



Adolescents' Personality Development – A Question of Psychosocial Stress

Diana Raufelder^{1*}, Frances Hoferichter¹, Stefan Kulakow¹, Sabrina Golde², Tobias Gleich³, Lydia Romund³, Robert C. Lorenz⁴, Patricia Pelz³ and Anne Beck^{3,5}

¹ Institute of Educational Science, University of Greifswald, Greifswald, Germany, ² Department of Education and Psychology, Free University of Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ³ Clinic for Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Berlin, Germany, ⁴ Max Planck Institute for Human Development, Berlin, Germany, ⁵ HMU Health and Medical University Potsdam, University of Potsdam, Potsdam, Germany

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Lawrence M. Parsons,
The University of Sheffield,
United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

Sara Filipiak,
Maria Curie-Skłodowska University,
Poland
Daniela Jezova,
Institute of Experimental
Endocrinology, Slovak Academy
of Sciences (SAS), Slovakia

*Correspondence:

Diana Raufelder
diana.raufelder@uni-greifswald.de

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Neuropsychology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 29 September 2021

Accepted: 22 November 2021

Published: 17 December 2021

Citation:

Raufelder D, Hoferichter F,
Kulakow S, Golde S, Gleich T,
Romund L, Lorenz RC, Pelz P and
Beck A (2021) Adolescents'
Personality Development –
A Question of Psychosocial Stress.
Front. Psychol. 12:785610.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.785610

Following the relational-developmental systems approach, this three-wave study examines whether acute stress (T2) mediates the relationship between the development of personality traits from the beginning of 8th grade (T1, $M_{age} = 15.63$, $SD = 0.59$; 22 girls) to the end of 9th grade (T3). Using the Montréal Imaging Stress Task, which is a task that provokes acute social stress by negative social feedback, this study combined the functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), heart rate, and longitudinal survey data of 41 adolescents. Mediation analysis revealed that stress-induced left insula activation partially mediates the longitudinal stability of conscientiousness. These results highlight the impact of negative social feedback during stress on students' personality development.

Keywords: stress, personality, adolescence, fMRI, psychosocial stress

INTRODUCTION

As children develop within a social frame of relationships, cognitive and behavioral developmental changes may be particularly accompanied by social stressors. Main sources of adolescents' social stress are problems and troubles with parents, peers, teachers and school-related pressures (Murberg and Bru, 2004; Byrne et al., 2007), which might be shaped by the changes in the brain's socio-emotional system (Steinberg, 2008) with the onset of puberty. At the same time, these social environments are crucial for adolescents' personality development, as they contribute to an adolescent's sense of "who I am." Considering these distinctive developmental changes that are embedded within social relationships and the brain, it is not surprising that adolescence defines a critical period for the personality development of young adults. Hence, a crucial developmental challenge during adolescence may be the processing of stressors and learning from social feedback.

According to the relational-developmental systems perspective (Lerner and Lerner, 2019), the formation of identity and personality is constantly and reciprocally shaped through social interactions, including the social feedback from the environment that children and adolescents grow up in. Particularly during adolescence, the brain undergoes architectural changes as the developing brain is highly susceptible to environmental stimuli and as such is vulnerable to stressors (Tottenham and Galvan, 2016). While personality traits build the core of the self, identity formation is the core developmental task during adolescence. With gaining the cognitive capacities to engage in abstract thinking, adolescents form an identity, which provides meaning to life and goes along with profound changes in personality traits (Klimstra, 2013). This developmental process is mainly

influenced through social environments, as the way adolescents see themselves changes in response to peers, family, and school. To state this in more detail, environmental stimuli trigger inner biological and physiological processes (e.g., brain activity), which in turn are integrated in children's personalities and vice versa. Yet, it is this interaction that determines how environmental stimuli, such as social feedback and stressors, are perceived and cognitively processed (Fishman et al., 2011; Childs et al., 2014).

While there is a growing body of research highlighting the dramatic changes related to social cognition during adolescence (Blakemore, 2008; Burnett et al., 2011), as well as how perceived stress may interact with the architecture of the developing brain (Lupien et al., 2009), there remains a research gap with regard to how personality development from early to middle adolescence is mediated by stress reactivity to negative social feedback. The present study has been conceptualized to address this research gap, using longitudinal survey data and the fMRI data of 41 adolescent students.

The Big Five and Their Relevance for Future Life Outcomes

Personality research is largely dominated by the five-factor model (FFM) and has become a popular model for assessing personality due to its cross-cultural validity (McCrae and Costa, 1997, 2008). These five factors are usually labeled *emotional instability*, *extraversion*, *autonomy*, *agreeableness*, and *conscientiousness*, although these labels occasionally differ across studies and instruments (Hendriks et al., 1999). In the FFM, the trait *emotional instability* refers to experiencing negative emotions, such as anxiety, irritation, sadness, and insecurity, in a frequent and intense manner (Shiner, 2019). Emotional instability is linked to various negative and maladaptive outcomes, such as attentional difficulties and problems related to cognitive processing (Shackman et al., 2016). Moreover, particularly in stressful situations, emotional instability may thwart motivation and enable inaction and avoidance (Connor-Smith and Flachsbart, 2007). *Extraversion* relates to the personality trait that is associated with talkativeness, boldness, sociability, enthusiasm, and positive emotionality (Smillie et al., 2019). However, Lucas et al. (2000) argue that the core of extraversion is not sociability but reward sensitivity, while Ashton et al. (2002), p. 245) describe extraversion as a tendency to "behave in ways that attract social attention." Extraversion is linked to numerous – generally positive – outcomes, such as a more active social life and closer relationships (Lucas et al., 2008) and general well-being (Sun et al., 2018). *Openness to experience* (autonomy) refers to curiosity and cognitive exploration in terms of gathering and engaging with new information (DeYoung, 2015; Schwaba, 2019). It is further linked with active strategies in coping with stress (Malkiewicz, 2014). The major correlates of openness to experience are creativity (Kandler et al., 2016), intelligence (DeYoung et al., 2012), and academic achievement (Nofle and Robins, 2007). *Agreeableness* encompasses characteristics such as compassion, empathy, compliance, politeness, and modesty (Soto and John, 2017; Tackett et al., 2019). Agreeableness is negatively associated with

externalizing problems, such as antisocial behavior (Decuyper et al., 2009). Particularly with regard to children and adolescence, some studies have shown that agreeableness is also associated with internalizing problems, and can be seen in social withdrawal, anxiety, and depressive symptoms (De Clercq et al., 2008; Laursen et al., 2010). Moreover, agreeableness appears to be associated with various aspects of the quality of relationships. For example, studies have found associations with better peer relations (Laursen et al., 2010) and higher peer closeness (Neyer and Lehnart, 2007). Finally, *conscientiousness* refers to "the propensity to be self-controlled, responsible to others, hardworking, orderly and rule abiding" (Jackson and Roberts, 2017, p. 133). The trait of conscientiousness is often related to self-oriented perfectionism (Stoeber et al., 2009), effortful control (e.g., sustained attention, persistence, planning) in the case of children and adolescents (Jackson and Hill, 2019), and generally to numerous future life outcomes, such as health and longevity (Jackson et al., 2015) and academic achievement (Nofle and Robins, 2007).

Personality traits and stress susceptibility have been shown to be intertwined in complex ways. In a study that investigated the response to acute stress induced by the Trier Social Stress Test (TSST) – a commonly used psychosocial stress task – in relation to multidimensional personality traits, Childs et al. (2014) found that adults with high negative emotionality showed greater aversive mood and lower blood pressure. In turn, individuals with high agentic positive emotionality (i.e., reward sensitive individuals with a tendency toward social dominance and assertiveness) exhibited prolonged heart rate responses to stress. Individuals with high communal positive emotionality (i.e., social warmth and affiliation) exhibited lower cortisol and blood pressure responses. The authors argue that a lack of positive feedback during the stress task means that reward sensitive individuals may lack a sense of achievement, which causes a prolonged stress reaction, while individuals with close interpersonal relationships may be more stress-resilient (Papousek et al., 2011; Childs et al., 2014). Similarly, Xin et al. (2017) found lower heart rate, diminished cortisol levels, lower positive affect, and controllability in response to the TSST among individuals with high emotional instability. These findings indicate a down regulation within the autonomic nervous system and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal system among individuals with high trait emotional instability, who generally tend to experience higher levels of stress, anxiety, and affective instability (Shiner, 2019). The investigation by Xin et al. (2017) also revealed that individuals scoring high on extraversion and openness exhibited less cortisol activation after being exposed to the TSST, and that extraverted individuals showed less increase in negative effect. Numerous studies have been conducted to investigate personality-brain mechanisms. They have investigated the brain functional organization of healthy adolescents during resting state brain activity, i.e., during the absence of an explicit task within the scanner. Although these studies reveal that personality traits are distinctively related to brain networks, the results are mixed and do not provide a full understanding of how personality relates to the architecture of the brain (Li et al., 2017; Mulders et al., 2018).

