Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Bart Roelands, Vrije University Brussel, Belgium

REVIEWED BY Ben Rattray, University of Canberra, Australia Jeroen Van Cutsem, Royal Military Academy, Belgium

*CORRESPONDENCE Thomas Mangin Thomas.mangin@umontreal.ca

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Movement Science and Sport Psychology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

RECEIVED 19 July 2022 ACCEPTED 03 October 2022 PUBLISHED 26 October 2022

CITATION

Mangin T, Audiffren M, Lorcery A, Mirabelli F, Benraiss A and André N (2022) A plausible link between the time-on-task effect and the sequential task effect. *Front. Psychol.* 13:998393. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.998393

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Mangin, Audiffren, Lorcery, Mirabelli, Benraiss and André. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

A plausible link between the time-on-task effect and the sequential task effect

Thomas Mangin^{1,2,3}*, Michel Audiffren¹, Alison Lorcery¹, Francesco Mirabelli¹, Abdelrhani Benraiss¹ and Nathalie André¹

¹Centre de Recherches sur la Cognition et l'Apprentissage (CeRCA), UMR CNRS 7295, Université de Poitiers, Poitiers, France, ²Faculté de Médecine, École de Kinésiologie et des Sciences de l'Activité Physique (EKSAP), Université de Montréal, Montreal, QC, Canada, ³Centre de Recherche de l'Institut Universitaire de Gériatrie de Montréal (CRIUGM), Montréal, QC, Canada

Mental fatigue can be studied by using either the time-on-task protocol or the sequential task protocol. In the time-on-task protocol, participants perform a long and effortful task and a decrease in performance in this task is generally observed over time. In the sequential task protocol, a first effortful or control task is followed by a second effortful task. The performance in the second task is generally worse after the effortful task than after the control task. The principal aim of the present experiment is to examine the relationship between these two decrements in performance while concomitantly using a sequential task protocol and assessing the performance of the first effortful task as a function of time-on-task. We expect a positive correlation between these two decrements in performance. A total of 83 participants performed a 30-min fatiguing mental task (i.e., a modified Stroop task) or a control task followed by a time-to-exhaustion handgrip task. As expected, this protocol combining the time-on-task and sequential task protocols allowed us to observe (1) a decrease in performance over time during the Stroop task, (2) a worst performance in the handgrip task after the Stroop task by comparison to the control task, (3) a positive correlation between these two effects. The decrease in performance during the Stroop task also correlated with the subjective measures of boredom and fatigue, whereas the detrimental effect observed in the handgrip task did not. Our findings suggest that the two fatigue-related phenomena share a common mechanism but are not completely equivalent.

KEYWORDS

boredom, ego depletion, mental fatigue, sequential task protocol, time-on-task

Introduction

Mental fatigue can be defined as a psychological state, whose symptoms are a feeling of tiredness and a lack of energy. These symptoms are generally associated with a reduction in performance and/or an increase in mental effort engaged in the ongoing task and experienced during or after prolonged periods of effortful cognitive activity (Ackerman, 2011; Van Cutsem et al., 2022). This phenomenon impacts society as a whole by reducing performances in many different domains, such as decision-making (Osgood, 2019), planification (Sjåstad and Baumeister, 2018), alcohol abuse (Muraven et al., 2002), or different physical activities (Pageaux and Lepers, 2018).

Two protocols have been developed by experimental psychologists to induce and study mental fatigue. In the late 1960s, researchers used the time-on-task protocol to study the decrease in vigilance when the task lasted for a long time (Mackworth, 1968). Later, this protocol was used to measure the performance decrease in other cognitive tasks, such as reaction time tasks (Boksem et al., 2005; Simon et al., 2020; Arnau et al., 2021; Matuz et al., 2021). Generally, reaction time, misses and false alarms increase with time-on-task. For example, after 3 h of the experimental task, reaction time increased by 5.2%, and misses increased by 71% (Boksem et al., 2005). The lengthening of reaction time and/or the increase in the number of decision errors with time-on-task is attributed to mental fatigue. In this protocol, the fatiguing task generally lasted more than 30 min. Different laboratory tasks aiming to induce mental fatigue have been used: The Psychomotor Vigilance task, AX-Continuous performance test, Stroop task (Smith et al., 2019), Simon task (Arnau et al., 2021), or Go/No-Go Task (Melo et al., 2017). More ecological tasks have also been used with the time-ontask protocol, such as driving tasks in simulator (e.g., Van Der Hulst et al., 2001; Marando et al., 2022) or typewriting (de Jong et al., 2020).

Later in the 1990s, researchers in social psychology created and used the sequential task protocol to study the decrease in performance in a task that followed an initial depleting mental task (Baumeister et al., 1998; Muraven et al., 1998). This effect, often called ego depletion effect (Hagger et al., 2016; Blázquez et al., 2017; Dang et al., 2020), is generally obtained when participants perform a second effortful task after a first effortful task. More precisely, in one group or condition participants must perform a first effortful task (i.e., the depleting task), while in another group or condition they must carry out a control task requiring little mental effort. Just after the end of the first task, participants must perform a second effortful task that is similar in both groups or conditions (i.e., the dependent task). The decrease in performance observed in the dependent task when comparing the depleting group or condition with the control group or condition is interpreted as an indicator of ego or self-control depletion in the framework of the strength model of self-control (Baumeister et al., 2007; Hagger et al., 2010).

The sequential task protocol has been also used in the field of sport sciences to study the effect of mental fatigue induced by a first cognitive task on a subsequent physical task (see recent reviews on this topic: Van Cutsem et al., 2017b; Giboin and Wolff, 2019; Brown et al., 2020; Hunte et al., 2021). In order to dissociate the decrease of performance observed after the first effortful task from a specific theory or area of research, a more neutral term than "ego depletion" was used throughout the manuscript. In that perspective, the term "sequential task effect" was preferred and used thereafter. This sequential task effect has been observed in cognitive tasks, i.e., reaction time tasks (Muraven and Slessareva, 2003; Moller et al., 2006; Dang et al., 2020), or physical tasks, i.e., resistance or endurance exercise until exhaustion (Pageaux et al., 2013; Boat and Taylor, 2017; Brown and Bray, 2017).

The duration of the depleting task in the sequential task protocol can be short (3-10 min) or long (>30 min) and the sequential task effect can be observed with both durations (see Giboin and Wolff, 2019). By contrast, the duration of the fatiguing task in the time-on-task protocol is generally long (>30 min) and the time-on-task effect requires a long fatiguing task to be observed. We assume that when the duration of the depleting task in the sequential task protocol and the duration of the fatiguing task in the time-on-task protocol are long, then the sequential task effect and time-on-task effect share commonalities related to mental fatigue. However, if the duration of the depleting task is short, other mechanisms, not related to mental fatigue can induce the sequential task effect, such as a shift in motivation (Inzlicht et al., 2014), or beliefs on human mental resources (Job et al., 2010). These two latter mechanisms can also contribute to negative effects observed with long depleting tasks, but according to the integrative model of effortful control (André et al., 2019), it is assumed that mental fatigue increases over time when effortful control is required.

