Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Wai-kit Ming, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

REVIEWED BY Ming Yu Claudia Wong, Hong Kong Baptist University, Hong Kong SAR, China Chengfu Yu, Guangzhou University,

*CORRESPONDENCE Yang Kong kongyang@bzmc.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Health Psychology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

RECEIVED 12 July 2022 ACCEPTED 18 November 2022 PUBLISHED 05 December 2022

CITATION

China

Jiang M-m, Wang D-w, Wu Z-y, Gao K, Guo P-p and Kong Y (2022) The influence of internet use frequency, family atmosphere, and academic performance on adolescent depression: Based on the chain mediating effect of self-adjustment and campus deviant behavior. *Front. Psychol.* 13:992053. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.992053

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Jiang, Wang, Wu, Gao, Guo and Kong. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. The influence of internet use frequency, family atmosphere, and academic performance on adolescent depression: Based on the chain mediating effect of self-adjustment and campus deviant behavior

Mao-min Jiang¹, De-wen Wang^{1†}, Zheng-yu Wu^{1†}, Kai Gao², Pei-pei Guo² and Yang Kong³*

¹School of Public Affairs, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China, ²School of Management, Shanghai University of Engineering Sciences, Shanghai, China, ³School of Public Health and Management, Binzhou Medical University, Yantai, China

Introduction: Depression has become a prominent psychological problem among young people. The purpose of this study was to investigate the potential relationship between the frequency of Internet use, family atmosphere, academic performance, self-adjustment, campus deviant behavior, and depressive symptoms among adolescents.

Methods: Based on the survey data of the fifth wave (2017~2018) and the sixth wave (2019~2020) of the China Family Panel Studies (CFPS), this study used LISREL8.8 software to analyze 1,577 10~15 data on adolescents.

Results: In this study, the mean score of self-adjustment was 42.40 (SD=6.79), the mean score of campus deviant behavior was 12.59 (SD=4.00), the mean score of depressive symptoms in 2018 was 11.88 (SD=3.04), and the mean score of depressive symptoms in 2020 was 7.64 (SD=2.20). Secondly, the frequency of Internet use had no direct effect on the depressive symptoms of adolescents, family atmosphere was negatively correlated with depressive symptoms (p < 0.005), and academic performance was positively correlated with depressive symptoms (p < 0.005). Depressive symptoms in 2020 had a direct effect (β = 0.37, p < 0.001), and also had a negative effect on depressive symptoms in 2020, with a total effect of -0.07 (p < 0.001); self-adjustment had no direct effect on depressive symptoms in adolescents in 2018, However, the total effect was -0.14 (p < 0.001), which had a significant positive effect on 2020 depressive symptoms, and the total effect was 0.18 (p < 0.001), and self-adjustment had a significant negative effect on adolescent campus deviant behavior ($\beta = -0.38$, p < 0.001); in addition, the frequency of Internet use, family atmosphere, and academic performance all had indirect effects on adolescents' 2020 depressive symptoms, with total effects of -0.60, 0.01, and 0.02 (p < 0.001), respectively. This study also found depressive symptoms in adolescents have a certain persistence in time.

Discussion: Based on this study, it is necessary to pay more attention to the depression of adolescents, strengthen the training of self-adjustment, improve

the anti-frustration ability and psychological resilience, and reduce the campus deviant behavior of adolescents. It is recommended to try to start from emotional self-adjustment to promote the personality health of adolescents.

KEYWORDS

family atmosphere, academic performance, self-control, problem behavior, depressive symptoms, chain mediation, Internet use frequency

Background

Depression has become one of the most important psychological problems in today's world (Pooja and Mihir, 2021). It can cause people to feel depressed, and reduce their activity and language movements (Smith, 2014). Studies have shown that depression symptoms are caused by emotional disorder, which leads to physical and mental discomfort in individuals. Specific manifestations include sadness, despair, other emotions, and even suicidal tendencies (Cheung and Dewa, 2007). According to the results of the World Health Organization survey, at present, about 4.4% of the world's population suffers from depression, and more than 300 million people suffer from depression [World Health Organization (WHO), 2017]. Some studies have also found that in recent years, depression has gradually become younger, and there is an increasing number that young people suffering from depression, among which the depression of adolescents is particularly prominent (ServanMori et al., 2020) Adolescents suffering from depression not only affect their physical and mental development but also destroy family harmony, which is not conducive to social harmony and stability. Therefore, it is particularly important to explore the mechanism, possible influencing factors, and development path of adolescent depressive symptoms.

The Internet is a double-edged sword. Long-term addiction to the Internet will not only cause personal psychological obstacles, but also affect their normal living conditions (Davis, 2001). A large number of studies show that frequency of Internet use is closely related to depression, and the frequency of Internet use rate of depressed people is significantly higher than that of normal people (Li et al., 2019). Studies have found that Depressed patients with pessimism and anxiety generally have a low willingness to participate in social activities, while the social mode of virtual space can effectively avoid the friction and harm when people face to face with each other. More depressed people are more inclined to socialize online. Based on the substitution of online socialization, Depressed individuals use the Internet more often (Kraut et al., 1998; Scott et al., 2009); meanwhile, Depressed people will regulate their emotions through online media such as online shopping, watching videos, and playing games (Kim et al., 2017). Secondly, some studies have found that frequency of Internet use is an important factor affecting depression. Long-term Internet use will reduce the communication between relatives and friends, reduce personal social adaptation, and fail to get enough social support. Leading to depression (Liang et al., 2016). In addition, there is also a scholar who believes that there is no direct correlation between depression and frequency of Internet use. Although depressed patients can compensate for individual interpersonal communication by using the Internet, it also reduces offline interaction (Anderson, 2001; Yao and Zhong, 2014). And they even think that frequency of Internet use can alleviate the depression of individuals. To some extent, the use of the Internet makes up for the social deficiency of depressed people (Przepiorka et al., 2019). Although there are many research on frequency of Internet use and depression, the relationship between them is still worth further exploring.

As the most primitive environment for an individual to grow up in, the family is generally a system unit formed by the interaction between parents and children. In this simple but complex set unit, the influence of the family atmosphere on individuals is self-evident (LeCloux et al., 2016). Relevant research shows that adolescent depression is closely related to the family atmosphere (Ribeiro et al., 2016). The family model theory holds that the better the atmosphere and environment of the family system, the better the family function, the more flexible the psychological quality and behavior of family members, and a bad family atmosphere will also lead to the risk of depression (Robert and Robert, 2000). The hopeless theory of depression also reflects that the family atmosphere has a negative effect on individual depression (Abramson et al., 1989). Adolescents have strong emotional dependence and emotional impulsiveness, and they are a high incidence of depression, and a good family atmosphere is extremely important for adolescents' emotional guidance and the cultivation of their ability to resist setbacks (Alison et al., 1998). Harmonious family relationships can promote adolescents' sense of social belonging, and positive parent-child interaction can also help adolescents enhance their psychological resilience (Guo, 2018). However, there is a complex endogenous relationship between adolescents' psychological state, emotional color, and depression. Therefore, it is of great significance to explore the influence path of the family atmosphere on depression.

Abbreviations: IUF, Internet use frequency; FA, Family atmosphere; AP, Academic performance; SA, Self-adjustment; DB, Deviant behavior; DP18, Depression in 2017–2018; DP20, Depression in 2019–2020; M, Mean; SD, Standard behavior; DE, Direct effect; IE, Indirect effect; TE, Total effect; SEM, Structural equation modeling.

Based on China's education system, social culture, expectations of parents and teachers, and peer pressure, adolescents are more likely to suffer from depression due to their academic performance (Zhang et al., 2015); many studies have shown that there is a direct correlation between academic performance and depressive symptoms (Katherine et al., 2012; Verboom et al., 2013). Some studies believe that low academic performance is more likely to produce negative emotions (Pomerantz et al., 2002). In primary and secondary schools, adolescents' lack of academic performance, learning attitude, learning style, etc. leads to negative events, and negative feedback from parents, teachers, and classmates, resulting in self-denial psychology, which makes them more prone to depression. On the other hand, Some scholars also believe that adolescents with good academic performance are at greater risk of depression. The essence of adolescent depression is the lack of self-worth, and losing self-worth is easy to lose self-confidence, thus falling into depression. Adolescents with good academic performance, parents, teachers, and self-expectations are relatively high, and academic achievement becomes the main source of their sense of value. Therefore, Compared to those with poor academic performance, 90% of them are under greater learning pressure, which makes them more vulnerable to the gap, thus increasing the risk of depression (Çelik, 2019). Therefore, the relationship between academic performance and depression needs to be further verified.