Personality Development Through Adolescence

The FFM has become a popular model for assessing personality due to its cross-cultural validity (McCrae and Costa, 1997) and its high stability across large age spans. However, in contrast to adults, adolescents show notably less stability in the five factors, indicating that personality features are subject to change during that time (McCrae et al., 2002). While different studies indicate certain trends, there is a lack of overall consistency across studies (Göllner et al., 2017). Theorists of FFM predominantly explain these inconsistencies by the fact that, with the onset of adolescence, individuals start to reflect about themselves, their interactions with others, and how they may be perceived by others (see Golde et al., 2019). In addition to fluctuations in the personality of youths, another challenge is related to the psychometric properties of the instruments used to assess the personalities of children and adolescents. Often, these instruments exhibit reliabilities that vary greatly depending on the age of students. Prior studies could indicate that particularly in late childhood and early adolescence, researchers occasionally face alpha values of below 0.40 values. However, there is agreement that until late adolescence and early adulthood these fluctuations in reliability level out and the constructs become more stable (Soto et al., 2011; Göllner et al., 2017). Besides these reliability concerns, other studies have shown that such scales can be used nonetheless and are still valid (Gosling et al., 2003; Rammstedt and John, 2007). Furnham (2008) for example highlighted the role of a small number of items used for scale construction which often causes considerable drops in reliability.

The Role of Stress in Adolescents' Brain Activity

A reason for the low stability of personality traits throughout adolescence might lie in the biological development during this period. In fact, adolescence has been identified as a sensitive period, which is accompanied by structural and functional changes in the brain (Blakemore, 2008; Fox et al., 2010; Tottenham and Galvan, 2016; Braams and Crone, 2017; Guyer et al., 2018).

Neuroimaging studies have identified several brain regions associated with social stressors, including the insular cortex and the middle temporal cortex (Blakemore, 2008; Wang et al., 2017). The right insula is an index of social distress that is usually involved in social pain, and is associated with the processing of social rejection (Eisenberger et al., 2003; Kross et al., 2007; Kashdan et al., 2014). Previous studies have shown that low-trait resilient participants exhibited greater insula psychosocial stressors than high-trait resilient participants (Vaughn et al., 2008; Shao et al., 2018). Insula activation is also associated with high anxiety (Chua et al., 1999; Simmons et al., 2006). Moreover, Slavich et al. (2010) were able to show that the activation of the bilateral insula during social exclusion was associated with inflammatory response. In addition, the insula has been implicated in various affective and emotional processes, as well as interoceptive processes (Critchley, 2005; Craig, 2009). In a study on emotional intelligence (EI), i.e., the ability to identify, regulate,

and process the emotions of oneself and others, Quarto et al. (2016) found that EI scores were related to left insula activation during the social judgment of emotional faces. Jabbi et al. (2008) argue that insula activation can be described as a mirror, neuron-like link between external and internal experiences.

During brain related, stress-associated research, the middle temporal cortex was often shown to be involved. It is said to be a multimodal association site that encompasses the integration of memory, audiovisual information, and object recognition functions (Calvert, 2001; Gogtay et al., 2004). In addition to its relationship to episodic and semantic memory (Price, 2010), there are also indices that suggest that the middle temporal gyrus plays an important role within a large-scale network related to executively demanding goal-oriented cognition (Duncan, 2010; Davey et al., 2016). The middle temporal regions are also associated with creative insight, that is, with finding creative ways to solve complex problems (Shen et al., 2017). By contrast, temporal gyrus activity appears to be inhibited during experiences of stressors, as Pruessner et al. (2008) found decreased neural activity of the temporal gyrus and other regions in the limbic system of adults when inducing psychosocial stressors during PET and fMRI. These decreases in activation were accompanied by increases of the endocrine stress marker cortisol. While Pruessner et al. (2008) argue that these areas constantly “scan” the environment for potential threats and “shut down” as a consequence of threat, Golde et al. (2021) assume that these functions are still developing during adolescence. This argument is supported by the fact that the middle temporal cortex undergoes substantial maturation during the first decades of people's lives, reaching a peak of full maturation at approximately 16 years old (Blakemore, 2008). While DeWall et al. (2011) argue that the need to belong, that is, to feel socially included, is a core personality trait that all psychologically healthy humans share, independent of their personality traits, we investigate how the big five personality traits of young adolescents determine the activation of selected brain regions during a stress task with negative social feedback.

Aims and Hypotheses

Based on the relational-developmental systems model (Lerner and Lerner, 2019) and the empirical findings discussed above, the following hypotheses were tested:

Hypothesis 1: Big five and insula activity are significantly associated during an event with task-induced stress (see below for Montréal Imaging Stress Task; MIST) with negative feedback:

H1.1: As the insula cortex has been shown to be related to social pain and rejection, we expect students with high agreeableness at the beginning of 8th grade, that is, students characterized by cooperativeness, warmth, and consideration for others, to exhibit greater insula activation at the beginning of 9th grade than students who score low on agreeableness.

H1.2: Similarly, we expect students who score high on extraversion at the beginning of 8th grade, that is, students

who strive for social attention and are sensitive toward social reward, to show higher insula activation at the beginning of 9th grade in comparison to students with low extraversion.

H1.3: As low-trait resilient individuals have shown to exhibit greater insula responses to psychosocial stressors, we expect students with low emotional stability at the beginning of the 8th grade to exhibit greater insula activity at the beginning of 9th grade.

H1.4: We further expect insula activity to mediate the relationships between agreeableness, extraversion, and emotional instability from the beginning of 8th grade to the end of 9th grade, respectively.

Hypothesis 2: Big five and middle temporal gyrus activity are significantly associated during an event with task-induced stress with negative feedback:

H2.1: As the middle temporal gyrus is associated with executively demanding goal-oriented cognition, we expect conscientious students at the beginning of 8th grade, that is, students who are orderly, self-controlled, and hardworking, to exhibit higher middle temporal gyrus activity at the beginning of 9th grade than students scoring low on conscientiousness.

H2.2: As middle temporal activity is also related to creative insight and problem solving of complex challenges, which is inhibited during experiences of psychosocial stress, we expect that students who score high on autonomy (i.e., who are curious, creative, and who cognitively explore new information, which is also referred to as openness) at the beginning of 8th grade to exhibit lower middle temporal activity at the beginning of 9th grade.

H2.3: We also expect middle temporal activity to mediate the development of students' conscientiousness, as well as their autonomy, during the course of their school years.

Hypothesis 3: Big five and heart rate during a stress induced event with negative feedback:

H3.1: As previous studies indicate low neuroendocrine activation (e.g., heart rate, blood pressure, cortisol level) among individuals scoring high on emotional instability during induced stress events (Childs et al., 2014; Xin et al., 2017), we expect students with high emotional instability at the beginning of 8th grade to exhibit lower heart rates at the beginning of 9th grade.

H3.2: We also expect low heart rates among students scoring high on agreeableness at the beginning of grade eight, as they tend to have high quality relationships (Neyer and Lehnart, 2007; Laursen et al., 2010) that protect them from experiencing stressors (Papousek et al., 2011; Childs et al., 2014; Hoferichter et al., 2014).