Then, it is possible to examine the performance during a long depleting task as a function of time-on-task within a sequential task protocol. In such a protocol, the time-ontask effect can be observed in addition to the sequential task effect, which is obtained by comparing the performance in the dependent task as a function of condition (i.e., depleting vs. control condition). More than 50 studies already used a protocol allowing to examine the two effects of interest in the same experiment (see Supplementary Table A1). With such a protocol, it can be assumed that if the time-ontask effect and sequential task effect share a similar causal mechanism (e.g., a weakening of the capacity to exert effortful control), a correlation could be observed between the two effects: participants exhibiting a larger time-on-task effect show a larger sequential task effect. Showing such a correlation between these two well-documented phenomena would be the first step demonstrating that they share commonalities. Among the 56 studies that used a sequential task protocol with a long depleting task, only 10 reported a time-on-task effect on the performance of the depleting task (see the systematic review on this point reported in the **Supplementary Section 8**). Among these 10 studies, 8 observed a time-on-task effect and a sequential task effect in the same experiment, but none of them examined the correlation between these two effects (Marcora et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2015; Veness et al., 2017; Brown and Bray, 2019; Van Cutsem et al., 2019; Hachard et al., 2020; Morris and Christie, 2020; Weerakkody et al., 2021), probably because of a small sample size. The principal aim of the present experiment is then to highlight a positive correlation between the time-on-task effect and the sequential task effect but with a larger sample size than previous studies.

Nevertheless, the use of a long and monotonous depleting task introduces other confounding factors, such as boredom that can induce a decrease of performance over time in the same way than mental fatigue (e.g., Pattyn et al., 2008). Boredom, which can be defined as "an aversive state of wanting, but being unable, to engage in satisfying activity" (Eastwood et al., 2012, p. 482), can be associated with effortful control and a high opportunity cost when the participant remains engaged on the task in spite of his/her desire to stop the task (Kurzban et al., 2013). For instance, in the sustained attention literature, boredom is correlated with attention failures that increase with time-on-task (Raffaelli et al., 2018). In the ego-depletion literature, boredom contributes to variance in the performance decline observed in the dependent task after the depleting task (Wolff and Martarelli, 2020). Consequently, boredom appears to be an important variable that needs to be controlled in both protocols previously cited. Moreover, boredom could mediate the relationship between the time-on-task effect and sequential task effect. For instance, boredom felt during the depleting task may lead to a decrease in performance with time-on-task in the depleting task and then a decrease of motivation to perform the dependent task. For instance, in a previous experiment (Mangin et al., 2021), boredom felt during the depleting task negatively correlated with the motivation to perform the second task (r = -0.364, p = 0.009). An additional aim of the present study is to examine this possible mediating role of boredom in the relationship between the time-on-task effect and sequential task effect.

Based on what has been said above, our main hypothesis assumes that there is a positive correlation between the timeon-task effect observed in the depleting task and the sequential task effect observed in the subsequent dependent task: the higher the decrement in performance over time during the depleting task is, the larger the sequential task effect when comparing the performance of the dependent task after the depleting task and after the control task. Our secondary hypothesis claims that boredom felt during the depleting task will correlate with the time-on-task effect and sequential task effect and will explain, at least in part, the correlation between these two effects (i.e., boredom is a variable that mediates these two effects).

Method

Participants

A total of 83 participants took part in this experiment (57 females, mean age = 26.30 years, SD = 12.07) in exchange for course credits for the students of the University of Poitiers and 30 \in for non-student participants. The method used to determine the sample size is detailed in the **Supplementary Section 1**. The participants received an oral explanation about the protocol and then signed an informed consent form. The experiment was approved by the local ethics committee (n°CER-TP 2019-11-08). We used 2.5 absolute deviations around the median to consider data as outliers (Leys et al., 2013). Four participants were excluded because their performances deviated from the median by more than 2.5 absolute deviations.

Procedure

In this experiment, participants experienced three distinct sessions. The participants were instructed to avoid alcohol or any drugs 24 h before the experiment and caffeine 6 h before. Participants were also instructed to avoid strenuous activity 12 h before experimental sessions. During the first session, participants performed a handgrip task until exhaustion and then were familiarized with a modified Stroop task (Figure 1A). During the second and the third sessions (Figure 1B), participants first performed a mental task that was either a modified Stroop task or watching a documentary (i.e., "Earth" by Fothergill and Linfield, 2007). The order of these sessions was randomized. This first task was followed by the handgrip task until exhaustion. In these sessions, immediately after the mental task, participants had to indicate the boredom they felt during the task. Furthermore, they had to indicate their feeling of fatigue before and after each task. Fatigue and boredom were evaluated using a visual analog scale (Wewers and Lowe, 1990), ranging from 0 to 100%. Participants were blind to the objectives and hypotheses of the experiment. At the end of the last session, participants were debriefed about the experiment. First, they could ask as many questions as they would. Then the hypotheses and the name of the documentary were given to them. We also asked the participants not to discuss about the experiment outside the laboratory. If they had any further questions, they were told to send an email to the experimenter. The non-students were paid by the laboratory secretariat office.

The modified Stroop task was computerized, composed of 888 trials and lasted 29.6 min. The time course of a trial was as follows (**Figure 1C**): first, a fixation cross appeared on the screen enclosed by a square or a circle for 50 ms. It was followed by a fixation cross for 400 ms. Then, a color word appeared in the middle of the screen and lasted until the



participant answered or, in case of omission, for a maximum time limit of 1,250 ms. After the word disappeared, a fixation cross was displayed in the middle of the screen and remained at this location until a time limit of 2,000 ms was reached for each trial. The words were color names in French (i.e., Red, Blue, Green, and Yellow), with an unmatching color ink (e.g., Red written in blue). When the square was displayed (50% of the trials, randomized), participants were instructed to read the word. When it was the circle, they had to name the color of the ink. Participants gave their answer orally because this modality generated more interference, and it was more difficult to inhibit reading than the manual answering version of the task (MacLeod, 1991). A first microphone connected to Eprime allowed to detect the beginning of the vocal responses of the participants for the calculation of the reaction times. A second microphone recorded the vocal response allowing to determine the accuracy of the response. For the control

mental task, participants watched the first 30 min of the documentary "Earth."

The handgrip task until exhaustion was performed using a hand dynamometer (TSD121C, BIOPAC), and the force signal was recorded using the MP160WSW unit and AcqKnowledge software (BIOPAC Systems Inc., Goleta, USA). Participants were instructed to maintain a submaximal isometric contraction (13% of maximal voluntary contraction, MVC) until exhaustion. To perform this task, a circle was displayed on a screen in front of them, and 360° represented their MVC measured at the beginning of the first session. Feedback about the force produced by the participant was provided by a gauge needle. Participants had to maintain the needle in a target portion of the gauge that represented 12–14% of their MVC. The task stopped when participants released the handgrip or when they stayed under the 12% limit (i.e., under the target zone) for more than 2 s. The MVC was measured at the beginning of each session



by demanding to the participant to squeeze the handgrip as strong as they could during 3 s. This measure was repeated with a rest interval of 30 s until their force did not increase from the previous trials. A more detailed description of this task is provided in Mangin et al. (2021).