The campus deviant behavior of adolescents refers to the violation of the rules and regulations of the campus and the general behavior. The external reasons for the deviant behavior mainly include factors such as family environment disorder, bad social environment, and incorrect value guidance. The internal reasons are mainly Individual physical development and psychological development is not matched, and social adaptability and frustration tolerance are insufficient (Markova and Nikitskaya, 2014). Campus deviant behaviors can be divided into internalized deviant behaviors and externalized deviant behaviors. Internalized deviant behaviors generally refer to emotions such as anxiety, sadness, and withdrawal caused by lack of attention. Externalized deviant behaviors mainly refer to behavioral problems, such as attack, rage, etc. (Myers et al., 1994). Some studies have shown that long-term deviant behaviors in schools can easily lead to extreme behaviors among adolescents, resulting in immeasurable losses (Benda and Corwyn, 1998). Some studies have found that adolescents with high Internet use are more likely to develop school-biased behaviors (Lin et al., 2020). The "people in the context" perspective theory found that parent-child relationship is an important factor in reducing adolescents' school-biased behaviors (Pavkov et al., 2010; Liu, 2016). The social learning theory proposes that teenagers are affected by the social environment in the learning process. The greater the learning pressure, the worse the academic performance, and the more easily they are ignored by teachers, the more likely they are to have a deviant behavior, hoping to win the attention and attention of teachers and students (Ma et al.,

2018; Çelik, 2019). However, the comprehensive theoretical model of deviant behavior believes that adolescent deviant behavior is persistent and can significantly affect adolescent violence behavior and even depressive suicide behavior in adulthood. This not only affects the individual health of young people, but also is not conducive to the harmonious and stable development of society (Jiang et al., 2022). Therefore, whether there is a mediating relationship among adolescents' Internet use frequency, family atmosphere, academic performance, and depressive symptoms needs further verification.

Self-adjustment generally refers to self-reinforcing, that is, the process of reinforcing and maintaining one's behavior with rewards that can be controlled when people achieve their own standards (Inzlicht et al., 2014). It has been found that the higher the self-adjustment ability, the higher the adjustment ability of an individual's stress ability and emotional response, and the negative behavior can be reduced when negative feedback is received (Glenn, 2000; Finning et al., 2017). At present, more studies have introduced self-adjustment into the field of psychology to improve addiction or aggressive behavior by training self-adjustment ability (Remster, 2014). Related studies have found that the higher the self-adjustment level of adolescents, the less depressed they are (Jun and Choi, 2013; Yang et al., 2017). At the same time, selfadjustment can also reduce family conflicts and poor academic performance to a certain extent (Li, 2004; Reisig and Pratt, 2011). In addition, relevant studies also show that self-adjustment is an important factor affecting the campus deviant behavior of adolescents, and it plays an important mediating role in the influence of other factors on the campus deviant behavior of adolescents, such as parent-child relationship and social support (Cho et al., 2017). In view of this, this study will also explore the mediating role of self-adjustment.

What is the relationship between the frequency of Internet use, family atmosphere, academic performance, and depression among adolescents? Do self-adjustment and campus deviant behavior have a mediating effect on adolescent depression? Can adolescents' depression in 2018 affect their depression in ServanMori et al. (2020)? Based on the existing theoretical basis and literature, the research hypothesis is shown in Figure 1: (H1-1) Internet use frequency is positively correlated with adolescent depression; (H1-2) Family atmosphere is negatively correlated with adolescent depression; (H1-3) Academic performance is positively correlated with adolescent depression; (H2) Campus deviant behavior has a potential mediating role in the relationship between frequency of Internet use, family atmosphere, academic performance, and adolescent depression; (H3) Self-adjustment plays a potential mediating role in the relationship between Frequency of Internet use, family atmosphere, academic performance, and adolescent depression; (H4) campus deviant behavior and self-adjustment have chain mediating effects in the relationship between Internet use frequency, family atmosphere, academic performance, and adolescent depression; and (H5) There are persistent effects in adolescent depression.



Materials and methods

Participants

The data are from China Family Panel Studies (CFPS) in The 5th Wave (2017-2018) and the sixth wave (2019-2020). CFPS is a nationwide, large-scale, and multi-disciplinary social follow-up survey project, which mainly covers the subjects of Chinese residents' economic activities, educational achievements, family relationships, family dynamics, health, etc. And that baseline survey was officially carried out in 25 provinces/municipalities/autonomous regions, Finally, 14,960 households and 42,590 individuals were interviewed, which is the permanent tracking object of the CFPS survey and visited every 2 years. The sample selection of this study is shown in Figure 2. First of all, a total of 37,354 people participated in the 5th Wave survey. According to the characteristics of the research subjects, 34,747 people were selected, and then 1,006 people were selected according to the ID of The 5th Wave and the sixth wave of survey subjects. In addition, after eliminating 7 people with abnormal age and 17 people who did not go to school in two waves, a final sample of 1,577 people was obtained.

Measures

Internet use frequency

The frequency of Internet usage is mainly measured by the following questions. (1) the frequency of using the Internet to socialize; (2) the frequency of using the Internet for entertainment; and (3) the Frequency of Internet business activities. Answers are divided into 7 levels (1 = never, 2 = once every few months; 3 = once a month; 4 = 2-3 times a month; 5 = 1-2 times a week; 6 = 3-4 times a week; 7 = almost every day). The total score for frequency of Internet use is between 3 and 21 points. The higher the score, the more frequently the Internet is used.

Family atmosphere

The family atmosphere of this study is mainly divided into two parts: parent–child relationship and parent–child interaction. In order to measure the parent–child relationship, this study uses the following questions: "the degree of trust in parents," and the answers include five levels (1=very distrust, 2=distrust; 3=average; 4=Trust; 5=very trusting). To measure parent–child interaction, participants were asked the following questions: "How many times have you talked to your parents in the past month" and "How many times have you had dinner with your family in



the past week," the answers include five levels $(1 = never; 2 = 1 \sim 2 \text{ times}, 3 = 3-4 \text{ times}, 4 = 5-6 \text{ times}, 5 \ge 7 \text{ times}).$

Academic performance

The academic performance in the study mainly includes objective academic performance and subjective self-evaluation. The objective academic performance includes the following two questions: "class ranking" and "grade ranking." The question options are divided into five grades (1 = the last 24%; 2=51-75%, 3=26%-50%, 4=11%-25%, and 5= the top 10%). Subjective self-evaluation includes: "academic satisfaction" (1 = very dissatisfied, 2= dissatisfied, 3= average, 4= satisfied, and 5= very satisfied) and "How good do you think you are" (1=very not good, 2= not excellent, 3= average, 4= excellent, and 5= very excellent) two questions.

Self-adjustment

Since 2012, CFPS has investigated the self-adjustment ability of adolescents aged 10 to 15 in their personal database. This scale mainly consists of 12 items, which are used to evaluate the selfadjustment state of daily behavior. Mainly include: I am always well prepared, I pay attention to details, I like to be organized, I will do things according to my own schedule, I am very careful in my study, I always put things at random, I always mess things up, I always forget to restore them, I do things carefully and thoroughly, I do my homework first and then play, and my homework assignment. I'll start right after "and" I'll clean up when things get messy "twelve questions." Questions 6 (I always put things at random), 7 (I always mess things up), and 8 (I always forget to restore things) are reverse questions, and their answers are scored in reverse before analysis. Each item is rated from 1 to 5, where 1 = "strongly disagree," 2 = "disagree," 3 = "neither agree nor disagree" 4 = "agree," and 5 = "quite agree." The higher the score, the stronger the self-adjustment ability. The Cronbach alpha coefficient of the self-adjustment scale in this study is 0.871.

Adolescents' campus deviant behavior

In the CFPS2018 Personal Questionnaire, CFPS collected information about adolescent respondents aged 10 to 15 for the first time, which contains 14 questions, including 8 internalized questions and six externalized questions. Among them, the internalization of adolescent campus deviant behavior is collinear with depression, so this study mainly uses six externalization problems to measure adolescents' campus deviant behavior, including quarreling, attention, distraction, homework completion, talkativeness, and fighting. Each entry is rated from 1 to 5, where 1 = "completely non-conforming" and 2 = "non-conforming," 3 = "average," 4 = "relatively consistent," and 5 = "completely consistent." The higher the score, the greater the probability of adolescents' campus deviant behavior. The Cronbach alpha coefficient of campus deviant behavior in this study is 0.742.