H3.3: We also expect conscientious students at the beginning of grade eight to exhibit higher heart rates at the beginning of 9th grade, as the negative feedback of not sufficiently being able to fulfill the calculation task (MIST) may induce stress in individuals scoring high on self-control, orderliness, and perfectionism (Stoerber et al., 2009).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants and Procedure

This study is part of a larger two-wave study with secondary school students from Brandenburg, Germany (SELF-project; $N = 1088$ at Time 1, $M_{age} = 13.7$ years; $SD = 0.53$), which was approved by the Brandenburg Department of Education, Youth, and Sports. The questionnaire data used in this study were collected in class at the beginning of 8th grade (Time 1: T1) and the end of 9th grade (Time 3: T3). At least two research assistants were present during the research, who presented aims and procedure of the study, explained the use of Likert scales and answered any questions students had during the process of the study. Before the data collection, written permission was obtained from the participating schools, parents, and students.

After the first questionnaire-based data collection, a total of 53 adolescents were randomly selected from this larger sample pool. The students were invited to take part in a fMRI study (Time 2: T2), which lasted about 45 min. Students were offered a compensation of 100€. The fMRI study was additionally approved by the ethics committee of the German Psychological Society. Before the fMRI session, which took place in the beginning of 9th grade (T2), all participants as well as legal guardians provided written informed consent. All students were informed that they can cancel their participation at any time. Before the fMRI session, in which the participants performed a psychosocial stress task, standardized questionnaires were used to control for the following exclusion criteria: (1) adverse health conditions, (2) neurological or mental disorders, (3) use of medication that influences central nervous system, (4) non-removable ferromagnetic material. During the fMRI task, pulse oximetry was used to record heart rate. Overall, 10 participants had to be excluded from the fMRI sample due to excessive head movement ($>3^\circ$ mm translation or 3° rotation) and two participants due to technical problems during fMRI recordings. Finally, the following analyses are based on a sample of $N = 41$ adolescents ($M_{age} = 15.63$, $SD = 0.59$, 22 girls); one left-handed and 40 right-handed (according to the Edinburgh Handedness Inventory).

Questionnaire Measures

Personality

Personality was measured with the Big Five Model of Personality scale (FFPI-J) for adolescents (Szirmak, 2005) based on the FFPI for adults (Hendriks et al., 1999). Participants rated statements on a 5-point Likert scale from 1 ("does not apply at all") to 5 ("fully applies"). The FFPI-J consists of five subscales with five items each: The subscale emotional instability showed a good reliability (T1: $\alpha = 0.78$; T3: $\alpha = 0.80$) (e.g., "I have a negative feeling about the future"). The subscale autonomy, showed a low reliability (T1: $\alpha = 0.62$; T3: $\alpha = 0.62$) (e.g., "I know, what I want"). The subscale extraversion showed a low reliability at T1, but a satisfying reliability at T3 (T1: $\alpha = 0.66$; T3: $\alpha = 0.70$) (e.g., "I make an effort for others"). The subscale agreeableness also showed a low reliability at T1, but a satisfying reliability at T3 (T1: $\alpha = 0.64$; T3: $\alpha = 0.74$) [e.g., "I use others for my purposes"]

(recoded)]. The subscale conscientiousness showed an acceptable reliability (T1: $\alpha = 0.70$; T3: $\alpha = 0.70$) (e.g., “I like to have a regular day-to-day routine”).

Neuroimaging Procedure

The Montreal Imaging Stress Task


To assess adolescents’ psychosocial stress reaction, a modified version (Zschucke et al., 2015) MIST (Dedovic et al., 2005; Pruessner et al., 2008) was performed in the fMRI scanner. The MIST contains a series of computerized mental arithmetic challenges, which change in terms of time limit and difficulty in order to increase the failure rates of the participants. These arithmetic challenges are accompanied by social evaluative threat components, such as negative feedback from the examiner.

More specifically, the modified MIST (Zschucke et al., 2015) consists of three conditions: (1) low stress, (2) moderate stress and (3) high stress, which are performed in a successive order. While the participant lies in the scanner, he/she looks at a mirror above the head coil to reflect the screen, which shows a mental arithmetic task, a horizontal line with integers ranging from 0 to 9 to solve the task and a time bar, which shows the participant how much time is left for the entire trial (see **Figure 1**).

The participant can rate and submit the answer with a fiber-optic light sensitive button box, which navigates the cursor of the horizontal line from left to right and vice versa. First, the low stress condition consists of 40 trials with 20 s to solve each arithmetic task. Through the microphone, the examiner informs the participant that these trials are a training session. The results

of these 40 trials are used to estimate participants’ individual baseline response time. Second, the moderate stress condition consists of 32 trials with 25% less time than participants’ baseline response time. This time, the examiner informs the participant that the results will now be recorded and monitored. In addition, two performance indicators appear on the screen: one indicator displays the participants’ performance, while the other shows a faked average performance of the other study participants. The latter is calculated in a way that it exceeds the present participant’s performance throughout the whole session. Subsequently, the examiner gives scripted negative feedback by using the microphone. To induce stress, the examiner asks the participant to make more of an effort to improve the performance for the last session, otherwise the data could not be used for the study (which is not true). Third, the high stress condition consists of 32 trials with further restricted time and higher task difficulty, so that the individual failure rate is approximately 50%. During the high stress condition, no feedback is given by the examiner. After completion of the task, participants were informed that it was impossible to accomplish the tasks correctly within the given time and that the test does not indicate their math abilities at all.

The MIST has been shown to induce significant psychoendocrine stress reaction in numerous previous studies (see Dedovic et al., 2005; Pruessner et al., 2008). Continuous heart rate was recorded by pulse oximetry over the course of the task and mean values for each condition (low, moderate, high) were calculated.



fMRI data were acquired using a 3 Tesla Magnetom Trio scanner system (Siemens Medical Systems, Erlangen, Germany) with a 12-channel radiofrequency head coil at the XXXX, Germany. Details on imaging acquisition and preprocessing of imaging data can be found in **Supplementary Document A**.

Data Analyses

Single Subject Analysis

In order to eliminate low-frequency components (1/256 Hz cut-off frequency), a high-pass filter was applied for the time series. Initially, a 1st order autoregressive model with aliasing effects and serial correlations was computed with restricted maximum likelihood (ReML) algorithm in SPM. Subsequently, a general linear model (GLM) was conceptualized at the single-subject level (see Zschucke et al., 2015). The different conditions were estimated convolved with a hemodynamic response function as explanatory variables on a voxel-by-voxel basis. The main neural parameter, which represents the stress-related neural activity of each condition, the mean signal within each run (constant of the GLM) was used. As regressors of no interest, motor response, task and feedback screen, as well as the six movement parameters were additionally implemented. For both, the sustained effects of each stress level (i.e., baseline contrast images) and the effects of the comparison between high and low stress levels (i.e., [high > low], [low > high]), contrast images of parameter estimates were assessed (see Golde et al., 2021).

fMRI Data Analyses

One sample *t*-tests with differential contrast images [low vs. high] from individual subjects were computed with a threshold of

$p < 0.05$ whole brain family wise error (FWE)-corrected, with a minimum cluster size of $k \geq 5$ voxels. This resulted in significant clusters of increasing stress-associated neural activation during high > low stress condition in the anterior cingulate gyrus (ACC), right and left insula, right middle temporal gyrus and the angular gyrus (see **Figure 2**). Significant decreasing activation from high stress to low stress was found in the subcallosal gyrus. Details can be found in **Supplementary Table A**. From all these significant activation clusters mean parameter estimates for the whole cluster were extracted for each participant for further analysis in Mplus.

Multiple Regression Analysis With Indirect Effects

Using Mplus Version 8.1 (Mutheén and Mutheén, 1998–2013) multiple regression analysis (MRA) was performed with robust maximum likelihood (MLR) estimator, which is robust against violations of normality assumptions (Yuan and Bentler, 2000). Indirect effects were computed to assess the potential mediating effects from each personality factor at T1 to the same factor at T3 via stress-induced neural activity (T2) by constructing confidence intervals around the estimates (MacKinnon, 2008; Preacher and Hayes, 2008). This procedure reduces the bias caused by the non-normality in the sampling distribution of indirect effects (Shrout and Bolger, 2002). Model fit was estimated using the following fit indices, as suggested by several authors (West et al., 2012): Chi-square test of model fit (χ^2), root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), comparative fit index (CFI), and standardized root mean square residuals (SRMR). An acceptable fit to the data is usually indicated with CFI values greater than 0.90, RMSEA and SRMR values lower than




FIGURE 2 | Significant activation clusters of one-sample *t*-test thresholded at $p < 0.05$ FWE-corrected for the whole brain; **(A)** contrast high > low stress condition, **(B)** contrast low > high stress condition; L, left hemisphere.