Measure of performance and composite scores

To measure the performance in the modified Stroop task, we used the inverse efficiency score (IES; Akhtar and Enns, 1989; Bruyer and Brysbaert, 2011; Liesefeld and Janczyk, 2019), an index of performance that combines speed and accuracy. This score is calculated as follows: IES = mean reaction time for correct responses/(1 – proportion of decision errors). This calculation combines the reaction time and the error rate of the participants, two of the most important indicators of performance. The IES was calculated while averaging the performances of both types of trial (i.e., "naming the color of the ink" vs. "reading the color word"). We also divided the 30 min of the modified Stroop task into four parts of 7.5 min and calculated the IES score for each part.

We also created four composite scores. First, for the effect of time-on-task, we subtracted the IES score of the fourth part from the first part, and then divided this difference by the IES score of the first part, for each participant. This composite score represented the ratio of change in performance for each participant, depending on their performance at the beginning of the task. A negative ratio means that there was a decrease in performance from the beginning to the end of the Stroop task. Second, for the sequential task effect, we subtracted the performance in the handgrip task until exhaustion after the control task from the performance after the Stroop task, and then divided this difference by the performance after the control task for each participant. This composite score represented the ratio of change in performance in the handgrip task until exhaustion. A negative ratio means that there was a decrease in performance in the handgrip task after the Stroop task compared to after the control task. Third, we subtracted the boredom felt during the control task from the boredom felt during the Stroop task. Finally, we subtracted the fatigue felt after the control task from the fatigue felt after the Stroop task.

Statistical analyses

We performed the statistical analyses using Jasp 0.16.2.0. The statistical significance was set at alpha level = 0.05. The correlations are Pearson's r and the *t*-tests are Student's *t*-test. We tested the sphericity with the Mauchly test when a repeated-measure ANOVA included a within-subjects factor with more than two levels. If the sphericity was violated, then we applied the Huynh-Feldt adjustments. All *post-hoc* comparisons were corrected following the Bonferroni procedure.

Results

Performance in the modified Stroop task

An ANOVA with time-on-task (Part 1, Part 2, Part 3, Part 4) as a within-subjects factor was conducted on the IES score. This effect of time-on-task reached significance: $F(2.04, 159.15) = 3.44, p = 0.034, \eta^2 = 0.042$. The posthoc comparison with Bonferroni correction indicated that the IES score of the first part (M = 741.5, SD = 114.9) was significantly lower than the IES score of the fourth part (M = 763.9, SD = 109.8): t(234) = 3.14, p = 0.011, dz = 0.20, IC₉₅ [0.02, 0.38]. All other comparisons were not significant. However, a linear contrast confirmed that the performance decreased with time-on-task throughout all parts: t(234) = 3.15, p = 0.002, $\beta = 15.91$, IC₉₅ [5.96, 25.86] (Figure 2). The effect of time-on-task on speed (mean reaction time) and accuracy (error rate) were presented separately in the Supplementary Sections 2-5 and two analyses were conducted: (1) while mixing the performance of the two types of trials (i.e., "naming the ink color" vs. "reading the color word") and (2) while differentiating these two types of trials. These complementary analyses confirmed the results presented above.

TABLE 1	Correlation matrix of composite scores of sequential task
effect, t	me-on-task effect, boredom, and subjective fatigue.

Variable	Sequential task	Time-on-task	Boredom
Time-on-task	$r = 0.272, p = 0.015^*$		
Boredom	r = -0.216, p = 0.055	$r=-0.332,p=0.003^{*}$	
Fatigue	r = -0.067, p = 0.557	$r=-0.231,p=0.040^*$	r = 0.309, p = 0.006

Sequential task effect = (performance in the handgrip task until exhaustion after the Stroop task minus performance after the control task) divided by performance after the control task. Time-on-task effect = (inverse efficiency score of the first part minus the inverse efficiency score of the fourth part) divided by the inverse efficiency score of the first part. Boredom = subjective measure of boredom after the Stroop task minus the subjective measure of boredom after the Stroop task minus subjective measure of fatigue after the control task. *Significant correlation between the variables.

To measure the sequential task effect, we conducted a paired sample *t*-test with the session (control vs. Stroop) as repeated measures on the performances in the handgrip task until exhaustion. Participants squeezed the handgrip longer in the control session (M = 7.26, SD = 3.74) than during the Stroop session (M = 6.66, SD = 3.50): t(78) = 3.23, p = 0.002, $d_z = 0.36$, IC₉₅ [0.13, 0.59].

We also measured the boredom felt by the participants during the mental tasks (Stroop and video tasks). We found that the participants felt more bored during the modified Stroop task (M = 47.4, SD = 30.3) than during the video task (M = 21.8, SD = 20.0): t(78) = 7.32, p < 0.001, $d_z = 0.82$, IC₉₅ [0.57, 1.08].

Concerning subjective fatigue, participants also felt more tired after the modified Stroop task (M = 44.7, SD = 26.7) than after the video task (M = 29.8, SD = 20.8): t(78) = 5.28, p < 0.001, $d_z = 0.59$, IC₉₅ [0.35, 0.83].

The correlations between the four composite scores presented in the method section are reported in **Table 1**. Our results showed positive correlations between the time-on-task effect and sequential task effect (see **Figure 3**), and between the index of boredom and the index of fatigue. Negative correlations were also observed between the time-on-task effect and the boredom index and between the time-on-task effect and the fatigue index. We did not observe a correlation between the sequential task effect and the indices of boredom or fatigue. Furthermore, when we controlled for the boredom index in the correlation between the time-on-task effect and sequential task effect, the correlation became marginal: r = 0.217, p = 0.056. A complete mediation analysis is presented in the **Supplementary Section 7**.

Discussion

In this experiment, we expected to observe a positive correlation between two well-known phenomena related to mental fatigue: the time-on-task effect and the sequential task effect. However, before examining the correlation between these two effects, it was necessary to observe these two effects. First,



we successfully replicated the time-on-task effect, such that the performance in the depleting task worsened over time as it can be found in the literature (Esterman and Rothlein, 2019). The performance from the first part to the last part decreased by 3.7% on the inverse efficiency score. Second, we also successfully replicated the sequential task effect. Participants performed worse (i.e., 6.1% less) in the handgrip task until exhaustion after the modified Stroop task than after the video task. Third, we found that the modified Stroop task. Fourth, in the same way, participants reported more fatigue after the modified Stroop task. These last two results are consistent with the literature on the sequential task effect (Brown and Bray, 2017; Van Cutsem et al., 2017a; Wolff and Martarelli, 2020).

Then, as expected, we observed a weak but significant correlation between the time-on-task effect and sequential task effect. To our knowledge, this is the first time that a correlation between these two effects has been investigated and found. This correlation indicates that the more the performance declined throughout the depleting task, the larger the sequential task effect was (see Figure 3). This statistical relationship between the time-on-task effect and the sequential task effect can be interpreted in three different ways: (1) it suggests that these two performance declines are underpinned, at least in part, by a common mechanism (i.e., decline of performance in the depleting task has the same cause than the decline in performance observed in the dependent task); (2) it is fortuitous and due to pure chance; (3) it reflects the effect of a confounding factor (e.g., the sample of participants is composed of two subgroups whose one individual characteristic is associated with one of the two variables involved in the correlation, for instance one of the sub-groups include more depressed participants and these participants are more sensitive to fatigue). However, our experiment did not allow us to examine which mechanism explains these two fatigue-related phenomena and to decide between these three explanations. The present experiment just

pointed out on a possible link between two well-known effects in psychology that needs to be explained by neuroimaging studies.

