TYPE Editorial PUBLISHED 24 April 2023 DOI 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1159443



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Stefano Ferracuti, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy

REVIEWED BY

Anna Comparelli, Sant'Andrea University Hospital, Sapienza University of Rome, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE

Thea Brown

☑ Thea.Brown@monash.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Forensic and Legal Psychology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

RECEIVED 05 February 2023 ACCEPTED 07 March 2023 PUBLISHED 24 April 2023

CITATION

Brown T, Tyson D, Arias PF and Razali S (2023) Editorial: The challenge of understanding and preventing filicide. *Front. Psychol.* 14:1159443. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1159443

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Brown, Tyson, Arias and Razali. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Editorial: The challenge of understanding and preventing filicide

Thea Brown^{1*}, Danielle Tyson², Paula Fernandez Arias¹ and Salmi Razali³

¹Department of Social Work, Monash University, Caulfield, VIC, Australia, ²School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Deakin University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia, ³Department of Psychological and Behavioural Medicine, Universiti Teknologica, MARA, Seremban, Malaysia

KEYWORDS

filicide, infanticide, familicide, domestic violence, child abuse, mental illness

Editorial on the Research Topic

The challenge of understanding and preventing filicide

The deaths of children, killed by a parent, stepparent, or equivalent guardian, termed filicide, are tragedies. They bring the lives of children killed to a premature end and traumatize the surviving family members and the wider community. When they happen, they prompt many questions, questions about why they have occurred and what might have been done to prevent them.

Research is grappling with these questions, trying to shed light on incidence, on the circumstances surrounding the children's deaths, and the factors associated with the victims and the perpetrators. In the first Cross Disciplinary International Filicide Research Conference, Professor Frans Koenradt (Conference Report, 2013) pointed out that filicide is a universal but not a uniform phenomenon. It varies from country to country, influenced by the culture of a country, its governmental structure, its legal structure, and its health and welfare services structure.

Even within a country, differences arise. Australian research (Brown et al., 2019) has shown differences from one state to another. For example, the state with the highest incidence, (Queensland), has almost double the incidence of the state with the lowest, (Western Australia). Furthermore, differences among the types of perpetrators have been found. While nationally biological mothers and fathers were almost equally perpetrators, in one state mothers far outnumbered fathers.

Thus, filicide needs to be investigated in every nation and even in every region. While research in one country enlightens understanding of filicide in all countries, each country has some differences in victims and perpetrators and in the causal factors associated with them. The research presented in this collection comes from four countries, Australia, Ghana, Malaysia, and Sweden. The articles highlight problems in each country and confirm the need to conduct national studies but also show cross national findings.

The article on filicide in Ghana (Abdullah et al.) might seem different in its depiction of filicide from that in other countries, but muted echoes of its findings can be found in other countries. The article investigates a type of filicide apparently unique to Ghana, the killing of a child by a parent or with the parent's compliance, because the child is seen as

Brown et al. 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1159443

having been affected by spirit forces and so is liable to cause harm to the wider community. Killing the child is not murder but an easing of the child into the world awaiting it after death. Such practices, common in some rural communities, have proved difficult to prevent. Members of these communities distinguish these killing from filicide and do not wish the perpetrators to be punished or the problem to be addressed in any way. Therefore, the researchers see prevention as difficult and that responses should not focus on legal sanctions but on community education and improved health service provision.

The Swedish study (Meddeb et al.) addresses prevention but rather differently from the Ghana study. It investigates the relationship between aggressive anti-social and criminal behavior and forms of childhood disadvantage. It obtains data by administering psychological tests to patients during their residence in a high security forensic psychiatric facility. The criminal offenses the patients have committed are not identified, but by the implication of their imprisonment in a high security facility these offenses likely involve in grave physical assaults and or murder of adults and children. The study shows strong relationships between the offenders' aggressive anti-social attitudes and behavior and disinhibition and childhood trauma, particularly the removal of a child from their home and their placement outside it, parents being absent by virtue of agency intervention or for other reasons, and parental use of drugs. The study recommends more effective social work intervention both in assessing the need to remove a child from their home and in managing that removal and subsequent care. The study has clear international implications with its evidence that violent criminal behavior, typical of some male perpetrators of filicide, has been caused by childhood trauma and by the community's inadequate response to them.