0.08. Full information maximum likelihood (FIML) procedure was used to handle missing data. This procedure is unbiased under the missing at random (MAR) assumption, and retains statistical power, because no observations are deleted (Enders, 2010). Additionally, heart rate was added as a covariate in order to improve maximum likelihood estimation under the MAR assumption (Graham, 2003).

RESULTS

Descriptive Statistics and Correlations

Before the regression analysis bivariate correlations with all identified brain region activations during MIST (see above) and the big five personality components have been conducted. However, only the right insula (RINS), the left insula (LINS) and the right middle temporal gyrus (RMIDTEMP) as well as the heart rate during high > low stress condition were significantly associated with the big five personality factors (Table 1). Accordingly, the following analyses are based on these variables. The manifest descriptive statistics for each variable (range, mean, standard deviation, skewness, kurtosis) are displayed in Table 1.

Multiple Regression Analysis With Indirect Effects

A multiple regression analysis with indirect effects with all five personality factors and the neural activity of the RINS, LINS, and RMIDTEMP was computed. The heart rate of high stress condition was included as a control variable and as such correlated with each variable in the model. This model showed an acceptable fit: $\chi^2(20) = 26.59, p > 0.05, CFI = 0.97, RMSEA = 0.09$ (90% CI 0.00–0.17), SRMR = 0.08 (see Figure 3). The RMSEA is a bit high, but in “models with small *df* and small sample size, the RMSEA too often falsely indicates a poor fitting model. We recommend not computing the RMSEA for small *df* models, especially those with small sample sizes” (Kenny et al., 2015, p. 486).

Direct Effects

All autoregressive paths between each personality factor at T1 with the same personality factor at T3 were found to be significant, which indicates stable personality factors from early to middle adolescence: emotional instability ($B = 0.55, \beta = 0.53, SE = 0.15, p < 0.01$), extraversion ($B = 0.84, \beta = 0.86, SE = 0.06, p < 0.001$), agreeableness ($B = 0.68, \beta = 0.64, SE = 0.09, p < 0.001$), conscientiousness ($B = 0.49, \beta = 0.52, SE = 0.11, p < 0.01$), and autonomy ($B = 0.68, \beta = 0.63, SE = 0.09, p < 0.01$).

Agreeableness at T1 positively predicted the increase of neural activity of the RMIDTEMP ($B = 0.73, \beta = 0.36, SE = 0.13, p < 0.01$), the RINS ($B = 0.66, \beta = 0.28, SE = 0.13, p < 0.05$), and the LINS ($B = 0.79, \beta = 0.24, SE = 0.10, p < 0.05$) from low to high stress condition. Emotional instability at T1 positively predicted the increase of neural activity of the RMIDTEMP ($B = 0.68, \beta = 0.34, SE = 0.14, p < 0.05$) and the RINS ($B = 0.75, \beta = 0.33, SE = 0.12, p < 0.01$) from low to high stress condition. In addition, conscientiousness at T1 ($B = 1.31, \beta = 0.41, SE = 0.12, p < 0.001$) and extraversion at T1 ($B = 0.78, \beta = 0.24, SE = 0.10, p < 0.05$)

TABLE 1 | Intercorrelations between variables of interest, mean, standard deviation (SD), range, skewness and kurtosis.

Measure	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	M	SD	Range	Skewness	Kurtosis
(1) EmIn T1	0.11	0.09	-0.21	0.38**	0.46**	-0.00	0.17	0.05	0.20	0.37*	0.17	0.16	-0.17	2.37	0.49	1–5	0.32	0.05
(2) Auto T1	-	0.44***	-0.31*	0.39**	-0.16	0.67***	0.37**	-0.28	0.24	-0.13	-0.06	-0.17	0.10	3.67	0.27	1–5	-0.12	0.07
(3) Extra T1	-	-	-0.06	0.32*	0.01	0.35**	0.83***	0.20	0.30	0.12	0.25*	-0.22	-0.14	3.39	0.48	1–5	-0.05	-0.49
(4) Agree T1	-	-	-	-0.17	-0.14	-0.32*	0.02	0.59***	-0.22	0.23*	0.22*	0.32*	-0.45**	3.73	0.45	1–5	-0.25	-0.48
(5) Cons T1	-	-	-	-	-0.20	0.53***	0.28	0.16	0.51**	0.32*	0.37**	-0.23	0.27	2.89	0.48	1–5	0.44	0.07
(6) EmIn T2	-	-	-	-	-	-0.27	0.06	-0.25	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.20	-0.14	2.45	0.49	1–5	-0.08	-0.25
(7) Auto T2	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.27	-0.13	0.27	-0.01	0.10	-0.46**	0.11	3.63	0.30	1–5	0.07	-1.01
(8) Extra T2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.18	0.16	0.09	0.20	-0.12	-0.14	3.49	0.47	1–5	0.09	-0.79
(9) Agree T2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.13	0.33	0.24	-0.04	-0.11	3.57	0.54	1–5	-0.25	-0.36
(10) Cons T2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.23	0.41**	-0.09	0.18	3.04	0.39	1–5	0.18	-0.55
(11) Rins	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.79***	0.34***	0.18	1.98	2.49	-	0.00	3.66
(12) Lins	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.11	0.24*	2.24	4.88	-	0.28	0.90
(13) Rmidtemp	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-0.12	1.43	1.93	-	0.30	0.49
(14) Heart rate	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	72.66	313.18	-	0.70	0.38

The reported coefficients are standardized.

* $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Brain activation refers to contrast high > low stress.

EmIn, emotional instability; Auto, autonomy; Extra, extraversion; Agree, agreeableness; Cons, conscientiousness; Rins, right insula; Lins, left insula; Rmidtemp, right middle temporal gyrus; T1, Time 1 (beginning of 8th grade); T2, Time 2 (end of 8th grade).




FIGURE 3 | Multiple regression analysis (MRA) with indirect effects. Significant effects ($p < 0.05$) shown as standardized coefficients (β). For reasons of clarity the non-significant paths are not included in the figure. The covariance between the predictor, mediator and outcome variables are not included in the figure but explained in the manuscript. Emins, emotional instability; Extra, extraversion; Agree, agreeableness; Cons, conscientiousness; Auto, autonomy; Rins, right insula; Lins, left insula; Rmidtemp, right middle temporal gyrus; T1, Time 1 (beginning of 8th grade); T2, Time 2; T3, Time 3 (end of 9th grade); brain activation refers to contrast high > low stress.

positively predicted the increase of neural activity of LINS from low to high stress condition.

Furthermore, the neural activity of the MIDTEMP negatively predicted agreeableness at T3 ($B = -0.22$, $\beta = -0.43$, $SE = 0.14$, $p < 0.01$) and negatively predicted autonomy at T3 ($B = -0.15$, $\beta = -0.38$, $SE = 0.12$, $p < 0.01$). The LINS positively predicted conscientiousness at T3 ($B = 0.14$, $\beta = 0.49$, $SE = 0.22$, $p < 0.05$).

Indirect Effects

In total, only one indirect effect was found to be significant: The neural activity in the LINS partially mediated the longitudinal stability of conscientiousness ($B = 0.19$, $\beta = 0.20$, $SE = 0.09$, 95% CIs [0.02,0.38]).

Covariance

Conscientiousness at T1 was positively associated with emotional instability at T1 ($r = 0.38$, $p < 0.01$), extraversion at T1 ($r = 0.32$, $p < 0.05$), and heart rate ($r = 0.28$, $p < 0.05$). The heart rate was negatively associated with agreeableness at T1 ($r = -0.42$, $p < 0.001$). Interestingly, conscientiousness at T3 was negatively related to extraversion at T3 ($r = -0.22$, $p < 0.05$). Emotional instability at T3 was negatively associated with agreeableness at T3 ($r = -0.29$, $p < 0.05$). Autonomy at T3 was negatively

associated with heart rate ($r = -0.23$, $p < 0.05$), whereas agreeableness at T3 was positively associated with heart rate ($r = 0.28$, $p < 0.01$). Furthermore, the neural activity of the RINS was positively associated with the MIDTEMP ($r = 0.36$, $p < 0.01$), the LINS ($r = 0.75$, $p < 0.001$), and heart rate ($r = 0.39$, $p < 0.001$). The LINS was also positively related to heart rate ($r = 0.37$, $p < 0.001$). None of the other covariance were significant.