As mentioned in the introduction, numerous studies used a sequential task protocol with a long depleting task to study the effect of mental fatigue induced by a first effortful task on a subsequent cognitive or physical task (see the systematic review in the Supplementary material). However, few of these studies have reported or observed the time-on-task effect and were able to calculate the correlation between the time-on-task effect and the sequential task effect. The lack of investigation of this correlation could be explained by three main reasons: (1) a small sample size in the vast majority of these studies making it difficult to examine the relationship between the two phenomena of interest given the large inter-individual variability in sensitivity to mental fatigue (89.29% of the studies with N < 30; (2) a depleting task that was not sufficiently practiced by the participants before the experiment and showed a practice effect or masked a decrement of performance as a function of time on task (8.93% of the studies observed a practice effect); (3) a depleting task that was not conceived to examine precisely the evolution of performance as a function of time on task (e.g., using social media on a smartphone, sport-based video-game; 8.93% of the studies).

In addition, boredom has been identified as an important factor to control in order to observe the sequential task effect (Wolff and Martarelli, 2020). In this study, we observed a non-significant but marginal negative correlation between boredom felt during the depleting or the control task and the sequential task effect. It is possible that this correlation can reach significance when increasing the study power. However, a negative correlation between the boredom index and the timeon-task effect was found. The higher the index of boredom was (i.e., higher boredom during the depleting task by contrast to the control task), the higher the decrease in performance in the Stroop task was (i.e., larger time-on-task effect). The boredom felt by the participants in the Stroop task but not in the control task can be due either to an overstimulation of participants perceiving the Stroop task as too difficult and/or a monotonous Stroop task (Raffaelli et al., 2018). Furthermore, boredom can cause difficulty concentrating on the ongoing task and lead to a non-optimal arousal level (Eastwood et al., 2012) that can be linked to attention failure (Raffaelli et al., 2018). In this way, participants who felt boredom during the Stroop task may have progressively disengaged effort from this task when they performed it. This progressive disengagement of effort can explain the decrease in performance throughout the Stroop task. As demonstrated in the results section, when controlling for the boredom index (i.e., the difference in feeling of boredom in the Stroop task and the control task) the correlation between the sequential task effect and timeon-task effect became marginal, meaning that boredom is partially involved in the common mechanism underpinning these two phenomena.

We also found a positive correlation between the boredom index and the fatigue index: the higher the boredom index was, the higher the fatigue index. This result is in line with the results of Milyavskaya et al. (2019), who found higher fatigue after 20 min of the boring task compared to the non-boring task.

Our results suggest that boredom contributes notably to the variance in the time-on-task effect. Boredom is linked with more activation of the default mode network (Danckert and Merrifield, 2018), which can explain the negative correlation with the time-on-task effect (i.e., the higher the boredom is, the larger the number of activations of the default mode network and the number of attentional lapses). The more frequent activation of the default mode network (DMN) during a task may be explained by a weakening of the ability of the salience network to keep the DMN disabled while maintaining activation of the executive control network (André et al., 2019).

Limits and perspectives

In the current experiment, we showed that the time-on-task effect and sequential task effect shared a common percentage of variance. However, we do not know which mechanism was involved in the decrease in performance in both tasks. We know that boredom explains in part this decrease, supporting the hypothesis of a decrease in motivation (Bench and Lench, 2013; Tze et al., 2014; Mangin et al., 2021), but the correlation between the two effects remained marginal suggesting that another mechanism contributed to this correlation. If the same mental fatigue mechanism is implied in both tasks, then it should be possible to show its contribution in the two phenomena through a mediation analysis and observe it with psychophysiological or neuroimaging measures. For instance, a disengagement of effort during the depleting and dependent tasks could be examined by measuring the variations in sympathetic and parasympathetic activities through cardiac reactivity indices (Richter et al., 2016; Van Cutsem et al., 2022) or the variations in density of prefrontal theta waves (Klimesch, 1999; André et al., 2019).

The term "ego-depletion" is generally associated with a mechanism leading to the occurrence of this effect: the depletion of an internal resource, such as brain glucose (Gailliot and Baumeister, 2007). However, this mechanism is not plausible and has been criticized (e.g., Beedie and Lane, 2012; Kurzban et al., 2013; Lange and Eggert, 2014; Vadillo et al., 2016). The mechanism that receives the most attention from our team is the hypothesis of an action of brain adenosine released in situations of intense and persistent neuronal activity (Pageaux et al., 2014; Martin et al., 2018; André et al., 2019). This brain metabolite could decrease the efficiency of prefrontal pyramidal neurons and inhibit striatal dopamine, simultaneously reducing the capacity of prefrontal processing units to maintain effortful control and the motivation to exert effortful control (André et al., 2019). Positron emission tomography (PET) could allow us to test this hypothetical mechanism. Studies using such biomarkers in addition to behavioral and subjective measurements will be useful in the future to investigate the mechanisms underpinning mental fatigue.

Finally, in this article, we observed that the correlation between the boredom index and sequential task effect was marginal, although it has been predicted in another article that boredom plays a role in the sequential task effect by increasing the effort cost to perform the depleting task (Wolff and Martarelli, 2020). From this perspective, another study with a different depleting task (e.g., longer and more boring) should further examine the relationship between boredom felt during the depleting and control tasks and the size of the sequential task effect.

Conclusion

The current experiment highlights that the participants with the higher deterioration in their performance during the fatiguing task (i.e., time-on-task effect) were also the participants that displayed the higher deterioration in the dependent task after the fatiguing task by comparison to after the control task (i.e., ego-depletion or sequential task effect). This result suggests that it is crucial to control the evolution of performance as a function of time-on-task in the depleting task because it can contribute to the subsequent sequential task effect. A plausible explanation of the link between these two effects, when the depleting task is long, is that they share a common underlying mechanism related to mental fatigue, but other explanations cannot be rejected. Finally, only a weak percentage of variance explains the link between the time-on-task effect and sequential task effect. Future experiments are required to find experimental conditions increasing the commonalities between these phenomena. For instance, it would be interesting to increase the cognitive control required by the depleting task (e.g., dual N-back task), to reduce as much as possible practice effects in the performance of the depleting task (e.g., participants must reach a performance plateau before starting the experiment) and to include a population of participants particularly sensitive to mental fatigue effects (e.g., participants with a low level of mental toughness). Such a protocol could allow to demonstrate that the two well-known phenomena attributed to mental fatigue are closely related.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Comité d'Éthique pour les Recherches Impliquant la Personne Humaine – Universités de Tours et Poitiers (number CER-TP 2019-11-08). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

MA and NA coordinated the research project. TM, MA, NA, and AB elaborated the methodology of the study. TM, MA, and NA wrote the manuscript. TM and AB designed the cognitive and physical tasks. TM, AL, and FM collected the data. TM and MA analyzed the data. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the Regional Council of New-Aquitaine (AAPR2020F-2020-8595710).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fpsyg.2022.998393/full#supplementary-material

References

Ackerman, P. L. (2011). *Cognitive fatigue: Multidisciplinary perspectives on current research and future applications*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.