Depressive symptoms

CES-D scale is one of the most widely used scales for measuring depressive symptoms in the world. At present, CES-D is widely used in large-scale international surveys. CES-D scale is not only suitable for adults, but also for adolescents and the elderly. Its measurement contents include depression symptoms such as depression, feeling of worthlessness, despair, loss of appetite, and poor attention (Kohout et al., 1993; Wang et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020). The original version of the CES-D scale includes 20 questions, but there are also shorter versions, one of which is 11 questions and 8 questions edited by HRS according to the original version (Michelle and Marc, 1999). This CES-D scale consists of 8 items, which evaluate the depressive symptoms of adolescents in the past week, including 6 positive items: "I feel depressed," "I feel it's hard to do anything," "I feel bad sleep," "I feel lonely," "I feel sad," and "I feel life cannot move on." There are two negative entries: I feel happy and I live happily. The answer includes four grades: 1=almost nothing (less than a day); 2 = Sometimes (1-2 days); 3 = Frequently (3-4 days); and 4 = Most of the time (5-7 days), reverse questions are negatively scored, and the total score ranges from 8 to 32. The higher the score, the more serious the depressive symptoms are. Cronbach alphas coefficients of the 2018 Depression Scale and the 2020 Depression Scale in this study are 0.752 and 0.812, respectively.

Data analysis

Python3.9, SPSS22, and LISREL8.80 software were used for statistical analysis. Python3.9 was used to combine Wave5 and Wave6 data based on personal ID. SPSS was used to analyze the correlation between variables. Cronbach alpha coefficient was used to evaluate the internal consistency of the scale, and LISREL was used to construct chain structure equation. Frequency was used in counting data, mean and standard behavior was used in measuring data, and the structural equation model is used to test the intermediary effect. Mediation variables are self-adjustment and campus deviant behavior; Independent variables are Internet use frequency, family atmosphere, and academic performance; Dependent variables are depressive symptoms in 2018 and depressive symptoms in 2020 (Figure 1). When the values of comparison fitting index (CFI), non-normed fitting index (NNFI), incremental fitting index (IFI), and modified goodness of fit index (AGFI) are higher than 0.90, it indicates that the fitting results of the data are good (Bentler, 1990; Hu and Bentler, 1999), The approximate root means error (RMSEA) value < 0.05 means "close fit" (Steiger, 1990; Browne and Cudeck, 1992). The critical value

(CN) of Hoelter greater than 200 indicates that the model has a good fitting degree (Bollen, 1986).

Results

Descriptive data

Table 1 shows the main demographic characteristics of the respondents. Among the 1,577 participants, there is little difference in the ratio of males to females. Most of the respondents attend primary schools. Among the frequency of Internet use, 18.71% use the Internet to socialize almost every day and 19.40% use the Internet for entertainment almost every day. In the family atmosphere, the average score of trust in parents is 4.76 (SD=0.62), 55.51% of adolescents have never talked to their parents for nearly a month, while 1.98% of participants have never had dinner with their families for nearly a week; In terms of academic performance, 26.12% and 20.98% of adolescents ranked in the top 10% of classes and grades, respectively. 11.99% of the respondents were very satisfied with their self-study, and 5.08% of adolescents thought they were excellent. The average score for selfadjustment is 42.40 (SD=6.79), the average score for campus deviant behavior is 12.59 (SD=4.00), the average score of depressive symptoms in 2018 was 11.88 (SD=3.04), and the average score for depressive symptoms in 2020 was 7.64 (SD = 2.20).

Mediation analyses

According to the structural equation model, the insignificant path is removed from the initial model by t value (t < 1.96) to get the final model. Compared with the initial model, the fitting result is improved to some extent, RMSEA = 0.046, NNFI = 0.90, CFI = 0.90, IFI = 0.90, AGFI = 0.94, and CN = 0.94. The family atmosphere has a significant negative impact on campus deviant behavior and 18-year depression, and a significant positive impact on selfadjustment. Academic performance has a significant negative effect on adolescent campus deviant behavior and a significant positive effect on self-adjustment and depression. Self-adjustment is directly and negatively related to adolescent campus deviant behavior; campus deviant behavior was positively correlated with depressive symptoms at 18 years. At the same time, 18-year depression symptoms of adolescents are directly and positively correlated with 20-year depression symptoms (Figure 3; Table 2).

First of all, Table 3 lists the paths of various factors affecting adolescent depressive symptoms. The study found that Internet use frequency has a positive effect on adolescent depression symptoms, with a total effect of 0.02 (p<0.001), but no direct effect. The family atmosphere has a direct influence on adolescent depression symptoms (β =-1.07, p<0.001), and the total effect is-1.25 (p<0.001); at the same time, we found that academic performance had a significant direct impact on adolescent

TABLE 1 Descriptive statistics variables of the sample (n=1,577).

TABLE 1 (Continued)