DISCUSSION

The current study investigated the development of personality traits of secondary school students over two school years, that is, from the beginning of eighth grade to the end of ninth grade, mediated by their psychosocial stress reaction during the MIST at the beginning of ninth grade.

More specifically, correlation analysis revealed that students with high agreeableness, conscientiousness, and emotional instability at the beginning of 8th grade showed higher neural activity of the right insula during the social stress task in comparison to students who scored low on these personality traits. By contrast, left insula activation was related

to students' extraversion, agreeableness, and conscientiousness at the beginning of 8th grade, and to conscientiousness in 9th grade.

Similarly, path analysis revealed that students with high agreeableness, extraversion, and emotional instability in 8th grade exhibited greater insula activity, which is in line with H1.1. More specifically, agreeable students who report friendly and helpful behavior, strive for social harmony, and are willing to put aside their own interests for the sake of others, appear to be stimulated by negative social feedback associated with a responsive insular cortex. As the insular cortex is associated with social pain, rejection, and emotional intelligence (Eisenberger et al., 2003; Kross et al., 2007; Kashdan et al., 2014; Quarto et al., 2016), one could argue that students with high agreeableness are neurobiologically challenged by being rejected. In detail, these students may perceive negative social feedback as more disturbing than others due to their pronounced need for social harmony. As the social harmony is disturbed by negative environmental stimuli, students expend resources in order to restore and maintain social harmony. The spending of resources maps directly to neurobiological processes that are triggered to regain the desired harmony. As expected (H1.3), students who reported emotional instability at the beginning of 8th grade exhibited greater (right) insula activity at the beginning of 9th grade, which is in line with previous research, and indicates that low-trait individuals show greater insula response to psychosocial stressors (Waugh et al., 2008; Shao et al., 2018). In addition, various studies have found that anxiety, which is a feature of emotional instability, is related to insula activity (Chua et al., 1999). This finding can be explained by the emotional inflexibility of individuals who score high on emotional instability. Emotional inflexibility is further described by Waugh et al. (2008), who found that low-resilient trait individuals exhibited an earlier rise in insula activity in response to stress induced stimuli, lasting longer than in high-resilient trait individuals, who showed a later rise of neural activity and returned to baseline quicker. Hence, the emotional inflexibility of emotionally unstable students might be triggered by stressors related to negative feedback. Simmons et al. (2006) argue that insula activity might be a common feature in individuals with trait anxiety, and suggest that such an activation might be a neuroimaging marker for anxiety proneness. While the findings of the current study contribute to this statement, we have further expanded research into the relationship between personality and psychosocial stress reactions within the insula cortex, as we found that this relationship is far more complex. In line with the proposed H1.2, we found that students who scored high on extraversion at the beginning of 8th grade exhibited significant left insula activation during the stress task. Hence, students who like to engage with other people, enjoy the company and stimulation of others, and are sensitive to social reward respond. We propose that the negative feedback that extraverted students received during the stress task stimulated their left insula cortex, leading them to possibly feel social rejection, social pain, or social punishment as a result of the negative feedback (cf. Ashton et al., 2002). Interestingly, students who reported to be conscientious at the beginning of 8th grade also showed left insula activation during the stress task, which we had not

expected or included in our hypothesis. More specifically, left insula activation contributed to the development of students' conscientiousness from 8th to 9th grade. This finding indicates that students who tend to show orderly behavior, plan, organize, and consistently work to achieve certain goals in 8th grade respond to social stressors neurobiologically. This may be because they feel that they are unable to succeed in the given task, despite their dedication, perseverance, and effort. This experience may be common in students' school careers and, in turn, reinforces their conscientious behavior over time. In fact, studies indicate that overly pronounced conscientiousness-like constructs, such as perseverance, effort, and perfectionism, may also be related to higher stress levels, as such individuals tend to be concerned about mistakes, feel a discrepancy between expectations and results, and demand too much of themselves (Slaney et al., 2001; Salmela-Aro et al., 2016). The current study contributes to this reasoning by revealing that students who scored high on conscientiousness exhibited greater heart rates during the MIST, which confirms H3.3. Similarly, Lucas et al. (2015) found that conscientious individuals are less likely to give up when failing at a task or losing a game, and that they expend greater persistence and effort, rather than giving up. In order to replicate or reject the findings of the current study, and to investigate how different degrees of conscientiousness may be differentially related to insula activity and heart rate response during induced social stressors, a person-oriented approach may shed light on this question (Bergman and Wangby, 2014).

In contrast to the proposed H1.4, we did not find that insula activity mediated the relationships of agreeableness, extraversion, and emotional instability from the beginning of 8th grade to the end of 9th grade. This may have been due to the small sample size and should be further investigated with larger student groups of different ages. Considering sample size requirements for mediational analyses, Fritz and MacKinnon (2007) indicate that mediating effects could have been detected in the case of our sample if both direct paths had been large (i.e., $\beta \geq 0.59$). However, as both direct paths are small (i.e., $\beta = 0.14$), the detection of mediational effects would require more participants.

With regard to the middle temporal gyrus activation during the MIST, we found that conscientious students did not show higher middle temporal gyrus activation, in contrast to H2.1. Furthermore, we expected (H2.2) students who reported high autonomy – which can also be referred to as openness – at the beginning of 8th grade to exhibit lower middle temporal gyrus activation during the MIST, which was not confirmed. However, correlation analysis revealed that right middle temporal gyrus activation in 8th grade was related to the low autonomy of students in 9th grade. Hence, the creative potential and cognitive engagement that is at the core of autonomous students is inhibited in response to socially negative feedback during a complex problem-solving task (Pruessner et al., 2008). Relating these findings to the school context, it is possible that the experience of negative feedback from teachers or peers during a highly demanding task hinders autonomous students from making use of their “natural talent,” and prevents them from being creative, curious, and cognitively engaged with new information. In fact, a meta-analysis revealed that creative

performance decreases with increasing stress levels (Byron et al., 2010), while stressed individuals exhibit impairments in attention and have difficulty in monitoring their performance (Mackenzie et al., 2007). In the school context, school-related stress, which may be caused by negative social feedback, is associated with impaired cognitive problem solving (Abdollahi et al., 2018) and inhibits creativity (Pascoe et al., 2019). Furthermore, the results reveal that emotionally instable students at the beginning of 8th grade exhibited greater right middle temporal gyrus activity at the end of 9th grade), which contributes to research by Golde et al. (2021).

H2.3 could not be confirmed, as right middle temporal gyrus activity did not mediate the development of conscientiousness and autonomy over time. Interestingly, students who scored high on agreeableness at the beginning of 8th grade exhibited higher middle temporal gyrus activity at the beginning of 9th grade, which was, in turn, negatively related to their agreeableness at the end of 9th grade. One explanation for this finding could be that the right middle temporal gyrus is positively associated with maladaptive emotion regulation strategies (Golde et al., 2021) such as avoidance, which might be negatively associated with the development of agreeableness. In addition, some studies have found an association between the temporal gyrus and antisocial behavior (see Raine, 2019). However, no indirect effect in this interplay could have been identified. This might be due to the small sample, as both direct effects were small (i.e., $\beta < 0.59$) and as such a bigger sample would have been needed to detect significant indirect effect (Fritz and MacKinnon, 2007).

The examination of heart rates during the MIST revealed that emotionally instable students did not show significantly lower heart rates, as H3.1 had expected. However, this might have been due to the study's limited statistical power, which is a disadvantage of studies with small sample sizes. However, H3.2 was supported by the study's findings, as highly agreeable students exhibited lower heart rates during the stressful event. As agreeable students tend to engage in quality relationships and tend to be cooperative and helpful to others, so they profit in turn from social relationships that protect them against stressors (Neyer and Lehnart, 2007; Laursen et al., 2010). A wide range of empirical studies underline the importance of social support for healthy development and stress reduction (Papousek et al., 2011; Childs et al., 2014).