Akhtar, N., and Enns, J. T. (1989). Relations between covert orienting and filtering in the development of visual attention. *J. Exp. Child Psychol.* 48, 315–334. doi: 10.1016/0022-0965(89)90008-8

André, N., Audiffren, M., and Baumeister, R. F. (2019). An integrative model of effortful control. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 13:1–22. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2019.00079

Arnau, S., Brümmer, T., Liegel, N., and Wascher, E. (2021). Inverse effects of time-on-task in task-related and task-unrelated theta activity. *Psychophysiology* 58:e13805. doi: 10.1111/psyp.13805

Azevedo, R., Silva-Cavalcante, M. D., Gualano, B., Lima-Silva, A. E., and Bertuzzi, R. (2016). Effects of caffeine ingestion on endurance performance in mentally fatigued individuals. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 116, 2293–2303. doi: 10.1007/s00421-016-3483-y

Badin, O. O., Smith, M. R., Conte, D., and Coutts, A. J. (2016). Mental fatigue: Impairment of technical performance in small-sided soccer games. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 11, 1100–1105. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2015-0710

Batista, M. M., Paludo, A. C., Da Silva, M. P., Martins, M. V., Pauli, P. H., Dal'maz, G., et al. (2021). Effect of mental fatigue on performance, perceptual and physiological responses in orienteering athletes. *J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness* 61, 673–679. doi: 10.23736/S0022-4707.21.11334-9

Baumeister, R. F., Bratslavsky, E., Muraven, M., and Tice, D. M. (1998). Ego depletion: Is the active self a limited resource? *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* 74, 1252–1265. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.74.5.1252

Baumeister, R. F., Vohs, K. D., and Tice, D. M. (2007). The strength model of self-control. *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* 16, 351–355. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8721.2007. 00534.x

Beedie, C. J., and Lane, A. M. (2012). The role of glucose in self-control: Another look at the evidence and alternative conceptualization. *Pers. Soc. Psychol. Rev.* 16, 143–153. doi: 10.1177/1088868311419817

Bench, S. W., and Lench, H. C. (2013). On the function of boredom. *Behav. Sci.* (*Basel*) 3, 459–472. doi: 10.3390/bs3030459

Blázquez, D., Botella, J., and Suero, M. (2017). The debate on the ego-depletion effect: Evidence from meta-analysis with the p-uniform method. *Front. Psychol.* 8:197. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00197

Boat, R., and Taylor, I. M. (2017). Prior self-control exertion and perceptions of pain during a physically demanding task. *Psychol. Sport Exerc.* 33, 1–6. doi: 10.1016/j.psychsport.2017.07.005

Boksem, M. A. S., Meijman, T. F., and Lorist, M. M. (2005). Effects of mental fatigue on attention: An ERP study. *Brain Res. Cogn. Brain Res.* 25, 107–116. doi: 10.1016/j.cogbrainres.2005.04.011

Brown, D. M. Y., and Bray, S. R. (2017). Graded increases in cognitive control exertion reveal a threshold effect on subsequent physical performance. *Sport Exerc. Perform. Psychol.* 6, 355–369. doi: 10.1037/spy0000091

Brown, D. M. Y., and Bray, S. R. (2019). Effects of mental fatigue on exercise intentions and behavior. *Ann. Behav. Med.* 53, 405-414. doi: 10.1093/abm/kay052

Brown, D. M. Y., Graham, J. D., Innes, K. I., Harris, S., Flemington, A., and Bray, S. R. (2020). Effects of prior cognitive exertion on physical performance: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Med.* 50, 497–529. doi: 10.1007/ s40279-019-01204-8

Brownsberger, J., Edwards, A., Crowther, R., and Cottrell, D. (2013). Impact of mental fatigue on self-paced exercise. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 34, 1029–1036. doi: 10.1055/s-0033-1343402

Bruyer, R., and Brysbaert, M. (2011). Combining speed and accuracy in cognitive psychology: Is the inverse efficiency score (IES) a better dependent variable than the mean reaction time (RT) and the percentage of errors (PE)? *Psychol. Belg.* 51, 5–13.

Budini, F., Labanca, L., Scholz, M., and Macaluso, A. (2022). Tremor, finger and hand dexterity and force steadiness, do not change after mental fatigue in healthy humans. *PLoS One* 17:e0272033. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.027 2033

Campos, B. T., Penna, E. M., Rodrigues, J. G. S., Mendes, T. T., Maia-Lima, A., Nakamura, F. Y., et al. (2021). Influence of mental fatigue on physical performance, and physiological and perceptual responses of judokas submitted to the special judo fitness test. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 36, 461–468. doi: 10.1519/JSC. 00000000003453

Ciocca, G., Tessitore, A., Mandorino, M., and Tschan, H. (2022). Video-based tactical task does not elicit mental fatigue and does not impair soccer performance in a subsequent small-sided game. *Sports* 10:31. doi: 10.3390/sports10030031

Coutinho, D., Gonçalves, B., Wong, D. P., Travassos, B., Coutts, A. J., and Sampaio, J. (2018). Exploring the effects of mental and muscular fatigue in soccer players' performance. *Hum. Mov. Sci.* 58, 287–296. doi: 10.1016/j.humov.2018.0 3.004

Dallaway, N., Lucas, S. J. E., and Ring, C. (2022). Cognitive tasks elicit mental fatigue and impair subsequent physical task endurance: Effects of task duration and type. *Psychophysiology* e14126. doi: 10.1111/psyp.14126

Danckert, J., and Merrifield, C. (2018). Boredom, sustained attention and the default mode network. *Exp. Brain Res.* 236, 2507–2518. doi: 10.1007/s00221-016-4617-5

Dang, J., Barker, P., Baumert, A., Bentvelzen, M., Berkman, E., Buchholz, N., et al. (2020). A multilab replication of the ego depletion effect. *Soc. Psychol. Pers. Sci.* 12, 14–24. doi: 10.1177/1948550619887702

de Jong, M., Bonvanie, A. M., Jolij, J., and Lorist, M. M. (2020). Dynamics in typewriting performance reflect mental fatigue during real-life office work. *PLoS One* 15:e0239984. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0239984

Duncan, M. J., Fowler, N., George, O., Joyce, S., and Hankey, J. (2015). Mental fatigue negatively influences manual dexterity and anticipation timing but not repeated high-intensity exercise performance in trained adults. *Res. Sports Med.* 23, 1–13. doi: 10.1080/15438627.2014.975811

Eastwood, J. D., Frischen, A., Fenske, M. J., and Smilek, D. (2012). The unengaged mind: Defining boredom in terms of attention. *Perspect. Psychol. Sci.* 7, 482–495. doi: 10.1177/1745691612456044

Esterman, M., and Rothlein, D. (2019). Models of sustained attention. Curr. Opin. Psychol. 29, 174–180. doi: 10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.03.005

Ferreira, A. Jr., Chierotti, P., Gabardo, J. M., Giovanini, B., Okano, A. H., Buzzachera, C. F., et al. (2020). Residual effects of mental fatigue on subjective fatigue, reaction time and cardiac responses. *Rev. Psicol. Deporte* 29, 27–34.

