



OPEN ACCESS

APPROVED BY

Frontiers Editorial Office,
Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE

Frontiers Production Office
production.office@frontiersin.org

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to
Quantitative Psychology and
Measurement,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Psychology

RECEIVED 24 August 2022

ACCEPTED 24 August 2022

PUBLISHED 08 September 2022

CITATION

Frontiers Production Office (2022)
Erratum: Quantification in
experimental psychology and
pragmatic epistemology: Tension
between the scientific imperative and
the social imperative.
Front. Psychol. 13:1026974.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2022.1026974

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Frontiers Production Office.
This is an open-access article
distributed under the terms of the
[Creative Commons Attribution License
\(CC BY\)](#). The use, distribution or
reproduction in other forums is
permitted, provided the original
author(s) and the copyright owner(s)
are credited and that the original
publication in this journal is cited, in
accordance with accepted academic
practice. No use, distribution or
reproduction is permitted which does
not comply with these terms.

Erratum: Quantification in experimental psychology and pragmatic epistemology: Tension between the scientific imperative and the social imperative

Frontiers Production Office*

Frontiers Media SA, Lausanne, Switzerland

KEYWORDS

bio-power, epistemology, measurement, ontology, pragmatism, quantitative
psychology, statistical positivism

An Erratum on

Quantification in experimental psychology and pragmatic
epistemology: Tension between the scientific imperative and the
social imperative

by Guyon, H., and Nôus, C. (2021). *Front. Psychol.* 11:603617.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.603617

The name previously presented as the second author is a fictional character, which is contrary to the authorship policies of Frontiers journals.

This name has been removed, and we have placed it in the acknowledgments instead, written as: “The authors would like to acknowledge Camille Nôus, a symbol of scientific collaboration.”

The publisher apologizes for this mistake. The original version of this article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.