To summarize, the results suggest that students with certain personality features (agreeableness, emotional instability, conscientiousness) are likely to exhibit differences in neural activity in different cerebral regions (right and left insula cortex, right middle temporal gyrus). Moreover, neural activity in the left insula cortex partially mediates the longitudinal stability of conscientiousness.

Transferring the results to the school context, in which social feedback from teachers with regard to performance and achievement is part of the daily life of adolescents, the present findings reveal that even short-term negative feedback affects neural processes, and that this might influence personality development. As feedback is a key component of learning (Hattie, 2009), teachers must be sensitized regarding the wide-ranging consequences of their positive and negative feedback.

Furthermore, the results indicated the interindividual differences that are caused by certain aspects of personality, which underlines the role of individualized learning methods (i.e., student-centered learning; discovery learning; visible learning) to better foster each student individually.

Strengths, Limitations, and Future Directions

Some methodical limitations need to be addressed. As is typical in imaging studies, the small sample size limits the statistical power. Future replica studies with larger samples are warranted, which could verify or falsify the present findings. Although the FFM proved to be a reliable measure, the German FFPI-J used in this study showed certain restrictions in the reliability of autonomy (at T1 and T3), agreeableness (at T1), and extraversion (at T1), which might be due to the age of the participants, as until late adolescence and early adulthood these fluctuations in reliability have been found in other studies as well (Soto et al., 2011; Göllner et al., 2017). Future studies could apply different measures (e.g., EEG, parental or teacher ratings of the students' big five, etc.) in order to contribute to the present results. Apart from these limitations, this study is one of the few investigations that follows both an interdisciplinary *and* a longitudinal design. It combines fMRI, the heart rate as marker of stressor-induced activation of the autonomic nervous system, and questionnaire data in order to identify associations between brain activity and personality development during adolescence.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by German Psychological Society. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

DR did the statistical analyses and wrote the method part of the manuscript. FH wrote the discussion part of the manuscript. SK wrote the introduction. SG and TG did the fMRI analyses of the stress task. RL, LR, PP, and AB were mainly involved in the fMRI procedures including conceptualization, data collection, pre-analyses etc. and helped to correcting the manuscript. All the authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This research reported in this article was supported by a grant from the Volkswagen Foundation (Schumpeter

Fellowship, II/84 452). AB was in part supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG, Project-ID 402170461 – TRR 265). We acknowledge support for the Article Processing Charge from the DFG (German Research Foundation, 393148499) and the Open Access Publication Fund of the University of Greifswald.

REFERENCES

- Abdollahi, A., Abu Talib, M., Carlbring, P., Harvey, R., Yaacob, S. N., and Ismail, Z. (2018). Problem-solving skills and perceived stress among undergraduate students: the moderating role of hardiness. *J. Health Psychol.* 23, 1321–1331. doi: 10.1177/1359105316653265
- Ashton, M. C., Lee, K., and Paunonen, S. V. (2002). What is the central feature of extraversion? social attention versus reward sensitivity. *J. Personal. Soc. Psychol.* 83, 245–252. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.83.1.245
- Bergman, L. R., and Wangby, M. (2014). The person-oriented approach: a short theoretical and practical guide. *Estonian J. Educ.* 2, 29–49.
- Blakemore, S. J. (2008). The social brain in adolescence. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 9, 267–277. doi: 10.1038/nrn2353
- Braams, B. R., and Crone, E. A. (2017). Longitudinal changes in social brain development: processing outcomes for friend and self. *Child Dev.* 88, 1952–1965. doi: 10.1111/cdev.12665
- Burnett, S., Sebastian, C., Cohen Kadosh, K., and Blakemore, S. J. (2011). The social brain in adolescence: evidence from functional magnetic resonance imaging and behavioural studies. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 35, 1654–1664. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2010.10.011
- Byrne, D. G., Davenport, S., and Mazanov, J. (2007). Profiles of adolescent stress: the development of the adolescent stress questionnaire (ASQ). *J. Adolesc.* 30, 393–416. doi: 10.1016/j.adolescence.2006.04.004
- Byron, K., Khazanchi, S., and Nazarian, D. (2010). The relationship between stressors and creativity: a meta-analysis examining competing theoretical models. *J. Appl. Psychol.* 95, 201–212. doi: 10.1037/a0017868
- Calvert, G. A. (2001). Crossmodal processing in the human brain: insights from functional neuroimaging studies. *Cereb. Cortex* 11, 1110–1123. doi: 10.1093/cercor/11.12.1110
- Childs, E., White, T. L., and de Wit, H. (2014). Personality traits modulate emotional and physiological responses to stress. *Behav. Pharmacol.* 25, 493–502. doi: 10.1097/FBP.0000000000000064
- Chua, P., Krams, M., Toni, I., Passingham, R., and Dolan, R. (1999). A functional anatomy of anticipatory anxiety. *Neuroimage* 9(6 Pt 1), 563–571. doi: 10.1006/nimg.1999.0407
- Connor-Smith, J. K., and Flachsbart, C. (2007). Relations between personality and coping: a meta-analysis. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* 93, 1080–1107. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.93.6.1080
- Craig, A. D. (2009). How do you feel now? the anterior insula and human awareness. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 10, 59–70. doi: 10.1038/nrn2555
- Critchley, H. D. (2005). Neural mechanisms of autonomic, affective, and cognitive integration. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 493, 154–166. doi: 10.1002/cne.20749
- Davey, J., Thompson, H. E., Hallam, G., Karapanagiotidis, T., Murphy, C., De Caso, I., et al. (2016). Exploring the role of the posterior middle temporal gyrus in semantic cognition: integration of anterior temporal lobe with executive processes. *NeuroImage* 137, 165–177. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.05.051
- De Clercq, B., Van Leeuwen, K., De Fruyt, F., Van Hiel, A., and Mervielde, I. (2008). Maladaptive personality traits and psychopathology in childhood and adolescence: the moderating effect of parenting. *J. Pers.* 76, 357–383. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-6494.2007.00489.x
- Decuyper, M., De Pauw, S., De Fruyt, F., De Bolle, M., and De Clercq, B. J. (2009). A meta-analysis of psychopathy-, antisocial PD- and FFM associations. *Eur. J. Personal.* 23, 531–565. doi: 10.1002/per.729
- Dedovic, K., Renwick, R., Mahani, N. K., Engert, V., Lupien, S. J., and Pruessner, J. C. (2005). The Montreal imaging stress task: using functional imaging to investigate the effects of perceiving and processing psychosocial stress in the human brain. *J. Psychiatry Neurosci.* 30, 319–325.
- DeWall, C. N., Deckman, T., Pond, R. S. Jr., and Bonser, I. (2011). Belongingness as a core personality trait: how social exclusion influences social functioning and personality expression. *J. Pers.* 79, 1281–1314. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-6494.2010.00695.x
- DeYoung, C. G. (2015). Cybernetic big five theory. *J. Res. Personal.* 56, 33–58. doi: 10.1016/j.jrp.2014.07.004
- DeYoung, C. G., Grazioplene, R. G., and Peterson, J. B. (2012). From madness to genius: the openness/intellect trait domain as a paradoxical simplex. *J. Res. Personal.* 46, 63–78. doi: 10.1016/j.jrp.2011.12.003
- Duncan, J. (2010). The multiple-demand (MD) system of the primate brain: mental programs for intelligent behaviour. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 14, 172–179.
- Eisenberger, N. I., Lieberman, M. D., and Williams, K. D. (2003). Does rejection hurt? an fMRI study of social exclusion. *Science* 302, 290–292. doi: 10.1126/science.1089134
- Enders, C. K. (2010). *Applied Missing Data Analysis*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- Fishman, I., Ng, R., and Bellugi, U. (2011). Do extraverts process social stimuli differently from introverts? *Cogn. Neurosci.* 2, 67–73. doi: 10.1080/17588928.2010.527434
- Fox, S. E., Levin, P., and Nelson, C. A. (2010). How the timing and quality of early experiences influence the development of brain architecture. *Child Dev.* 81, 28–40. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8624.2009.01380.x
- Fritz, M. S., and MacKinnon, D. P. (2007). Required sample size to detect the mediated effect. *Psychol. Sci.* 18, 233–239. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9280.2007.01882.x
- Furnham, A. (2008). Relationship among four big five measures of different length. *Psychol. Rep.* 102, 312–316. doi: 10.2466/pr0.102.1.312-316
- Gogtay, N., Giedd, J. N., Lusk, L., Hayashi, K. M., Greenstein, D., Vaituzis, A. C., et al. (2004). Dynamic mapping of human cortical development during childhood through early adulthood. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 101, 8174–8179. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0402680101
- Golde, S., Gleich, T., Romund, L., Stippel, A., Pelz, P., Raufelder, D., et al. (2021). Adolescents' neural reactivity to acute psychosocial stress: dysfunctional regulation habits are linked to temporal gyrus response. *Dev. Psychopathol.* doi: 10.1017/S0954579421000572 [Epub ahead of print].
- Golde, S., Romund, L., Lorenz, R., Pelz, P., Gleich, T., Beck, A., et al. (2019). Loneliness and adolescents' neural processing of self, friend and teacher: consequences for the school self-concept. *J. Res. Adolescence* 29, 938–952. doi: 10.1111/jora.12433
- Göllner, R., Roberts, B. W., Damian, R. I., Lütke, O., Jonkmann, K., and Trautwein, U. (2017). Whose “storm and stress” is it? parent and child reports of personality development in the transition to early adolescence. *J. Pers.* 85, 376–387. doi: 10.1111/jopy.12246
- Gosling, S. D., Rentfrow, P. J., and Swann, W. B. Jr. (2003). A very brief measure of the big five personality domains. *J. Res. Personal.* 37, 504–528.
- Graham, J. W. (2003). Adding missing-data-relevant variables to FIML-based structural equation models. *Struct. Equat. Model. Multidisciplinary J.* 10, 80–100.
- Guyer, A. E., Pérez-Edgar, K., and Crone, E. A. (2018). Opportunities for neurodevelopmental plasticity from infancy through early adulthood. *Child Dev.* 89, 687–697. doi: 10.1111/cdev.13073
- Hattie, J. (2009). *Visible Learning: A Synthesis of Meta-analyses Relating to Achievement*. London: Routledge.
- Hendriks, A. A. J., Hofstee, W. K. B., and Raad, B. D. (1999). The Five-Factor Personality Inventory (FFPI). *Personal. Individual Differ.* 27, 307–325. doi: 10.1016/s0191-8869(98)00245-1
- Hoferichter, F., Raufelder, D., and Eid, M. (2014). The mediating role of socio-motivational relationships in the interplay of perceived stress, neuroticism, and test anxiety among adolescent students. *Psychol. Schools* 51, 736–752. doi: 10.1002/pits.21778
- Jabbi, M., Bastiaansen, J., and Keyesers, C. (2008). A common anterior insula representation of disgust observation, experience and imagination shows