Ferris, J. R., Tomlinson, M. A., Ward, T. N., Pepin, M. E., and Malek, M. H. (2021). Reduced electromyographic fatigue threshold after performing a cognitive fatiguing task. *J. Strength Cond. Res.* 35, 267–274. doi: 10.1519/JSC. 000000000002490

Filipas, L., Borghi, S., La Torre, A., and Smith, M. R. (2021). Effects of mental fatigue on soccer-specific performance in young players. *Sci. Med. Footb.* 5, 150–157. doi: 10.1080/24733938.2020.1823012

Filipas, L., Gallo, G., Pollastri, L., and La Torre, A. (2019). Mental fatigue impairs time trial performance in sub-elite under 23 cyclists. *PloS One* 14:e0218405. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0218405

Finkel, E. J., Campbell, W. K., Brunell, A. B., Dalton, A. N., Scarbeck, S. J., and Chartrand, T. L. (2006). High-maintenance interaction: Inefficient social coordination impairs self-regulation. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* 91, 456–475. doi: 10. 1037/0022-3514.91.3.456

Fortes, L. S., Berriel, G. P., Faro, H., Freitas-Júnior, C. G., and Peyré-Tartaruga, L. A. (2022a). Can prolongate use of social media immediately before training worsen high level male volleyball players' visuomotor skills? *Percept. Mot. Skills* 315125221123635. doi: 10.1177/00315125221123635

Fortes, L. S., Lima-Junior, D., Barbosa, B. T., Faro, H. K. C., Ferreira, M. E. C., and Almeida, S. S. (2022b). Effect of mental fatigue on decision-making skill and visual search behaviour in basketball players: An experimental and randomised study. *Int. J. Sport Exerc. Psychol.* 1–20. doi: 10.1080/1612197X.2022.2058055

Fothergill, A., and Linfield, M. (2007). Earth [Documentary].

Gailliot, M. T., and Baumeister, R. F. (2007). The physiology of willpower: Linking glucose to self-control. *Pers. Soc. Psychol. Rev.* 11, 303–327. doi: 10.1177/1088868307303030

Gantois, P., Ferreira, M. E. C., de Lima-Junior, D., Nakamura, F. Y., Batista, G. R., Fonseca, F. S., et al. (2020). Effects of mental fatigue on passing decisionmaking performance in professional soccer athletes. *Eur. J. Sport Sci.* 20, 534–543. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2019.1656781

Gantois, P., Lima-Júnior, D., de Fortes, L. S., Batista, G. R., Nakamura, F. Y., Fonseca, F., et al. (2021). Mental fatigue from smartphone use reduces volumeload in resistance training: A randomized, single-blinded cross-over study. *Percept. Mot. Skills* 128, 1640–1659. doi: 10.1177/00315125211016233

Gergelyfi, M., Sanz-Arigita, E. J., Solopchuk, O., Dricot, L., Jacob, B., and Zénon, A. (2021). Mental fatigue correlates with depression of task-related network and augmented DMN activity but spares the reward circuit. *NeuroImage* 243:118532. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118532

Giboin, L.-S., and Wolff, W. (2019). The effect of ego depletion or mental fatigue on subsequent physical endurance performance: A meta-analysis. *Perform. Enhanc. Health* 7:100150. doi: 10.1016/j.peh.2019.100150

Greco, G., Tambolini, R., Ambruosi, P., and Fischetti, F. (2017). Negative effects of smartphone use on physical and technical performance of young footballers. *J. Phys. Educ. Sport* 17, 2495–2501. doi: 10.7752/jpes.2017.04280

Habay, J., Proost, M., De Wachter, J., Díaz-García, J., De Pauw, K., Meeusen, R., et al. (2021). Mental fatigue-associated decrease in table tennis performance: Is there an electrophysiological signature? *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 18:12906. doi: 10.3390/ijerph182412906

Hachard, B., Noé, F., Ceyte, H., Trajin, B., and Paillard, T. (2020). Balance control is impaired by mental fatigue due to the fulfilment of a continuous cognitive task or by the watching of a documentary. *Exp. Brain Res.* 238, 861–868. doi: 10.1007/s00221-020-05758-2

Hagger, M. S., Chatzisarantis, N. L. D., Alberts, H., Anggono, C. O., Batailler, C., Birt, A. R., et al. (2016). A multilab preregistered replication of the ego-depletion effect. *Perspect. Psychol. Sci.* 11, 546–573. doi: 10.1177/1745691616652873

Hagger, M. S., Wood, C., Stiff, C., and Chatzisarantis, N. L. D. (2010). Ego depletion and the strength model of self-control: A meta-analysis. *Psychol. Bull.* 136, 495–525. doi: 10.1037/a0019486

Hakim, H., Khemiri, A., Chortane, O. G., Boukari, S., Chortane, S. G., Bianco, A., et al. (2022). Mental fatigue effects on the produced perception of effort and its impact on subsequent physical performances. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 19:10973. doi: 10.3390/ijerph191710973

Holgado, D., Troya, E., Perales, J. C., Vadillo, M. A., and Sanabria, D. (2021). Does mental fatigue impair physical performance? A replication study. *Eur. J. Sport Sci.* 21, 762–770. doi: 10.1080/17461391.2020.1781265

Hunte, R., Cooper, S. B., Taylor, I. M., Nevill, M. E., and Boat, R. (2021). The mechanisms underpinning the effects of self-control exertion on subsequent physical performance: A meta-analysis. *Int. Rev. Sport Exerc. Psychol.* 1–28. doi: 10.1080/1750984X.2021.2004610

Inzlicht, M., Schmeichel, B. J., and Macrae, C. N. (2014). Why self-control seems (but may not be) limited. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 18, 127–133. doi: 10.1016/j.tics.2013. 12.009

Job, V., Dweck, C. S., and Walton, G. M. (2010). Ego depletion-is it all in your head? Implicit theories about willpower affect self-regulation. *Psychol. Sci.* 21, 1686–1693. doi: 10.1177/0956797610384745

Klimesch, W. (1999). EEG alpha and theta oscillations reflect cognitive and memory performance: A review and analysis. *Brain Res. Rev.* 29, 169–195. doi: 10.1016/S0165-0173(98)00056-3

Kosack, M. H., Staiano, W., Folino, R., Hansen, M. B., and Lønbro, S. (2020). The acute effect of mental fatigue on badminton performance in elite players. *Int. J. Sports Physiol. Perform.* 15, 632–638. doi: 10.1123/ijspp.2019-0361

Kowalski, K. L., Tierney, B. C., and Christie, A. D. (2022). Mental fatigue does not substantially alter neuromuscular function in young, healthy males and females. *Physiol. Behav.* 253:113855. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2022.113855

Kurzban, R., Duckworth, A., Kable, J. W., and Myers, J. (2013). An opportunity cost model of subjective effort and task performance. *Behav. Brain Sci.* 36, 661–679.