Control variableSexMale80350.92Female77449.08Education95060.62Junior school59237.54Technical291.84secondary school/higher	Variable	<i>n</i> [#]	%	Mean	SD
SeaMaleP39092Female74908Female9088002Education90390.8Fundersahol9039.54Junior school9209.54Secondary school/1.88Secondary school/1.88Secondary school/1.88Secondary school/1.85.44Secondary school/1.85.44Secondary school/1.85.44Secondary school/1.85.44Secondary school/1.85.44Secondary school/1.85.44Secondary school/1.98.685.44Internet use [3-21]1.98.685.44Internet use [3-21]1.98.685.44Secondary school/1.91.91.9Secondary school/1.91.91.9Secondary school2.01.91.9Secondary school2.01.91.9Secondary school2.01.21.9Secondary school2.01.21.9Secondary school2.01.21.9Secondary school2.01.21.9Secondary school2.01.21.9Secondary school2.01.21.9Secondary school2.01.21.9Secondary school2.01.21.9Secondary school2.01.21.9Secondary school3.11	Control variable				
Male90390.92Female7440.08Feducation9237.54Junior school9237.54Technial923.754Secondary school/Light1.841.84secondary school/Light1.841.84secondary school/Light1.841.84vocational school/Sight1.845.44arbore1.811.81rathered transition1.815.44Internet use [3-21]1.815.44Internet use [3-21]1.811.81Internet use [3-21]1.811.81Internet use [3-21]1.921.81Internet use [3-21]1.921.92Stating the Internet1.921.92Internet use [3-21]1.921.92Stating the Internet1.931.92Stating the Internet1.921.92Never7804.94Once arry few1.921.922.3 times a month573.61Once arry few1.921.81Prequery few1.921.81Prequery few2.331.92Stating a month6.11.22Once arry few2.341.24Autions1.921.94Stating a month6.11.92Stating a month6.11.92Stating a month6.11.94Aution arry few1.941.94Aution arry few1.941.94Aution arry few1.94<	Sex				
Primale74908908EducationFinany school9500.62Junior school9200.54Schnical9200.84secondry school/higher1.84vocational school/higher1.84vocational school1.84stamped part and school1.84andower8.685.44Internet use [3-21]1.845.44Internet use [3-21]1.811.86Internet use [3-21]1.811.86Internet use [3-21]1.811.81Internet use [3-21]1.211.81Internet use [3-21]1.211.81Interne	Male	803	50.92		
Beliariation of the second second of the second sec	Female	774	49.08		
Primary school95660.62Junior school59237.54Technical291.84secondary school/higher-vacational school-watchool/higher-vacational school-rotave-Independent variablesFrequency of8.685.44Internet use [3-21]The frequency ofState of the frequency ofState of the frequency ofInternet use [3-21]The frequency ofState of the frequency ofState of the frequency ofState of the frequency ofState of the frequency ofInternet use [3-21]The frequency ofState of the frequency ofState of the frequency ofState of the frequency ofState of the frequency ofInternet use (3-21)Internet use of the frequency of	Education				
Junior school923.754Technical91.84secondary school/higher-vacational school-vacational school-or aboveBadgement schoolTotegrency of-Frequency of	Primary school	956	60.62		
Technical291.84secondary school/higher-vocational school-vocational school-rabove-Harpendent variablesInterpret variablesState of the frequency of	Junior school	592	37.54		
secondary school/higher ing school/higher vocational school ar above Harpendent variables Frequency of Internet use [3–21] The frequency of using the Internet to socialize Never 780 49.46 Once every few 25 1.59 months Porce a month 17 1.08 2-3 times a month 57 3.61 Once or twice a 192 1.218 Manost every day 295 1.871 Frequency of 13.38 Almost every day 295 1.871 Frequency of 14.12 Never 673 4.268 Once over frem 27 Almost every day 19.12 Almost every day 29.141 Almost every day 20.12 Altimes a month 65 4.12 Altimes a month 70 Altimes a month 70 A	Technical	29	1.84		
National school/highervocational schoolIndependent variablesFrequency of8.685.44Internet use [3-21]The frequency of5.49using the Internetvocational schoolto socializeVocation of 100Never78049.46Once every few251.59onthisVocation of 1010.082.3 times a month573.61Colspan="2">Stationes a month1921.08Vocation with the same of 133.38Admost every day29518.71Prequency of with the same of 133.38Admost every day29518.71Once aronth6734.268Once aronth634.12Prequency of user to the same of 131.21Vocation of 121.21Once aronth634.12Once aronth634.12Prequency of Internet to the same of 131.21Vocation of 121.21Vocation of 121.21Once aronth634.12Once aronth633.68Vocation of 121.21Vocation of 12<	secondary school/				
variablesa daysIndependent variablesSea 5.44Requency ofSea 5.44Internet set 5.2.1the frequency ofvariables <td>high school/higher</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	high school/higher				
rorabove Frequency of Second	vocational school				
Ridependent variablesFrequency of8.685.44Internet use [3-21]15.41The frequency of11using the Internet11to socialize11Never78049.461Once every few251.591Once ar month171.0812-3 times a month573.611Once or twice a1922.181Once or twice a1921.871Almost every faw29518.711Once every few1201.201Once every few1201.201Once every few1.201.211Once every few231.211Once ar month.2.031.211Once every few1.201.211Once every few1.231.211Once every few1.231.211Once every few1.231.211Once every few1.231.211Once every few1.231.211Once every few3.631.211Once every few3.631.211Once every few3.631.211Once every few3.631.211Once every few3.631.211Once every few3.632.221Once every few3.632.231Once every few <td>or above</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	or above				
Frequency of 8.68 5.44 Internet use [3-21] 1 The frequency of using the Internet 5 to socialize 1.59 Never 780 49.46 Once every few 25 1.59 months 1.59 1.61 Once a month 77 3.61 Once or twice a 192 12.18 week 11 13.38 Almost every day 295 18.71 Frequency of using the trenet for entrainment 1.20 Nore a month 20 1.27 Once every few 19 1.20 months 21 1.21 Once every few 1.20 1.21 once a month 20 1.27 2-3 times a month 65 4.12 Once or twice a 23 14.14 week 3.41 3.87 Almost every day 306 19.40 Frequency of Internet Eversetsetsetsetsetsetsetsetsetsetsetsetsets	Independent variabl	es			
Internet use [3–21] The frequency of using the Internet to socialize Never 780 49.46 Once every few 25 1.59 months 17 1.08 2–3 times a month 57 3.61 Once or twice a 192 12.18 week 241 13.38 Almost every day 295 18.71 Frequency of using the tretent for ettretion ettret	Frequency of			8.68	5.44
The frequency of using the Internet to socialize Never 780 49.46 Once every few 20 1.59 months 17 1.08 2-3 times a month 57 3.61 Once or twice a 192 1.218 week 11 13.38 Almost every day 295 18.71 Frequency of using the "Internet Tot "Enternet Tot "	Internet use [3–21]				
using the Internet to socialize Never 780 49.46 Once every few 25 1.59 months 1.08 2-3 times a month 77 3.61 Once or twice a 192 12.18 week 2-3 18.71 Frequency of using the Liternet for entertainment 192 12.09 Nonce every few 19 1.20 months 1.21 1.338 Once every few 19 1.20 months 1.22 1.21 Never 673 42.68 Once or write a 19 1.20 months 1.20 1.21 Once a month 20 1.27 2-3 times a month 65 4.12 Once or twice a 223 14.14 week 3-4 times a week 271 17.18 Almost every day 306 19.40 1.52 Prequency of Internet	The frequency of				
to socialize Never 780 49.46 Once every few 25 1.59 months 1.08 2-3 times a month 57 3.61 Once or twice a 192 12.18 week 11 13.38 Almost every day 295 18.71 Frequency of using the truer tor entruinment Never 673 42.68 Once every few 19 1.20 months 1 1.14 Never 673 42.68 Once a month 20 1.27 2-3 times a month 65 4.12 Once or twice a 203 14.14 week 211 17.18 Almost every day 306 19.40 Frequency of Internet sective se	using the Internet				
Never 780 49.46 Once every few 25 1.59 months 1.59 Once a month 17 1.08 2-3 times a month 57 3.61 Once or twice a 192 12.18 week 11 13.38 Almost every day 295 18.71 Frequency of using tweet to transment Never 673 42.68 Once every few 19 1.20 months 1.20 1.21 Once a month 20 1.27 2-3 times a month 65 4.12 Once or twice a 223 14.14 week 1.21 1.718 Almost every day 306 19.40 Frequency of Internet to set wetter to	to socialize				
Once every few 25 1.59 months 102 1.08 2-3 times a month 57 3.61 Once or twice a 192 12.18 week 13.38 13.38 Almost every day 295 18.71 Frequency of using the transment or subscription of the transment or subscription or subscript	Never	780	49.46		
months 17 1.08 2-3 times a month 57 3.61 Once or twice a 192 12.18 week 13.38 13.38 Almost every day 295 18.71 Frequency of using the ternet for etretainment Never 673 42.68 Once every few 19 1.20 months 12 1.21 Once a month 20 1.27 2-3 times a month 65 4.12 Once or twice a 223 14.14 week 211 17.18 Almost every day 306 19.40 Frequency of Interret serververververververververververververve	Once every few	25	1.59		
Once a month 17 1.08 2-3 times a month 57 3.61 Once or twice a 192 12.18 week 13.38 13.38 Almost every day 295 18.71 Frequency of using the terrent for entertainment Never 673 42.68 Once a month 20 1.20 months 12 1.20 Once a month 20 1.27 2-3 times a month 65 4.12 Once or twice a 223 14.14 week 211 17.18 Almost every day 306 19.40 Frequency of Interrect serverter 19.40 Prequency of Interrect serverter 17.18 Almost every day 306 19.40 Prequency of Interrect serverter 19.40 Prequency of Interrect serverter 19.40 Prequency of Interrect serverter 19.40 Once are month. 58 3.68 2-3 times a month 82 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week </td <td>months</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	months				
2-3 times a month 57 3.61 Once or twice a 192 12.18 week 3-4 times a week 211 13.38 Almost every day 295 18.71 Frequency of using the Internet for entertainment Never 673 42.68 Once every few 19 1.20 months 20 1.27 2-3 times a month 65 4.12 Once or twice a 223 14.14 week 3-4 times a week 271 17.18 Almost every day 306 19.40 Frequency of Internet business activities Never 1,290 81.80 Once every few 61 3.87 months 52.0 0.01 Once a month. 58 3.68 2-3 times a month 82 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week 3-4 times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphere 1.52 1.52	Once a month	17	1.08		
Once or twice a 192 12.18 week 3-4 times a week 211 13.38 Almost every day 295 18.71 Frequency of using the Internet for entertainment Never 673 42.68 Once every few 19 1.20 months 20 1.27 2-3 times a month 65 4.12 Once or twice a 223 14.14 week 3-4 times a week 271 17.18 Almost every day 306 19.40 Frequency of Internet bress activities Never 1,290 81.80 Once every few 61 3.87 months 58 3.68 2-3 times a month 58 3.68 2-3 times a month 82 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week 3-4 times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphere 1.52 Almost every day 6	2–3 times a month	57	3.61		
week 3-4 times a week 211 13.38 Almost every day 295 18.71 Frequency of using the Internet for entertainment Never 673 42.68 Once every few 19 1.20 months 20 1.27 2-3 times a month 65 4.12 Once or twice a 223 14.14 week 3-4 times a week 271 17.18 Almost every day 306 19.40 1.20 Frequency of Internet buses activitue 1.200 1.20 Never 1,290 81.80 1.20 Once every few 61 3.87 1.20 Once a month. 58 3.68 2-3 times a month 82 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week 1.52 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 3.87 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 3.55 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 3.54 1.52 Almost every day 6	Once or twice a	192	12.18		
3-4 times a week 211 13.38 Almost every day 295 18.71 Frequency of using the Internet for entertainment Never 673 42.68 Once every few 19 1.20 months 20 1.27 2-3 times a month 65 4.12 Once or twice a 223 14.14 week 3-4 times a week 271 17.18 Almost every day 306 19.40 Frequency of Internet business activities Never 1,290 81.80 Once every few 61 3.87 months 58 3.68 2-3 times a month 82 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week 3-4 times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphere 476 0.62	week				
Almost every day29518.71Frequency of using the Internet for entertainmentNever 673 42.68 Once every few19 1.20 months 20 1.27 2-3 times a month 65 4.12 Once or twice a 223 14.14 week $3-4$ times a week 271 17.18 Almost every day 306 19.40 Frequency of Internet business activitiesNever $1,290$ 81.80 Once every few 61 3.87 months 25.20 $0nce$ or twice a 56 $2-3$ times a month 82 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week $3-4$ times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphere 74.6 0.62	3–4 times a week	211	13 38		
Frequency of using the Internet for entertainmentNever 673 42.68 Once every few 19 1.20 months 20 1.27 $2-3$ times a month 65 4.12 Once or twice a 223 14.14 week $3-4$ times a week 271 17.18 Almost every day 306 19.40 Frequency of Internet business activitiesNever $1,290$ 81.80 Once every few 61 3.87 months 3.68 $2-3$ times a month 52 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week $3-4$ times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphere 7476 0.62	Almost every day	295	18 71		
Never 673 42.68 Once every few19 1.20 months 20 1.27 $2-3$ times a month 65 4.12 Once or twice a 223 14.14 week $3-4$ times a week 271 17.18 Almost every day 306 19.40 Frequency of Internet business activitiesNever $1,290$ 81.80 Once every few 61 3.87 months 0 0 Once a month. 58 3.68 $2-3$ times a month 82 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week $3-4$ times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphereTrust in parents	Frequency of using t	he Internet fo	r entertainmen	t	
Note191.20Once every few191.20months201.272-3 times a month654.12Once or twice a22314.14week3-4 times a week27117.18Almost every day30619.40Frequency of Internet business activitiesNever1,29081.80Once every few613.87months3.682-3 times a month825.20Once or twice a563.55week3-4 times a week241.52Almost every day60.38Family atmosphereTrust in parents 476 0.62	Never	673	42.68		
InterventInterventmonths201.272-3 times a month654.12Once or twice a22314.14week3-4 times a week27117.18Almost every day30619.40Frequency of Internet business activitiesNever1,29081.80Once every few613.87months 3.68 2-3 times a month822-3 times a month825.20Once or twice a563.55week $3-4$ times a week241.52Almost every day60.38Family atmosphere 4.76 0.62	Once every few	19	1 20		
Once a month. 20 1.27 2-3 times a month 65 4.12 Once or twice a 223 14.14 week 3-4 times a week 271 17.18 Almost every day 306 19.40 Frequency of Internet business activities Never 1,290 81.80 Once every few 61 3.87 3.68 2-3 times a month 58 3.68 2-3 times a month 82 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week 3-4 times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 5 5 5 Trust in parents 476 0.62 0.62	months				
2-3 times a month 65 4.12 Once or twice a 223 14.14 week 3-4 times a week 271 17.18 Almost every day 306 19.40 Frequency of Internet business activities Never 1,290 81.80 Once every few 61 3.87 months Once a month. 58 3.68 2-3 times a month 82 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week 3-4 times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphere Trust in parents 476 0.62	Once a month	20	1 27		
Conce or twice a22314.14week $3-4$ times a week27117.18Almost every day30619.40Frequency of Internet business activitiesNever1,29081.80Once every few613.87months 3.68 2-3 times a month822-3 times a month825.20Once or twice a563.55week $3-4$ times a week241.52Almost every day60.38Family atmosphere 4.76 0.62	2–3 times a month	65	4.12		
week 3-4 times a week 271 17.18 Almost every day 306 19.40 Frequency of Internet business activities Never 1,290 81.80 Once every few 61 3.87 months Once a month. 58 3.68 2-3 times a month 82 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week 3-4 times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphere Trust in parents 476 0.62	Once or twice a	223	14 14		
3-4 times a week 271 17.18 Almost every day 306 19.40 Frequency of Internet business activities Never 1,290 81.80 Once every few 61 3.87 months 3.68 2-3 times a month 82 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week 3-4 times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphere 476 0.62	week		1 111 1		
Almost every day 306 19.40 Frequency of Internet business activities Never 1,290 81.80 Once every few 61 3.87 months 0 3.68 2-3 times a month 82 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week 3-4 times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphere 476 0.62	3-4 times a week	271	17 18		
Frequency of Internet business activities Never 1,290 81.80 Once every few 61 3.87 months 0 3.68 2-3 times a month 82 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week 3-4 times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 6 Family atmosphere 7476 0.62	Almost every day	306	19.40		
Never 1,290 81.80 Once every few 61 3.87 months	Frequency of Intern	et husiness act	tivities		
Once every few 61 3.87 months 0nce a month. 58 3.68 2-3 times a month 82 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week 3-4 times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphere 476 0.62	Never	1 290	81.80		
months Once a month. 58 3.68 2-3 times a month 82 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week 3-4 times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphere Trust in parents 476 062	Once every few	61	3.87		
Once a month. 58 3.68 2-3 times a month 82 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week 3-4 times a week 24 3-4 times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphere Trust in parents 476 0.62	months	01	5.07		
2-3 times a month 82 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week 3-4 times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphere Trust in parents 476 0.62	Once a month	58	3 68		
2-5 chics a month 62 5.20 Once or twice a 56 3.55 week 3-4 times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphere 76 0.62	2_3 times a month	82	5.00		
week 3-4 times a week 24 1.52 Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphere Trust in parents 476 0.62	Once or twice a	02 54	3.20		
Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphere Trust in parents 476 0.62	week	20	3.33		
Almost every day 6 0.38 Family atmosphere Trust in parents 476 0.62	2 4 times a real	24	1.50		
Family atmosphere Trust in parents 4 76 0 62	J-4 unites a week	24	1.52		
ranny aunosphere Trust in parents 4 76 0 62	Family stars and a	o	0.38		
11 USL III D drefits 4.76 (1.62)	Training autiosphere			476	0.62
[1 5]				4./0	0.62
[1_0]	[1-3]				