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.785610/full#supplementary-material>

- divergent functional connectivity pathways. *PLoS One* 3:e2939. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0002939
- Jackson, J. J., and Hill, P. L. (2019). "Lifespan development of conscientiousness," in *Handbook of Personality Development*, eds D. P. McAdams, R. L. Shiner, and J. L. Tackett (New York, NY: Guilford), 137–152.
- Jackson, J. J., and Roberts, B. W. (2017). "Conscientiousness," in *The Oxford Handbook of the Five Factor Model of Personality*, ed. T. A. Widiger (New York, NY: Oxford University Press), 133–150.
- Jackson, J. J., Connolly, J. J., Garrison, S. M., Leveille, M. M., and Connolly, S. L. (2015). Your friends know how long you will live: a 75-year study of peer-rated personality traits. *Psychol. Sci.* 26, 335–340. doi: 10.1177/0956797614561800
- Kandler, C., Riemann, R., Angleitner, A., Spinath, F. M., Borkenau, P., and Penke, L. (2016). The nature of creativity: the roles of genetic factors, personality traits, cognitive abilities, and environmental sources. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* 111, 230–249. doi: 10.1037/pspp0000087
- Kashdan, T. B., DeWall, C. N., Masten, C. L., Pond, R. S. Jr., Powell, C., et al. (2014). Who is most vulnerable to social rejection? the toxic combination of low self-esteem and lack of negative emotion differentiation on neural responses to rejection. *PLoS One* 9:e90651. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0090651
- Kenny, D. A., Kaniskan, B., and McCoach, D. B. (2015). The performance of RMSEA in models with small degrees of freedom. *Sociol. Methods Res.* 44, 486–507. doi: 10.1177/0049124114543236
- Klimstra, T. (2013). Adolescent personality development and identity formation. *Child Dev. Perspect.* 7, 80–84. doi: 10.1111/cdep.12017
- Kross, E., Egner, T., Ochsner, K., Hirsch, J., and Downey, G. (2007). Neural dynamics of rejection sensitivity. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 19, 945–956. doi: 10.1162/jocn.2007.19.6.945
- Laursen, B., Hafen, C. A., Rubin, K. H., Booth-LaForce, C., and Rose-Krasnor, L. (2010). The distinctive difficulties of disagreeable youth. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly* 56, 80–103.
- Lerner, R. M., and Lerner, J. V. (2019). "The development of a person: a relational-developmental systems perspective," in *Handbook of Personality Development*, eds D. P. McAdams, R. L. Shiner, and J. L. Tackett (New York, NY: Guilford), 59–75.
- Li, T., Yan, X., Li, Y., Wang, J., Li, Q., Li, H., et al. (2017). Neuronal correlates of individual differences in the big five personality traits: evidences from cortical morphology and functional homogeneity. *Front. Neurosci.* 11:414. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2017.00414
- Lucas, G. M., Gratch, J., Cheng, L., and Marsella, S. (2015). When the going gets tough: grit predicts costly perseverance. *J. Res. Personal.* 59, 15–22. doi: 10.1016/j.jrp.2015.08.004
- Lucas, R. E., Diener, E., Grob, A., Suh, E. M., and Shao, L. (2000). Cross-Cultural evidence for the fundamental features of extraversion. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* 79, 452–468. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.79.3.452
- Lucas, R. E., Le, K., and Dyrenforth, P. S. (2008). Explaining the extraversion/positive affect relation: sociability cannot account for extraverts' greater happiness. *J. Pers.* 76, 385–414. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-6494.2008.00490.x
- Lupien, S. J., McEwen, B. S., Gunnar, M. R., and Heim, C. (2009). Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 10, 434–445.
- Mackenzie, C., Smith, M., Hasher, L., Leach, L., and Behl, P. (2007). Cognitive functioning under stress: evidence from informal care-givers of palliative patients. *J. Palliat. Med.* 10, 749–758.
- MacKinnon, D. (2008). *Introduction to Statistical Mediation Analysis*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Malkiewicz, M. M. (2014). Selected personality characteristics and coping with stress strategies. *Polish J. Aviation Med. Psychol.* 20, 25–36. doi: 10.13174/pjamp.20.02.2014.3
- McCrae, R. R., and Costa, P. T. (1997). Personality trait structure as a human universal. *Am. Psychol.* 52, 509–516. doi: 10.1037/0003-066x.52.5.509
- McCrae, R. R., and Costa, P. T. (2008). "The five-factor theory of personality," in *Handbook of Personality: Theory and Research*, 3rd Edn, eds O. John, P. R. W. Robins, and L. A. Pervin (New York, NY: Guilford Press), 159–181.
- McCrae, R. R., Costa, P. T. Jr., Terracciano, A., Parker, W. D., Mills, C. J., De Fruyt, F., et al. (2002). Personality trait development from age 12 to age 18: longitudinal, cross-sectional and cross-cultural analyses. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* 83, 1456–1468. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.83.6.1456
- Mulders, P., Llera, A., Tendolkar, I., van Eijndhoven, P., and Beckmann, C. (2018). Personality profiles are associated with functional brain networks related to cognition and emotion. *Sci. Rep.* 8:13874.
- Murberg, T. A., and Bru, E. (2004). School-related stress and psychosomatic symptoms among Norwegian adolescents. *School Psychol. Int.* 25, 317–332. doi: 10.1177/0143034304046904
- Mutheén, L. K., and Mutheén, B. O. (1998–2013). *Mplus User's Guide*, 7th Edn. Los Angeles, CA: Mutheén & Mutheén.
- Neyer, F. J., and Lehnart, J. (2007). Relationships matter in personality development: evidence from an 8-year longitudinal study across young adulthood. *J. Pers.* 75, 535–568. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-6494.2007.00448.x
- Noftle, E. E., and Robins, R. W. (2007). Personality predictors of academic outcomes: big five correlates of GPA and SAT scores. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* 93, 116–130. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.93.1.116
- Papousek, I., Paechter, M., and Lackner, H. K. (2011). Delayed psychophysiological recovery after self-concept-inconsistent negative performance feedback. *Int. J. Psychophysiol.* 82, 275–282. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2011.09.013
- Pascoe, M. C., Hetrick, S. E., and Parker, A. G. (2019). The impact of stress on students in secondary school and higher education. *Int. J. Adolescence Youth* 25, 104–112. doi: 10.1080/02673843.2019.1596823
- Preacher, K. J., and Hayes, A. F. (2008). Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behav. Res. Methods* 40, 879–891.
- Price, C. J. (2010). The anatomy of language: a review of 100 fMRI studies published in 2009. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 1191, 62–88. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05444.x
- Pruessner, J. C., Dedovic, K., Khalili-Mahani, N., Engert, V., Pruessner, M., Buss, C., et al. (2008). Deactivation of the limbic system during acute psychosocial stress: evidence from positron emission tomography and functional magnetic resonance imaging studies. *Biol. Psychiatry* 63, 234–240. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2007.04.041
- Quarto, T., Blasi, G., Maddalena, C., Viscanti, G., Lanciano, T., Soleti, E., et al. (2016). Association between ability emotional intelligence and left insula during social judgment of facial emotions. *PLoS One* 11:e0148621. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148621
- Raine, A. (2019). The neuromoral theory of antisocial, violent, and psychopathic behavior. *Psychiatry Res.* 277, 64–69. doi: 10.1016/j.psychres.2018.11.025
- Rammstedt, B., and John, O. P. (2007). Measuring personality in one minute or less: a 10-item short version of the big five inventory in English and German. *J. Res. Personal.* 41, 203–212. doi: 10.1016/j.jrp.2006.02.001
- Salmela-Aro, K., Moeller, J., Schneider, B., Spicer, J., and Lavonen, J. (2016). Integrating the light and dark sides of student engagement using person-oriented and situation-specific approaches. *Learn. Instruct.* 43, 61–70. doi: 10.1016/j.learninstruct.2016.01.001
- Schwaba, T. (2019). "The structure, measurement, and development of openness to experience across adulthood," in *Handbook of Personality Development*, eds D. P. McAdams, R. L. Shiner, and J. L. Tackett (New York, NY: Guilford), 185–200.
- Shackman, A. J., Stockbridge, M. D., Tillman, R. M., Kaplan, C. M., Tromp, D. P., Fox, A. S., et al. (2016). The neurobiology of dispositional negativity and attentional biases to threat: implications for understanding anxiety disorders in adults and youth. *J. Exp. Psychopathol.* 7, 311–342. doi: 10.5127/jep.054015
- Shao, R., Lau, W. K. W., Leung, M. K., and Lee, T. M. C. (2018). Subgenual anterior cingulate-insula resting-state connectivity as a neural correlate to trait and state stress resilience. *Brain Cogn.* 124, 73–81. doi: 10.1016/j.bandc.2018.05.001
- Shen, W., Yuan, Y., Liu, C., and Luo, J. (2017). The roles of the temporal lobe in creative insight: an integrated review. *Think. Reason.* 23, 321–375. doi: 10.1080/13546783.2017.1308885
- Shiner, R. L. (2019). "Negative emotionality and emotional instability from childhood through adulthood: a lifespan perspective," in *Handbook of Personality Development*, eds D. P. McAdams, R. L. Shiner, and J. L. Tackett (New York, NY: Guilford), 137–152.
- Shrout, P. E., and Bolger, N. (2002). Mediation in experimental and nonexperimental studies: new procedures and recommendations. *Psychol. Methods* 7, 422–445.