Lange, F., and Eggert, F. (2014). Sweet delusion. Glucose drinks fail to counteract ego depletion. *Appetite* 75, 54–63. doi: 10.1016/j.appet.2013.12.020

Le Mansec, Y., Pageaux, B., Nordez, A., Dorel, S., and Jubeau, M. (2018). Mental fatigue alters the speed and the accuracy of the ball in table tennis. *J. Sports Sci.* 36, 2751–2759. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2017.1418647

Leys, C., Ley, C., Klein, O., Bernard, P., and Licata, L. (2013). Detecting outliers: Do not use standard deviation around the mean, use absolute deviation around the median. J. Exp. Soc. Psychol. 49, 764–766. doi: 10.1016/j.jesp.2013.03.013

Liesefeld, H. R., and Janczyk, M. (2019). Combining speed and accuracy to control for speed-accuracy trade-offs(?). *Behav. Res. Methods* 51, 40–60. doi: 10. 3758/s13428-018-1076-x

Mackworth, J. F. (1968). Vigilance, arousal, and habituation. *Psychol. Rev.* 75, 308–322. doi: 10.1037/h0025896

MacLeod, C. M. (1991). Half a century of research on the stroop effect: An integrative review. *Psychol. Bull.* 109, 163–203. doi: 10.1037//0033-2909.109.2.163

MacMahon, C., Schücker, L., Hagemann, N., and Strauss, B. (2014). Cognitive fatigue effects on physical performance during running. *J. Sport Exerc. Psychol.* 36, 375–381. doi: 10.1123/jsep.2013-0249

Mangin, T., André, N., Benraiss, A., Pageaux, B., and Audiffren, M. (2021). No ego-depletion effect without a good control task. *Psychol. Sport Exerc.* 57:102033. doi: 10.1016/j.psychsport.2021.102033

Marando, I., Matthews, R. W., Grosser, L., Yates, C., and Banks, S. (2022). The effect of time on task, sleep deprivation, and time of day on simulated driving performance. *Sleep* 45:zsac167. doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsac167

Marcora, S. M., Staiano, W., and Manning, V. (2009). Mental fatigue impairs physical performance in humans. J. Appl. Physiol. 106, 857–864. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.91324.2008

Martin, K., Meeusen, R., Thompson, K. G., Keegan, R., and Rattray, B. (2018). Mental fatigue impairs endurance performance: A physiological explanation. *Sports Med.* 48, 2041–2051. doi: 10.1007/s40279-018-0946-9

Martin, K., Staiano, W., Menaspà, P., Hennessey, T., Marcora, S., Keegan, R., et al. (2016). Superior inhibitory control and resistance to mental fatigue in professional road cyclists. *PLoS One* 11:e0159907. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0159907

Martin, K., Thompson, K. G., Keegan, R., Ball, N., and Rattray, B. (2015). Mental fatigue does not affect maximal anaerobic exercise performance. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 115, 715–725. doi: 10.1007/s00421-014-3052-1

Matuz, A., van der Linden, D., Kisander, Z., Hernádi, I., Kazmer, K., and Csatho, A. (2021). Enhanced cardiac vagal tone in mental fatigue: Analysis of heart rate variability in Time-on-Task, recovery, and reactivity. *PLoS One* 16:e0238670. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0238670

Melo, H. M., Nascimento, L. M., and Takase, E. (2017). Mental fatigue and heart rate variability (HRV): The time-on-task effect. *Psychol. Neurosci.* 10, 428–436. doi: 10.1037/pne0000110

Milyavskaya, M., Inzlicht, M., Johnson, T., and Larson, M. J. (2019). Reward sensitivity following boredom and cognitive effort: A high-powered neurophysiological investigation. *Neuropsychologia* 123, 159–168. doi: 10.1016/j. neuropsychologia.2018.03.033

Moller, A. C., Deci, E. L., and Ryan, R. M. (2006). Choice and ego-depletion: The moderating role of autonomy. *Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull.* 32, 1024–1036. doi: 10.1177/0146167206288008

Moreira, A., Aoki, M. S., Franchini, E., da Silva Machado, D. G., Paludo, A. C., and Okano, A. H. (2018). Mental fatigue impairs technical performance and alters neuroendocrine and autonomic responses in elite young basketball players. *Physiol. Behav.* 196, 112–118. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2018.08.015

Morris, A. J., and Christie, A. D. (2020). The effect of a mentally fatiguing task on postural balance control in young and older women. *Exp. Gerontol.* 132:110840. doi: 10.1016/j.exger.2020.110840

Muraven, M., and Slessareva, E. (2003). Mechanisms of self-control failure: Motivation and limited resources. *Pers. Soc. Psychol. Bull.* 29, 894–906. doi: 10. 1177/0146167203029007008

Muraven, M., Collins, R. L., and Nienhaus, K. (2002). Self-control and alcohol restraint: An initial application of the self-control strength model. *Psychol. Addict. Behav.* 16, 113–120. doi: 10.1037/0893-164X.16.2.113

Muraven, M., Tice, D. M., and Baumeister, R. F. (1998). Self-control as limited resource: Regulatory depletion patterns. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* 74, 774–789. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.74.3.774

Osgood, J. M. (2019). Ego-depletion increases selfish decision making, but may also increase self-conflict and regret about those decisions. *J. Soc. Psychol.* 159, 417–430. doi: 10.1080/00224545.2018.1505706

Pageaux, B., and Lepers, R. (2018). The effects of mental fatigue on sport-related performance. *Prog. Brain Res.* 240, 291–315. doi: 10.1016/bs.pbr.2018. 10.004

Pageaux, B., Lepers, R., Dietz, K. C., and Marcora, S. M. (2014). Response inhibition impairs subsequent self-paced endurance performance. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 114, 1095–1105. doi: 10.1007/s00421-014-2838-5

Pageaux, B., Marcora, S. M., and Lepers, R. (2013). Prolonged mental exertion does not alter neuromuscular function of the knee extensors. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 45, 2254–2264. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e31829b504a

Pageaux, B., Marcora, S. M., Rozand, V., and Lepers, R. (2015). Mental fatigue induced by prolonged self-regulation does not exacerbate central fatigue during subsequent whole-body endurance exercise. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 9:67. doi: 10. 3389/fnhum.2015.00067

Pattyn, N., Neyt, X., Henderickx, D., and Soetens, E. (2008). Psychophysiological investigation of vigilance decrement: Boredom or cognitive fatigue? *Physiol. Behav.* 93, 369–378. doi: 10.1016/j.physbeh.2007.09.016

Penna, E. M., Filho, E., Campos, B. T., Pires, D. A., Nakamura, F. Y., Mendes, T. T., et al. (2018a). Mental fatigue does not affect heart rate recovery but impairs performance in handball players. *Rev. Bras. Med. Esporte* 24, 347–351. doi: 10. 1590/1517-869220182405180483

Penna, E. M., Filho, E., Wanner, S. P., Campos, B. T., Quinan, G. R., Mendes, T. T., et al. (2018b). Mental fatigue impairs physical performance in young swimmers. *Pediatr. Exerc. Sci.* 30, 208–215. doi: 10.1123/pes.2017-0128

Persson, J., Larsson, A., and Reuter-Lorenz, P. A. (2013). Imaging fatigue of interference control reveals the neural basis of executive resource depletion. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 25, 338–351. doi: 10.1162/jocn_a_00321

Queiros, V. S., de Dantas, M., Fortes, L. S., Silva, L. F., da Silva, G. M., da Dantas, P. M. S., et al. (2021). Mental fatigue reduces training volume in resistance exercise: A cross-over and randomized study. *Percept. Mot. Skills* 128, 409–423. doi: 10.1177/0031512520958935

