



## OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY  
Bernhard Hommel,  
Shandong Normal University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE  
Pingping Liu  
✉ liupp@psych.ac.cn

RECEIVED 28 September 2023  
ACCEPTED 09 October 2023  
PUBLISHED 08 November 2023

CITATION  
Liu P, Lu Q, Zhang Z, Tang J and Han B (2023)  
Corrigendum: Age-related differences in  
affective norms for Chinese words (AANC).  
*Front. Psychol.* 14:1303942.  
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1303942

COPYRIGHT  
© 2023 Liu, Lu, Zhang, Tang and Han. This is an  
open-access article distributed under the terms  
of the [Creative Commons Attribution License  
\(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction  
in other forums is permitted, provided the  
original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)  
are credited and that the original publication in  
this journal is cited, in accordance with  
accepted academic practice. No use,  
distribution or reproduction is permitted which  
does not comply with these terms.

# Corrigendum: Age-related differences in affective norms for Chinese words (AANC)

Pingping Liu<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Qin Lu<sup>3</sup>, Zhen Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, Jie Tang<sup>1,2</sup> and  
Buxin Han<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>CAS(Chinese Academy of Sciences) Key Laboratory of Mental Health, Center on Aging Psychology, Institute of Psychology, Beijing, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Psychology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Computing, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China

## KEYWORDS

affective norms, ratings, age differences, Chinese, positivity effect

## A corrigendum on

### Age-related differences in affective norms for Chinese words (AANC)

by Liu, P., Lu, Q., Zhang, Z., Tang, J., and Han, B. (2021). *Front. Psychol.* 12:585666.  
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.585666

In the published article, there was an error in Supplementary material “Presentation 1.” The Chinese version “其中, 2=非常不平静, 3=比较不平静, 4=有点不平静” is wrong. It should be “其中, 2=非常平静, 3=比较平静, 4=有点平静.” That is, the Chinese character “不” should be deleted.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

## Publisher’s note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.