- Simmons, A., Strigo, I., Matthews, S. C., Paulus, M. P., and Stein, M. B. (2006). Anticipation of aversive visual stimuli is associated with increased insula activation in anxiety-prone subjects. *Biol. Psychiatry* 60, 402–409. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.04.038
- Slaney, R. B., Rice, K. G., Mobley, M., Trippi, J., and Ashby, J. (2001). The revised almost perfect scale. *Measurement Eval. Counsel. Dev.* 34, 130–145.
- Slavich, G. M., Way, B. M., Eisenberger, N. I., and Taylor, S. E. (2010). Neural sensitivity to social rejection is associated with inflammatory responses to social stress. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 107, 14817–14822. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1009164107
- Smillie, L. D., Kern, M. L., and Uljarevic, M. (2019). “Extraversion: description, development, and mechanisms,” in *Handbook of Personality Development*, eds D. P. McAdams, R. L. Shiner, and J. L. Tackett (New York, NY: Guilford), 118–136.
- Soto, C. J., and John, O. P. (2017). The next Big Five Inventory (BFI-2): developing and assessing a hierarchical model with 15 facets to enhance bandwidth, fidelity, and predictive power. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* 113, 117–143. doi: 10.1037/pspp0000096
- Soto, C. J., John, O. P., Gosling, S. D., and Potter, J. (2011). Age differences in personality traits from 10 to 65: big five domains and facets in a large cross-sectional sample. *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.* 100, 330–348. doi: 10.1037/a0021717
- Steinberg, L. (2008). A social neuroscience perspective on adolescent risk-taking. *Dev. Rev.* 28, 78–106. doi: 10.1016/j.dr.2007.08.002
- Stoeber, J., Otto, K., and Dalbert, C. (2009). Perfectionism and the Big Five: conscientiousness predicts longitudinal increases in self-oriented perfectionism. *Personal. Individual Differ.* 47, 363–368. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2009.04.004
- Sun, J., Kaufman, S. B., and Smillie, L. D. (2018). Unique associations between Big Five personality aspects and multiple dimensions of well-being. *J. Pers.* 86, 158–172. doi: 10.1111/jopy.12301
- Szirmak, Z. (2005). *The Big Five Model of Personality and Primary Prevention in Adolescence*. Available online at: http://www.diss.fu-berlin.de/diss/re-ceive/FUDISS_thesis_000000001723 (accessed December 8, 2021).
- Tackett, J. L., Hernández, M. M., and Eisenberg, N. (2019). “Agreeableness,” in *Handbook of Personality Development*, eds D. P. McAdams, R. L. Shiner, and J. L. Tackett (New York, NY: Guilford), 171–184.
- Tottenham, N., and Galvan, A. (2016). Stress and the adolescent brain: amygdala-prefrontal cortex circuitry and ventral striatum as developmental targets. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 70, 217–227. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.07.030
- Wang, H., Braun, C., and Enck, P. (2017). How the brain reacts to social stress (exclusion) - a scoping review. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 80, 80–88. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.05.012
- Waugh, C. E., Wager, T. D., Fredrickson, B. L., Noll, D. C., and Taylor, S. F. (2008). The neural correlates of trait resilience when anticipating and recovering from threat. *Soc. Cogn. Affect. Neurosci.* 3, 322–332. doi: 10.1093/scan/nsn024
- West, S. G., Taylor, A. B., and Wu, W. (2012). “Model fit and model selection in structural equation modeling,” in *Handbook of Structural Equation Modeling*, ed. R. H. Hoyle (New York, NY: Guilford Press), 209–231.
- Xin, Y., Wu, J., Yao, Z., Guan, Q., Aleman, A., and Luo, Y. (2017). The relationship between personality and the response to acute psychological stress. *Sci. Rep.* 7:16906. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-17053-2
- Yuan, K.-H., and Bentler, P. M. (2000). Three likelihood-based methods for mean and covariance structure analysis with nonnormal missing data. *Sociol. Methodol.* 30, 167–202. doi: 10.1111/0081-1750.00
- Zschucke, E., Renneberg, B., Dimeo, F., Wüstenberg, T., and Ströhle, A. (2015). The stress-buffering effect of acute exercise: evidence for HPA axis negative feedback. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 51, 414–425. doi: 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2014.10.019

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher’s Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Raufelder, Hoferichter, Kulakow, Golde, Gleich, Romund, Lorenz, Pelz and Beck. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.