Variable	n [#]	%	Mean	SD
The number of				
times parents talk				
Never	872	55.51		
1~2 times	299	19.03		
3-4 times	198	12.60		
5–6 times	103	6.56		
≥7 times	99	6.30		
Number of dinners wi	th family mo	embers		
Never	31	1.98		
1~2 times	255	16.26		
3-4 times	97	6.19		
5–6 times	49	3.13		
≥7 times	1,136	72.45		
Academic performance	e			
Class rank				
The last 24%	101	7.83		
51%-75%	148	11.47		
26%-50%	353	27.36		
11%-25%	351	27.21		
Top 10%	337	26.12		
Grade ranking				
The last 24%	82	7.61		
51%-75%	187	17.36		
26%-50%	320	29.71		
11%-25%	262	24.33		
Top 10%	226	20.98		
Academic satisfaction				
Very dissatisfied	68	4.31		
Dissatisfied	122	7.74		
common	771	48.92		
be satisfied	426	27.03		
Very satisfied	189	11.99		
Think how good you a	re			
Not very good	62	3.93		
Not good	196	12.44		
common	855	54.25		
excellent	383	24.30		
Very good	80	5.08		
Mediating variables				
Self-adjustment			42.40	6.79
[12-60]				
Campus deviant			12.59	4.00
behavior [6–30]				
Dependent variable				
Depression 2018			11.88	3.04
[8-32]				
Depression 2020			7.64	2.20
[8_32]				

^{*}The total number < n = 1,577 due to missing. [], The range of a single item.

(Continued)



2020; 2019–2020; **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

Model	Chi-Square	df	RMSEA	NNFI	CFI	IFI	AGFI	
initial model	2508.88	1,044	0.046	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.94	
Delete IUF \rightarrow DB	2510.43	1,045	0.046	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.94	
Delete GEN \rightarrow DP18	2511.86	1,046	0.046	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.94	
Delete IUF \rightarrow DP18	2512.20	1,047	0.046	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.94	

0.90

0.90

TABLE 2 Measures of goodness-off-fit for depression model of the adolescents.