Raffaelli, Q., Mills, C., and Christoff, K. (2018). The knowns and unknowns of boredom: A review of the literature. *Exp. Brain Res.* 236, 2451–2462. doi: 10.1007/s00221-017-4922-7

Richter, M., Gendolla, G. H. E., and Wright, R. A. (2016). "Three decades of research on motivational intensity theory, advances in motivation science," in *Advances in motivation science*, Vol. 3, ed. A. J. Elliot (Amsterdam: Elsevier), 149–186. doi: 10.1016/bs.adms.2016.02.001

Rozand, V., Lebon, F., Papaxanthis, C., and Lepers, R. (2015). Effect of mental fatigue on speed-accuracy trade-off. *Neuroscience* 297, 219–230. doi: 10.1016/j. neuroscience.2015.03.066

Salam, H., Marcora, S. M., and Hopker, J. G. (2018). The effect of mental fatigue on critical power during cycling exercise. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 118, 85–92. doi: 10.1007/s00421-017-3747-1

Schlichta, C., Cabral, L. L., da Silva, C. K., Bigliassi, M., and Pereira, G. (2022). Exploring the impact of mental fatigue and emotional suppression on the performance of high-intensity endurance exercise. *Percept. Mot. Skills* 129, 1053–1073. doi: 10.1177/00315125221093898

Simon, J., Takács, E., Orosz, G., Berki, B., and Winkler, I. (2020). Short-term cognitive fatigue effect on auditory temporal order judgments. *Exp. Brain Res.* 238, 305–319. doi: 10.1007/s00221-019-05712-x

Sjåstad, H., and Baumeister, R. F. (2018). The future and the will: Planning requires self-control, and ego depletion leads to planning aversion. *J. Exp. Soc. Psychol.* 76, 127–141. doi: 10.1016/j.jesp.2018.01.005

Slimani, M., Znazen, H., Bragazzi, N. L., Zguira, M. S., and Tod, D. (2018). The effect of mental fatigue on cognitive and aerobic performance in adolescent active endurance athletes: Insights from a randomized counterbalanced, cross-over trial. *J. Clin. Med.* 7:510. doi: 10.3390/jcm7120510

Smith, M. R., Chai, R., Nguyen, H. T., Marcora, S. M., and Coutts, A. J. (2019). Comparing the effects of three cognitive tasks on indicators of mental fatigue. *J. Psychol.* 153, 759–783. doi: 10.1080/00223980.2019.1611530

Smith, M. R., Coutts, A. J., Merlini, M., Deprez, D., Lenoir, M., and Marcora, S. M. (2016). Mental fatigue impairs soccer-specific physical and technical performance. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 48, 267–276. doi: 10.1249/MSS. 000000000000762

Smith, M. R., Marcora, S. M., and Coutts, A. J. (2015). Mental fatigue impairs intermittent running performance. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 47, 1682–1690. doi: 10.1249/MSS.00000000000592

Staiano, W., Bosio, A., Piazza, G., Romagnoli, M., and Invernizzi, P. L. (2019). Kayaking performance is altered in mentally fatigued young elite athletes. *J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness* 59, 1253–1262. doi: 10.23736/S0022-4707.18.09051-5

Trecroci, A., Boccolini, G., Duca, M., Formenti, D., and Alberti, G. (2020). Mental fatigue impairs physical activity, technical and decision-making

performance during small-sided games. PLoS One 15:e0238461. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0238461

Tyler, J. M., and Burns, K. C. (2009). Triggering conservation of the self's regulatory resources. *Basic Appl. Soc. Psychol.* 31, 255–266. doi: 10.1080/01973530903058490

Tze, V. M. C., Klassen, R. M., and Daniels, L. M. (2014). Patterns of boredom and its relationship with perceived autonomy support and engagement. *Contemp. Educ. Psychol.* 39, 175–187. doi: 10.1016/J.CEDPSYCH.2014.05.001

Vadillo, M. A., Gold, N., and Osman, M. (2016). The bitter truth about sugar and willpower: The limited evidential value of the glucose model of ego depletion. *Psychol. Sci.* 27, 1207–1214. doi: 10.1177/0956797616654911

Van As, S., Beckers, D. G. J., Geurts, S. A. E., Kompier, M. A. J., Husain, M., and Veling, H. (2021). The impact of cognitive and physical effort exertion on physical effort decisions: A pilot experiment. *Front. Psychol.* 12:645037. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.645037

Van Cutsem, J., De Pauw, K., Buyse, L., Marcora, S., Meeusen, R., and Roelands, B. (2017a). Effects of mental fatigue on endurance performance in the heat. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 49, 1677–1687. doi: 10.1249/MSS.00000000000 1263

Van Cutsem, J., De Pauw, K., Vandervaeren, C., Marcora, S., Meeusen, R., and Roelands, B. (2019). Mental fatigue impairs visuomotor response time in badminton players and controls. *Psychol. Sport Exerc.* 45:101579. doi: 10.1016/j. psychsport.2019.101579

Van Cutsem, J., Marcora, S., De Pauw, K., Bailey, S., Meeusen, R., and Roelands, B. (2017b). The effects of mental fatigue on physical performance: A systematic review. *Sports Med.* 47, 1569–1588. doi: 10.1007/s40279-016-0672-0

Van Cutsem, J., Van Schuerbeek, P., Pattyn, N., Raeymaekers, H., De Mey, J., Meeusen, R., et al. (2022). A drop in cognitive performance, whodunit? Subjective mental fatigue, brain deactivation or increased parasympathetic activity? It's complicated! *Cortex* 155, 30–45. doi: 10.1016/j.cortex.2022.06.006

Van Der Hulst, M., Meijman, T., and Rothengatter, T. (2001). Maintaining task set under fatigue: A study of time-on-task effects in simulated driving. *Transp. Res. Part F* 4, 103–118. doi: 10.1016/S1369-8478(01)00017-1

Veness, D., Patterson, S. D., Jeffries, O., and Waldron, M. (2017). The effects of mental fatigue on cricket-relevant performance among elite players. *J. Sports Sci.* 35, 2461–2467. doi: 10.1080/02640414.2016.1273540

Verschueren, J. O., Tassignon, B., Proost, M., Teugels, A., Van Cutsem, J., Roelands, B., et al. (2020). Does mental fatigue negatively affect outcomes of functional performance tests? *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 52, 2002–2010. doi: 10.1249/ MSS.00000000002323

Weerakkody, N. S., Taylor, C. J., Bulmer, C. L., Hamilton, D. B., Gloury, J., O'Brien, N. J., et al. (2021). The effect of mental fatigue on the performance of Australian football specific skills amongst amateur athletes. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 24, 592–596. doi: 10.1016/j.jsams.2020.12.003

Wewers, M. E., and Lowe, N. K. (1990). A critical review of visual analogue scales in the measurement of clinical phenomena. *Res. Nurs. Health* 13, 227–236. doi: 10.1002/nur.4770130405

Wolff, W., and Martarelli, C. S. (2020). Bored into depletion? Toward a tentative integration of perceived self-control exertion and boredom as guiding signals for goal-directed behavior. *Perspect. Psychol. Sci.* 15, 1272–1283. doi: 10.1177/1745691620921394