The article on filicide in Malaysia (Razali et al.) aims to establish the incidence of filicide in Malaysia and to identify causal factors. It highlights the problems of obtaining data. There is no exclusive national registry on filicide in Malaysia and the reported cases are often recorded in different data bases according to police department divisions. For example, the data base of child homicide does not include cases of neonaticide or death due to illegal infant abandonment. The former cases are captured in the database of the Criminal Record Registration Division, while the latter cases are registered in the database of the Sexual, Domestic Violence and Child Abuse Investigation Division. Thus, researchers use alternate sources, including child protection case records, coroner's files, police homicide records and newspaper accounts. In this study the researchers used the police files on child homicides but point out the definitions of homicide omitted several types of filicides, thereby undermining their findings. The article touches on neonaticide, suggesting this type of filicide is particularly hard to uncover and prevent. Austrian research focusing on neonaticide agrees that this type of filicide can be hidden and be hard to prevent (Klier et al.,

The article finds many factors associated with perpetrators and it supports the existence of a constellation of factors as has been identified elsewhere (Stroud, 2008; Dobash and Dobash, 2019; Johnson and Sachmann, 2019). Like other research

they find that these factors, domestic violence, mental illness, drug abuse, parental separation, and a criminal history, vary according to the gender and socio-economic background of the perpetrators.

The final article (Tucci and Mitchell) takes a very different approach to all the others, but one with serious implications. It reports an ongoing study carried out repeatedly since 2003 whereby the researchers investigate Australian community attitudes and knowledge of parental violence to children. The results are alarming, for the study shows how little the community knows about violence to and abuse of children. The community has difficulty in recognizing it, in understanding it, and in taking action to protect the children. The findings also suggest community knowledge has not grown in the years since the study began but possibly deteriorated slightly. Thus, community education does not appear to have had an impact or changed attitudes to children when victims of violence nor are there adequate foundations currently for the community support needed to address violence to children.

All the articles are valuable and much needed contributions to the currently sparse knowledge on filicide and assist in creating a pathway to prevention.

Author contributions

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

Acknowledgments

The editors wish to acknowledge the contribution of all authors to the collection and the university and research institutions supporting this work. The Monash Deakin Filicide Research Hub.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Brown et al. 10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1159443

References

Brown, T., Lyneham, S., Bryant, W., Tomison, A., Tyson, D., Fernandez Arias, P., et al. (2019). *Filicide in Australia: A National Study*. AIC Report Series, Australian Government, Australian Institute of Criminology.

Conference Report (2013). Inaugural International Conference for Cross-National Dialogue. Monash Centre Prato; Monash Deakin Filicide Research Hub. Available online at: www.addressingfilicide.org

Dobash, R. P., and Dobash, R. E. (2019). "When men murder children," in *When Parents Kill Children: Understanding Filicide*, eds T. Brown, D. Tyson, and P. Fernandez Arias (London: Palgrave Macmillan), 81–101.

Johnson, C. H., and Sachmann, M. (2019). "Familicide-suicide," in *When Parents Kill Children*, eds T. Brown, D. Tyson, and P. Fernandez Arias (London: Palgrave Macmillan), 125–144.

Klier, C. M., Fisher, J., Chandra, P. S., and Spinelli, M. (2019). Filicide research in the twenty first century. *Arch. Womens Ment. Health* 22, 135–137. doi: 10.1007/s00737-018-0924-0

Stroud, J. (2008). A psychosocial analysis of child homicide. $\it Crit.\,Soc.\,Policy\,28,\,482.$ doi: 10.1177/026101830809528