1,048

IUF, Internet use Frequency; DB, Deviant Behavior; GEN, gender; SA, Self-adjustment; DP18, Depression in 2017–2018. "The goodness-of-fit of the Final model.

0.046

depression (β =0.12, *p*<0.005). Due to the influence of intermediary factors, the total effect decreased to 0.03 (*p*<0.001). Therefore, hypothesis 1(H1) is partially supported.

2512.53

Secondly, the results show that campus deviant behavior of adolescents has a direct impact on depression symptoms in DP18 (β =0.37, p <0.001) and a negative impact on depression symptoms in DP20, with a total effect of-0.07 (p <0.001). Self-adjustment has no direct effect on adolescents' depressive symptoms in DP18, but the total effect is-0.14 (p <0.001), and it has a significant positive effect on adolescents' depressive symptoms in DP20, with the total effect being 0.18 (p <0.001). And self-adjustment has a significant negative influence on campus deviant behavior of adolescents (β =-0.38, p <0.001). In addition, Internet use frequency, family atmosphere, and academic performance all have indirect effects on adolescents' 20-year depression symptoms, and the total effects are

 $-0.60,\,0.01,\,{\rm and}\,0.02,\,{\rm respectively}\,(p\,{<}\,0.001).$ Therefore, Hypotheses 2, 3, and 4 (H2, H3, and H4) are supported to some extent.

0.90

0.94

Finally, the study shows that the depressive symptoms of adolescents are persistent over time, specifically, 18-year depressive symptoms have a significant positive effect on 20-year depressive symptoms, with a total effect of 0.48 (p < 0.001), which indicates that adolescents suffering from depressive symptoms in 18 years are more likely to suffer from depressive symptoms in 20 years. Therefore, hypothesis 5 (H5) is supported.

Discussion

The chain mediation model was used to test the influence of Internet use frequency, family atmosphere, and academic

Delete SA \rightarrow DP18[#]

CN 309.89 309.98 310.09 310.33

310.57

Variables	DP in 2018			DP in 2020			
	Direct effect	Indirect effect	Total effect	Direct effect	Indirect effect	Total effect	
Independent	variables						
Internet use	-	0.02	0.02	-	0.01	0.01	
frequency							
Family	-1.07	-0.18	-1.25	-	-0.60	-0.60	
atmosphere							
Academic	0.12	-0.09	0.03	-	0.02	0.02	
performance							
Mediation va	riables						
Self-	-	-0.14	-0.14	-	-0.07	-0.07	
adjustment							
Campus	0.37	-	0.37	-	0.18	0.18	
deviant							
behavior							

TABLE 3 Direct and indirect effects of depression in adolescents.

performance on adolescent depression. The results showed that Internet use frequency had no direct influence on adolescent depression, the family atmosphere had a negative correlation with depressive symptoms, and the academic performance had a positive correlation with depressive symptoms. Intermediary effect discovery, Internet use frequency, family atmosphere, academic performance, and depressive symptoms can be influenced by independent mediation of campus deviant behavior and chain mediation of self-adjustment and campus deviant behavior. At the same time, this study also found that the depressive symptoms of adolescents are persistent over time.

Internet use frequency can indirectly affect adolescent depressive symptoms

It is found that the total effect of Internet use frequency on adolescent depression is 0.02 (p < 0.001), which indicates that there is a certain correlation between them. More frequent internet usage, the higher the adolescent depression. Some studies show that people with high depression levels have high anxiety and low self-confidence in social interaction, and are often reluctant to participate in face-to-face social activities. Spending too much time on the Internet will inevitably reduce their social participation, thus making it impossible to obtain enough social support and emotional comfort (Cao et al., 2020). At the same time, low social interaction will also reduce the social belonging of adolescents, thus increasing the risk of depression. In addition, some related studies show that when the individual's psychological demands cannot be met in face-to-face communication, it will alleviate psychological deficiency through network channels (Pontes, 2017), but the results of this study confirm that virtual network cannot make up for the psychological deficiency of adolescents, but will aggravate the level of depression. Therefore, it is suggested that the school joint family should further limit the frequency of adolescents using the Internet, guide adolescents to have a correct view of the Internet, appropriately strengthen social activities, and alleviate their emotional problems. In addition, the study found that the frequency of Internet use cannot directly affect the campus deviant behaviors of adolescents, but it can indirectly affect deviant behaviors on campus by reducing selfadjustment. This suggests that adolescents with better selfadjustment will actively control their own use of the Internet, thereby reducing deviant behavior.

Family atmosphere can effectively relieve adolescent depressive symptoms

It is proved that family atmosphere has a reverse effect on adolescents' depression, with a total effect of -1.25 (p < 0.001) and a direct effect of -1.07 (p < 0.001). Therefore, it can be seen that the adolescents with a better family atmosphere have a lower risk of depression, which is consistent with the existing research results (Ribeiro et al., 2016) As a special group, adolescents' personality characteristics are not sound enough, their ability to cope with setbacks is lacking, their self-defense mechanism is not sound enough, their sense of social responsibility is relatively lacking, and they are prone to negative emotions leading to depression (Gunnell et al., 2018). And adolescence is the key period of life development and values establishment. How to guide adolescents to form the correct outlook on life and values, The formation of sound psychological characteristics and the avoidance of depression cannot be separated from a harmonious family atmosphere (Kenan and Aysel, 2012), and at the same time, the family function has a strong constraint on the individual's psychological state and behavior to a certain extent (Edel and Brendan, 2013). Therefore, we should pay attention to the family environment, create a harmonious family atmosphere and improve the socialization function of the family. Provide a solid support for the growth of adolescents.

Academic performance is an important factor affecting adolescent depression

Through model verification, the study found that academic performance has a positive effect on adolescent depression, with a direct effect of 0.12 (p < 0.001) and a total effect of 0.03 (p < 0.001). This shows that the better academic performance, the greater the risk of depression, and the intermediary factors can effectively alleviate the influence of academic performance on adolescent depression. The better academic performance, the higher the expectation, When academic achievement is contrary to effort and ideal expectation, self-confidence is more easily impacted, which leads to pessimism and negative emotions (Khan et al., 2022). Secondly, adolescents' self-adjustment ability is relatively lacking, and their psychological resilience is relatively weak. When the

learning pressure is too high, and they lose their self-worth, if they are not given psychological counseling in time, they are more likely to go to extremes (Zhu et al., 2021). In addition, Most Chinese parents have the mentality of "looking forward to their children's success." When their children's academic performance falls short of their expectations, they tend to show irritability, anxiety, and other emotions, which in turn leads to parent–child conflicts and increases the risk of depression among adolescents (Warikoo et al., 2020). Therefore, it is necessary to strengthen the psychological construction of adolescents, at the same time reduce their learning pressure and establish correct values for adolescents.

Chain mediating effect of self-adjustment and campus deviant behavior

The results show that self-adjustment and campus deviant behavior have a significant chain mediating effect, Among them, self-adjustment has a significant negative impact on campus deviant behavior, and campus deviant behavior has a significant positive impact on adolescent depressive symptoms. First, the control and restraint of adolescent s' online behavior and online frequency can reduce the frequency of Internet use, thereby reducing campus deviant behavior; The control of self-emotion can ease the contradiction between parents and children; The regulation of cognitive activities can also relieve learning pressure and thus reduce campus deviant behavior of adolescents. Adolescents with high self-adjustment can actively adjust their emotions, behaviors, and cognition according to their goals, which can also effectively reduce the risk of depression (Finning et al., 2017). Secondly, To reduce adolescents' campus deviant behavior, to some extent, it is necessary to enhance their social adaptability and anti-frustration ability, which can effectively relieve depression (Chen and Lien, 2018). Therefore, it is necessary to strengthen the training of adolescents' self-adjustment ability, thereby increasing the effectiveness of Internet use and reducing aggressive behavior. At the same time, we should focus on adolescents' anti-frustration ability and psychological resilience. Starting from emotional selfadjustment, promoting adolescent's personality health. However, self-adjustment has no direct effect on depression, and the theory of self-adjustment resource depletion also indicates that the individual's self-adjustment ability is limited and weakens with use (Baumeister et al., 2007), and appropriate self-adjustment is the protection for relieving depression factors, and excessive selfadjustment can easily lead to depression.

