November 2023

CITATION
Garcia D, Kazemitabar M and Habibi Asgarabad M (2023) Corrigendum: The 18-item Swedish version of Ryff's psychological wellbeing scale: psychometric properties based on classical test theory and item response theory. *Front. Psychol.* 14:1324006. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1324006

COPYRIGHT
© 2023 Garcia, Kazemitabar and Habibi Asgarabad. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: The 18-item Swedish version of Ryff's psychological wellbeing scale: psychometric properties based on classical test theory and item response theory

Danilo Garcia^{1,2,3,4,5*†}, Maryam Kazemitabar^{6,7,8} and Mojtaba Habibi Asgarabad^{9,10,11,12,13*†}

¹Department of Behavioral Sciences and Learning, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden, ²Centre for Ethics, Law and Mental Health (CELAM), University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden, ³Promotion of Health and Innovation (PHI) Lab, International Network for Well-Being, Linköping, Sweden, ⁴Department of Psychology, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden, ⁵Department of Psychology, Lund University, Lund, Sweden, ⁶Yale School of Medicine, Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States, ⁷VA Connecticut Healthcare System, West Haven, CT, United States, ⁸Promotion of Health and Innovation (PHI) Lab, International Network for Well-Being, New Haven, CT, United States, ⁹Health Promotion Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, ¹⁰Department of Health Psychology, School of Behavioral Sciences and Mental Health (Tehran Institute of Psychiatry), Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran, ¹¹Department of Psychology, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway, ¹²Positive Youth Development Lab, Human Development and Family Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX, United States, ¹³Center of Excellence in Cognitive Neuropsychology, Institute for Cognitive and Brain Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran

KEYWORDS

classical test theory, item response theory, psychological wellbeing, psychometrics, wellbeing, health

A corrigendum on

The 18-item Swedish version of Ryff's psychological wellbeing scale: psychometric properties based on classical test theory and item response theory

by Garcia, D., Kazemitabar, M., and Asgarabad, M. H. (2023). *Front. Psychol.* 14:1208300. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1208300

In the published article, there was an error in [Table 4](#) as published. The incorrect version of [Table 4](#) was published. The corrected [Table 4](#) and its caption appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

TABLE 4 Goodness-of-fit indices for confirmatory factor analysis of the 18-item Swedish version of Ryff's psychological wellbeing scales.

Model	NNFI	AGFA	GFI	ECVI	CAIC	RMSEA	CFI	S-B χ^2 (df)	χ^2 /df	Δ S-B χ^2 (Δ df)
M ₀	0.72	0.91	0.93	1.63	1,538.64	0.106 (0.10–0.11)	0.78	1,148.81 (120)	9.57	
M ₁	0.79	0.93	0.94	1.42	1,293.36	0.092 (0.087–0.097)	0.81	1,018.18 (135)	7.54	130.63 (15)**
M ₂	0.80	0.93	0.94	1.35	1,249.72	0.090 (0.084–0.095)	0.83	966.90 (134)	7.21	181.91 (14)**
M ₃	0.39	0.82	0.86	3.98	3,256.63	0.16 (0.15–0.16)	0.40	2,684.58 (135)	19.88	1,535.77 (15)**
M ₄	0.85	0.95	0.96	1.00	1,056.59	0.077 (0.071–0.083)	0.89	666.76 (120)	5.55	482.05**
M ₅	0.52	0.83	0.87	0.87	879.86	0.067 (0.062–0.073)	0.58	597.04 (129)	4.62	551.77 (9)**
M ₆	0.23	0.76	0.81	1.24	1,150.13	0.085 (0.079–0.090)	0.32	882.60 (136)	6.48	266.21 (16)**
M ₇	0.90	0.97	0.98	0.559	654.51	0.066 (0.059–0.073)	0.92	348.76 (80)	4.35	800.05 (40)**

M₀, model with random item-factor associations; M₁, general one-factor for 18 items; M₂, two-factor orthogonal model; M₃, six-factor orthogonal model; M₄, six-factor oblique model; M₅, six-factor first-order and second order model; M₆, a six-factor first-order model and four-factor second-order that loaded by a four-factor model based on the four most highly correlated dimensions: environmental mastery, personal growth, purpose in life and self-acceptance; M₇, five-factor first-order oblique model after removing the purpose in life subscale. ** < 0.001.