The persistence of depressive symptoms in adolescents

This study also found that the depressive symptoms of adolescents persist in time, which is consistent with the previous research results (Lee et al., 2020; Li et al., 2021). That is to say, Depression of adolescents in 2017–2018 will affect depression in 2019–2020 to a certain extent. At the same time, depression is also affected by other factors, such as personality, emergencies, stress, etc., and effective self-adjustment and a harmonious family environment are protective factors for relieving depression. Therefore, from the perspective of prevention, early detection and early guidance should be made. At the same time, we should choose diversified educational paths, Create an elastic psychological state. Actively respond to the combination of home and school, and take the premise of respecting adolescents' physical and mental development, so that they can establish correct values, outlook on life, and world outlook.

Limitations

Some limitations to this study warrant consideration. First, Internet use frequency, family atmosphere, academic performance, self-adjustment, campus deviant behavior, and depression are crosssectional in the study and can be further validated using longitudinal data in the future. Second, since the information was gathered from the participants in the study, self-report/recall bias may have existed. However, it is not easy to achieve continued participation among cohorts of adolescents in a cohort study, and the sample size should not be ignored. As a result, our findings with acceptable goodnessof-fit indices deserve paying more attention. In addition, Internet use frequency, family atmosphere, and academic performance have not been measured by relevant scales in the China Family Panel Studies database. This study only defines the concept according to relevant articles, and selects indicators according to the content of the database. The possible indicators are subjective, so more accurate and objective measurement is needed in later research.

Conclusion

The depressive symptoms of adolescents should be paid constant attention, and the depressive symptoms are persistent on the time baseline. The chain mediating effect of self-adjustment and campus deviant behavior on depression among adolescents, Frequency of Internet use, family atmosphere, and academic performance are important factors affecting depressive symptoms.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

This study was approved by the Ethical Review Committee of Peking University Biomedical (IRB00001052-14010), and all

participants signed the informed consent. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

Author contributions

M-mJ and YK designed the study, analyzed results, and drafted and revised the manuscript. D-wW and Z-yW drafted and revised the manuscript. KG and P-pG analyzed results and revised the manuscript. YK acquisition of funding. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 72274023), Ministry of Education Humanities and Social Sciences Foundation of China (no. 22YJA890037), Social Science Planning Fund of Shandong Province, China (no. 22CGLJ01), and Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province, China (no. ZR2022MG037).

References

Abramson, L. Y., Metalsky, G. I., and Alloy, L. B. (1989). Hopelessness depression: a theory-based subtype of depression. *Psychol. Rev.* 96, 358–372. doi: 10.1037/0033-295x.96.2.358

Alison, T., Ian, M. G., and Joe, H. (1998). Family functioning and parent general health in families of adolescents with major depressive disorder. *J. Affect. Disord.* 48, 1–13. doi: 10.1016/s0165-0327(97)00105-5

Anderson, K. J. (2001). Internet use among college students: an exploratory study. J. Am. Coll. Health 50, 21–26. doi: 10.1080/07448480109595707

Baumeister, R. F., Vohs, K. D., and Tice, D. M. (2007). The strength model of selfcontrol. *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* 16, 351–355. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8721.2007.00534.x

Benda, B. B., and Corwyn, R. F. (1998). Adolescent problem behavior. J. Soc. Serv. Res. 24, 29–59. doi: 10.1300/j079v24n01_02

Bentler, P. M. (1990). Comparative fit indexes in structural models. *Psychol. Bull.* 107, 238–246. doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.107.2.238

Bollen, K. (1986). Sample size and bentler and Bonett's nonnormed fit index. *Psychometrika* 51, 375–377. doi: 10.1007/BF02294061

Browne, M. W., and Cudeck, R. (1992). Alternative ways of assessing model fit. Soc. Methods Res. 21, 230–258. doi: 10.1177/004912419021002005

Cao, R. L., Gao, T. T., Ren, H., Hu, Y. Y., Qin, Z. Y., Liang, L. L., et al. (2020). The relationship between bullying victimization and depression in adolescents: multiple mediating effects of internet addiction and sleep quality. *Psychol. Health Med.* 26, 555–565. doi: 10.1080/13548506.2020.1770814

Celik, E. (2019). Stress regarding academic expectations, career exploration, and school attachment: the mediating role of adolescent–parent career congruence. *Aust. J. Career Dev.* 28, 51–60. doi: 10.1177/1038416218792314

Chen, C. Y., and Lien, Y. J. (2018). Trajectories of co-occurrence of depressive symptoms and problem behaviors: the influences of perceived social support and personal characteristics. *Child Youth Serv. Rev.* 95, 174–182. doi: 10.1016/j. childyouth.2018.10.037

Cheung, A. H., and Dewa, C. S. (2007). Mental health service use among adolescents and young adults with major depressive disorder and suicidality. *Can. J. Psychiatry* 52, 228–232. doi: 10.1177/070674370705200404

Cho, S., Hong, J. S., Sterzing, P. R., and Woo, Y. (2017). Parental attachment and bullying in south Korean adolescents: mediating effects of low self-control, deviant

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all the participants, assistants, and researchers for their contribution to this study. In particular, Thank Peking university China Social Science Research Center (ISSS) provided data (China family panel studies, [CFPS] team for providing the data).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

peer associations, and delinquency. Crime Delinq. 63, 1168-1188. doi: 10.1177/0011128717714968

Davis, R. A. (2001). A cognitive-behavioral model of pathological internet use. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* 17, 187–195. doi: 10.1016/s0747-5632(00)00041-8

Edel, E., and Brendan, P. B. (2013). Family burden family health and personal mental health. *Glob. Matern. Health* 13:255. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-13-255

Finning, K., Richards, D. A., Moore, L., Ekers, D., McMillan, D., Farrand, P. A., et al. (2017). Cost and outcome of behavioural activation versus cognitive behavioural therapy for depression (COBRA): a qualitative process evaluation. *BMJ Open* 7:e014161. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014161

Glenn, D. W. (2000). Behavioral self-control training for problem drinkers: A meta-analysis of randomized control studies. *Behav. Ther.* 31, 135–149. doi: 10.1016/s0005-7894(00)80008-8

Gunnell, D., Kidger, J., and Elvidge, H. (2018). Adolescent mental health in crisis. BMJ 6:k2608. doi: 10.1136/bmj.k2608

Guo, X. M. (2018). Coping as a mediator between parental attachment and resilience: an examination of differential effects between Chinese adolescents from single parent families versus those from intact families. *Psychol. Rep.* 122, 506–524. doi: 10.1177/0033294118765418

Hu, L. T., and Bentler, P. M. (1999). Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariancestructure analysis: conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Struct. Equal. Model.* 6, 1–55. doi: 10.1080/10705519909540118

Inzlicht, M., Schmeichel, B. J., and Macrae, C. N. (2014). Why self-control seems (but may not be) limited. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 18, 127–133. doi: 10.1016/j. tics.2013.12.009

Jiang, M. M., Gao, K., Wu, Z. Y., and Guo, P. P. (2022). The influence of academic pressure on adolescents' problem behavior: chain mediating effects of self-control, parent–child conflict, and subjective well-being. *Front. Psychol.* 13, 1–10. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.954330

Jun, M. H., and Choi, J. A. (2013). The longitudinal effects of parental monitoring and self-control on depression in Korean adolescents: a multivariate latent growth approach. *Child Youth Serv. Rev.* 35, 1327–1332. doi: 10.1016/j. childyouth.2013.05.004

Katherine, L. D., Phillip, T. S., Michael, J. L., and John, P. K. (2012). Implementation quality of whole-school mental health promotion and students' academic

performance. Child Adolsc. Ment. Health 17, 45-51. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-3588.2011.00608.x

Kenan, D., and Aysel, K. (2012). Students' families and family values. Procedia. Soc. Behav. Sci. 47, 501–506. doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.06.688

Khan, A., Sriyanto, S., Baranovich, D., and Baranovich, E. (2022). DL. The relationship between positive mental health, academic stress and suicide ideation among Malaysian adolescents residing in Johor Bahru. *J. Curr. Psychol.* 2, 1–11. doi: 10.1007/s12144-022-02885-7

Kim, D. J., Kim, K., Lee, H., Hong, J., Cho, M. J., Fava, M., et al. (2017). Internet game addiction, depression, and escape from negative emotions in adulthood. *J. Nerv. Ment. Dis.* 205, 568–573. doi: 10.1097/NMD.00000000000698

Kohout, F. J., Berkman, L. F., Evans, D. A., and Cornoni-Huntley, J. (1993). Two shorter forms of the CES-D depression symptoms index. *J. Aging Health* 5, 179–193. doi: 10.1177/089826439300500202

Kraut, R., Patterson, M., Lundmark, V., Kiesler, S., Mukophadhyay, T., and Scherlis, W. (1998). Internet paradox: a social technology that reduces social involvement and psychological well-being? *Am. Psychol.* 53, 1017–1031. doi: 10.1037/0003-066X.53.9.1017

LeCloux, M., Maramaldi, P., Thomas, K., and Wharff, E. (2016). Family support and mental health service use among suicidal adolescents. *J. Child Fam. Stud.* 25, 2597–2606. doi: 10.1007/s10826-016-0417-6

Lee, S. W., Choi, J. S., and Lee, M. H. (2020). Life satisfaction and depression in the oldest old: a longitudinal study. *Int. J. Aging Hum. Dev.* 91, 37–59. doi: 10.1177/0091415019843448

Li, S. D. (2004). The impacts of self-control and social bonds on juvenile delinquency in a national sample of midadolescents. *Probl. Behav.* 25, 351–373. doi: 10.1080/01639620490441236

Li, G. M., Hou, G. Y., Yang, D., Jian, H., and Wang, W. (2019). Relationship between anxiety, depression, sex, obesity, and internet addiction in Chinese adolescents: a short-term longitudinal study. *Addict. Behav.* 90, 421–427. doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2018.12.009

Li, A., Wang, D. W., and Lin, S. N. (2021). Depression and life satisfaction among middle-aged and older adults: mediation effect of functional disability. *Front. Psychol.* 12, 1–12. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.755220

Liang, L. C., Zhou, D., Yuan, C. Y., Shao, A. H., and Bian, Y. F. (2016). Gender differences in the relationship between internet addiction and depression: a cross-lagged study in Chinese adolescents. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* 63, 463–470. doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2016.04.043

Lin, S., Yu, C. F., Chen, J., Sheng, J., Hu, Y. S., and Zhong, L. (2020). The association between parental psychological control, deviant peer affiliation, and internet gaming disorder among Chinese adolescents: a two-year longitudinal study. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 17:8197. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17218197

Liu, J. (2016). Parental attachment, peer connection and adolescent problem behavior [J]. *Youth Explor.* 04, 52–58. doi: 10.13583/j.cnki.issn1004-3780.2016.04.008

Liu, Q., He, H., Yang, J., Feng, X., Zhao, F., and Lyu, J. (2020). Changes in the global burden of depression from 1990 to 2017: findings from the global burden of disease study. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 126, 134–140. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires. 2019.08.002

Ma, Y., Siu, A., and Tse, W. S. (2018). Te role of high parental expectations in adolescents' academic performance and depression in Hong Kong. *J. Fam. Issues* 39, 2505–2522. doi: 10.1177/0192513X18755194

Markova, S., and Nikitskaya, E. (2014). Coping strategies of adolescents with deviant behaviour. *Int. J. Adolesc. Youth* 22, 36–46. doi: 10.1080/02673843. 2013.868363

Michelle, D., and Marc, A. P. (1999). Resilience in adolescents: protective role of social support. *Coping Strat. Self-Esteem Soc. Activit. Exp. Stress Depress.* 28, 343–363. doi: 10.1023/a:1021637011732

Myers, N. A., Perris, E. E., and Speaker, C. J. (1994). Fifty months of memory: a longitudinal study in early childhood. *Memory* 2, 383-415. doi: 10.1080/09658219408258956

Pavkov, T. W., Travis, L., Fox, K. A., King, C. B., and Cross, T. L. (2010). Tribal youth victimization and delinquency: analysis of youth risk behavior surveillance survey data. *Cultur. Divers. Ethnic Minor. Psychol.* 16, 123–134. doi: 10.1037/a0018664

Pomerantz, E. M., Altermatt, E. R., and Saxon, J. L. (2002). Making the grade but feeling distressed: gender differences in academic performance and internal distress. *J. Educ. Psychol.* 94, 396–404. doi: 10.1037/0022-0663.94.2.396

Pontes, H. M. (2017). Investigating the differential effects of social networking site addiction and internet gaming disorder on psychological health. *J. Behav. Addict.* 6, 601–610. doi: 10.1556/2006.6.2017.075

Pooja, A. C., and Mihir, P. R. (2021). High-risk health behaviors predict depression among school-going adolescents: the need for integration of mental health with school health program in India. *J. Community Psychol.* 49, 1891–1903. doi: 10.1002/ jcop.22627

Przepiorka, A., Blachnio, A., and Cudo, A. (2019). The role of depression, personality, and future time perspective in internet addiction in adolescents and emerging adults. *Psychiatry Res.* 272, 340–348. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.12.086

Reisig, M. D., and Pratt, T. C. (2011). Low self-control and imprudent behavior revisited. *Probl. Behav.* 32, 589–625. doi: 10.1080/01639621003800505

Remster, B. (2014). Self-control and the depression-delinquency link. *Probl. Behav.* 35, 66–84. doi: 10.1080/01639625.2013.822226

Ribeiro, J. D., Franklin, J. C., Fox, K. R., Bentley, K. H., Kleiman, E. M., Chang, B. P., et al. (2016). Self-injurious thoughts and behaviors as risk factors for future suicide ideation, attempts, and death: a meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *Psychol. Med.* 46, 225–236. doi: 10.1017/s0033291715001804

Robert, B., and Robert, B. H. (2000). The beavers systems model of family functioning. J. Fam. Ther. 22, 128-143. doi: 10.1111/1467-6427.00143

Scott, C., Dmitri, W., and Nick, Y. (2009). Problematic internet use and psychosocial well-being among MMO players. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* 25, 1312–1319. doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2009.06.006

ServanMori, E., Gonzalez-Robledo, L. M., Nigenda, G., Quezada, A. D., Gonzalez-Robledo, M. C., and RodrAguez-Cuevas, F. G. (2020). Prevalence of depression and generalized anxiety disorder among Mexican indigenous adolescents and young adults: challenges for healthcare. *Child Psychiatry Hum. Dev.* 52, –189. doi: 10.1007/s10578-020-01001-9

Smith, K. (2014). Mental health: a world of depression. *Nature* 515, 180–181. doi: 10.1038/515180a

Steiger, J. H. (1990). Structural model evaluation and modification: an intervalestimation approach. *Multivar. Behav. Res.* 25, 173–180. doi: 10.1207/s15327906mbr2502_4

Verboom, C. E., Sijtsema, J. J., Verhulst, F. C., Penninx, B. W. J. H., and Ormel, J. (2013). Longitudinal associations between depressive problems, academic performance, and social functioning in adolescent boys and girls. *Dev. Psychol.* 50, 247–257. doi: 10.1037/a0032547

Wang, Z. J., Yang, H. M., Guo, Z., Liu, B., and Geng, S. (2019). Socio-demographic characteristics and co-occurrence of depressive symptoms with chronic diseases among older adults in China: the China longitudinal ageing social survey. *BMC Psychiatry* 19:310. doi: 10.1186/s12888-019-2305-2

Warikoo, N., Chin, M., Zillmer, N., and Luthar, S. (2020). The influence of parent expectations and Parent†• child relationships on mental health in Asian American and white American families. *Sociol. Forum* 35, 275–296. doi: 10.1111/socf.12583

World Health Organization (WHO). (2017). Depression and other common mental disorders, Global Health estimates. Available at: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/254610/1/WHO-MSD

Yang, X. L., Zhao, J. B., Chen, Y., Zu, S. M., and Zhao, J. B. (2017). Comprehensive self-control training benefits depressed college students: a six-month randomized controlled intervention trial. *J. Affect. Disord.* 226, 251–260. doi: 10.1016/j. jad.2017.10.014

Yao, M. Z., and Zhong, Z. J. (2014). Loneliness, social contacts and internet addiction: a cross-lagged panel study. *Comput. Hum. Behav.* 30, 164–170. doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2013.08.007

Zhang, W. X., Chen, L., Yu, F. J., Wang, S. Q., and Nurmi, J. (2015). Hopes and fears for the future among Chinese adolescents. *J. Res. Adolesc.* 25, 622–629. doi: 10.1111/jora.12166

Zhu, X., Haegele, J. A., Liu, H., and Yu, F. (2021). Academic stress, physical activity, sleep, and mental health among Chinese adolescents. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 18:7257. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18147257